

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners  
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100  
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon  
Wednesday, May 4, 2016**

**BUDGET WORK SESSION #8**

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m. with Vice-Chair Loretta Smith and Commissioners Jules Bailey, Judy Shiprack and Diane McKeel present.

Also attending was Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Shirley Luo, Board Clerk Intern.

**BWS-8 District Attorney's Office Presentation. Presenters: Rod Underhill, District Attorney; and, Allen Vogt, Finance Manager (1 hr)**

CHAIR KAFOURY: GOOD MORNING. HAVEN'T SEEN YOU IN A WHOLE 12 HOURS.

Mr. Jaspin: GOOD MORNING, MIKE JASPIN FROM THE BUDGET OFFICE. I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME YOU TO OUR BUDGET WORK SESSION 8A. HOW TIME FLIES! JUST A QUICK ADMINISTRATIVE NOTE, WE PROVIDED YOU WITH AN UPDATED AMENDMENT LIST THAT SHOULD BE IN FRONT OF YOU. IT JUST INCLUDES THE AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MADE YESTERDAY DURING THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE PRESENTATION. WE INCREASED THE FONT SIZE A LITTLE BIT SO IT WILL BE MORE READABLE.

Chair Kafoury: FOR THOSE OF US OVER 40-ISH.

Mr. Jaspin: THE ONLY OTHER TECHNICAL NOTE, WE'RE GOING TO CANCEL THE FOLLOW-UP WORK SESSION FOLLOWING THE D.A.'S WORK SESSION UNLESS YOU DECIDE TO HANG AROUND AND CHAT ABOUT THE BUDGET SOME MORE, BUT WE DON'T HAVE ANY MORE ITEMS TO COVER.

Chair Kafoury: ALTHOUGH WE ARE PLANNING TO CANCEL THAT 10:30 MEETING WE DO HAVE PLENTY OF TIME NEXT WEEK. WE JUST DIDN'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR TODAY'S FOLLOW-UP. IF WE HAVE QUESTIONS THROUGHOUT NEXT WEEK AND THE FOLLOWING WEEK THERE'S PLENTY OF TIME AND PLENTY OF ADDITIONAL FOLLOW-UP MEETINGS SCHEDULED.

Mr. Jaspin: YES.

Vice-Chair Smith: SO WE CAN'T ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT THE D.A.?

Chair Kafoury: NO, AFTER THE D.A. AT 10:30 WE PREPARED A CATCH-ALL IF PEOPLE STILL HAD QUESTIONS FROM EARLIER IN THE WEEK OR LAST WEEK. BUT WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY, SO WE CANCELED THAT. HOWEVER, WE HAVE

PLENTY OF TIME NEXT WEEK IF PEOPLE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. WE HAD NOT HEARD ANY FROM THE BOARD OF FOLLOW-UP.

Mr. Jaspin: THERE'S QUESTIONS THAT YOU ASKED THAT WE WROTE UP ON THE NOTE THAT WE'RE FOLLOWING UP ON, BUT WE HAD BLOCKED OUT AN HOUR AND A HALF IN CASE WE NEEDED TO HAVE FOLLOW-UP PRESENTATION FROM LAST WEEK, BUT WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY FOLLOW-UP PRESENTATIONS SCHEDULED. IT WAS MORE A PLACEHOLDER.

Vice-Chair Smith: THERE WERE A COUPLE QUESTIONS THAT I ASKED FOR.

Mr. Jaspin: WE'RE DILIGENTLY WORKING ON THOSE. WE'LL HAVE SOME OF THOSE PROBABLY BY THE END OF TODAY.

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU.

Mr. Jaspin: SO WITH THAT I WILL TURN IT OVER TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

**BWS-8a      District Attorney's Office Presentation. Presenters: Rod Underhill, District Attorney; and, Allen Vogt, Finance Manager.**

Mr. Underhill: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR KAFOURY, MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY COMMISSIONER BOARD, ROD UNDERHILL, YOUR DISTRICT ATTORNEY, WITH ALAN VOGT. I'M UNDER THE WEATHER TODAY. I APOLOGIZE. IT MAY LOOK LIKE I HAVE LOST A LOT OF FRIENDS. THE DISTANCE BETWEEN ME AND PEOPLE THAT MAY JOIN WILL BE MORE SIGNIFICANT THAN USUAL. IT'S BECAUSE I'M ADMITTING I DON'T FEEL VERY WELL.

THIS IS AN IMPORTANT DAY, SO I WOULDN'T MISS THIS FOR ANYTHING BUT I LIKELY WILL NOT BE STAYING AROUND ALL THAT LONG INTO THE AFTERNOON. WE'LL SEE. WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO HAVE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY; I HAD THE CHANCE LAST WEEK OR SO TO MEET WITH EACH OF YOU INDIVIDUALLY AND I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THOSE OPPORTUNITIES TO TALK ABOUT IMPORTANT THINGS WITHIN THE BUDGET AND THINGS WE WANT TO KEEP DOING WELL AND RIGHT. AS WE WALK THROUGH THE BUDGET, WE'LL HAVE A BRIEF REVIEW AT LEAST OF THE AGENDA THAT WE PLAN TO WALK THROUGH. OF COURSE AT ANY TIME I THINK WE ARE PREPARED TO FIELD QUESTIONS AS YOU SEE FIT TO ASK, BUT CERTAINLY WE HAVE A TIME FOR THE END I THINK TO HAVE QUESTIONS AS WELL. WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT OUR MISSION AND VALUES. THE CBAC, DEPARTMENT BUDGET OVERVIEW.

WE'LL BREAK IT DOWN INTO DIVISION OVERVIEW AND GENERAL FUND REDUCTIONS. WE'LL ALSO TALK ABOUT SOME NEW ONE-TIME-ONLY AND GENERAL TOGETHER ALONG WITH RESTORATIONS. WE'LL ADD FUTURE IMPACTS AND POLICY ISSUE THAT CONTINUE TO MAKE OUR SHRIVELS AVAILABLE TO GIVE YOU OUR BEST ANSWERS. SO A MOMENT ON SOMETHING

THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO US, OUR MISSION AND VISION. THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS COMMITTED TO AN OPEN AND BALANCED ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. IT'S ONE THAT HONORS AND RESPECTS DIVERSITY IN ALL ITS FORMS AND WORKS DILIGENTLY TO PROTECT CHILDREN AND VICTIMS OF CRIME BY MAINTAINING TIMELY AND APPROPRIATE SANCTIONS FOR OFFENDERS WHO ENGAGE IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. WE ADD TO THAT IMPORTANT STATEMENT BY ALSO ADDING SOME VALUES AND GUIDING PRINCIPLES.

Mr. Underhill: CERTAINLY THESE ARE NOT ALL THE AREAS THAT WE WORK ON BUT THESE ARE AREAS THAT WE HAVE CHOSEN TO HIGHLIGHT. THEY INCLUDE FOR EXAMPLE ENFORCING THE RULE OF LAW BY PROVIDING FAIR, EQUITABLE, UNBIASED PROSECUTION SERVICES. PROACTIVELY WORKING TO RESOLVE CRIME ISSUES THROUGH OUTREACH AND OFTENTIMES EDUCATION. TO PROVIDE EFFECTIVE SERVICES TO VICTIMS OF CRIME BY EDUCATING THEM ON THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS AND PROVIDING COMPASSIONATE GUIDANCE AND SUPPORT THROUGH THE LEGAL PROCESSES. AND COMMUNICATING CASE OUT COMES. WE'RE ALSO RESPONSIBLE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTNERS BY BEING FLEXIBLE IN ADDRESSING EMERGING CRIME TRENDS AND PROVIDING EXPERT LEGAL ADVICE AND GUIDANCE. WE WORK COLLABORATIVELY WITH CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERS AND THIS BOARD KNOWS WELL WE HAVE A GREAT WORKING ENVIRONMENT RELATIVE TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERS IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

WE'RE EFFECTIVE IN HAVING POSITIVE CHANGE BY LOOKING AT AND DEVELOPING NEW, INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS, BEST PRACTICES, LEVERAGING TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS. WE FIND WADES AT BOTH ADULT AND JUVENILE LEVEL TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO COMMUNITY SERVICES TO HELP REDUCE REENTRY ISSUES AS PEOPLE COME BACK FROM THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM OFTEN BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY AND PROVIDE THE BEST AND MOST COST EFFECTIVE CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES. I WANT TO MOVE TONTO A VERY IMPORTANT ASPECT OF MY OFFICE'S BUDGET CONVERSATION AND THAT IS TO TALK A LITTLE BIT AND THANK ONCE AGAIN PUBLICLY I THINK WE DO IT EVERY TIME WE GET TOGETHER BUT BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE MADE UP OF SEVEN WONDERFUL INDIVIDUALS. I HAVE LISTED THEIR NAMES BUT IT'S WORTH SAYING OUT LOUD. ALYSSA IS HERE TODAY. SHE'S THE CBAC CHAIR. SHE'S GOING TO GIVE COMMENTS ON THAT EXPERIENCE. YOU'VE HEARD THIS BOARD HAS HEARD FROM HER BEFORE AS SHE WAS PRESENTER HERE I THINK THIS TIME LAST YEAR. [READS NAMES] VERY VALUABLE TEAM MEMBER. LET ME ASK ALYSSA TO COME UP. YOU'LL THINK WE HAVE BEEN FIGHTING HERE IN THE RECENT PAST. SHE IS A MEMBER OF THE DEFENSE BAR BUT WE DO NOT FIGHT. I'LL ALLOW SOME DISTANCE.

Ms. Coffman: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. I'M LISA COFFMAN. I'M AN ATTORNEY AND ONE OF MY AREAS OF PRACTICE IS

CRIMINAL DEFENSE. I ALSO DO QUITE A FEW FAMILY LAW CASES SO I HAVE A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY TO INTERACT WITH THE D.A.'S OFFICE IN THAT CONTEXT AS WELL BECAUSE WE WORK ON ENFORCEMENT ISSUES IN CHILD SUPPORT AND I ALSO HELP OUT IN JUVENILE COURT. SO I HAD A LOT OF INTERACTIONS WITH THE D.A.'S OFFICE AND I PARTICIPATE IN THIS PROCESS BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE CBAC BE BALANCED. I THINK IT'S A TESTAMENT TO ROD AND HIS INTEGRITY BECAUSE HE DOES ASK ME TO PARTICIPATE AND REALLY TAKE A HARD LOOK AT HIS BUDGET, WHICH I'M HAPPY TO DO. AS THE CBAC CHAIR. I ALSO WANTED TO NOTE THAT I THINK I'M THE ONLY PRACTICING LAWYER WHO IS ON OUR CBAC COMMITTEE, SO THERE'S SOME EFFORT TO GATHER INPUT FROM OTHER REPRESENTATIVE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY, BUSINESS OWNERS, AND OTHER PEOPLE WHO ARE JUST STAKEHOLDERS IN EVERYTHING THAT YOU ALL DO AND EVERYTHING THAT THE D.A.'S OFFICE DOES.

I THINK WE ALL RECOGNIZE THERE NEEDS TO BE A LITTLE MORE DIVERSITY PROBABLY IN OUR CBAC MEMBERSHIP AND ROD IS AWARE OF THAT AND WE'LL ALL BE WORKING TO MAKE SURE THAT IT CONTINUES TO BE A REPRESENTATIVE BOARD. SO THAT SAID, I DON'T WANT TO TAKE UP TOO MUCH OF THEIR TIME BUT I KNOW THAT IT'S IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO HEAR THAT IT'S A MEANINGFUL ENDEAVOR FOR US TO REVIEW AND ADVISE ON THE BUDGET. WE MEET SEVERAL TIMES BEFORE PRESENTING YOU WITH A BUDGET. WE MEET MONTHLY DURING THE CRUNCH PERIOD AND DURING THE FIRST SESSIONS WE SORT OF IDENTIFY THE ISSUES AND THEN WE GET DOWN TO BUSINESS IN DETERMINING WHAT WE CAN RECOMMEND AS A CBAC THEN PRIORITIZE IN TERMS OF WHAT WE ARE SEEING AS THE MOST IMPORTANT BUDGET ITEMS FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

THIS YEAR WHEN WE FIRST MET WE WERE TOLD THAT THERE WAS A REQUEST FOR SOME BUDGET REDUCTION, BUDGET REDUCTION EXERCISE AND THAT WE NEEDED TO CONSIDER THAT CONTEXT. SO WE WERE PRESENTED WITH A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT SCENARIOS. WE WERE ALSO PRESENTED AS A CBAC WITH HOW ALAN AND ROD CAME UP WITH THESE, NONE OF WHICH ARE GREAT BECAUSE ANY REQUESTS FOR REDUCTION WHEN THERE'S SCARCE RESOURCES IS NEVER PRETTY BUT SOUNDS LIKE ROD ENGAGED OTHER MANAGERS IN HIS OFFICE TO HAVE A COLLABORATIVE DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT COULD MOST BE ABSORBED AND CAUSE THE LEAST AMOUNT OF ARM SHOULD THERE BE A REDUCTION. NECESSARY REDUCTION.

SO IT SOUNDS LIKE ON THE TABLE NOW IS A REDUCTION, A CUT TO THE MISDEMEANOR DEPUTY. THAT'S WHAT YOU'VE ALL CONSIDERED I THINK IN THE PRELIMINARY BUDGET DISCUSSIONS WITH ROD. WHILE OUR PREFERENCE WOULD NOT BE TO CUT ANYBODY WHO IS A PRACTICING ATTORNEY CONTRIBUTING TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE OR STAFF MEMBER HELPING TO MEET THE MISSION AND VISION YOU HAD HIGHLIGHTED FOR YOU BY ROD, WE SUPPORT THIS AS SORT OF THE LEAST ONEROUS AND MOST ABSORBABLE

BUDGET CUT GIVEN THE FACT THAT IT'S IN THE MISDEMEANOR. FRANKLY YOU HAVE TO MAKE DECISIONS AT THAT LOWEST LEVEL WHAT PROSECUTION IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE. WE ENDORSED THAT AND I'M SURE YOU'LL HEAR HOW THAT WAS PLACED ON TO THE TABLE. I KNOW IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS ALSO AN UPDATE AND REVISION TO THE CRIME SYSTEM. I WAS AT A MEETING AT 7:30 WITH JUDGE WALLER, OUR PRESIDING JUDGE IN THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY COURT, ALONG WITH THE CBAC SHE WAS REALLY SUPPORTING AN UPDATE TO THE SYSTEM.

Ms. Coffman: ALL OF THE SYSTEMIC UPDATES ACTUALLY HELP IN THE ACCESS TO THESE SERVICES BECAUSE THEY MAKE EVERYTHING MORE STREAMLINED SO WE'RE BETTER ABLE AND THE D.A.'S OFFICE IS BETTER ABLE TO BEST UTILIZE THEIR STAFF WHEN WE HAVE THE MOST UP-TO-DATE TECHNOLOGY. SO THINGS LIKE CUTTING STAFF HAS LESS OF AN IMPACT WHEN WE'RE ABLE TO USE LESS TO ACCESS THE IMPORTANT INFORMATION WE NEED THROUGH THE CRIME SYSTEM. I THINK IT WAS A NO-BRAIN THEY'RE THEY NEEDED IT. WE APPRECIATE THAT INCLUSION IN THE BUDGET. ONE ITEM THAT DIDN'T GET INCLUDED THAT'S BEEN ON OUR LIST FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS. THAT IS THE DATA ANALYST, DATA RESEARCH TEAM FOR THE D.A.'S OFFICE. I KNOW ROD HAS PUT IN PLACE STOPGAP MEASURES TO AT LEAST TRY TO GET SOME DATA ANALYSIS STARTED. THAT WAS ACTUALLY THE CBAC'S NUMBER ONE PRIORITY IN OUR LIST AND THE REASON IS BECAUSE I THINK WE ARE OPERATING WITH SCARCE RESOURCES.

THE D.A.'S OFFICE IS ALWAYS MAKING DECISIONS AS ARE YOU ABOUT WHAT CAN BE IMPLEMENTED AND WHAT NECESSARY CRIMES NEED TO NOT BE PROSECUTED OR DEALT WITH DIFFERENTLY. IT EBBS AND FLOWS AND PEOPLE ARE NEVER HAPPY WHEN YOU CAN'T DO IT ALL. WHAT THE DATA ANALYST POSITION DOES WHICH IS WE HAVE SUPPORTED IT, IT HELPS IDENTIFY WHERE RESOURCES SHOULD BE CHanneLED WHEN WE'RE OPERATING WITH A SYSTEM THAT CAN'T POSSIBLY MANAGE TO DO EVERYTHING THAT IT COULD OR MAYBE EVEN SHOULD. SO THE HOPE WAS TO GET THAT INFORMATION SO THAT THE DECISIONS GOING FORWARD CAN BE AS INFORMED AS POSSIBLE. ANOTHER UNSEXY BUDGET ITEM, BUT I THINK WE HAVE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSIONS AROUND IT AND I WOULD ASK THE BOARD TO JUST CONSIDER THE IMPORTANCE OF DATA AND DATA ANALYSIS MOVING FORWARD AS THE D.A.'S OFFICE CONTINUES TO TRY TO PROMOTE DIVISION AND MISSION. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. THAT'S PRETTY MUCH ALL I HAVE.

Commissioner McKeel: I HAVE A COMMENT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR VERY IN DEPTH PRESENTATION. I DO SEE ON YOUR CBAC THAT YOU HAVE GOOD GEOGRAPHIC AL REPRESENTATION OF THE ENTIRE COUNTY, AND I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU.

Commissioner Shiprack: GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU. JUST A LITTLE BIT OF REASSURANCE, I THINK THAT YOU'RE TALKING TO A GROUP THAT, WE ALL FIND DATA TO BE EXTREMELY SEXY. WANTED ASSURE YOU.

Ms. Coffman: IT'S GOOD TO BE IN GOOD NERD COMPANY. THANK YOU.

Commissioner Shiprack: THANKS FOR COMING.

Mr. Underhill: I WANT TO THANK LISA AND ALL THE MEMBERS OF CBAC. TYPICALLY, IF WE WERE IN COURT I WOULD HAVE A MOTION TO RELEASE THIS WITNESS BECAUSE I SUSPECT SHE HAS OTHER DUTIES THIS MORNING. IS IT ALL RIGHT IF SHE GOES ABOUT HER OTHER DUTIES? IF QUESTIONS POP UP WE CAN REACH OUT TO HER?

WE'RE READY TO MOVE ON I THINK FOR A HANDFUL OF BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS AS WE THEN TAKE A DEEPER DIVE IN THE NOT TOO DISTANCE FUTURE. I'LL HAND IT OVER TO ALAN TO DIVE DEEPER INTO THE DECIMAL POINTS AND SUCH. BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS. ESSENTIALLY WE'RE MAINTAINING ALL EXISTING PROGRAMS. AS LISA POINTED OUT THE CHAIR'S BUDGET IT DOES RESTORE 2.5 ADDITIONAL FTE PUT INTO 2% CONVERSATION. THOSE WERE OUT OF OUR UNIT A, PROPERTY CRIMES, UNIT B, DRUG UNIT, UNIT C, GANG AND OTHER VIOLENT CRIME RELATED PROGRAMS. ANOTHER HIGHLIGHT FOR US AND WE HAVE HAD OPPORTUNITIES, STAND-ALONE OPPORTUNITIES TO PRESENT THIS BOARD WITH INFORMATION ABOUT OUR SEXUAL ASSAULT, OUR SAFE KIT BACKLOG PROGRAM AND HOW PROUD WE ARE OF THAT. I KNOW THAT WE HAVE HAD DEDICATED TIME WHERE WE TALKED ABOUT THAT ENDEAVOR AND WE'RE PROUD TO SAY AS I THINK THE MENTIONED LAST TIME I WAS BEFORE YOU WE HAVE ACTUALLY BEGUN SHIPPING OFF THOSE SAFE KITS FOR ANALYSIS. THE WORK IS ONGOING.

WE ALSO RECENTLY HAD A PRESENTATION RELATIVE TO ADDITIONAL VICTIMS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM RESOURCES THROUGH VICTIMS OF CRIME ACT, VOCA FUNDING. I KNOW THAT WE HAD STAND ALONE DEDICATED CONVERSATIONS WITH YOU ABOUT THAT IN THE RECENT PAST. ALSO, AS TOUCHED ON ONCE AGAIN BY LISA, THE ONE TIME ONLY TECHNOLOGY UP GREAT IS SIGNIFICANT FOR US FOR THE BUSINESS FLOW OPERATION OF THINGS AND EFFICIENCY OF RUNNING AN OFFICE THE SIZE OF OURS. THE NEXT SLIDE JUST GIVES YOU A SNAPSHOT OF SOME AREAS OF WORK THAT WE DO, IT JUST IS WORTH TOUCH POINTS AND MAYBE A CATALYST FOR QUESTIONS DOWN THE ROAD. FOR EXAMPLE, LAST YEAR WE RESOLVED JUST UNDER 15,000 CASES. A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER. WE'RE VERY PROUD OF THAT. WE LIKE TO THINK WE RESOLVED THEM WITH APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL DELIVERY OF SERVICE. WE RESOLVED 350 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE FELONY CASES. OF THOSE ALMOST 15,350 WERE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DOLLARS.

Mr. Underhill: WE HAVE A DIVISION INVESTIGATOR BASED DIVISION THAT AMONG OTHER THINGS THEY DO LOTS OF THINGS RELATIVE TO CRIMINAL PROSECUTION INVESTIGATION, BUT THEY DELIVERED OVER 4,000 SUBPOENAS. ONE OF THOSE FUNCTIONS WHILE IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY FALL ON THIS REALLY MATTERS IT ACTUALLY REALLY DOES MATTER, ESPECIALLY IN CASES INVOLVING CRIME VICTIMS AND SOMETIMES VICTIMS THAT ARE ON THE FENCE OR OTHERWISE NONPARTICIPANT. IT'S AN IMPORTANT FUNCTION FOR US. WE RESOLVED OVER 1,000 VIOLENT FELONY PERSON CRIMES. WE ALSO PROTECTED OVER 500 CHILDREN RELATIVE TO DEPENDENCY PETITIONS. BACK TO ANOTHER TO HAVE A CONTRAST, WE REVIEWED 21,000, JUST OVER 21,000 CASES AND WHEN YOU PUT THAT IN THE CONTRAST TO THE RESOLVE, THOSE DON'T MARRY UP COMPLETELY BECAUSE THERE'S A LAG TIME BEFORE RESOLVING, BUT TO GIVE YOU A GENERAL IDEA, WE HAVE VIEW ABOUT THAT NUMBER, 21,000, RESOLVE ABOUT 15,000, AND YOU MAY ASK WHAT HAPPENS TO THE OTHER FIVE OR 6,000 CASES. THOSE ARE CASES THAT TYPICALLY ARE NOT PROCEEDED UPON BY MY OFFICE. WE USE A TERM OF ART CALLED NO COMPLAINT. THEY FOR WHATEVER REASON THERE'S A NUMBER OF VARIABLE REASONS BUT THEY DO NOT MEET THE THRESHOLD OF A CRIMINAL PROSECUTION MY OFFICE IS EITHER WILLING TO SIGN OFF ON IN THE FORM OF A COMPLAINT OR PRESENT TO THE GRAND JURY.

SO THAT WILL AT LEAST SUMMARIZE WHERE THE OTHER 5,000 OR SO CASES GO. THIS BOARD IS VERY INTERESTED AND INVOLVED IN THE MCJRP PROGRAM. I THINK IT'S PROUD FOR ALL OF US TO NOTE THAT OVER 500 INDIVIDUALS FROM A RATE STANDPOINT DID NOT GO TO PRISON SENTENCING EXCEPTION TO THAT PROGRAM THAT OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE IF WE MAINTAIN THE PREVIOUS RATE. THAT'S 550 PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY, MANY OF WHOM ARE DOING OUTSTANDING. IT'S A WORK IN PROGRESS AS YOU KNOW BUT A VERY, VERY IMPORTANT ONE. WE COLLECTED OVER \$30 MILLION IN CHILD SUPPORT AND ASSIGNED VICTIM ADVOCATES TO ABOUT 15,000 CASES LAST YEAR. A LOT OF GOOD WORK. AGAIN, JUST GIVING YOU TOUCH POINTS. THERE'S SO MUCH THAT OCCURS WITHIN AN OFFICE OUR SIZE.

Commissioner Shiprack: I GUESS I BROADCAST THAT IN ADVANCE, THE NUMBER THAT I HEARD AT LPSCC YESTERDAY MORNING WAS \$10 MILLION OF SAVINGS. CAN YOU DO THE MATH FOR US ON THE DIVERSION NUMBER AND THE SAVINGS AMOUNT?

Mr. Underhill: I'M GOING BY MEMORY. I DON'T WANT TO GET THIS WRONG, BUT I GRABBED AND WORKED WITH OTHERS TO COME UP WITH A FORMULA. THAT 10 MILLION IS PER YEAR. JUST TO MAY SURE I UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WE ESTIMATED IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY WE SAVED THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS IN PRISON BED COSTS \$10 MILLION PER YEAR. THAT'S A CONSERVATIVE NUMBER. SO FROM A BIENNIUM, OBVIOUSLY

IT'S DOUBLE. 20 MILLION IN A BIENNIUM. HOW DO WE COME UP WITH THAT? THAT'S YOUR QUESTION. IT DEALS WITH TAKING THE NUMBER -- BEFORE THE PROGRAM EXISTED OUT OF THE POPULATION THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT AND THE POPULATION FITS INTO THE PROGRAM ARE APPROXIMATELY 1,000. JUST OVER 1,000 BUT I'M GOING TO CALL IT A THOUSAND BECAUSE IT MAKES IT EASY TO TALK ABOUT THE NUMBERS. PRIOR TO INCEPTION OF THE PROGRAM, 55%, 55% OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS WENT TO PRISON. SO 550 OF THAT 1,000. REMEMBER, THE PROGRAM ALL OF THE 1,000 ARE PRESUMPTIVE PRISON BOUND INDIVIDUALS RELATIVE TO OUR STATUTES, LAWS, BEHAVIORS AND SUCH. ONCE THE INCEPTION OF THE PROGRAM OCCURRED THAT NUMBER HAS NOW SHIFTED TO WE ARE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF I DON'T WANT TO GET THIS WRONG, I CAN SAFELY SAY BETWEEN 70 AND 75% DON'T GO TO PRISON.

Mr. Underhill: SO IN OTHER WORDS, 25 TO 30 DO. WE HAVE REDUCED THAT 55% GOING TO PRISON TO IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 25, 30%. THAT'S WHERE YOU GET THIS 551 NUMBER. YOU TAKE THAT NUMBER, YOU MULTIPLY IT BY COST PER DAY. OF A DOC BED AND WHAT THEY ESTIMATE. I DON'T WANT TO BE WRONG ABOUT THIS, GENERALLY SPEAKING ABOUT \$90. WHEN YOU DO MATH OF THAT NUMBER MULTIPLIED BY THE DOLLARS PER DAYTIMES THE PERIOD OF A YEAR AND SUCH, THAT'S WHEN YOU GET THOSE NUMBERS. WE ALSO HAVE NUMBERS, THIS IS WHY I SAY IT'S A CONSERVATIVE NUMBER, I BELIEVE WE DID NOT INCLUDE THE EARLY TRANSITIONAL LEAVE IN THAT CALCULATION. AT THE TIME WE DID THAT CALCULATION I DON'T THINK THAT WE HAD THE HARD DATA. I DON'T WANT TO BE WRONG ON THAT. THAT'S WHY I SAY CONSERVATIVE.

I BELIEVE WE DID NOT INCLUDE EARLY TRANS LEAVE. WITHIN 3194, THE LAW BEFORE ALLOWED FOR A NUMBER OF THE OFFENDERS IN THIS POPULATION TO BE RELEASED 30 DAYS BEFORE THE ENDS OF THEIR SENTENCE TERM. IT WAS OUR DEPARTMENT OF SCOTT TAYLOR'S SHOP, DCJ, WAS WORKING WITH THE PRISONS TO DO THAT TRANSITION. WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF 3194, THAT POPULATION ELIGIBLE FOR 30 DAYS WE MOVED UP TO 90 DAYS. SO WE ADDED FOR THAT POPULATION BEING RELEASED EARLY AN ADDITIONAL 60 DAYS OF NOT BEING IN DOC, BUT INSTEAD BEING ON POST PRISON SUPERVISION. WE SAVED A NUMBER OF WHAT ARE NO DOUBT TENS OF THOUSANDS, IT MAY BE IN THE MILLIONS RELATIVE TO THAT PART OF THE PROGRAM.

Commissioner Shiprack: JUST TO MOVE THE CALCULATION AHEAD IF I COULD A LITTLE BIT, THE STATE SENDS US A STIPENDS TO ASSIST IN OUR ADDITIONAL COST IN OPERATING THE PROGRAM.

Mr. Underhill: YES, IT'S A FORMULA BASED ANALYSIS.

Commissioner Shiprack: AND THE TOTAL OF THAT STIPENDS THAT WE RECEIVED FROM THE STATE?

Mr. Underhill: IN THIS BIENNIUM? JUST OVER \$8 MILLION. IF YOU DIVIDE THAT IN TWO IF YOU'RE USING ONE YEAR CALCULATIONS, WE RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY \$4 MILLION, SAVED THEM OVER 10 MILLION. AGAIN, IT'S A CONSERVATIVE NUMBER, PROBABLY WORTH GETTING ACCOUNTANTS IN TO DOUBLE CHECK.

Commissioner Shiprack: THAT'S OKAY. THE ROUGH MATH IS HELPFUL. THAT'S NET SAVINGS TO THEM OR DO WE EXPECT -- THEY TOOK THE 4 MILLION THEY RETURNED TO US OUT OF THAT SAVINGS SO THE NET SAVINGS TO THE STATE WAS SIX?

Mr. Underhill: THAT'S THE SIMPLEST WAY TO LOOK AT IT I THINK. CERTAINLY ACCURATE. THAT'S A CONSERVATIVE WAY TO LOOK AT IT RELATIVE TO WHAT WE ACTUALLY SAVED THEM. I THINK WE SAVED THEM MORE. I DON'T THINK. I KNOW WE SAVED THEM MORE THAN WHAT WE JUST MENTIONED.

Commissioner Shiprack: SINCE WE'RE DOING OUR BUDGET HOW MUCH IN ADDITION TO THE \$4 MILLION THEY HAVE SENT US DO WE SPENDS IN ORDER TO NET THE STATE THE SAVINGS THAT WE'RE SAVING THEM?

Mr. Underhill: YES, I HAVE BROUGHT THAT UP AS A QUESTION FOR ALL OF US TO CONTINUE TO LOOK AT AND UNDERSTAND HOW MANY BY SAVING A PRISON BED WE ARE INCURRING A JAIL BED EXPENSE HERE FOR SOME OF THIS POPULATION. THEY ARE EITHER STAYING IN CUSTODY AND GETTING CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED WHICH COULD BE A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME, OR AS PART OF THEIR SENTENCE RESOLUTION. I'LL GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. THE POPULATION WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BEFORE MCJRP; WE MAY HAVE HAD A CONVERSATION THAT WENT LIKE THIS. PLEAD TO THE PRIMARY OFFENSE, GO TO PRISON FOR 18 MONTHS. THAT WOULD BE A COST THAT WOULD THEN BEGIN TO BE INCURRED BY DOC UPON TRANSFER OF THAT PERSON TO DOC. TODAY TO THE TUNE OF 551 PEOPLE, I DON'T WANT TO EXAGGERATE THIS, SO I DON'T MEAN IT QUITE LIKE THAT.

RELATIVE TO THIS 551 PEOPLE MANY MAY HAVE HAD A SENTENCE INSTEAD OF 18 MONTHS OR SOME OTHER PERIOD OF TIME, SAID THREE YEARS FORMAL PROBATION, 60 DAYS JAIL. CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED. 90 DAYS JAIL. SO INSTEAD OF DOC RECEIVING THAT PRISON, THAT TRANSFER AND PRISON COST, OUR LOCAL JAIL BECAUSE SENTENCES ARE SOMETIMES LOCAL AS WELL, SO SOME OF THIS POPULATION HAVE INCURRED SOME LOCAL JAIL SENTENCES AND THAT'S WHAT WE DON'T HAVE A FULL GRASP OF WHAT THAT COST IS, COMMISSIONER. FIRST IT'S HARD TO TEASE OUT.

Mr. Underhill: SECOND IT GOES BACK TO THAT DATA ANALYST POSITION. IF WE WERE FULLY, FULLY STAFFED IN THAT AREA, IT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT. WE ALSO HAVE GOTTEN AN INDICATION THROUGH SOME CONVERSATIONS WITH MYSELF AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION RELATIVE TO ARE WE TAKING LONGER TO RESOLVE MCJRP CASES BECAUSE OF THE MECHANICS OF THE PROGRAM, AND THERE ARE A LOT OF THEM, A LOT OF IMPORTANT MECHANICS TO IT, BUT IS IT ALSO TAKING LONGER FOR US TO RESOLVE A CASE BECAUSE OF THOSE IMPORTANT LOGISTICAL MECHANICS AND THE ANSWER APPEARS TO BE YES. SO WE ARE IT APPEARS ALSO INCURRING ADDITIONAL LENGTH OF STAY IN CUSTODY BEFORE THEY GO TO DOC.

Commissioner Shiprack: SO JUST WHY DON'T WE JUST TAKE THE \$4 MILLION AND PROVIDE IT TO THE SHERIFF IN ORDER TO PAY FOR THE ADDITIONAL JAIL COSTS?

Mr. Underhill: WELL, FIRST I DON'T THINK IT'S THAT AMOUNT. WHEN WE DO DRILL DOWN TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE ACTUAL COST IN JAIL BEDS TO THE SHERIFF. I'M HIGHLY OPTIMISTIC IT'S NOT A NUMBER THAT RESEMBLES THAT NUMBER. THAT'S NUMBER ONE. NUMBER TWO, PHILOSOPHICALLY I DON'T BELIEVE THAT'S THE RIGHT WAY TO GO. WE NEED SERVICES.

Commissioner Shiprack: SYSTEMS COSTS AND IT'S A SYSTEMS SOLUTION.

Mr. Underhill: IT IS. I THINK FOR THIS POPULATION, THE PEOPLE THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT REMEMBER THAT BECAUSE OF THEIR CRIMINAL HISTORIES AND/OR THE THINGS THAT THEY DID THERE WERE 1,000 PEOPLE THAT ACCORDING TO OUR LAWS ARE GOING TO GO TO PRISON. THEY FALL INTO THE CATEGORY, 80% OF THEM, BECAUSE WE GIVE THEM A RISK-BASED TOOL, IT TELLS US 80% ARE HIGH OR VERY HIGH RECIDIVISM RATE. IF WE DON'T GIVE THEM THE BEST POSSIBLE SERVICES THAT WE CAN WE WILL HAVE RECIDIVISM ACTIVITY. WE'LL HAVE THAT ANYWAY BUT WE WANT TO REDUCE THAT. THE POINT IS THIS IS A HIGH NEEDS POPULATION THAT WE NEED TO DO OUR BEST AND BRIGHTEST WORK ON. I LIKE SEEING THAT WE INVEST MOST OF OUR 4 MILLION PER YEAR PREDOMINANTLY INVESTED IN SERVICES AND THAT'S WHERE IT OUGHT TO BE.

Vice-Chair Smith: WHAT ARE THE MCJRP CASES? CAN YOU GIVE ME AN EXAMPLE?

Mr. Underhill: YOU BET. I'LL START WITH TELLING YOU WHAT'S NOT MCJRP, BECAUSE THAT WILL HELP TO FRAME IT. SO FOR EXAMPLE, NO HOMICIDES ARE IN THE MCJRP PROGRAM. NO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CASES. NO CHILDREN VICTIM CASES. THOSE ARE THREE BIG -- NO SEX CRIMES. THOSE ARE NOW FOUR BIG CATEGORIES TO HELP YOU GET YOUR BEARINGS ABOUT WHAT'S NOT IN THE PROGRAM. SO WHAT DOES THAT THEN LEAVE? IT LEAVES A

WHOLE 'NOTHER CATEGORY OF VERY IMPORTANT CASES SUCH AS ROBBERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE. SO THAT'S BALLOT MEASURE 11. IT'S COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS TIER 2 OF BALLOT MEASURE 11. SO TIER 2 ARE INCLUDED IN OUR PROGRAM. ROBBERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, KIDNAP IN THE SECOND DEGREE, ASSAULT IN THE SECOND DEGREE. SEX ABUSE IN THE FIRST DEGREE IS COMMONLY CAPTURED IN THE TIER 2 ANALYSIS BUT REMEMBER I SAID NO SEX CRIMES ARE IN THIS PROGRAM.

Mr. Underhill: SO WE HAVE THOSE IMPORTANT, SIGNIFICANT CRIMES TO INCLUDE JUVENILES BECAUSE THAT MAY BE ANOTHER QUESTION YOU WERE GOING TO ASK. VM-11, ALL OF THEM IN THE CATEGORY I JUST LISTED. ADDITIONALLY, PROPERTY CRIMES THAT ARE VERY SERIOUS. WE HAVE A DETERMINANT SENTENCING SCHEME COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS MEASURE 57. THOSE WILL BE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE REPEAT EMBEZZLERS, REPEAT CAR THIEVES, INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE STALING FELONY LEVEL AMOUNTS FROM EITHER CITIZENS OR BUSINESSES IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT BECAUSE OF THE AMOUNTS AND/OR CRIMINAL HISTORIES AND SUCH PUT THEM INTO A CATEGORY WHERE THEY ARE PRESUMPTIVE PRISON UNDER OUR LAWS. WE HAVE A WHOLE 'NOTHER CATCHMENT OF UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A WEAPON. WE HAVE A LEVEL OF CLASS C FELONIES THAT ARE VRP IMPORTANT BUT SOMETIMES CAN RUN INTO -- THEY LOOK AT PRESUMPTIVE PRISON AS WELL. I THINK THAT'S GIVEN YOU GOOD FLAVOR. IF YOU HAVE A PARTICULAR CRIME IN MIND --

Vice-Chair Smith: I DIDN'T. I WAS JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT LANE DIFFERENT CRIMES WERE IN THAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT.

Mr. Underhill: ANOTHER CATEGORY, IMPORTANT ONE, IS SERIOUS DRUG DEALING AND TRAFFICKING. SO YOUR POSSESSION CASES, ESSENTIALLY I THINK IT'S ACCURATE TO SAY NONE OF YOUR SIMPLE POSSESSION LEVEL CASES QUALIFY FOR PRESUMPTIVE PRISON, BUT WHEN YOU'RE IN THE CATEGORY OF SIGNIFICANT TRAFFICKING OR DEALING AND/OR A COMBINATION CRIMINAL HISTORY YOU'LL BE LOOKING AT PRESUMPTIVE PRISON CATEGORY RELATIVE TO OUR LAWS. WE ALSO INCLUDE THOSE IN THE PROGRAM.

Commissioner Bailey: ONE MORE QUESTION. I'M CURIOUS, YOU AT ONE POINT ADDED THAT WOULD PROVIDE DOLLARS FOR REVIEW AND PREPARATION FOR TRIAL OF MATERIALS COMING FROM BODY WORN CAMERAS. CAN YOU DESCRIBE A LITTLE BIT THE PROCEEDS OF HOW YOU ARE GOING TO PREPARE THAT -- THE PROCESS AS HOW YOU ARE GOING TO PREPARE AND USE THAT EVIDENCE AND CAN YOU DO THAT UNDER EXISTING ARRANGEMENTS?

Mr. Underhill: NO, WE CAN'T DO IT UNDER EXISTING ARRANGEMENTS. MAYBE THIS SCREEN WILL HELP TO START THE DIALOGUE. WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT REVIEWING 21,000 CASES, IT WOULD BE AN EXAGGERATION

PROBABLY JUST DEPENDS HOW BODY WORN CAMERAS GET ROLLED OUT. IS IT THAT ALL 21,000 ARE SUBMITTED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS WEARING BODY WORN CAMERAS IN OUR JURISDICTION? THE ANSWER IS UNLIKELY, BUT IS IT GOING TO BE MOST, IF FOR EXAMPLE PORTLAND POLICE MOVES FORWARD WITH EQUIPPING MOST OR ALL OF THEIR OFFICERS AND IF OTHER JURISDICTIONS MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION. LET ME ROUND NUMBER IT. JUST FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT, CONVERSATION CALL IT 20,000 CASES. 20,000 CASES SUBMITTED DOES NOT MEAN ONE OFFICE PER 20,000 CASES. WE OFTEN HAVE MULTIPLE OFFICERS INVOLVED IN THIS SERIOUS CASE OR ANY CASE FOR THAT MATTER, WHETHER IT'S A COVER CAR AND THEY RECORD MATERIAL OR WHETHER IT'S DIRECTLY INVOLVED PRIMARY OFFICE, WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT TRAFFIC SCENE ACCIDENTS AND FATALITIES AND THOSE THINGS, I HAVE BEEN ON NUMEROUS OF THOSE CRIME SCENES AND TO LOOK AROUND AND SEE 10, 15, 20 OFFICERS IS NOT UNCOMMON IN THAT HIGHER LEVEL CRIME SCENE.

SO WHEN YOU MULTIPLY THAT TIMES EACH WEARING A BODY CAMERA YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF FOOTAGE. WHY DOES THIS MATTER? HOW DOES THIS ALL WORK THEN? WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT 20,000 CASES TIMES WHO KNOWS HOW MANY OFFICERS AND WE REALLY DON'T HAVE A COMMAND OF WHAT THAT'S GOING TO BE, BUT AS A FORCE MULTIPLIER IT COULD BE SEVERAL FORCE MULTIPLIERS. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE AVERAGE DURATION OF THAT RECORDATION EVENT IS GOING TO BE. 15 MINUTES, SOME WILL BE AN HOUR, SOME A HALF HOUR. WHEN YOU START TO MULTIPLY 20,000 TIMES HOW MANY OFFICERS, I DON'T KNOW, WILDLY SAY 100,000 OFFICERS INVOLVED IN 20,000 CASES, TIMES AGAIN ROUND THE NUMBER, AN HOUR OF RECORDED MATERIAL PER OFFICER. THERE'S A LOT OF REVIEW THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. I DON'T MEAN MY NUMBERS TO BE WILDLY OFF EITHER HIGH AND IT'S NOT MY NATURE TO EXAGGERATE. WE JUST DON'T HAVE A FULL SENSE OF WHAT THIS IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE. PART OF IT IS WHO IS GOING TO HAVE AND WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO RECORD. THEY WILL HAVE THEIR OWN POLICIES OF WHEN TO TURN THEM ON AND OFF. WHEN YOU'RE RESPONDING TO A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SCENE, FOR REASONS OF SENSITIVITY AND OTHER THINGS THAT PROBABLY JUST OCCUR TO US THEY MAY DEVELOP A PROTOCOL THAT HAS THAT TURNED OFF.

ON THE OTHER HAND, THEY MAY HAVE IT ON. I DON'T KNOW THOSE ANSWERS. THAT'S WHY MY NUMBERS ARE A LITTLE SQUISHY. BUT WHEN WE LOOK AT THAT MATERIAL, WE'RE USED TO AND WE HAVE TO -- PROSECUTION 101 TO REVIEW ALL POLICE REPORTS. PROSECUTION 101 TO REVIEW THE 911 CALL FOR SERVICE. IF WE HAVE A ROBBERY AT A 7-ELEVEN AND THERE'S A VIDEOTAPE OF THE EVENT, YOU'RE REVIEWING IT ONCE, TWICE, MAYBE MORE. SO YOU'RE REVIEWING ALL OF THE EVIDENCE. WELL, EVIDENCE IS NOW GOING TO BEGIN TO INCLUDE BODY CAMERA MATERIAL. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT QUITE LITERALLY THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS OF HOURS. SO THAT'S WHY I SAY EXISTING RESOURCES, IT'S NOT POSSIBLE. NOT POSSIBLE.

THEN WHEN WE MOVE INTO THE CATEGORY OF EDITING FOR TRIAL PRESENTATION, A LOT OF THAT MATERIAL IS EITHER GOING TO BE IRRELEVANT OR IT'S GOING TO BE INFLAMMATORY FOR SOME REASON AND SOMETHING SAID THAT'S PROHIBITED FOR INTRODUCTION IN TRIAL. WHAT FOR TRIAL PRESENTATION THERE WILL BE A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF -- I'LL CALL IT REDACTION. THE COURT WILL ORDINARILY TO TAKE FIVE HOURS OF RECORDED MATERIAL AND INSTEAD OF PLAYING FIVE HOURS OF MATERIAL FOR THE JURY THE JUDGE MAY SAY BECAUSE THE DEFENSE MOTION HAS MERIT, 3.2 HOURS AND WE HAVE TO EDIT ALL THAT MATERIAL. I HAVEN'T EVEN TOUCHED ON STORAGE.

Vice-Chair Smith: THAT WAS MY NEXT QUESTION. HOW LONG ARE WE SUPPOSED STORE THIS AND THE COST OF STORING IT? THAT'S ANOTHER COST.

Mr. Underhill: WELL, ALL GOOD QUESTIONS. THOSE ARE NOT CLEAR. WE FOR EXAMPLE IF A GUN IS USED IN A BALLOT MEASURE 11 CASE THAT PIECE OF EVIDENCE IS RETAINED I THINK 99 YEARS. RELATIVE TO HOMICIDE INVESTIGATIONS EVIDENCE IS RETAINED INDEFINITELY BECAUSE THOSE CASES HAVE SUBSEQUENT APPEALS AND OTHER -- THEY REALLY DO GO ON SEEMINGLY FOREVER. SO THERE WILL BE DIFFERENT SCHEDULES FOR DIFFERENT RETENTIONS. I DON'T WANT TO PUT IT ALL ON THE MURDER OR HOMICIDE CATEGORY. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME TRESPASS CATEGORIES, THEFT IN THE SECOND DEGREE ON THE MORE MODEST LEVEL OF MISDEMEANORS. THOSE WILL BE NO DOUBT A DIFFERENT SCHEDULE OF RETENTION. MANY THINGS TO BE WORKED OUT. I HOPE THAT GIVES YOU AT LEAST A GLIMMER INTO THE THINKING.

LET ME MOVE ON TO THE ORGANIZATION AT CHART, WALK THROUGH THIS A LITTLE BIT THEN TURN IT OVER TO ALAN TO TAKE US INTO A DEEPER DIVE INTO SOME OF THE NUTS AND BOLTS OF THE MONEY. THE OFFICE IS DIVIDED UP INTO THREE DIFFERENT DIVISIONS BUT THEN TO INCLUDE ADMINISTRATION AND VICTIM ASSISTANCE AS WELL AS JUST AN OVERVIEW. THE OVERVIEW IS I HAVE ABOUT 209 FTES, AND OF COURSE THAT DOES NOT INCLUDE SEASONAL AND PERIODIC INTERNS AND EX TERRENCE THAT WE RELY FRANKLY HEAVILY ON, BUT THAT DOES INCLUDE LAWYERS. THAT'S ABOUT 87 OR SO OF THE NUMBER, NONLAWYER SUPPORT STAFF TO INCLUDE VICTIM ADVOCATES AND INVESTIGATIVE BRAMBLE. THAT'S WHERE YOU SEE THE 20-9Z NUMBER. ON THE LEFT-HAND COLUMN THAT'S MADE UP OF MOST OF WHAT I HAVE SUMMARIZED MINUS THE TRIAL UNITS. THOSE ARE OUR DIVISION. DIVISION 1, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, MDT, ESSENTIALLY CHILD ABUSE, SUPPORT, JUVENILE UNITS. DIVISION 2 IS MISDEMEANOR NEIGHBORHOOD GANG UNIT, DIVISION C, TRAFFIC RELATED SERIOUS INJURY AND HOMICIDE UNITS. THEN DRUG PROSECUTION AND PROPERTY CRIMES. SO I WILL UNLESS THERE'S A QUESTION I'LL TURN THE PAGE AND TURN IT OVER TO ALAN FOR SOME --

Vice-Chair Smith: SO WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE IN TERMS OF SENIOR FINANCIAL FRAUD AND ABUSE? DO YOU DO A LOT OF THOSE CASES IN THE VICTIMS' ASSISTANCE DEPARTMENT?

Mr. Underhill: SHORT ANSWER IS YES. A LOT IS RELATIVE, BUT YOU'LL REMEMBER COMMISSION THEY'RE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO YOU SPECIFICALLY SUPPORTED AUTHORIZING OUR OFFICE TO HAVE A DEDICATED PERSON IN THE OFFICE TO DO ELDER ABUSE FINANCIAL CRIMES. THAT POSITION STILL EXISTS, OF COURSE. IT'S IN OUR PROPERTY RELATED CRIMES, UNIT A, AND WHEN WE DO HAVE VICTIMS IN THAT -- I SHOULD ALSO ADD SOME OF THOSE CRIMES GO INTO THE MCJRP PROGRAM AS WELL. WE HAVE A DEDICATED VICTIM ADVOCATE THAT SPECIFICALLY WORKS ON THE MCJRP BODY OF WORK. I THINK THAT ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION. WE STILL GOT IT. VERY IMPORTANT PART OF THE OFFICE.

Vice-Chair Smith: THAT'S GREAT THAT IT'S STILL THERE. I WAS JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH WE HAVE WITH THAT POSITION HAVE WE RECOVERED ANY OF THE LOSSES FOR THE SENIORS IF YOU HAVE A NUMBER IN DOLLARS OR IN TERMS OF PEOPLE, HOW MANY PEOPLE WE HAVE HELPED.

Mr. Underhill: WELL, LET ME LOOK INTO THAT. CAN WE HAVE GET BACK TO YOU? I DON'T HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF ME OR IN MY HEAD.

Vice-Chair Smith: THE REASON WHY IS THAT I GO OUT TO SENIOR CENTERS AND TALK TO A LOT OF SENIORS. THEY ARE REALLY RELUCTANT TO BRING FORWARD THESE KINDS OF CASES TO US OR EVEN TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE THAT HAPPEN TO THEM BECAUSE IT'S EMBARRASSING. BUT IF I HAVE DATA AND I CAN SAY AS A RESULT OF THIS POSITION WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO RECOVER X, Y, Z, IT WASN'T THAT BAD TO GO THROUGH, THEY JUST DON'T WANT TO GO THROUGH THE THEY HAVE INTERNAL TRAUMA WITH RELIVING THIS, MADE TO TALK ABOUT IT AGAIN. I TRY TO ENCOURAGE THEM AND GIVE THEM THE CRISIS HOTLINE NUMBER FOR THIS. BUT I JUST WANT TO BE ABLE TO SAY WE HAVE HELPED THIS MANY PEOPLE AND IT'S NOT AS BAD AS YOU THINK.

Mr. Underhill: THAT'S A GREAT POINT. YOU'RE A TREMENDOUS AMBASSADOR FOR THAT BODY OF WORK. WE WANT TO EQUIP YOU WITH SOME OF THE DATA AND TALKING POINTS TO HELP. ANOTHER ONE IS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AT TIMES IT'S INCONVENIENT. IT JUST IS. RELATIVE TO JURY TRIAL, IN THE MCJRP PROGRAM, WHICH MANY OF THESE CASES WOULD GO, WE ARE SEEING OVER 1,000 CASES HAVE GONE THROUGH THE MCJRP PROGRAM RIGHT NOW. I THINK CLOSER TO 1100 GOING BY MEMORY. ONLY ONE IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY HAS GONE TO A JURY TRIAL. WHAT THAT ALSO MEANS IS OVER 99% ARE RESULTING WITH A PLEA. I THINK THAT COMMENTS ON A LOT OF THINGS. IT COMMENTS ON THE VALUE OF THE PROGRAM,

COMMENTS ON THE PROFESSIONALISM AND ACCURACY OF A PROSECUTION FUNCTION. WE'RE NOT BRINGING CASES THAT DON'T HAVE MERIT AND SUCH. FROM A VICTIM'S STANDPOINT WHILE WE CANNOT GUARANTEE THEM YOU WON'T FACE YOUR ACCUSER AND HAVE THE TRIAL EXPERIENCE, IF YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE ODDS THEY ARE VERY FAVORABLE THAT IT WOULD NOT NECESSARILY INVOLVE A TRIAL EXPERIENCE.

Mr. Vogt: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, CHAIR, COMMISSIONERS. I'M ALAN VOGT, FINANCE MANAGER FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. I'LL START BY DIGGING INTO THE NUMBERS A LITTLE DEEPER. START WITH OUR BUDGET BY FUNDS. AS YOU CAN SEE IN ADDITION TO THE GENERAL FUND WE ONLY HAVE THE FED-STATE FUND. FROM YEAR TO YEAR OUR GENERAL FUND HAS INCREASED BY 1.5 MILLION WHILE THE FED-STATE FUND INCREASED BY 2.4 MILLION, A 3.9 MILLION INCREASE YEAR OVER YEAR IN TOTAL BUDGET. IF WE LOOK AT FTE BY FUNDING SOURCE OR GENERAL FUNDED FTE, OUR FED-STATE FUNDED EMPLOYEES HAS DECREASED BY JUST OVER TWO. SO THAT NETS TOUT TO AN INCREASE OF 1.2 FTE OVER ALL FOR OUR DEPARTMENT. I'LL GET INTO A LITTLE MORE OF THE DETAIL BY DIVISION HERE IN LATER SLIDES. WE ALSO TAKE IN REVENUE IN OUR DEPARTMENT. ABOUT 800,000 IN GENERAL FUND. THAT IS SPLIT ROUGHLY 50/50 BETWEEN INDIRECT REVENUE THAT WE GET FROM OUR GRANT PARTNERS AND THE OTHER HALF COMES FROM DISCOVERY INCOME.

WE PROVIDE EVIDENCE TO DEFENSE AND WE RECOVER OUR COSTS FOR PRODUCING THOSE MATERIALS. THE 9.2 MILLION OF FEDERAL-STATE FUNDING COMES FROM 16 DIFFERENT SOURCES. THE LARGEST OF WHICH ARE CHILD SUPPORT PROGRAM, OUR SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT ELIMINATION KIT PROGRAM FUNDING WE TALKED TO YOU ABOUT. OUR TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS CONTRACT. WE HAVE SOME CAMI AND BOCA FUNDING WE HAVE BEEN HERE TO TALK ABOUT IN REP CENTS DAYS. IT'S JUST AN IDEA OF THE TYPES OF FUNDING THAT GO INTO THAT FED-STATE BUCKET. WE SPEND THAT MONEY IN THE CATEGORIES YOU SEE ON THIS SLIDE. THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF OUR EXPENSES ARE IN PERSONNEL. 81%. AS ROD MENTIONED, THAT ALLOWS US TO EMPLOY 209 EMPLOYEES. 84 OF WHOM ARE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS. WE ARE 14.5 VICTIM ADVOCATES IN OUR BUDGET AND ANOTHER 13 FOLKS WORKING ON CHILD SUPPORT. THE CONTRACTUAL SERVICES AT 2.5 MILLION IS A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE FROM LAST YEAR. THAT'S WHERE YOU'LL SEE THAT SEXUAL ASSAULT ELIMINATION PROJECT DOLLARS FLOWING THROUGH THERE AT A MILLION AND A HALF DOLLARS. SO THAT'S UP CONSIDERABLY FROM LAST YEAR. TERMS AND SUPPLIES ARE 3% OF OUR BUDGET. MOSTLY OFFICE SUPPLIES SOFTWARE LICENSES.

Mr. Vogt: THEN OUR INTERNAL SERVICES ARE FOR THINGS LIKE OUR BUILDINGS AND DATA SERVICES THAT WE USE. GO INTO A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ON EACH OF THE DIVISIONS. ROD TOUCHED ON THE VARIOUS PROGRAMS THAT ARE HOUSED WITHIN THESE DIVISIONS. YOU CAN SEE THAT

DIVISION 1 IS BY FAR THE LARGEST OF THEM. AGAIN THAT'S THE ONE THAT CONTAINS OUR JUVENILE UNITS, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, CHILD ABUSE AND CHILD SUPPORT UNITS. IF WE LOOK AT HOW FUNDING HAS CHANGED YEAR OVER YEAR YOU CAN KIND OF SEE SOME INCREMENTAL CHANGE ON BOTH THE GENERAL FUND SIDE AND THE FED-STATE FUNDING SIDE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF DIVISION 3 WHERE YOU SEE THAT LARGE INCREASE IN OTHER FUNDING WHERE THE SEXUAL ASSAULT ELIMINATION KIT FUNDING APPEARS IN DIVISION 3. LOOKING AT EACH ONE OF THESE DIVISIONS IN TURN THEN, THE ADMINISTRATION AND VICTIMS SERVICES PROGRAM, THAT INCREASE, THE GENERAL FUND INCREASED BY 600,000 AND TWO FTE, OUR CRIMES REPLACEMENT PROJECT, SOFTWARE PROJECT THAT WE TALKED ABOUT, COVERS MOST OF THAT. THERE'S TWO ADDITIONAL FTE THERE. ON THE OTHER FUND SIDE, WE HAVE A \$300,000 INCREASE AND 1.6 INCREASE IN FTE. AGAIN, 3.4 OF THAT COMES FROM OUR VICTIMS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. THAT'S OFFSET BY WHAT LOOKS LIKE A REDUCTION IN JUSTICE REINVESTMENT PROGRAM, HOWEVER THAT'S NOT ACTUALLY A REAL THING. YOU APPROVED THOSE POSITIONS IN OUR BUDGET LAST YEAR AND THEY WERE NEVER ACTUALLY FUNDED. THE POSITIONS WERE NEVER HIRED. THAT'S NOT A REAL CHANGE. IF WE GO TO DIVISION 1, YOU CAN SEE GENERAL FUND INCREASE OF \$300,000 FROM LAST YEAR WHILE OTHER FUNDS INCREASED BY 400,000.

AGAIN, JUST TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA WHERE THAT COMES FROM I HAVE LISTED SOME OF THE LARGER FUNDING SOURCES IN BULLETS THERE. WE HAVE A 5 FTE DECREASE ACROSS MULTIPLE PROGRAMS IN DIVISION 1. IN DIVISION 2, SLIGHT INCREASE IN GENERAL FUNDING BUT A DECREASE IN OUR FTE. WE HAVE -- ROD MENTIONED SOME OF THE ILLEGAL ENTRANTS WORKING IN OUR OFFICE. WE HAD PREVIOUSLY BUDGETED THOSE AS PERMANENT POSITIONS AND IN ORDER TO ALIGN BETTER WITH OUR BUDGETING SOFTWARE SYSTEM WE'RE BUDGETING THAT IN A TEMPORARY LINE ITEM. THE DIVISIONS DON'T GO AWAY, THEY ARE JUST IN A DIFFERENT CATEGORY. THOSE FOLKS ARE STILL WORKING IN OUR OFFICE. THEN THIS IS ALSO WHERE WE TOOK THE ONE FTE REDUCTION IN OUR AREA THAT ALISA MENTIONED EARLIER. THE OTHER FUNDING SOURCE WE HAD A GRANT THAT EXPIRED THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY COVERING A THIRD OF A DDA POSITION.

IN DIVISION 3 AGAIN I TOUCHED ON THIS EARLIER. WE HAVE A SLIGHT INCREASE IN GENERAL FUNDING WITH AN UNCHANGED FTE COUNT AND AGAIN THIS IS WHERE THE SEXUAL ASSAULT BACKLOG ELIMINATION DOLLARS FLOW THROUGH ON THE OTHER FUNDS. SO GENERAL FUND REDUCTION SUMMARY IS SIMPLE, JUST THAT ONE POSITION. AND THEN HERE'S A SUMMARY OF ALL OF THE NEW PROGRAM OFFER, CRIMES REPLACEMENT PROJECT, THEN THOSE REDUCTIONS THAT WE TOOK TO MEET OUR CONSTRAINT. I'LL TURN IT BACK OVER TO ROD TO TALK ABOUT LEGISLATIVE INTENTS.

Mr. Underhill: SO A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS THAT WE PUT ON THIS BOARD'S RADAR AT DIFFERENT TIMES. FOR EXAMPLE, GRAND JURY RECORDATION. GRAND JURY RECORDATION BILLS HAVE BEEN BEFORE THE LEGISLATURE IN THE LAST TWO SESSIONS, LAST LONG SESSION, AGAIN THIS LAST SHORT SESSION. IT MAY COME BACK AND BE REVISITED NEXT LONG SESSION. JUST DON'T KNOW. BUT WE HAVE HAD SOME DIALOGUE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE FINANCIAL WILL COME THIS DIRECTION NUMBER ONE DEPENDING IF THE BILL PASSES AND WHAT ARE THE CONTENTS OF THE BILL. WHAT ARE THE OBLIGATIONS RELATIVE TO A DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, FOR EXAMPLE. NOTHING TO DO OTHER THAN CONTINUE TO PUT IT ON YOUR RADAR FOR NOW. WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WE'LL SEE HERE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. ANOTHER -- IT'S REALLY COUNTY OR CITY IS THE BODY CAMERA CONVERSATION. THAT WAS ANOTHER ONE I WAS GOING TO BRING UP.

I THINK WE FLUSHED THAT OUT PRETTY WELL. IT'S NOT ANYTHING THAT -- I'LL JUST ADD FOR EXAMPLE PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY DOES HAVE A LAW ENFORCEMENT -- A MODEST LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION IN THEIR OPERATION THIS. BODY CAMERA EQUIPPED BUT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A SCALE. I'M GOING TO SAY FIVE OR SO OFFICERS WEARING BODY CAMERAS. IT COULD BE DIFFERENT BUT THAT GIVES YOU AT LEAST AN IDEA OF THE I GUESS THE QUANTITY. THAT'S ALLOWING US TO AT LEAST WORK OUT SOME OF THE KINKS RELATIVE TO HOW DO WE HANDLE THIS WORK. THEY DO NOT SENDS US A LOT OF CASES. WE'RE FRANKLY GRATEFUL FOR THE FACT THAT THAT EXISTS, THE TRAFFIC DIVISION OF THE PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU IS EQUIPPED WITH BODY CAMERAS. SO WE'RE GETTING OUR BEARINGS ON A MODEST ARE MODEST SCALE TO HELP UNDERSTAND SOME OF THE DETAILS AND HYDRAULICS OF THAT.

AGAIN, FROM NOTHING TO NECESSARILY SIGNIFICANTLY PUT ON YOUR RADAR WE ARE KEEPING AN EYE ON THE CITY OF PORTLAND'S BUDGET BECAUSE IT DOES INCLUDE BODY CAMERA BUDGET POINT. IF APPROVED, FOR EXAMPLE, WE COULD SEE A CHANGE IN WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT HERE TODAY. WE HAVE AN EYE ON THAT. WE'RE IN CONVERSATION WITH PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHERE THEY THINK THEY ARE AND SUCH. WE'RE ALSO I HAVE A DEPUTY RYAN LUFKIN WHO HAS SUNK HIS TEETH INTO THE NATIONAL CONVERSATION, IT'S NOT JUST PORTLAND OR MULTNOMAH COUNTY ENGAGING IN THIS CONVERSATION BUT AROUND THE COUNTRY.

Mr. Underhill: I WAS JUST IN LOS ANGELES YESTERDAY WITH THE NATIONAL PROSECUTORS AGAINST GUN VIOLENCE, WHICH BY THE WAY THEY CALLED US OUT AGAIN VERY, VERY FAVORABLY FOR OUR WORK IN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND GUN POSSESSION. DON'T GET ME STARTED ON THAT. VERY, VERY POSITIVE. THE POINT IS EVERYBODY AROUND THE COUNTRY IS STRUGGLING WITH THE BODY CAMERA CONVERSATION. PHILOSOPHICALLY

MANY OF US SUPPORT IT. I DO. I SUPPORT BODY CAMERAS ON LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS. THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAIL AND HOW DO WE PAY FOR IT. IT'S A VAST, VAST CONVERSATION. MY OFFICE HAS WORKED ON THE LEGISLATION PASSED IN THE LAST WRONG SESSION TO HELP AT LEAST START THE DIALOGUE LEGISLATIVELY ABOUT GETTING FUNDAMENTALS OF BODY CAMERAS. WE'RE INVOLVED BECAUSE I SUPPORT THE NOTION. I DO. IT DOES MATTER TO ME WHAT IT IS WE'RE RECORDING, FOR EXAMPLE. I THREW OUT DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. IT COULD BE CHILD ABUSE, HUMAN TRAFFICKING. THERE ARE SENSITIVE AREAS. THEN WHO GETS THESE RECORDINGS? ARE THEY SUBJECT TO PUBLIC RECORD? THERE ARE A LOT OF QUESTIONS IN ADDITION TO THE IMPACT ON AN OFFICER. FOR NOW, THAT FOR ANOTHER DAY PROBABLY.

Vice-Chair Smith: WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE PORTLAND POLICE, WILL THEY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DATA COLLECTION IF THEY DO THE BODY CAMS, AND IF THEY USE THAT VIDEO IN COURT, WOULD YOU HAVE TO ALSO KEEP THAT DATA AS WELL?

Mr. Underhill: YES. SHORT ANSWER. HOW IT WILL GENERALLY START. SO I'LL PICK A GARDEN VARIETY ROBBERY OF 7-ELEVEN. WE'LL GET STORE VIDEO ALSO OF THE OFFICERS ARRIVING ON SCENE. WHEN DO THEY ACTIVATE IT? DO THEY ACTIVATE IS UPON ARRIVING ON THE SCENE? OUR ROBBERY RESPONSE TO 7-ELEVEN, THEY ARE GOING TO AT THE ENDS OF THEIR SHIFT DOWNLOAD THEIR RECORDED MATERIAL ON THAT ROBBERY BUT ALSO OTHER EVENTS THROUGHOUT THE NIGHT. THEY ARE GOING DO DOWNLOAD IT, LITERALLY TAKE THEIR MIME, CAMERA, SET IT ON A DEVICE AND DOWNLOAD IT ALL. SOME OF THAT IS COMING MY WAY OUT OF THE 20,000 CASES. SOME OF IT IS NOT. IT WAS INNOCUOUS MATERIAL THAT DIDN'T RESULT IN IT BEING SUBMITTED TO THE OFFICE. SOME OF IT WILL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT PERCENTAGE, ABOUT 75% IS PORTLAND. THEY WILL SEND IT TO US. MY OBLIGATION IS DISCOVERY. I HAVE TO REPLICATE IT AND PROVIDE IT TO LISA COFFMAN IF SHE'S GOT A CASE DEFENDING AND INDIVIDUAL WITHIN MY OFFICE. WE HAVE TO REPLICATE IT.

ONE QUESTION IS DO WE REPLICATE 100% OF IT OR DO WE HAVE TO EDIT IT BEFORE REPLICATING IT. WITHIN SOME OF THAT MATERIAL WHAT IF WE ACTUALLY ENCOUNTERED A CONFIDENTIAL, RELIABLE INFORMANT, A C.I.? FROM A LEGAL, PRACTICAL STANDPOINT WE NEED TO LOOK AT EDITING OUT MATERIAL THAT SHOULDN'T GO TO A DEFENSE ATTORNEY AT LEAST OUT OF THE GATE UNLESS A JUDGE ORDERS US TO DOWNSTREAM FOR SOME REASON. THERE'S A LOT OF THAT FUNCTION. THERE'S AN INHERENT KIND OF DEBATE ABOUT DO YOU SUPPLY TO A THIRD PARTY SOMETHING THAT THE PROSECUTOR HAS NEVER EVEN REVIEWED THEMSELVES. I HAVE A PRESUMPTIVE ANSWER TO THAT. THE PRESUMPTIVE ANSWER IS NO. NOT ONLY NO BUT HECK NO. WE CAN'T. IT'S NOT RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE TO GRAB A STACK OF MATERIAL AND JUST GIVE IT TO

A THIRD PARTY THAT WE HAVE NOT REVIEWED AND CONSUMED AND UNDERSTOOD ITS SIGNIFICANCE AND RELEVANCE.

Vice-Chair Smith: COUPLE OF THINGS I SEE AS AN ISSUE IN TERMS OF YOUR BUDGET THAT YOU'LL NEED I.T. PEOPLE WITH THIS NEW BUDGET THAT THE MAYOR SUBMITTED, AND THE OTHER PIECE IS HE IS ALSO URGING HIS COMMISSION TO SUPPORT HIS 91 OFFICERS. SO SOME OF YOUR POSITIONS WERE CUT. DO YOU ANTICIPATE WITH THESE 91 NEW OFFICERS THAT THEY WILL BE MAKING ARRESTS, SO YOU'LL HAVE MORE WORK TO DO. I KNOW YOU CAN'T SAY ONE OFFICER EQUALS SO MANY CASES, BUT WITH 91 NEW OFFICERS YOU'LL HAVE A LOT MORE CASES THAN IN THE PAST AND TO BE ABLE TO ABSORB THAT AND ABSORB IF THE BODY CAMS ARE PASSED HOW DO YOU STORE THAT. THAT'S ADDITIONAL DOLLARS THAT WE HAVE TO KEEP IN MIND THAT WE NEED TO POSSIBLY PAY FOR IN THE FUTURE.

Mr. Underhill: YES. A COMMENT ON THE OFFICERS, THE 91, FOR EXAMPLE. THOSE 91 OFFICERS FIRST YOU HAVE A HIRING EXPERIENCE. YOU HAVE A DELAY RELATIVE TO AUTHORIZATION NUMBER ONE THEN HIRING AND THOSE OFFICERS ARE GOING TO BE A TRAINEE OF ANOTHER OFFICER OR TWO FOR MONTHS AND MONTHS AND MONTHS.

Vice-Chair Smith: THIS FISCAL YEAR.

Mr. Underhill: YES. I DON'T EXPECT A DRAMATIC THIS YEAR FISCAL IMPACT IF THOSE WERE ROUNDED TO 100 OFFICERS HIRED I THINK THAT'S MORE I'M GOING TO SEE THAT IMPACT ABOUT A YEAR FROM NOW.

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU.

Mr. Underhill: SO IN SUMMARY, WE INTEND TO OF COURSE MAINTAIN OUR EXISTING PROSECUTION CAPABILITIES. TOMORROW I'LL JUST TOUCH ON IT. I THINK WE'LL BE DEEPER DIVING INTO CONVERSATION OF THE HEART PROGRAM, ALSO KNOWN AS THE LEAD PROGRAM. I DON'T WANT TO HIJACK THIS AND GET US DOWN THAT STREAM, BUT THAT POTENTIALLY IS A NEW CHAPTER FOR US WHEN WE TALK ABOUT PROSECUTION CAPABILITIES AND DYNAMICS OF THAT. IT WILL BE AN INTERESTING CONVERSATION NO DOUBT TOMORROW, I THINK. WE'RE FORTUNATE, IT'S BEEN A PRIORITY FOR ME AND WE HAVE MANAGED TO PUSH FORWARD OUR ABILITY TO INCREASE VICTIMS SERVICES ADDING THE SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT, BACKLOG PROJECT WAS NOT JUST A PRIORITY IT WAS AN OBSESSION OF MINE, SO I'M PROUD TO SAY WE ARE WHERE WE ARE. WE SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN WHERE WE WERE, BUT WHERE WE ARE TODAY IS A MUCH BETTER PLACE. A GOOD PLACE.

Mr. Underhill: WE HAVE A TEAM IN PLACE I THINK YOU HAVE MET TEAM MEMBERS, LAWYER AMITY GERT, SUSAN HORMANN, FORENSIC ANALYST, VICTIM ADVOCATE. IT'S NOT ANTICIPATED OR EXPECTED BY ME TO THINK THE

THREE OF THEM CAN HANDLE ALL THE CASES ONCE THEY START RETURNING. SO IT IS MY INTENTION AND I BELIEVE I CAN, A LITTLE BIT OF I DON'T KNOW WHAT I DON'T KNOW, BUT WE'RE GOING TO WEAVE THOSE INTO THE FABRIC OF THE 85 LAWYERS OR SO THAT I HAVE TO DO THIS WORK. I DON'T EXPECT TO BE COMING BACK, HAVING CONVERSATIONS ABOUT ME MISCALCULATING THAT. I HOPE THAT'S NOT THE CASE AND I DON'T THINK IT IS, BUT I DO KNOW THAT IT WON'T BE JUST THOSE THREE THAT ARE WORKING ON IT. WE'LL BE SPREADING IT OUT DEPENDING ON THE NATURE OF THE CASE THAT HAS COME BACK. WE HAVE ALREADY TOUCHED ON THE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE. WE'RE AS EVERYBODY I THINK IN THIS ROOM, CERTAINLY THIS BOARD, INTERESTED IN CONTINUING THE RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITY CONVERSATION WORK. WE HAD YET AGAIN AN EYE-OPENING MOMENT RELATIVE TO THE MACARTHUR REPORT.

THOSE PIECES OF WORK ARE VALUABLE FOR US TO CONTINUE TO RE MIND US WE NEED TO DO BETTER AND BETTER IN THESE AREAS. ONE OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS THAT I BELIEVE WE'LL BE TOUCHING ON BECAUSE THE RED IMPACTS OF THE HEART/LEAD PROGRAM IS A SIGNIFICANT RED PORTION. THAT'S ONE OF ABOUT A HANDFUL, LARGE HANDFUL OF REASONS WHY I SUPPORT US DOING IT. AGAIN, WE'LL DIVE DEEP INTO THAT TOMORROW. THEN CONTINUING THE MCJRP PROGRAM. I HAVE REACHED OUT TO THE MYSELF AND OTHERS REACHED OUT TO THE ARNOLD FOUNDATION. IT'S A NONPROFIT FOUNDATION LOCATED PHYSICALLY IN MANHATTAN, CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION IS, TO HELP US DOUBLE CHECK IN A DIFFERENT WAY IS THIS PROGRAM WORKING. SCOTT TAYLOR, JUDGE WALLER, JUDGE JONES, MANY, MANY OTHERS ARE PARTICIPATING IN CONVERSATIONS SEE IF THEY ARE INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT THE PROGRAM NOT JUST THE CLASSIC ASPECTS BUT I'M GOING TO WEAVE IT BACK TO THE RED CONVERSATION. BUT HAVE WE FIGURED OUT A PROGRAM AND A PRACTICE WITHIN A PROGRAM THAT ACTUALLY MOVES THE DIAL IN A MEANINGFUL, POSITIVE WAY RELATIVE TO RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES.

REMEMBER AT THE HEART AND SOUL OF THE MCJRP PROGRAM IS ADMINISTRATION. THAT'S A STATIC AND DYNAMIC RISK BASED ASSESSMENT TOOL THAT ALSO LOOKS AT NEEDS AND IS PRESUMPTIVELY YOU GET YOUR SCORES RELATIVE TO WHAT YOUR RISK IS, YOUR NEEDS, THAT MAY HELP US POST FIND A WAY TO RESOLVE CASES IN A BETTER WAY THAN BEFORE ON THE CONVERSATION OF RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES. SO I'M KEENLY INTERESTED IN ARNOLD FOUNDATION IN THE CONVERSATIONS WE HAVE HAD WITH PETER KATZ SPECIFICALLY LIKEWISE IS INTRIGUED BY THAT. HIS ORGANIZATION, THE ARNOLD FOUNDATION, IS INTRIGUED BY THAT TO ALSO LOOK AT WHO ARE THE VICTIMS AND WHAT ARE THOSE DEMOGRAPHICS. UNDERSTAND THE ENTIRE CONVERSATION SURROUNDING NOT JUST IS THAT PROGRAM WORKING BUT IS IT WORKING ALSO IN THE AREA OF RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES. WE WANT TO KNOW I'M SURE, RIGHT? IF WE HAVE REALLY FOUND IT'S THE ONLY PROGRAM OF ITS NATURE IN THE COUNTRY

AND IF WE NEED TO STUDY IT. IF WE FOUND SOMETHING THAT MOVES THE DIAL IN A POSITIVE AREA IN DIFFERENT PLACES PEOPLE ARE GOING TO WANT TO KNOW ABOUT IT.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAME CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION. YOU'RE GOING TO BE TALKING TOMORROW AT THE MACARTHUR BRIEFING. THAT WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT?

Mr. Underhill: NO, NOW -- I'M TALKING ABOUT -- IS THAT WHEN I'M COMING?

Vice-Chair Smith: YOU KEEP SAYING TOMORROW. YOU KEEP SAYING TOMORROW WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT IT BUT I JUST WANT TO RE MIND YOU THAT WE'RE IN A BUDGET WORK SESSION RIGHT NOW, AND THAT'S NOT A WORK SESSION, SO I WOULD LIKE YOU TO TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THE LEADS PROGRAM BECAUSE WE HAVE HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS IN REGARDS TO IF WE CUT JAIL BEDS WHERE WOULD FOLKS GO. SO MY ISSUE WAS THAT I'M CONCERNED THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A CHALLENGE IF WE CUT TWO DORMS. I WANT TO GET YOUR SENSE ON THIS. YES, LEADS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO DIVERT SOME OF THOSE JAIL BEDS OVER TO THAT PROGRAM. BUT HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE FOR LEADS TO RAMP UP?

Mr. Underhill: RIGHT. SO THE LEAD PROGRAM AND WE'LL SOMETIMES REFER TO IT, MY OFFICE HAS COME UP WITH THE ACRONYM HEART THAT SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN ADOPTED BY THE MAYOR'S OFFICE. TO KNOW WHAT IT STANDS FOR IT IS HOMELESS ENGAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES RESOURCES AND TREATMENT. SO IT'S A PROGRAM FASHIONED AFTER THE LEAD PROGRAM WHOSE GENESIS IS OUT OF SEATTLE. THE LEAD PROGRAM UP THERE ESSENTIALLY INCLUDES A FIRST THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA, THE BELL TOWN AREA REGION, DOWNTOWN CORE OF SEATTLE. THAT'S HOW IT STARTED THE FIRST SEVERAL YEARS. IT INCLUDES PCS CASES, POSSESSION AND CONTROL CASES, AND PROSTITUTION FOR THEM. WE'RE LOOKING AT MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO THAT, THEIR DEFINITION, EXCLUDING PROSTITUTION BUT INCLUDING HOMELESS CLASSIC HOMELESS BASED CRIMES THAT WE SEE. I HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH THE KING COUNTY PROSECUTOR AND SEVERAL OTHERS TO DOUBLE CHECK AND SEE IF OUR ADJUSTMENTS WOULD DEVIATE SUCH FROM THE PROGRAM THAT IT MAY BE A FLAW FOR US TO DEVIATE IN THIS DIRECTION. THE SHORT ANSWER IS NO.

Mr. Underhill: THIS LOOKS LIKE A DIRECTION THAT HE THINKS WOULD WORK WELL. IN PART BECAUSE HE SAYS 85% OF THEIR PCS INVOLVED INDIVIDUALS IN THEIR PROGRAM ARE ALSO HOMELESS OR HOUSELESS. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SAME POPULATIONS. HOW DOES THIS INTERSECT WITH JAIL? IT'S ON THE RARE OCCASION THAT A PERSON CHARGED WITH DISORDERLY CONDUCT ERECTING A STRUCTURE, OFFENSIVE LITTERING, CRIMINAL MISCHIEF, IT'S RARE THAT PERSON IS HAVING A JAIL RELATED EVENT. NOT RARE WHEN WE'RE TALKING POSSESSION OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES. WE

ARE HAVING A BIT OF A CHALLENGING TIME PULLING DOWN THE DATA TO UNDERSTAND AND GIVE YOU HARD NUMBERS ABOUT HOW MANY IN A CALENDAR YEAR OF OUR PCS CASES WOULD BE CAPTURED IN THE HEART BASED PROGRAM BECAUSE WE ALSO HAVE THE HIGH PEDESTRIAN TRAFFICKING ZONE. THINK LLOYD CENTER. SOUTH WATERFRONT, DOWNTOWN CORE. THIS REGION. THINK THAT GENERALLY.

I DON'T WANT TO BE WRONG ON THE NUMBERS. WE'RE BELIEVING RIGHT NOW BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S IN LIGHT PENCIL, BUT WE'RE BELIEVING NOW WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 1,000 PER CALENDAR YEAR THAT GET CHARGED WITH PCS. THE DRUGS ARE MOSTLY HEROIN, METHAMPHETAMINE AND COCAINE. I DON'T HAVE THE FULL GRASP OF HOW MANY ARE JAIL EVENTS AND HOW MANY GET INSERTED DEEPLY INTO THE JAIL. I DON'T KNOW. I CAN TELL YOU HOW MANY GET BROUGHT TO JAIL. THE ANSWER IS MOST. SUBSTANTIAL. NOT EVERY ONE BUT, LAW ENFORCEMENT DOESN'T ISSUE CITATIONS IN A LOT OF PCS CASES. CUSTODY A CLASS C FELONY. HOW DEEP DO THEY GO IN THE JAIL? A LOT OF THEM DON'T GET DEEPLY INSERTED INTO THE JAIL UNLESS THEY HAVE WARRANTS. THIS IS A POPULATION ALSO THAT IF THEY ARE RELEASED IS A HIGH WARRANT BASED SITUATION. AN ADDICT THAT IS OFTENTIMES HOMELESS, HOUSELESS, THEY DON'T SHOW UP IN COURT NEXT DAY. THAT'S A WARRANT.

THERE'S A CYCLING OF THIS, AS A RESULT DEEPER INSERTION AND USE OF OUR JAIL BEDS. I'M ONLY COMFORTABLE GIVING YOU ANECDOTES. I DON'T HAVE HARD NUMBERS. THE CONCEPT IS TO DEVELOP AN ARRAY OF SERVICES, MEANINGFUL ARRAY OF SERVICES. INSTEAD OF HAVING THE JAIL EVENT FOR WHAT I'VE SUMMARIZED HAVE THE INDIVIDUAL BE TAKEN LITERALLY BY LAW ENFORCEMENT TO THE BRICK AND MORTAR LOCATION WHERE THE SERVICES ARE AND BUT FOR SOME EXCEPTIONS, MOST OF THE CASES THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THEN RECEIVE NO PROSECUTION BY BEING BROUGHT TO AND GOING THROUGH THE INTAKE PROCESS, WORKING WITH A CASE MANAGER, EVEN RELATIVELY MODESTLY. WHAT THEY ARE FINDING IS THAT OUTREACH, THAT PROGRAM, THEY ARE JUST HAVING REMARKABLE RECIDIVISM RATE REDUCTIONS.

Commissioner Shiprack: IS THERE A REASON WHY YOU'RE NOT CONTEMPLATING USING EXISTING COMMUNITY SERVICES?

Mr. Underhill: WELL, I POSSIBLY DON'T KNOW ALL THE EXISTING COMMUNITY SERVICES. CONVERSATIONS WITH THE MAYOR'S OFFICE, IS TO DEVELOP A BRICK AND MORTAR, PHYSICAL LOCATION THAT MAYBE BRINGS IN SOME EXISTING RESOURCES, BUT ALSO SUPPLEMENTS SOME. I'M NOT SURE I'M ANSWERING YOUR QUESTION.

Chair Kafoury: IT'S A GOOD IDEA, THERE'S STILL A LOT OF WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. BECAUSE THERE ARE A LOT OF SERVICE PROVIDERS IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT ARE ALREADY DOING THIS, AND I THINK WHAT WE DON'T

WANT TO DO IS DUPLICATION OR REINVENTING THE WHEEL, AND ONE OF THE REASONS I'M HAPPY MARK IS AT THE TABLE IN THIS CONVERSATION. I THINK THE CONNECTION -- ANY TIME YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT SERVICES TO THE HOMELESS COMMUNITY, YOU NEED TO INVOLVE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE DOING THE WORK ON THE GROUND AS WELL.

Mr. Underhill: NOW, START-UP, RAMP-UP TIME, MY OFFICE HAS TAKEN THE LEAD, PUN INTENDED, IN PUTTING TOGETHER THE OPERATING DOCUMENTS. I'M MEETING WITH SOME OF THE GRESHAM LEADERSHIP AFTER THIS MEETING TO TALK ABOUT THAT, DOES GRESHAM WANT A CHAPTER THEMSELVES. SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION IN A MINUTE. WHAT'S THE TURNAROUND TIME? FOR ME, IT'S BRIEF. REALLY WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CASES NOT COMING TO ME. WE'RE AVAILABLE TO GIVE GUIDANCE AND HELP AND PREPARE LEGAL-BASED POLICIES AND DOCUMENTS AND I'VE GOT A DIRECT PIPELINE CONVERSATION WITH THE KING COUNTY PROSECUTOR. WE'VE TAKEN THE LEAD IN DOING THAT BODY OF WORK NOW, THERE'S A LOT MORE WORK TO BE DONE, LAW ENFORCEMENT, WE NEED TO RESPECT THEIR RESOURCE CHALLENGES.

Vice-Chair Smith: YOU'LL BE READY JULY 1?

Mr. Underhill: YES. I WOULD. I DON'T WANT TO --

Vice-Chair Smith: THE OTHER PARTNERS TOO THAT GO ALONG WITH THIS?

Mr. Underhill: WOULD THE PROGRAM BE READY BY JULY 1? I THINK THAT'S TOO OPTIMISTIC.

Vice-Chair Smith: I'LL JUST CUT TO THE CHASE ON THIS. THERE'S A POSSIBILITY THAT WE HEARD FOUR AND FIVE DORM CLOSED. IF WE CLOSE THOSE DORMS AND DON'T HAVE A PLACE TO SEND THOSE FOLKS WHO ARE THERE, I'M CONCERNED WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO EMERGENCY RELEASE. YOU KNOW LIKE I KNOW, WE HAVE 1300 FOLKS IN THERE RIGHT NOW. THE WARMER IT GETS, THE MORE ISSUES HAPPEN OUT THERE ON THE STREET. AND IT RISES, THE JAIL POPULATION RISES OVER THE SUMMER. SO IF WE CLOSE DOWN SOME OF THESE JAIL DORMS, I'M CONCERNED THAT WE WON'T HAVE ENOUGH PLACES TO SEND FOLKS IN ORDER FOR YOU TO RAMP UP. COMMISSIONER MCKEEL ENTERED AN AMENDMENT YESTERDAY TO KEEP THE DORMS FOR ANOTHER SIX MONTHS UNTIL WE KIND OF PHASE IN WHATEVER PROGRAM WE THINK IS DOABLE. I'M TRYING TO GET A SENSE FROM YOU, IF WE CUT DOWN THOSE DORMS JULY 1, WHAT KIND OF IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE ON YOU?

Mr. Underhill: IN A CONVERSATION WITH COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, I'VE BEEN MADE AWARE OF SOME OF HER THINKING THAT. SEEMS LIKE A METHODOLOGY I SUPPORT MORE THAN ON JULY 1 DIRECTLY HAVING TWO DORMS CLOSED. IN PART BECAUSE WE'RE NOT READY --

Vice-Chair Smith: THAT'S WHY I ASKED.

Mr. Underhill: WE WON'T BE READY THEN. I'M MOTIVATED, BUT THE PROGRAM WON'T BE READY BY THEN. ADDITIONALLY, I DO SHARE SOME CONCERNS RELATIVE TO THE SEASONAL TYPE OF WORK THAT OCCURS. LONGER DAYS, WARMER WEATHER. AS I'VE SAID BEFORE, THE STATISTICS AND THE DATA TELLS US WE ARE SEEING A RISING CRIME IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY, SPECIFICALLY MORE DRAMATICALLY IN THE AREA OF PROPERTY CRIMES, BOTH THE CITY OF PORTLAND, GRESHAM VERY SPECIFICALLY, 2014 I THINK THEY WERE UP 13% IN THEIR PROPERTY CRIME RATES. SO IT'S A TIME TO BE SMART AND INNOVATIVE, AND SUPPORT I THINK THE CHAIR'S VISION OF LOOKING AT SMARTER WAYS TO DO THE WORK WE DO. AND WITH A VISION OF, CAN WE SAFELY REDUCE OUR RELIANCE ON LOCAL JAIL BEDS. AND I SUPPORT THAT VISION. I THINK IT'S A GOOD ONE.

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU. I REALLY APPRECIATE YOU REACHING OUT TO GRESHAM AND WORKING WITH EAST COUNTY. THE STATISTICS ARE SHOWING US WHAT'S HAPPENING IN EAST COUNTY, SO I REALLY APPRECIATE THE OUTREACH YOU DO IN OUR EAST COUNTY AREA.

Mr. Underhill: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

Vice-Chair Smith: I HAVE A COMMENT, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS THE RIGHT TIME TO DO IT, I WANT TO DROP AN AMENDMENT.

Chair Kafoury: THIS IS THE TIME FOR THAT.

Vice-Chair Smith: I WANT TO ADD AN AMENDMENT TO BRING BACK THE DATA RESEARCH TEAM REQUEST. IT'S 15011, AND WHEN WE TALK ABOUT DISPARITIES AND TRYING TO ADDRESS DISPARITY AND UNDERSTAND THE NEXUS BETWEEN POLICY MAKING AND ADVANCING SYSTEMATIC CHANGE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM, I THINK WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THE CAPACITY TO TAKE ON THIS DATA. SO THE D.A.'S REQUEST TO SUPPORT A DATA RESEARCH TEAM, FOR ME, IT WILL GIVE THE DEPARTMENT THE CAPACITY, THE I.T. CAPACITY IT NEEDS TO EVALUATE ITS INTERNAL WORKING AND RELATION TO EQUITY, AND DISPARITY, AND PROVIDE RESOURCES TO EXAMINE PROSECUTION, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, THEIR WORKLOADS, AND EFFECTIVENESS AND CASE FLOW, AND EFFICIENCY. CURRENTLY THIS OFFICE DOES HAVEN'T ANY RESEARCH -- AM I CORRECT ON THIS?

Mr. Underhill: I HIRED ONE OUT OF REPURPOSED MONEY, BUT IT'S NOT PART OF THE GENERAL FUND CONTINUED OPERATION. DR. RALPH HOLCOMB HAS

BEEN WORKING WITH US AND HAS SHOWN HIS EXTREME VALUE IN ALL THE POINTS YOU JUST RAISED.

Chair Kafoury: I WOULD LIKE CLARIFICATION, BECAUSE IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU DO HAVE SOME FOLKS WHO ARE CURRENTLY -- IT MIGHT NOT BE TO THE EXTENT THAT THE COMMISSIONER IS TALKING B. I UNDERSTAND, BUT THERE'S SOMEBODY WORKING ON THE DATA RESEARCH AND YOU HAVE OTHER FOLKS WORKING WITH HIM, THAT WAS THE CONVERSATION THAT --

Mr. Underhill: IF I'M UNDERSTANDING THE QUESTION CORRECTLY, CHAIR, I HAVE DR. HOLCOMB, AND AFTER SEEING THE VALUE OF THAT PROGRAM -- OF HIS WORK AND THE CAPACITY, AND JUST -- IT REALLY GOES ON AND ON. WE REACHED OUT TO DR. CHRIS HENNINGS TO HELP ESTABLISH A PIPELINE FOR INTERN RELATIONSHIP, SO WE HAVE A WOMAN, YOUNG LADY WHO IS I THINK OBTAINING HER MASTERS, MOLLY, I'M BLANKING ON HER LAST NAME, BUT SHE WORKS AS AN INTERN AT THE \$15 OR SO RATE. THE VISION THAT I HAVE IS TO ADD YET A SECOND INTERN AND IT MIGHT BE THAT THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO. MY VISION IS TO HAVE A GENERAL FUND RESEARCH DATA ANALYST. THAT'S THE POSITION THAT RALPH CURRENTLY OCCUPIES OUTSIDE OF BUDGET. AND THEN CONTINUE TO ENHANCE THIS INTERN PIPELINE. BECAUSE IT IS A RICH ENVIRONMENT THAT IS A WIN-WIN-WIN FOR BOTH THE STUDENT, OF COURSE, THE INSTITUTION OF PORTLAND STATE, AND MY OFFICE. WE DERIVE SOME TOP-NOTCH WORK AT A MODEST AMOUNT OF MONEY.

Vice-Chair Smith: I'M GOING TO LAY OUT WHAT I THINK SHOULD HAPPEN. I KNOW YOU HAVE THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY D.A.'S OFFICE HAS A RELATIONSHIP WITH PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY'S CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION, AND THIS PROGRAM OFFER WILL STOP ONE FTE AND TWO GRADUATE INTERNS FROM THE UNIVERSITY. THIS IS NECESSARY FOR ADDITIONAL SUPPORT WILL BRING YOUR OFFICE, I BELIEVE, INTO LINE WITH BEST PRACTICES AS WELL AS THE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE, WHICH ALSO HAS DATA FOLKS IN THEIR OFFICES. THE TALK THAT WE WERE HAVING THE PAST TWO WEEKS ABOUT GETTING OUT OF OUR SILOS, SO THAT THIS CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO EACH OTHER, SO I THINK THIS IS NECESSARY, IT'S IMPORTANT, IT'S CRITICAL, AND IT'S BEING VERY DELIBERATE ABOUT WHAT WE WANT TO DO. SO THAT'S WHY I'M DOING THIS ONE.

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU, ROD, COMMISSIONER SMITH, THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT FOR SYSTEMWIDE DATA COLLECTION, ROD AND I COCHAIR THAT DSSJ POLICY SUBCOMMITTEE LPSCC. AND THE CONCEPT OF COLLABORATING AMONG CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES, BOTH WITHIN MULTNOMAH COUNTY, FOR EXAMPLE, DCJ, THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, THE D.A.'S

OFFICE, AND ACROSS JURISDICTIONS, ADDING IN THE PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU, THE STATE COURTESIES ITEM JUDGES, AND THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AS WELL AS THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS. THAT'S A CONCEPT WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR ABOUT 20 YEARS. AND THE DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR JUSTICE IS GETTING A LOT OF SUPPORT FROM THIS YEAR'S BUDGET.

I WOULD BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T MENTION THAT, AND ALSO MENTION THAT I THINK THAT A CAUTION AND AN ENCOURAGEMENT TO DEVELOPING THE DATA CAPACITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL AGENCIES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF LPSCC IS TO KEEP IN MIND THAT IT IS A SYSTEM, AND AS WE'VE DISCUSSED THIS MORNING, THAT ADDITION OF POLICE OFFICERS HAS AN IMPACT ON THE SYSTEM, THE ADDITION OF BODY CAMERAS HAS AN IMPACT ON THE SYSTEM THAT GOES BEYOND THE INVESTMENT BY THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND THEIR POLICE BUREAU THAT GOES BEYOND I THINK THE SINGLE INVESTMENTS THAT WE MAKE ON A PARTICIPANT BY PARTICIPANT BASIS IN THE SYSTEM AS A WHOLE.

AGAIN, I THINK WE HAVE ONGOING CONVERSATIONS AND THE MCJRP TABLE IS ALSO ACQUISITION ACTIVITIES EXAMPLE OF THAT COLLABORATION. AND THAT'S AN EXAMPLE OF THE COLLABORATION THAT RELIES VERY HEAVILY ON DATA FROM THE TEAM MEMBERS AS WELL AS DATA FROM THE DSSJ PROGRAM, WHICH WE'RE REALLY SCRAMBLING TO UPDATE SO IT CAN MEET SOME OF THE MORE SOPHISTICATED DEMANDS. SO IT IS A SYSTEM, AND WE'RE AWARE OF THAT, AND IT'S A VERY COMPLEX SYSTEM, COMPLEX AND EXPENSIVE. AND CHANGING. SO WE HAVE TO RACE TO KEEP UP.

Mr. Underhill: BUT INVALUABLE, AS IT'S PROVING TO BE.

Commissioner Shiprack: OBVIOUSLY.

Mr. Underhill: AND RALPH HOLCOMB, I'LL ADD TO THAT LIST OF PARTNERS, NATIONAL CONVERSATION, WHEN HE CAME ON BOARD, HE KNOWS THAT I'M A BOARD MEMBER ON APA ASSOCIATION OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS, AND NOT LONG AFTER STARTING THE JOB, HE AND I BEGAN HAVING CONVERSATIONS ABOUT CONNECTING THIS OFFICE, THIS COUNTY THROUGH HIS PROSECUTION FUNCTION, TO CHICAGO, TO PHILADELPHIA, TO HOUSTON, TEXAS. TO MANHATTAN, NEW YORK, AND BEYOND. SO THAT DATA ANALYSTS AND THOSE LARGE CITIES ARE COMMUNICATING WITH US AND SHARING BEST PRACTICES, AND IT WAS HIS IDEA, AND I REACHED OUT TO APA AND WE NOW HAVE A LISTSERV THAT CONNECTS OUR STRATEGIC DATA ANALYSTS TO HELP DO BEST PRACTICES, AND WE CAN STEAL IDEAS FROM OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTRY AND VICE VERSA.

Vice-Chair Smith: JUST TO CLARIFY, THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM OFFER IS 198,000, SO IT'S NOT A LOT OF MONEY, AND WE'RE NOT DEALING WITH THE OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE PIECE, BUT THIS IS JUST FOR THE PERSONNEL.

Mr. Underhill: THANK YOU. I THINK 189?

Vice-Chair Smith: 198?

Mr. Underhill: 189.

Vice-Chair Smith: OKAY.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FOR THE D.A.? AND ALAN? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. WE LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU AGAIN TOMORROW. IT'S A GOOD THING WE DIDN'T HAVE THAT OTHER MEETING, BECAUSE WE'VE USED UP HALF THE TIME. SO THANKS FOR COMING AND WE'LL SEE YOU ALL AGAIN SOON. [GAVEL]

**ADJOURNMENT – 10:59 a.m.**

[CAPTIONS PROVIDED BY LNS CAPTIONING AND MAY INCLUDE INACCURATE WORDS OR PHRASES DUE TO SOUND QUALITY, OTHER TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND/OR SOFTWARE ERRORS.]

For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:  
[http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=3](http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3)

Submitted by:  
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and  
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk  
Board of County Commissioners  
Multnomah County, Oregon