

2/17 Meeting



MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON

MULTNOMAH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE

1120 S.W. Fifth Avenue
Suite 1500
Portland, Oregon 97204
(503) 248-3525

MEMBERS

Ann Porter, *Chair*
Mark Johnson, *Vice-Chair*
Florence Bancroft
Lana Butterfield
David J. Chambers
Liberty Lane
Monica Little
Bruce McCain
Paul Norr
Marcia Pry
Casey Short
Nicholas Teeny
LaVelle VandenBerg

STAFF

William C. Rapp
Administrator
Shirley Winter
Secretary

PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE

Saturday, February 17, 1990

9:00 a.m.

P.C.C./Cascade Campus
Room 202

John Jackson Hall
705 N. Killingsworth
Portland, Oregon

(Directions and map on reverse side)


1. 9:00 - 9:15: Approval of 1/24/90 Minutes
2. 9:15 - 12:00: Discussion of staff report
3. 12:00 - 1:00: Lunch
4. 1:00 - 4:00: Continued discussion of staff report
and prioritization of issues


This meeting is a work session; the public is welcome to attend,
but no public testimony will be taken.

CASCADE CAMPUS MAP

Portland
Community
College
Cascade

705 N. Killingsworth
Portland, Oregon





MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON

MULTNOMAH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE

1120 S.W. Fifth Avenue
Suite 1500
Portland, Oregon 97204
(503) 248-3525

MINUTES

FEBRUARY 17, 1990

MEMBERS

Ann Porter, Chair
Mark Johnson, Vice-Chair
Florence Bancroft
Lana Butterfield
David J. Chambers
Liberty Lane
Monica Little
Bruce McCain
Paul Norr
Marcia Pry
Casey Short
Nicholas Teeny
LaVelle VandenBerg

STAFF

William C. Rapp
Administrator
Shirley Winter
Secretary

Pursuant to notice by press release to newspapers of local circulation throughout Multnomah County and on the mailing list of the Committee, a public meeting of the Multnomah County Charter Review Committee was held at PCC/Cascade Campus, Room 202, John Jackson Hall, 705 N. Killingsworth, Portland, Oregon. The meeting convened at 9:04 a.m.

Members Present

Ann Porter, Chair
Mark Johnson, Vice-Chair
Florence Bancroft
David Chambers
Liberty Lane
Monica Little
Paul Norr
Marcia Pry
Casey Short
Nicholas Teeny
La Velle Vanden Berg

Members Absent

Lana Butterfield
Bruce McCain

Staff Present

Bill Rapp, Administrator
Donna Tucker, Secretary

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Minutes for the January 24, 1990 meeting were approved as written.

DISCUSSION OF STAFF REPORT:

Ann Porter stated that the goal of the work session was to decide which issues to pursue further and to take to the public for comment. This was accomplished by having each member comment on the issues outlined in the Staff Report, as follows:

Auditor

David Chambers - Don't change the current language.

Nicholas Teeny - Requested that a provision be put into the charter requiring performance audits. He has observed requirements are not as strong as they should be and audits are not performed as regularly as they should be.

La Velle Vanden Berg - Would not like to see a lot of changes; feels the current language is sufficient.

Florence Bancroft - The performance audit is essentially what the auditor does and is covered in the current language; changes do not need to be made at this time.

Liberty Lane - Supports leaving the auditor as an elected position. There should be no change in the language.

Casey Short - No need to change the language; continue to have the position elected.

Paul Norr - Has two main concerns: (1) Who should monitor the external auditor's financial audit (presently administered through General Services; Dan Ivancie would like it monitored by the Auditor's office), and (2) How much performance auditing should there be. He would like to have the committee look into and emphasize the difference. Norr personally would like to see the emphasis in the Auditor's Office on performance auditing and have financial auditing performed by an outside contractor. If the auditor is not limited to two terms, it should be an appointed position.

Mark Johnson - States that no changes should be made.

Monica Little - No changes in the present language. Would like to know if there is any kind of performance budgeting.

Marcia Pry - No changes should be made.

Ann Porter - Summary: The committee should investigate an appropriate way to emphasize performance auditing but not make any further changes to the language presently in the charter.

Board of County Commissioners

Short - Would like to see a proposal that there be a five person full-time commission with legislative authority and a hired administrator responsible to the board. The commissioners and administrator should be better compensated with regular

cost of living increases. Commissioners should be elected by district and should have the authority to decide what kind of staff they have.

Lane - Commissioners' salaries is the most important issue; the quality of commissioners is directly tied to the salary issue and the mechanism presently in place is not effective.

Bancroft - The county should have five full-time commissioners because it is too difficult for someone in a leadership role to be effective as a part-time person. The issue of an administrator should possibly be left up to the next charter review committee because so many changes have recently been made.

Vanden Berg - States that too many changes have been made. Salary is definitely an important issue and constituents should be educated as to how important it is to offer higher salaries.

Teeny - Agrees with Bancroft and Vanden Berg. He would eventually like to see a professional administrator appointed by the commissioners be on the county staff.

Chambers - Agrees with the others. Likes the idea of having a pooled staff.

Pry - Was in favor of having a paid administrator during the last charter review and still agrees; however, not sure a change should be made now.

Little - Staffing issue should be left up to the commissioners. Some merit to looking at the size of the commission. If a county administrator system were in place, possibly commissioners could be part-time; if too much work for the present number of commissioners, possibly the number could be increased. Need to get more input from the public on these issues.

Johnson - Would like a full-time policy-making legislative body that employs an administrator to run the business of the county. Size of the commission should not be changed. Commissioners' salaries should be increased. Board should decide the staff issue.

Norr - The committee should further review the number of county commissioners, whether there should be a full-time or part-time board, district versus at-large elections, separation of powers, paid or unpaid commissioners and commissioners' salaries. He does not believe staffing should be a concern of the committee. There should be a hired administrator.

He does not agree that a smaller board is more efficient; there should be an elected five-person board with a hired administrator.

Porter - Summary: This is an area that the committee will continue to review and further public comment will be invited.

Charter Review Committee

Pry - Suggests meeting less frequently.

Little - Should meet less frequently, but does not agree that public employees should not be on the committee.

Johnson - The frequency of meetings is fine, however, the committee might be convened earlier in order to meet deadlines for proposals sent to the voters.

Norr - The method for appointing members is fine. He has no strong feelings on how often the committee should convene. Submitting a single unified charter for a vote interests Norr, but he believes there may be a state law against it.

Short - The committee should meet less frequently, possibly between 8-10 years.

Liberty - Consider changing the length of time between meetings. Membership selection is fine the way it is.

Bancroft - Public employees should not be restricted from serving on the committee. The committee should convene every ten years with a mandated informal review every five years.

Vanden Berg - Ten years is too long and four years is too short; the committee should meet every seven years. Public employees should be allowed to participate.

Teeny - The committee should convene every seven years. Possibly Multnomah County employees should not be on the committee.

Chambers - The committee should meet every five years to retain continuity.

Bill Rapp - The committee needs to determine whether there should be an automatic charter review written into the charter or whether it should be decided by each individual charter review committee. Mark Johnson said that since a charter review has to be approved by the voters, an automatic charter review should probably be put into the charter; this would ensure a regular charter review.

Bancroft suggested the idea of meeting informally between formal reviews. Pry stated that if the committee chooses to meet less frequently then it is a good idea. Vanden Berg expressed concern that the information may not be used and that staff would be needed to gather information. Porter said the Citizens Involvement Committee might be able to perform the task. Pry suggested that the committee could prepare a document for the next charter review committee indicating what this committee hopes to accomplish and which issues should be pursued later.

District Attorney

Johnson - Needs more information on the salary of the district attorney.

Elections

Little - The prohibition against running for office in mid-term is okay, but she believes the question of a two-term limit should be looked at more closely.

Johnson - He does not believe the number of terms should be limited. Running for office in mid-term is okay, however, the one-year limitation should be changed to eighteen months or eliminated entirely.

Norr - Both the two-term limit and the one-year limitation for running for office should be repealed.

Short - A one-year limitation is a problem for someone running for office at the end of a term. Short believes there would be a better chance of passing a change from one year to eighteen months rather than eliminating the provision entirely.

Lane - The charter should not mandate how long someone can be in office, particularly the auditor.

Bancroft - No changes should be made right now; however, she would like to see the two-term limit removed for the auditor.

Vanden Berg - She would like to find some way to educate the voters as to why the committee is making its recommendations. She does not believe there should be a two-term limitation.

Teeny - He believes the two-term limitation should be retained in order to limit empire-building. Also believes the one-year limitation on running for office should be retained.

Chambers - Has concerns with the two-term limitation, especially for the auditor; however, the voters have stated their

position on the issue and he doesn't believe they would approve a change.

Pry - The public has voted twice on these issues and their decisions should be honored.

Lobbyist

Teeny - The committee should recommend that the county be allowed to hire a lobbyist.

Vanden Berg - Feels strongly that a lobbyist be allowed to be hired.

Bancroft - The ballot should read: "the citizens of Multnomah County hereby repeal the prohibition of a lobbyist." By writing it this way, the prohibition will be removed and the commissioners can decide whether or not a lobbyist should be hired.

Lane - It is to the county's benefit to have a lobbyist; however, it is a struggle because the voters have voted against it.

Short - The prohibition should be repealed, but the public should be educated as to what a lobbyist does.

Norr - Agrees that the prohibition should be repealed and the public should be educated. The ballot should be straightforward and use the word "lobbyist" and explain why one is needed.

Johnson - The county obviously needs a lobbyist; however, he does not view this as a charter issue. The ballot should read: "the county shall employ an intergovernmental relations specialist who shall have the following duties:"--then list the benefits of having a lobbyist, with number one being that the lobbyist saves the county money. Bancroft commented that the last charter review committee tried to word the ballot measure that way and it had to be changed. Johnson replied that the important part of the wording is the list of benefits.

Little - Agrees there should be someone looking out for the best interests of the county. The committee should ask again whether the word "lobbyist" is required.

Pry - Believes the state representatives and state senators are already working for Multnomah County. Not sure there should be a lobbyist, however, she will go along with it if the

committee decides it is necessary.

Chambers - Believes there is someone in Salem performing the function of a lobbyist and it should be legalized. The present charter language should be repealed.

Vanden Berg - The committee should ask for advice regarding the legal description of a lobbyist. If a person spends a certain number of hours in Salem advocating for a position, he must register as a lobbyist. If this is true, a commissioner would not be allowed to spend more than the required amount of time at the legislature with the present charter language.

Porter - Summary: This is an item that requires further investigation and should be put before the public.

Miscellaneous Recommendations

Johnson - He does not believe that most of the miscellaneous recommendations are charter issues. Regarding items 1 and 2 in the staff report, the 30-day effective date for ordinances and county service districts, he suggested that the committee seek legal counsel on these issues.

Short - The Citizens Involvement Committee should not be in the charter, but rather should be a policy decision by the board.

Regionalism

Teeny - Perhaps city-county consolidation should be considered. Citizens he has talked to believe it would save money.

Vanden Berg - She is opposed to city-county consolidation. However, joint cooperative efforts with other counties/cities should be looked into.

Bancroft - Some things should be consolidated, such as school districts.

Lane - We're not ready for consolidation.

Short - It shouldn't be considered at this time by this committee.

Norr - The committee should decide what the role of county government is. Service district consolidation should be considered.

Johnson - City-county consolidation is intriguing, however, there isn't much the committee can do about it.

Little - Now is not the time for city-county consolidation and the charter review committee is not the appropriate body.

Pry - A county-wide auditor should be considered. City-county consolidation is perhaps something that could be included in the letter to the next charter review committee.

Rapp - A provision could be put into the charter that a committee be formed to deal with city-county consolidation and other regional issues.

Porter - Summary: We have common regional problems. It would be responsible of the committee to see what can be done to begin taking action to solve some of these issues.

Resolution A/Services/Annexation/Taxes

Chambers - Does not believe the committee should be involved with Resolution A.

Little - Resolution A should be left with the policy decision makers.

Johnson - Agrees with Little.

Norr - Agrees with Johnson and Little regarding Resolution A. Would like to have a brief section included in the committee's report indicating why property taxes are not addressed in the charter.

Short - The committee should not deal with the issue of property taxes. Resolution A does not pertain to the charter.

Teeny - Agrees that resolution A is not under the jurisdiction of this committee.

Salaries

Johnson - Something must be done. One proposal he favors is to allow the salary commission to set the salaries; another proposal he favors is to tie the salaries to that of another official, such as a district court judge.

Little - Changing the salaries for commissioners should be pursued, however, she has no position right now.

Pry - Salaries should be adjusted.

Chambers - The salary commission should be allowed to set the

salaries. The benefits package for commissioners should be reviewed.

Teeny - Perhaps commissioner salaries should be tied into the whole budget process.

Vanden Berg - An increase is definitely needed.

Bancroft - Would like to see a cost of living plus a certain percentage provided in the charter.

Lane - If the people are still going to vote on the salaries, perhaps there should not be a salary committee. A cost of living increase should be put into the charter.

Short - Public funds should not be spent without public input. Salaries of commissioners should be larger and should be increased regularly. Would support tying it to another official's salary.

Norr - Least favorite choice would be to allow the salary committee to set commissioners' salaries. He does not believe a pay raise would ever be approved. He favors attaching commissioners' salaries to another elected official's salary.

Porter - Summary: This issue will be reviewed again after the May election when voters will have decided the salary issue on the ballot. Salaries might be discussed during the second meeting in May.

Sheriff

Chambers - Keep the same language in the charter except insert "non-custody correctional programs" after correctional institutions, thus giving the sheriff additional authority.

Vanden Berg - Language in the charter should not be changed. Would like to consider Chambers' suggestion however.

Bancroft - Consideration should be given to Chambers' suggestion. Services, such as Columbia Villa, are very important as a back up for the city.

Lane - Doesn't think it makes sense to have the sheriff elected and the head of Justice Services appointed.

Short - Sheriff should be appointed rather than elected; however, the public probably would not approve the change.

Norr - Would like to have more background information on what the

sheriff's role is; professional input rather than public.

Johnson - Would like more information on Chambers' proposal. Believes it should be an appointed rather than elected position. Would like to discuss the option of having Justice Services be under the Sheriff's jurisdiction.

Little - The position of Sheriff should be appointed; however, Little doesn't believe the voters would approve such a change. Would like to hear further testimony before deciding on transferring services.

Pry - Agrees with Little.

Porter - Summary: The committee will pursue this issue further. Individuals the committee would like to hear from are: The Chair of the Board, Sheriff, District Attorney and Director of Justice Services.

Issue Prioritization

Bill Rapp asked each member to prioritize a list of the items discussed in order of their importance (see attached). The results of this survey will be used to determine how much time to focus on each issue.

COMMITTEE BUSINESS:

Porter informed the committee that the Citizens Involvement Committee is going to publish an issue of the Conduit featuring the Charter Review Committee. A questionnaire will be included in that issue.

The committee tentatively decided to meet every Wednesday in March, April and May, beginning March 7. One topic will be covered at each meeting. Porter would like to have the committee's recommendations completed by the beginning of June. Johnson suggested that hearings and work sessions be alternated.

The meeting adjourned at 1:45 p.m.

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION RESULTS
(In Order of Priority)

		Total
1.	Executive 3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 2, 5, 1, 1, 2, 1	22
2.	Lobbyist 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 7, 5, 3, 3	29
3.	Board 4, 4, 3, 5, 2, 7, 3, 2, 8, 1, 2	41
3.	Salaries 2, 2, 4, 3, 5, 3, 4, 6, 4, 4, 4	41
5.	Sheriff 5, 6, 5, 6, 6, 5, 1, 4, 3, 8, 5	54
6.	Elections 8, 7, 6, 4, 4, 4, 6, 3, 6, 5, 7	60
7.	Auditor 6, 8, 7, 8, 7, 8, 7, 5, 7, 7, 8	78
8.	CRC 7, 5, 8, 7, 9, 6, 8, 9, 8, 6, 6	79
9.	D.A. 12, 9, 9, 9, 12, 9, 9, 10, 10, 11, 9	109
10.	Regionalism 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 12, 11, 8, 9, 9,	115
11.	Res. A, etc. 9, 10, 12, 12, 8, 11, 12, 11, 12, 10, 10	117
12.	Miscellaneous 10, 12, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 12, 11, 12, 12	119

MULTNOMAH COUNTY
CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE
1120 S.W. 5th AVE., SUITE 1500
PORTLAND, OR 97204

(112 0894: 44 8700000)
112 0894: 44 8700000



Multnomah County Sheriff's Office

ROBERT G. SKIPPER
SHERIFF

12240 N.E. GLISAN ST., PORTLAND, OREGON 97230

(503) 255-3600

February 14, 1990

Ann Porter, Chair
Multnomah Charter Review Committee
0926 SW Palatine Hill Road
Portland, Oregon 97219

Dear Ann:

I wanted to follow up on Bill Vandever's conversation with you about the salary for an elected sheriff of Multnomah County.

It was disappointing to learn that the Salary Commission, after a lengthy study, had come forward with its proposal to raise the salaries of Multnomah County's elected officials by 5 percent a year. It was also frustrating that no opportunity was given to the elected officials to appear before the committee, to offer comments and suggestions.

As you know, the sheriff's salary has been capped at \$46,000 since 1982. That's no way to attract and retain qualified persons in a critical and sensitive public office. I ran for and won the office knowing that I would take a \$12,000 salary cut, but also believing there would be another opportunity for voters to consider the inequity in 1990.

ORS 204.112, passed by the 1989 Oregon Legislature, contains a subsection which provides: "the sheriff's salary shall be fixed in an amount which is not less than that for any member of the sheriff's department". That seems reasonable and it's now the law in Oregon's non-home rule counties.

County Counsel believes a simple amendment, similar to one concerning the County Auditor and accepted by the voters in 1989, and which would connect the subsection of ORS 204.112 to 6.50 of the County Charter, would extend that provision to an elected Multnomah County Sheriff.

At the appropriate time, I would appreciate the opportunity to appear before the Charter Review Committee, to present this proposed amendment to the Charter and arguments in support of the change.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert Skipper".

ROBERT G. SKIPPER
Sheriff

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 6
 Appointed/Elected _____
 Responsibilities _____
 Other _____
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 4 or 3
 Number _____
 Full/Part-Time _____
 Other _____
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 7
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 3 or 4
 County Administrator _____
 County Chair/Exec. _____
 Other _____
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 12
6. ELECTIONS 8
 Running for Office in Mid-Term _____
 Two-Term Limit _____
 District/At-Large Elections _____
7. LOBBYIST 1
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS 10
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
 SUPER-COUNTY) 11
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES 9
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 2
12. SHERIFF 5
 Elected/Appointed _____
 Responsibilities _____

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: # 12

Additional comments: ~~#12~~, #11, #10, #9 should not be charter changes but views of this committee should be included in our report

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 1. | AUDITOR | 8 |
| | Appointed/Elected _____ | |
| | Responsibilities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ | |
| | Other _____ | |
| 2. | BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS | 4 |
| | Number <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ | |
| | Full/Part-Time _____ | |
| | Other _____ | |
| 3. | CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE | 5 |
| 4. | EXECUTIVE FUNCTION | 3 |
| | County Administrator _____ | |
| | County Chair/Exec. _____ | |
| | Other _____ | |
| 5. | DISTRICT ATTORNEY | 9. |
| 6. | ELECTIONS | 7. |
| | Running for Office in Mid-Term _____ | |
| | Two-Term Limit _____ | |
| | District/At-Large Elections _____ | |
| 7. | LOBBYIST | 1. |
| 8. | MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS | 12. |
| 9. | REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) | 11. |
| 10. | RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES | 10. |
| 11. | SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS | 2. |
| 12. | SHERIFF | 6. |
| | Elected/Appointed _____ | |
| | Responsibilities _____ | |

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: Lobbyist

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: # 8., 9., 10.

Additional comments: Thank you for all your work, Bill and Donna.

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 7
 Appointed/Elected ☒
 Responsibilities ☒
 Other ☐
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 3
 Number ☒
 Full/Part-Time ☒
 Other ☐
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 6 8
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 2
 County Administrator ☒
 County Chair/Exec. ☒
 Other ELECTED/Hired ☒
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY
6. ELECTIONS 6
 Running for Office in Mid-Term ☒
 Two-Term Limit ☒
 District/At-Large Elections ☒
7. LOBBYIST 1
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
 SUPER-COUNTY)
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 4
12. SHERIFF 5
 Elected/Appointed ☒
 Responsibilities ☒

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: 5, 8, 9, 10

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|
| 1. | AUDITOR | <u>8</u> |
| | Appointed/Elected _____ | |
| | Responsibilities _____ | |
| | Other _____ | |
| 2. | BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS | <u>5</u> |
| | Number _____ | |
| | Full/Part-Time _____ | |
| | Other _____ | |
| 3. | CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE | <u>7</u> |
| 4. | EXECUTIVE FUNCTION | <u>1</u> |
| | County Administrator <u>X</u> | |
| | County Chair/Exec. _____ | |
| | Other _____ | |
| 5. | DISTRICT ATTORNEY | <u>9</u> |
| 6. | ELECTIONS | <u>4</u> |
| | Running for Office in Mid-Term <u>X</u> | |
| | Two-Term Limit <u>X</u> | |
| | District/At-Large Elections <u>X</u> | |
| 7. | LOBBYIST | <u>2</u> |
| 8. | MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS | <u>10</u> |
| 9. | REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) | <u>11</u> |
| 10. | RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES | <u>12</u> |
| 11. | SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS | <u>3</u> |
| 12. | SHERIFF | <u>6</u> |
| | Elected/Appointed <u>X</u> | |
| | Responsibilities <u>X</u> | |

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: # 1, 5, 8, 9, 10 (MY PRIORITIES 8-12)

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 7
 Appointed/Elected ☒
 Responsibilities ☐
 Other ☐
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 2
 Number ☐
 Full/Part-Time ☐
 Other ☐
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 9
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 1
 County Administrator ☒
 County Chair/Exec. ☐
 Other ☐
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 12
6. ELECTIONS 4
 Running for Office in Mid-Term ☒
 Two-Term Limit ☒
 District/At-Large Elections ☐
7. LOBBYIST 3
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS 10
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
 SUPER-COUNTY) 11
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES 8
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 5
12. SHERIFF 6
 Elected/Appointed ☒
 Responsibilities ☒

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: 1

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop:

~~#2~~ ~~#3~~ ~~#4~~ ~~#5 DA~~ ~~#6~~ ~~#7~~ ~~#8~~ ~~#9 Regionalism~~ ~~#10~~
 Additional comments: Res. A, etc

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 8
 - Appointed/Elected
 - Responsibilities ✓
 - Other
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 7
 - Number 2
 - Full/Part-Time 1
 - Other
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 6
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 2
 - County Administrator 2
 - County Chair/Exec. 1
 - Other
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 9
6. ELECTIONS 4
 - Running for Office in Mid-Term 1
 - Two-Term Limit 2
 - District/At-Large Elections
7. LOBBYIST 1
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS 10
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) 12
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES 11
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 3
12. SHERIFF 5
 - Elected/Appointed
 - Responsibilities 1

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on:

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: #s 9-12

Additional comments:

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 7
 Appointed/Elected Elected ✓
 Responsibilities _____
 Other _____
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 3
 Number _____
 Full/Part-Time _____
 Other _____
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 8
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 5
 County Administrator _____
 County Chair/Exec. _____
 Other _____
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 9
6. ELECTIONS 6
 Running for Office in Mid-Term _____
 Two-Term Limit _____
 District/At-Large Elections _____
7. LOBBYIST 2
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS 10
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
 SUPER-COUNTY) 11
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES 12
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 4
12. SHERIFF 1
 Elected/Appointed _____
 Responsibilities ✓

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 7 - 8

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 5
 - Appointed/Elected B
 - Responsibilities A
 - Other _____
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 2
 - Number B
 - Full/Part-Time A
 - Other _____
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 9
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 1
 - County Administrator A
 - County Chair/Exec. B
 - Other _____
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 10
6. ELECTIONS 3
 - Running for Office in Mid-Term A
 - Two-Term Limit B
 - District/At-Large Elections C
7. LOBBYIST 7
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS 12
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) 8
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES 11
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 6
12. SHERIFF 4
 - Elected/Appointed B
 - Responsibilities A

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: _____

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 7
 - Appointed/Elected
 - Responsibilities ✓
 - Other
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 2
 - Number
 - Full/Part-Time
 - Other ✓ Dist/At-large (chair)
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 8
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 1
 - County Administrator ✓
 - County Chair/Exec. ✓
 - Other
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY
6. ELECTIONS 6
 - Running for Office in Mid-Term ✓
 - Two-Term Limit ✓
 - District/At-Large Elections
7. LOBBYIST 5
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) 9
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 4
12. SHERIFF 3
 - Elected/Appointed ✓
 - Responsibilities ✓

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on:

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: 5, 8, 10

Additional comments:

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

- | | | | |
|-----|--|---|----|
| 1. | AUDITOR | | 7 |
| | Appointed/Elected | | |
| | Responsibilities | | |
| | Other Term | ✓ | |
| 2. | BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS | | 1 |
| | Number | ✓ | |
| | Full/Part-Time | ✓ | |
| | Other | | |
| 3. | CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE | | 6 |
| 4. | EXECUTIVE FUNCTION | | 2 |
| | County Administrator | ✓ | |
| | County Chair/Exec. | | |
| | Other | | |
| 5. | DISTRICT ATTORNEY | | 11 |
| 6. | ELECTIONS | | 5 |
| | Running for Office in Mid-Term | | |
| | Two-Term Limit | ✓ | |
| | District/At-Large Elections | | |
| 7. | LOBBYIST | | 3 |
| 8. | MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS | | 12 |
| 9. | REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) | | 9 |
| 10. | RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES | | 10 |
| 11. | SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS | | 4 |
| 12. | SHERIFF | | 8 |
| | Elected/Appointed | ✓ | |
| | Responsibilities | ✓ | |

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on:_____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop:

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR 8
 - Appointed/Elected
 - Responsibilities ✓
 - Other
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 2
 - Number ✓
 - Full/Part-Time ✓
 - Other ✓
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE 6
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION 1
 - County Administrator ✓
 - County Chair/Exec.
 - Other
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 9
6. ELECTIONS 7
 - Running for Office in Mid-Term
 - Two-Term Limit ✓
 - District/At-Large Elections ✓
7. LOBBYIST 3
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS 12
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) 11
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES 10
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS 4
12. SHERIFF 5
 - Elected/Appointed
 - Responsibilities ✓

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on:

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: #1, #8, #10,

Additional comments:

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR _____
Appointed/Elected _____
Responsibilities _____
Other _____
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS _____
Number _____
Full/Part-Time _____
Other _____
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE _____
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION _____
County Administrator _____
County Chair/Exec. _____
Other _____
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY _____
6. ELECTIONS _____
Running for Office in Mid-Term _____
Two-Term Limit _____
District/At-Large Elections _____
7. LOBBYIST _____
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS _____
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
SUPER-COUNTY) _____
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES _____
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS _____
12. SHERIFF _____
Elected/Appointed _____
Responsibilities _____

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: _____

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION

Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR _____
 Appointed/Elected _____
 Responsibilities _____
 Other _____
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS _____
 Number _____
 Full/Part-Time _____
 Other _____
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE _____
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION _____
 County Administrator _____
 County Chair/Exec. _____
 Other _____
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY _____
6. ELECTIONS _____
 Running for Office in Mid-Term _____
 Two-Term Limit _____
 District/At-Large Elections _____
7. LOBBYIST _____
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS _____
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
 SUPER-COUNTY) _____
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES _____
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS _____
12. SHERIFF _____
 Elected/Appointed _____
 Responsibilities _____

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should let drop: _____

Additional comments: _____

ISSUE PRIORITIZATION


Please rank these issues in order of their importance to you (1 being most important); check sub-issues which most interest you

1. AUDITOR _____
 Appointed/Elected _____
 Responsibilities _____
 Other _____
2. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS _____
 Number _____
 Full/Part-Time _____
 Other _____
3. CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE _____
4. EXECUTIVE FUNCTION _____
 County Administrator _____
 County Chair/Exec. _____
 Other _____
5. DISTRICT ATTORNEY _____
6. ELECTIONS _____
 Running for Office in Mid-Term _____
 Two-Term Limit _____
 District/At-Large Elections _____
7. LOBBYIST _____
8. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDATIONS _____
9. REGIONALISM (CITY/COUNTY CONSOLIDATION;
 SUPER-COUNTY) _____
10. RESOLUTION A/SERVICES/ANNEXATION/TAXES _____
11. SALARIES OF ELECTED OFFICIALS _____
12. SHERIFF _____
 Elected/Appointed _____
 Responsibilities _____

Indicate issues, if any, that are ready to be voted on: _____

Indicate issues, if any, which you feel the committee
should not spend further time on, i.e. that we should
let drop: _____

Additional comments: _____




PORTLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE

P.O. Box 19000
Portland, OR 97219-0990
Telephone 503/244-6111

Date: January 16, 1990

This is to confirm your reservation for use of facilities at Portland Community College, Cascade Campus.

Day(s) Saturday Date(s) February 17, 1990
Time: From 8:30am To 5:30pm For The MULTNOMAH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW
(group or individual) COMMITTEE
Room(s) ~~122~~ 202 Building(s) ~~Terrell Hall~~ Jackson Hall


College Authorized Signatory

No need

USER'S RESPONSIBILITIES

to re-sign

FACILITIES USED AT NO CHARGE

Users of Portland Community College, Cascade Campus facilities agree to safeguard and care for all property and equipment. Users are responsible for the normal clean-up of facilities immediately following use, including removal of special decorations or materials. Failing to meet the responsibility for normal clean-up and removal procedures, the user will be billed for special services or other costs incurred by the College.

The College will not be responsible for any items left on the College premises.

The College Security Department has the authority to enter any area regardless of whomever has use of the area.

Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

College Board:

Keith Skelton
Chair

Karen McKinney
Vice Chair

Dana Anderson

Norma Jean Germond

Monica M. Little

Bill Long

Dan Saltzman


President:

Daniel F. Moriarty

An Affirmative Action,
Equal Opportunity
Institution

As a representative of MULTNOMAH COUNTY CHARTER REVIEW COMMITTEE
User of facility

we agree to the conditions stated above regarding use of facility.


Authorized Signature of User

Please return signed copy to the Cascade Business Office before the date of the event.