



Rithy KHUT &lt;rithy.khut@multco.us&gt;

---

**Fwd: Proposed Comprehensive Plan**

---

**Rich FAITH** <rich.faith@multco.us>  
To: Rithy Khut <rithy.khut@multco.us>

Mon, Aug 22, 2016 at 8:08 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ron Eber** <ronaldeber@comcast.net>  
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2016 at 1:08 PM  
Subject: Re: Proposed Comprehensive Plan  
To: "Mark J. Greenfield" <markgreenfield@involved.com>, Commissioner Jules Bailey <jules.bailey@multco.us>, Kafoury Deborah <deborah.kafoury@co.multnomah.or.us>, district2@multco.us, district3@multco.us  
Cc: Multnomah County Planning Planning County <adam.t.barber@multco.us>, Multnomah County Planning Planning County <michael.cerbone@multco.us>, andy.shaw@oregonmetro.gov, Tomkins Jed <jed.tomkins@multco.us>

Commissioners: I'd like to support the comments submitted by Mr. Greenfield and to specifically emphasize two things:

1. The more specific sub area policies or the more restrictive should control. This is the usual way such inconsistencies are handled; and
2. Address the inconsistencies and possibly inadvertent omissions that he has identified. Otherwise in any latter disputes, hearings officers, LUBA or the Courts will view them as intentional and will have to assume that they were intentional and were meant to mean something different. Words and phrases have meaning and even the slightest differences can lead to unintended interpretations.

Thanks for your consideration of these comments.

Ron Eber



Rithy KHUT &lt;rithy.khut@multco.us&gt;

---

## Fwd: Proposed Comprehensive Plan

---

Rich FAITH <rich.fait@multco.us>  
To: Rithy Khut <rithy.khut@multco.us>

Mon, Aug 22, 2016 at 8:08 AM

Forwarded message

From: Ron Eber <ronaldeber@comcast.net>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2016 at 1:08 PM

Subject: Re: Proposed Comprehensive Plan

To: "Mark J. Greenfield" <markgreenfield@involved.com>, Commissioner Jules Bailey <jules.bailey@multco.us>, Kafoury Deborah <deborah.kafoury@co.multnomah.or.us>, district2@multco.us, district3@multco.us

Cc: Multnomah County Planning Planning County <adam.t.barber@multco.us>, Multnomah County Planning Planning County <michael.cerbone@multco.us>, andy.shaw@oregonmetro.gov, Tomkins Jed <jed.tomkins@multco.us>

Commissioners: I'd like to support the comments submitted by Mr. Greenfield and to specifically emphasize two things:

1. The more specific sub area policies or the more restrictive should control. This is the usual way such inconsistencies are handled□and
2. Address the inconsistencies and possibly inadvertent omissions that he has identified. Otherwise in any latter disputes, hearings officers, LUBA or the Courts will view them as intentional and will have to assume that they were intentional and were meant to mean something different. Words and phrases have meaning and even the slightest differences can lead to unintended interpretations.

Thanks for your consideration of these comments.

Ron Eber



Rithy KHUT &lt;rithy.khut@multco.us&gt;

---

## Fwd: Proposed Comprehensive Plan

---

Rich FAITH <rich.faith@multco.us>  
To: Rithy Khut <rithy.khut@multco.us>

Mon, Aug 22, 2016 at 8:08 AM

Forwarded message

From: Ron Eber <ronaldeber@comcast.net>  
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2016 at 1:08 PM  
Subject: Re: Proposed Comprehensive Plan  
To: "Mark J. Greenfield" <markgreenfield@involved.com>, Commissioner Jules Bailey <jules.bailey@multco.us>, Kafoury Deborah <deborah.kafoury@co.multnomah.or.us>, district2@multco.us, district3@multco.us  
Cc: Multnomah County Planning Planning County <adam.t.barber@multco.us>, Multnomah County Planning Planning County <michael.cerbone@multco.us>, andy.shaw@oregonmetro.gov, Tomkins Jed <jed.tomkins@multco.us>

Commissioners: I'd like to support the comments submitted by Mr. Greenfield and to specifically emphasize two things:

1. The more specific sub area policies or the more restrictive should control. This is the usual way such inconsistencies are handled□and
2. Address the inconsistencies and possibly inadvertent omissions that he has identified. Otherwise in any latter disputes, hearings officers, LUBA or the Courts will view them as intentional and will have to assume that they were intentional and were meant to mean something different. Words and phrases have meaning and even the slightest differences can lead to unintended interpretations.

Thanks for your consideration of these comments.

Ron Eber



Rithy KHUT &lt;rithy.khut@multco.us&gt;

---

## Fwd: Proposed Comprehensive Plan

---

Rich FAITH <rich.faith@multco.us>  
To: Rithy Khut <rithy.khut@multco.us>

Mon, Aug 22, 2016 at 8:08 AM

----- Forwarded message

From: Ron Eber <ronaldeber@comcast.net>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2016 at 1:08 PM

Subject: Re: Proposed Comprehensive Plan

To: "Mark J. Greenfield" <markgreenfield@involved.com>, Commissioner Jules Bailey <jules.bailey@multco.us>, Kafoury Deborah <deborah.kafoury@co.multnomah.or.us>, district2@multco.us, district3@multco.us

Cc: Multnomah County Planning Planning County <adam.t.barber@multco.us>, Multnomah County Planning Planning County <michael.cerbone@multco.us>, andy.shaw@oregonmetro.gov, Tomkins Jed <jed.tomkins@multco.us>

Commissioners: I'd like to support the comments submitted by Mr. Greenfield and to specifically emphasize two things:

1. The more specific sub area policies or the more restrictive should control. This is the usual way such inconsistencies are handled; and
2. Address the inconsistencies and possibly inadvertent omissions that he has identified. Otherwise in any latter disputes, hearings officers, LUBA or the Courts will view them as intentional and will have to assume that they were intentional and were meant to mean something different. Words and phrases have meaning and even the slightest differences can lead to unintended interpretations.

Thanks for your consideration of these comments.

Ron Eber