

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon
Tuesday, June 16, 2015**

BUDGET WORK SESSION #19a

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 10:06 a.m. with Vice-Chair Jules Bailey and Commissioners Loretta Smith, Judy Shiprack, and Diane McKeel present.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk.

[THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF THE CLOSED CAPTIONING OF THIS BROADCAST.]

**BWS-19a Board and Department Budget Amendments and Budget Notes.
Presenters: Karyne Kieta, Deputy Director, County Management &
Mike Jaspin, County Economist.**

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE FINAL WORK SESSION FOR THE 2016 BUDGET. THURSDAY IS THE BIG DAY. BE SURE AND JOIN US FOR THE FUN AND FESTIVITIES AS WE FINALIZE THE 2016 BUDGET NEXT THURSDAY BUT BEFORE THAT, WE HAVE TODAY. TODAY IS OUR FINAL CHANCE TO PROPOSE, DELIBERATE AND GET QUESTIONS ANSWERED ON AMENDMENTS BEFORE WE ADOPT OUR BUDGET ON THURSDAY. AND KARYNE WILL KICK IT OFF FOR US THIS MORNING. GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Kieta: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. KARYNE KEITA, BUDGET DIRECTOR. I WOULD LIKE TO BRIEFLY GOPHER THE AGENDA BEFORE WE START DIGGING INTO TODAY'S SESSION. WE ARE GOING TO KICK OFF WITH A REVIEW OF THE BOARD AMENDMENTS PROPOSED TODAY, SO ESSENTIALLY, WE'RE GOING TO RECAP ALL OF THE WORK IN THE PROPOSALS YOU HAVE DONE. IT'S ALSO AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROPOSE NEW AMENDMENTS, AND THERE ARE SEVERAL AMENDMENTS PROPOSED THAT THE BOARD HAS DIRECTED THE DEPARTMENTS TO REALLOCATE RESOURCES, SO WE'LL HEAR FROM THOSE DEPARTMENTS SPECIFICALLY, WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO SO YOU ARE FULLY INFORMED AS YOU PREPARE TO VOTE.

WE ARE GOING TO REVIEW SOME CHANGES TO THE PROGRAMS FOR SEPARATE CONSIDERATION. IT'S ALSO AN OPPORTUNITY TO OPEN UP THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS THIS, AGAIN, IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, WE HAVE THE DEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVES HERE. WE WILL THEN MOVE ONTO THE BUDGET NOTES, AND TAKE A QUICK LOOK AT THE BUDGET NOTES THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED TODAY. THERE IS A SLIGHT CHANGE, AN

OPPORTUNITY TO PROPOSE ANY NEW BUDGET NOTES OR TO REVISE ANY EXISTING BUDGET NOTES. AND AGAIN, WE'LL BE OPENING FOR QUESTIONS, AND THEN WE'LL TALK ABOUT THE NEXT STEPS FOR THURSDAY. SO, AT THIS POINT IN TIME, AS THE CHAIR KAFOURY SAID, THIS IS OUR LAST OPPORTUNITY TO PROPOSE AND REVIEW AND DELIBERATE ON THE AMENDMENTS BEFORE WE ADOPT.

Ms. Kieta: THIS IS, ALSO, THE TIME FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS TO ADDRESS HOW THEY WOULD LIKE TO PAY FOR THE REMAINING AMENDMENTS THAT HAVE NOT IDENTIFIED EITHER A REVENUE SOURCE OR AN OFFSETTING EXPENDITURE. AND OUR GOAL FOR TODAY, IF POSSIBLE, IS NOT TO SEE ANY RED ON YOUR BUDGET AMENDMENT SHEET. SO AT THIS POINT IN TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO START WALKING THROUGH THE BOARD BUDGET AMENDMENT PACKET, AND THIS IS YOUR BLUE SHEET. IT SAYS ATTACHMENT A, AND THERE ARE TWO PIECES TO THIS. THERE IS JUST THE ONGOING LINEAR SHEET, WHICH IS THE TOP PAGE, AND THEN WE HAVE ALSO COMPILED IT BY COMMISSIONER, SO WE CAN SEE WHERE THE OFFSETS WERE FOR THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. SO, I WILL WALK THROUGH EACH PIECE HERE.

Vice-Chair Bailey: SO CHAIR, I HAVE AN AMENDMENT TO ONE OF MY AMENDMENTS. IS THIS THE TIME THAT WE WANT TO DO THAT, OR WAIT?

Chair Kafoury: CAN WE WALK THROUGH ALL OF THIS, AND THEN DO THE AMENDMENTS.

Ms. Kieta: OK. SO, IF YOU START AT THE TOP OF THE PAGE, UNDER FUNDING, PROPOSED FUNDING SOURCES, YOU CAN SEE THAT THERE IS \$6.3 MILLION OF REVENUE AVAILABLE BASED ON ACTIONS FROM GOING FORWARD, AND THEN USED CONTINGENCY AND A COUPLE OF AMENDMENTS PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONERS. THE SHEET THEN IS BROKEN DOWN INTO ONGOING AMENDMENTS THAT WERE PROPOSED. AS YOU CAN SEE, THERE ARE ROUGHLY \$8 MILLION OF ONGOING AMENDMENTS. AND THEN IF YOU ROLL DOWN TO THE ONE-TIME ONLY RESOURCES, YOU CAN SEE THAT THERE ARE ROUGHLY \$3.4 MILLION WORTH OF AMENDMENTS THAT WERE PROPOSED FOR ONGOING RESOURCES. AT THE VERY BOTTOM, WHERE YOU SEE BALANCE FOR CONTINGENCY, RIGHT NOW, WE'RE IN THE POSITIVE. WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO FUND ALL OF THE AMENDMENTS WITH \$141,000 REMAINING, WE JUST DON'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY CURRENTLY TO FUND THE REQUESTED ONGOING AMENDMENTS WITH ONGOING DOLLARS. SO, OVERALL, IF YOU ARE NOT CONSIDERING THE COLOR OF MONEY, WE'RE DOING PRETTY GOOD. IF WE REALLY WANT TO FOLLOW OUR FINANCIAL POLICIES, WE --

Chair Kafoury: WHICH WE DO.

Ms. Kieta: SO, NOW THAT YOU HAVE THE OVERALL CONTEXT, I WOULD LIKE TO

HAVE YOU TURN TO THE SECOND PAGE WHERE WE START ON THE COMMISSIONER PACKETS. SO, IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 2, WE'LL START OUT WITH COMMISSIONER MCKEEL'S PACKAGE. AS YOU MIGHT RECALL, THE COMMISSIONER REQUESTED THAT WE UNFUND THE EAST COUNTY CLEAN DIESEL SCHOOL BUS RETROFIT FOR \$500,000, AND APPLY THAT ONE-TIME ONLY DOLLARS TO THE ONE-TIME ONLY AMENDMENTS, AND YOU WILL SEE THE LIST OF THOSE THERE. THAT ONLY USES A PORTION OF THE 500,000, AND LEAVES THE REMAINING \$225,000. AND PLEASE ASK ANY QUESTIONS AT ANY TIME AS WE GO THROUGH THIS. NEXT, WE GO TO COMMISSIONER BAILEY, THE AMENDMENT PACKAGE, AND YOU WILL SEE THE COMMISSIONER PROPOSED AN AMENDMENT THAT FREES UP \$240,000 OF ONGOING REVENUE. AND YOU CAN SEE THE AMENDMENTS THAT HE HAS ASKED THAT BE APPLIED TO, AND IT NETS OUT TO ZERO. IF YOU MOVE TO COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK'S PACKAGE, THE COMMISSIONER HAD PROPOSED TO USE THE ONE-TIME ONLY REVENUE AVAILABLE TO OFFSET THE ONE-TIME ONLY AMENDMENTS THAT WERE PROPOSED, AND I AM GOING TO TAKE YOU BACK TO PAGE 1, AND IF YOU LOOK AT LINE ITEM NO. 1, YOU WILL SEE THAT THAT'S THE \$3 MILLION, AND YOU CAN SEE THAT THE TOP OF COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK'S PACKAGE THERE, AND IF YOU GO TO LINE ITEM NO. 2, THE \$1.2 MILLION THAT CARRIES OVER FOR A TOTAL OF -- THANK YOU, MIKE -- \$4.2 MILLION WORTH OF AVAILABLE REVENUE, APPLIED TO THE ONE-TIME ONLY AMENDMENTS, LEAVES \$1.143 MILLION UNUSED REVENUE. IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 3, SO THIS IS GETTING US DOWN TO WHERE WE'RE AT, AND PLEASE, IF I HAVE LOST ANYBODY, PLEASE ASK A QUESTION, THE REMAINING RESOURCES NOT TIED TO EXPENDITURE, YOU WILL SEE THE ADDITIONAL GENERAL FUND FROM HIGHER -- 2016 REVENUES OF \$1.3 MILLION, AND THAT'S BROUGHT OVER FROM THE FIRST PAGE. YOU ADD MCKEEL'S REMAINING AVAILABLE REVENUE OF \$225,000, AND THE REMAINDER OF COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK'S PACKAGE OF 1.143 LEAVES US 2.7 MILLION OF AVAILABLE REVENUE THAT IS NOT ALLOCATED. IF YOU LOOK AT THE PROPOSED NEW EXPENDITURES THERE IN THE LAST BOX ON THE TABLE, THESE ARE LITTLE ORPHANS THAT WE WILL NEED ASSOCIATED REVENUES OFFSET OR SOME SORT OF A PROPOSAL TO DO THAT, AND AS YOU CAN SEE, OF THE AVAILABLE TO SPEND THE \$2.7 MILLION, WE HAVE 2.5 MILLION, ROUGHLY 2.6 MILLION OF EXPENDITURES, AND THAT TAKES US BACK TO THE FIRST PAGE AT THE BOTTOM, OF OUR REMAINING BALANCE OF \$141,000. WE JUST DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE ENOUGH ONGOING REVENUE AT THIS TIME TO SUPPORT THE ONGOING REQUESTS. SO THAT TAKES US THROUGH WHERE WE'RE AT TODAY.

Chair Kafoury: GREAT. COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, DID YOU HAVE AN AMENDMENT YOU WANTED TO MAKE? BEFORE, IF YOU DON'T MIND, I WANTED TO TRY TO HELP WITH THE ONGOING ONE-TIME ONLY DISCUSSION, AND SINCE I PROPOSED ON PAGE 1, LINE ITEM 3, TO USE THE ADDITIONAL GENERAL FUND, FROM 2016, AS ONE-TIME ONLY, I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND THAT AND CHANGE THOSE DOLLARS TO ONGOING. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO -- I WILL WAIT. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO AMEND PROGRAM OFFER 25159B, WHICH IS

THE SCHOOL ATTENDANCE INITIATIVE IN DCHS. IT WAS ORIGINALLY IN MY BUDGET AT 575. I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND IT TO BE 262,000, WHICH FREEZE UP 313,000 IN ONGOING GENERAL FUND DOLLARS. AND WE HAD PUT THIS MONEY IN THE BUDGET, ANTICIPATING THAT SCHOOL DISTRICTS WOULD BE MATCHING THESE FUNDS. SOME OF THE DISTRICTS ARE NOT ABLE TO MATCH THE FUNDS, SO THAT HAS FREED UP THESE DOLLARS TO BE USED FOR ONGOING FOR OTHER PURPOSES. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO AMEND THE PROGRAM OFFER 25139E, WHICH IS THE FAMILY ECONOMIC SECURITY IN DCHS. IT WAS, IN MY BUDGET ONGOING, AND I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND IT TO BE ONE-TIME ONLY WHICH FREEZE UP 175,000. THIS IS A PILOT PROJECT, AND SO IT MAKES SENSE TO START OFF AS A ONE-TIME ONLY, I AM HOPING THAT WILL BE VERY, VERY SUCCESSFUL, THE NEXT YEAR, WE WILL PUT IT IN AS ONGOING, BUT, AND I AM SURE THAT IT WILL BE. I WOULD LIKE TO CUT PROGRAM OFFER 25149C, WHICH IS CULTURALLY SPECIFIC CASE MANAGEMENT, WHICH FREES UP \$250,000, AND THAT IS BECAUSE THESE -- THIS PROGRAM OFFER WAS SOMEWHAT REDUNDANT WITH THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD'S REQUEST THAT COMMISSIONER SMITH HAS PUT IN, AND I THINK THAT THE MAJORITY OF THESE FUNDS WILL BE USED IN THAT PROGRAM. EXCELLENT, THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER MCKEEL?

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU. SO, I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND MY AMENDMENT FOR THE MCSO TRAINING, AND I PROPOSE THAT THE PROGRAM NO. 60023A FOR MCSO TRAINING BE REDUCED FROM 827,852 TO 600,000, AND I WILL HAVE A COMPANION BUDGET NOTE RELATED TO THIS PROGRAM THAT I WILL PRESENT WHEN WE GET TO THE BUDGET NOTE PORTION. AND I ALSO HAVE A NEW AMENDMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO PLACE 500,000 IN THE CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET FOR THE HANSEN BUILDING RELOCATION. THIS FUNDING IS POSSIBLE FROM MY PREVIOUS REDUCTION TO THE MCSO TRAINING PROGRAM AMENDMENT AND THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM CONTINGENCIES THAT ARE NO LONGER NEEDED. IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET, THERE IS 165,000 ALLOCATED FOR GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY FOR POSSIBLE STATE BACKFILLS TO RUN AWAY USE PROGRAM OFFER 25138B, AND 150,000 FOR POSSIBLE STATE BACKFILL OF SOCIAL AND SUPPORT SERVICES FOR EDUCATIONAL SUCCESS PROGRAM OFFER 25149B. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT BOTH OF THESE HAVE BEEN FUNDED BY THE STATE, AND SO IN THIS AMENDMENT I PROPOSE REALLOCATING THESE FUNDS TO THE CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET FOR THE HANSEN BUILDING RELOCATION ALONG WITH 185,000 JUST REMOVED FROM THE MCSO TRAINING AMENDMENT. FOR THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF OUR EMPLOYEES, THE HANSEN BUILDING NEEDS TO BE REPLACED. A WORK GROUP HAS BEEN ENGAGED IN STUDYING THIS PROJECT, AND THE \$500,000 IN THIS AMENDMENT WILL HELP THEIR IMPORTANT MOVE FORWARD.

Chair Kafoury: DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER? COMMISSIONER BAILEY?

Vice-Chair Bailey: I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER A QUICK CLARIFICATION ON

PROGRAM OFFER 6008, VIOLATION OF RESTRAINING ORDER DETAIL AMENDMENT. IT CURRENTLY THE AMENDMENT, AS STATED, SAYS 98,582 TO BE FUNDED BY MCSO WITH OFFSETTING EXPENDITURE REDUCTIONS, WITH GREAT THANKS AND HELP FROM MCSO, WE WILL FUND THE REMAINING 98,581 WITH MONEY FROM MCSO OFFER 60035C, AND MCSO SECURITY LIBRARY FUNDS.

Commissioner Shiprack: MADAM CHAIR, I'M TRYING TO KEEP UP HERE, BUT MY MATH IN MY HEAD HAS FAILED ME.

Chair Kafoury: CAN I ASK IF WE COULD PAUSE.

Commissioner Shiprack: COULD WE DO A LITTLE ADDING UP ON THE BACK OF AN ENVELOPE, SO WHERE IS OUR TOTAL? AND ALSO, IF I COULD, JUST A QUESTION THEN, COMMISSIONER BAILEY, I WAS UNCLEAR WHAT THE IMPACT OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF MCSO FROM THEIR FUND IS ON THE DOLLAR TOTAL OF THE AMENDMENT.

Vice-Chair Bailey: COMMISSIONER, WE'RE NOT CHANGING THE DOLLARS IN THE AMENDMENT AS YOU SEE. I AM JUST PROVIDING CLARITY ON WHERE THAT ADDITIONAL FUNDS ARE COMING FROM. IT IS ASKING WHERE IT'S COMING FROM, SO I AM JUST SAYING WHERE IT'S COMING FROM.

Chair Kafoury: WE HAD ASKED FOLKS TO TRY TO HIGHLIGHT THE DOLLARS SO WE'RE NOT JUST ASKING THE DEPARTMENTS TO EAT FUNDS, BUT ACTUALLY, TO SHOW WHERE THEY ARE.

Commissioner Shiprack: OK. GREAT. AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION BECAUSE I SHOULD HAVE TOTALED UP ALL OF MY AMENDMENTS THAT I HAVE MADE. THEY TOTAL \$ 2,070,625.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION, SO WHAT WE HAVE DONE NOW, THE ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY IS NOW ONGOING MONEY? PROPOSED?

Ms. Kieta: YEAH. SO, CHAIR KAFOURY HAD PROPOSED SWAPPING OUT ONE-TIME ONLY FOR ONGOING. SO, THERE IS NOW \$2 MILLION OF ONGOING REVENUE AVAILABLE TO BE ASSIGNED TO ANY ONE-TIME ONLY OFFERS.

Commissioner Smith: SO KARYNE, I HAVE A PACKAGE IN TERMS OF FIGURING OUT HOW TO PAY FOR THE PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR ONGOING FUNDS. THAT WOULD BE TO, BASICALLY, SWAP OUT FOUR PROGRAMS FROM ONGOING TO ONE-TIME ONLY, AND THEY CAN GO EITHER WAY, BUT I THINK THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT A COUPLE OF THOSE PROGRAMS.

THE FIRST ONE IS THE ROSE RECOVERY OREGON SERVICES ENGAGEMENT FOR 471. THAT'S PROGRAM OFFER 25136B FROM DCHS. THE SECOND IS VIOLENCE PREVENTION INITIATIVE. IT'S AN ONGOING MONEY FOR 323,000. MOVING THAT FROM ONGOING TO ONE-TIME ONLY. LET ME GO BACK TO THE SECOND. THAT PROGRAM OFFER IS NO. 438B. THE THIRD ITEM IN THIS PACKAGE IS CULTURALLY SPECIFIC YOUTH CASE MANAGEMENT FOR SUN, REQUESTING 250,000; REQUESTED AS ONGOING, AND I WANT TO MOVE THAT TO ONE-TIME ONLY. IT'S OFFER 25149C. AND THE FOURTH ONE IS THE SCHOOL ATTENDANCE SUPPORT TO EXPAND SERVICES FOR 575, REQUESTED AS EXISTING OPERATING PROGRAM MOVE TO ONE-TIME ONLY. ITS PROGRAM OFFER 25159A. THE TOTAL SUM OF THESE FOUR PROGRAM OFFERS IS \$1.619. THE REMAINDER OF THE FUNDS SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO REFLECT THE \$32,000 NEEDED FOR SUMMERWORKS TO BRING SUMMERWORKS YOUTH EMPLOYEES TO MINIMUM WAGE. AND THE LAST ITEM IN THE PACKAGE IS TO SEND \$70,000 TO CULLEY PARK, WHICH IS A NEW PROGRAM. AND I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS LIKE \$17,000 LEFT.

Ms. Kieta: MAY I ASK A CLARIFYING QUESTION?

Commissioner Smith: YES, OF COURSE.

Ms. Kieta: COMMISSIONER SMITH, IN THE SWAPPING OUT OF THE FOUR PROGRAM OFFERS, OF ONGOING FOR ONE-TIME ONLY, ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT, WHERE WOULD YOU LIKE THAT GOING TO?

Commissioner Smith: I WANT IT APPLIED TO THE \$1.5 OF THE PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD AND THE \$32,000 OF SUMMERWORKS TO PAY FOR THE MINIMUM WAGE. SO THAT WOULD BE ONE ENTIRE PACKAGE. CULLEY IS A ONE-TIME ONLY. AND THEN ON A SEPARATE ITEM, CHRISTIAN, TWO MORE BUDGET NOTES. A BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR COCOON NURSING FUNDING PROGRAM, AND IT'S PROGRAM OFFER NO. 40055-15. AND THE REQUEST IS FOR THE BUDGET OFFICE TO DIRECT UNSPENT RESOURCES FROM FISCAL YEAR 2015 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$79,000 TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S COCOON PROGRAM. IT'S A HEAD START NURSING PROGRAM, WHICH IS PROGRAM OFFER 440055-15. AND FOR THOSE NOT FAMILIAR WITH COCOON, IT IS A NURSE HOME VISITING PROGRAM PROVIDING CARE, COORDINATION FOR CHILDBIRTH THROUGH FOUR YEARS OF AGE, WITH SPECIAL HEALTH NEEDS, AND FOR FAMILIES IDENTIFIED AS MEDICAL AND SOCIAL HIGH RISK. COCOON SERVED APPROXIMATELY 300 FAMILIES A YEAR. THE RESOURCES ARE DIRECTED TO COCOON NURSING PROGRAM SERVICES IN FISCAL YEAR 2016, AND THIS WILL BE A ONE-TIME ONLY REQUEST. I SAID TWO, BUT THERE IS ONLY ONE.

Commissioner Shiprack: MADAM CHAIR.

Chair Kafoury: IT'S YOUR TURN.

Commissioner Shiprack: I AM PROPOSING AN AMENDMENT RELATING TO THE SUN PROGRAM, WHICH IS AN ESSENTIAL PART OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY'S MISSION BY PROVIDING SCHOOL-BASED EDUCATIONAL, RECREATIONAL, SOCIAL, AND HEALTH SERVICES TO SCHOOL AGED CHILDREN AT RISK OF ACADEMIC FAILURE. THE PROGRAM HELPS TO REMOVE BARRIERS FOR STUDENTS AND THEIR FAMILIES. THIS ALLOWS THEM TO ACHIEVE EDUCATIONAL SUCCESS AND SETS THEM ON A COURSE OF POSITIVE LONG-TERM OUTCOMES. THE 75 SUN COMMUNITY SCHOOLS PROVIDE 20,000 YOUTH, MANY OF WHOM ARE SOCIO, ECONOMICALLY STRUGGLING, WITH A COMPREHENSIVE ARRAY OF SOCIAL AND SUPPORT SERVICES REQUESTING 94,000 IN ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDS TO BE ADDED AS A SUN ENHANCEMENT PILOT IN THE DAVID DOUGLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT TO ASSIST NON ENGLISH SPEAKING PARENTS, INCREASE PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT. THE PILOT WILL SERVE A KNOWN UNDERSERVED POPULATION BY CONNECTING THEM TO ESSENTIAL SERVICES THAT PROVIDES STABILITY AND INCREASED COMMUNITY HEALTH AND WELLNESS. AND WE HEARD TESTIMONY RELATED TO THIS PROGRAM DURING OUR JUNE 10TH PUBLIC BUDGET HEARING. HELD RIGHT HERE IN THIS VERY ROOM.

Chair Kafoury: EXCELLENT.

Ms. Kieta: JUST A COUPLE OF LOOSE ENDS I NEED TO ASK TO MAKE SURE THAT WE UNDERSTAND SPECIFICALLY. COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, ON THE \$94,000, IT'S ONE-TIME ONLY. YOU WOULD LIKE THAT OFFSETTING REVENUE TO BE TAKEN FROM THE \$141,000 OF ONE-TIME ONLY LEFT AVAILABLE?

Commissioner Shiprack: YES, I WOULD.

Ms. Kieta: AND CHAIR KAFOURY, ON YOUR FIRST AMENDMENT, THAT YOU PROPOSED TO SWAP OUT ONGOING FOR ONE-TIME ONLY, THAT IS JUST AVAILABLE REVENUE AT THIS POINT IN TIME. DO YOU HAVE A PROPOSAL?

Chair Kafoury: YES, AND I FORGOT ONE PIECE, AS WELL, I WANT TO AMEND MY BUDGET TO REMOVE PROGRAM OFFER 25139D, WHICH IS THE RACIAL JUSTICE INITIATIVE. AND THEN THE ENTIRE 2 MILLION THAT I FREED UP WOULD BE TO BE SPENT ON THE PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD \$2 MILLION. WHICH MAKES IT ALL, ALL \$2 MILLION IN ONGOING. HOPEFULLY, IT WOULD ALLOW \$70,625 TO BE PUT INTO THE ONGOING POT IF THERE IS SOME OTHER PROGRAM OFFERS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN FUNDED AS OF YET.

Ms. Kieta: SO, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS JUST TO GO DOWN THE LIST OF ORPHANS, THAT WE HAD IDENTIFIED TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYTHING HAS A HOME. SO, IF YOU START ON THE PROPOSED NEW EXPENDITURES, WHICH IS ROUGHLY MID RANGE OF PAGE 3, AND WE HAVE COMMISSIONER SMITH HAS PROPOSED A PACKAGE TO PROVIDE ONGOING FUNDING FOR THE \$1.5

MILLION, SO THAT HAS BEEN ADDRESSED. WE ALSO HAVE AN ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM OFFER PROPOSED BY CHAIR KAFOURY FOR, ESSENTIALLY, THE SAME PROGRAM, BUT JUST TWO DIFFERENT WAYS TO GET TO THE SAME SPOT. NUMBER 7, COMMISSIONER SMITH, THE SUMMERWORKS, WE HAVE A PROPOSAL FOR OFFSETTING ONGOING REVENUE FOR THAT, ALSO. LINE ITEM 8, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, THE MILITARY CRISIS LINE AND TEEN SERVICES HAS NOT BEEN ADDRESSED YET.

Chair Kafoury: OK. CAN YOU KEEP -- WE'LL LOOK AT THAT ONE.

Ms. Kieta: AND LINE ITEM NO. 9 ON THE TRAINING, WE DO HAVE AN AMENDMENT TO BRING THAT DOWN TO 600,000. WE HAVEN'T IDENTIFIED AN OFFSETTING SOURCE FOR THAT. AND LINE ITEM 13 HAS NO NET IMPACT, AND LINE ITEM 14 HAS NO NET IMPACT BUT IT DOES PLACE FUNDING INTO CONTINGENCY.

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR KAFOURY, FOR MY TWO LINE ITEMS, THOSE WOULD BE ONGOING FROM ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU, AND WE DO HAVE ENOUGH IN THE POT TO COVER THAT.

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION, IN TERMS OF THE ORIGINAL PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD PROGRAM OFFER, THE 25139D THAT WAS REQUESTING A NAME CHANGE, IT WAS FOR \$5 MILLION, BUT AS A PART OF THAT, THAT PROGRAM OFFER, IT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE THE \$500,000 FROM RACIAL JUSTICE MOVED INTO THE ENTIRE PACKAGE TO MAKE IT \$2 MILLION. WAS THAT WHAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING WAS?

Ms. Kieta: YES, THERE WAS \$500,000 IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET THAT WOULD BE ASSIGNED TO MAKE THAT A TOTAL OF \$2 MILLION.

Commissioner Smith: OK. THANK YOU.

Commissioner Shiprack: MADAM CHAIR, JUST A MATH QUESTION.

Chair Kafoury: UH-OH. [LAUGHTER]

Commissioner Shiprack: THESE ARE DANGEROUS COMING OUT OF LEFT FIELD, AS IT WERE, THAT IN A QUICK LOOK AT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY FREED UP IN THE CHAIR'S MOVEMENT OF MONEY FROM ONE-TIME-ONLY TO ONGOING, IT APPEARED THAT THERE IS A BALANCE REMAINING AFTER THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD AMENDMENT WAS MOVED OUT OF ONE-TIME ONLY AND INTO ONGOING. SO THAT NOT ONLY BALANCED, BUT THERE WAS MONEY

REMAINING. CAN YOU GIVE ME A DETAIL OF WHAT THAT WAS? AND I GUESS, THE QUESTION IS ALSO LIKE A HELP REQUEST WHICH IS IF THERE ARE OTHER SOURCES AVAILABLE, FROM ONE-TIME-ONLY FUNDS, TO PAY FOR ONE-TIME ONLY PROJECTS, THAT'S GREAT. IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL SOURCES AVAILABLE FROM ONGOING FUNDS, TO PAY FOR ONGOING PROPOSALS. THAT ENABLES US TO FUND OUR PROPOSALS AND COMPLY WITH OUR OWN FISCAL POLICIES. SO, CAN YOU HELP WITH THAT BALANCING EXERCISE?

Ms. Kieta: SO, ONE OF THE LIMITATIONS OF A SPREADSHEET IS THAT IT DOES NOT DEAL WITH ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM OFFERS VERY WELL, AND SO WE DON'T HAVE ANY ABILITY TO DO SCENARIO PLANNING, AND I AM NOT SURE MIKE IS CAUGHT UP WITH EVERYTHING YET. AS GOOD BUDGET PEOPLE, WE TRY TO NEVER TO DO MATH IN PUBLIC. WHERE ARE YOU AT, MIKE?

Mr. Jaspin: WE JUST NEED TO HAVE A TIME TO FIGURE IT OUT.

Commissioner Shiprack: IF WE PUT THAT ON THE DASHBOARD PERHAPS.

Chair Kafoury: OR TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE PAUSE WHILE WE LET THE OH-SO TALENTED ECONOMISTS/DATABASE MANAGER OVER HERE, SPREADSHEET MANAGER, GURU, TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE LOOK.

Ms. Kieta: OR IF IT PLEASES THE BOARD, WE COULD MOVE ON AND ADDRESS SOME OF THIS.

Chair Kafoury: THAT WOULD BE GREAT. SO COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, IF YOU DON'T MIND IF WE PAUSE ON YOUR QUESTION WHILE WE ALLOW MIKE TO CATCH UP, AND THEN WE'LL COME BACK TO IT. IT'S AN IMPORTANT ONE.

Commissioner Shiprack: TOTALLY. THANK YOU, YES.

Ms. Kieta: SO I THINK THAT WE HAVE ADDRESSED SOME OF THIS, BUT WE HAVE THE REALLOCATIONS OF RESOURCES THAT THE BOARD HAS HEARD PARTIALLY ABOUT FROM COMMISSIONER BAILEY. THERE ARE A COUPLE OTHER ONES THERE, BUT I THINK THAT WHAT I WOULD LI TO DO IS TO COME BACK TO THOSE WHEN WE COME BACK TO THE AMENDMENTS, JUST SO I DON'T PUT ANY MORE NUMBERS OVER HERE. WHAT WE CAN MOVE TO IS, IF YOU TURN TO THE PROGRAM OFFERS FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION IN YOUR PACKET, SO THIS SHEET HAS BEEN AMENDED SINCE YOU LAST SAW IT, ORIGINALLY, THERE WERE TWO PROGRAM OFFERS.

COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK HAD IDENTIFIED A POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH PROGRAM OFFER 10020B, WHICH WAS THE RACK RIGHT BRAIN INITIATIVE. ETHICS' LAW STATES THAT FOR A POTENTIAL CONFLICT, YOU MUST STATE THE CONFLICT FOR THE RECORD. EACH TIME THE MATTER COMES BEFORE YOU, THEREAFTER, YOU CAN DISCUSS AND VOTE ON THE

MATTER, AND PER THE ADVICE OF OUR COUNTY ATTORNEY, THERE IS NO NEED FOR A SEPARATED VOTE ON THAT PARTICULAR PROGRAM OFFER BECAUSE IT DOES NOT QUALIFY AS AN ACTUAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST. SO, I JUST, FOR THE RECORD, I WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT HAS BEEN REMOVED.

Chair Kafoury: SO SHE STATED IT AS BEING A POTENTIAL CONFLICT SO WE DON'T NEED TO VOTE ON IT SEPARATELY. WE CAN WRAP IT IN?

Ms. Kieta: THAT'S CORRECT.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD. THANK YOU.

Ms. Kieta: NEXT ON THE LIST, ARE THE BUDGET NOTES. WE WILL BE LOOPING BACK TO THE FIRST BUDGET NOTE, AND WE WILL HEAR FROM THE DCA DIRECTOR IN TERMS OF THE \$100,000, WHICH THEY WERE GOING TO REPRIORITIZE WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT, BUT THE ONLY THING THAT'S REALLY DIFFERENT ON THIS PAGE IS THAT ON BUDGET NOTE NO. 5, WORKFORCE EQUITY, PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY, WE GOT THE CLARIFYING LANGUAGE, WE WERE WAITING FOR, AND SO THAT IS NOW, INCORPORATED INTO THE BUDGET NOTE. I THINK THIS WILL BE AN OPPORTUNITY IF THE COMMISSIONERS HAD ADDITIONAL BUDGET NOTES THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE AT THIS TIME.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL.

Commissioner McKeel: I DO HAVE A BUDGET NOTE. SO I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE A BUDGET NOTE RELATED TO THE MCSO TRAINING PROGRAM IN OFFER 60023A. THERE IS 600,000 IN FUNDING FOR THIS PROGRAM, AND I WOULD LIKE THE DEPARTMENT TO REPORT HOW THE INCREASE IN TRAINING RESOURCES COUPLED WITH THE INTERNAL FUNDING HAS BEEN OR WILL BE USED IN FISCAL YEAR 2016 TO MAKE PROGRESS TOWARDS YEARLY CERTIFICATION GUIDANCE. I WOULD LIKE MCSO TO REPORT TO WHAT LEVEL THEY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE THEIR TRAINING GOALS, AND HOW THE DEPARTMENT HAS FOUND INTERNAL FUNDING TO MAKE IT A MORE COMPLETE TRAINING PACKAGE. THIS REPORT TO THE BOARD SHOULD BE COMPLETED BY FEBRUARY 28, 2016.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU, ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONAL BUDGET NOTES? MIKE IS STILL WORKING. THE LAST THING THAT WE CAN CHECK OFF OUR LIST IS THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS, AND THAT IS IN YOUR LONG PACKET. AND YOU HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SIT WITH THOSE FOR A FEW DAYS. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE ON THAT PACKET THAT WE CAN ANSWER FOR YOU OR HAVE THE DEPARTMENTS COME UP AND EXPLAIN A BIT MORE THOROUGHLY?

Chair Kafoury: IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE IT.

Ms. Kieta: THAT'S WONDERFUL. AND THEN I GUESS WE'RE AT THE POINT NOW WHERE IT MIGHT BE WORTH TAKING A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK.

Chair Kafoury: EXCELLENT. WE'LL TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK AND BE BACK, SO DON'T GO ANYWHERE.

[The Board recessed at 10:41 a.m. and reconvened at 10:57 a.m.]

Ms. Kieta: MIKE IS PUTTING SOME FINAL TOUCHES HERE, AND AS WE HAVE HIM WALK THROUGH IT, WE MAY BE MAKING ADJUSTMENTS ON THE FLY, BUT I THINK WHAT HE'S CONSTRUCT IDEA IS A SCENARIO, A COUPLE OF SCENARIOS HERE IN REAL-TIME, AND THANK YOU, MIKE, FOR NOT CRACKING UNDER THE PRESSURE. WOULD YOU LIKE TO WALK US THROUGH THE SPREADSHEET?

Mr. Jaspin: I WILL WALK YOU THROUGH THIS, AND I APOLOGIZE, THIS IS WITH MORE TO GET TO THE BOTTOM LINE AS OPPOSED TO STYLE. SO WHAT I TRIED TO DO IS ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE, KIND OF PUT IN PLUG NUMBERS FOR EACH OF THE PACKAGES, KIND OF GOING DOWN THE SIDE, AND THEN THERE IS, ESSENTIALLY, TWO WAYS THAT WE CAN TRY TO FUND PROMISE NEIGHBORHOODS, AND THERE IS SOME SET OF REDUCTIONS OR SWAPS BETWEEN ONE-TIME ONLY AND ONGOING. SO, SAY KIND OF CAME UP WITH TWO SCENARIOS. ONE IS BASED ON THE CHAIR'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS AND ONE ON COMMISSIONER SMITH'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. SO, I WILL JUST WALK THROUGH BOTH OF THEM AT THE SAME TIME. SO, THE FIRST PACKAGE OF AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN CHANGED. THAT, ESSENTIALLY, THE NET 225,000 OF ONE-TIME ONLY, THE PACKAGE NUMBER ONE, IS NEUTRAL. THE SHIPRACK PACKAGE, WHICH, ESSENTIALLY, GRABBED ALL THE ONE-TIME ONLY LEFT TO FUND ONE-TIME ONLY, IS THE SAME ACROSS BOTH, SO THE 1.1 MILLION REMAINING OF ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY. SO, FOR THE CHAIR'S PACKAGE, WE CONVERT 1.3 MILLION OF ONE-TIME ONLY ONTO ONGOING, SO THE NEGATIVE MEANS YOU ARE USING AND THE POSITIVE MEANS YOU ARE GAINING. WE CUT THE SAI BY THE TWO AMOUNTS REFERENCED, AND WE DO ANOTHER SWAP OF ONGOING FOR ONE-TIME ONLY, IS THAT RIGHT? YEAH, OK. AND THEN THAT IS USED TO FUND THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOODS IN TWO WAYS. THE FIRST IS ADDING 1.5 MILLION OF ONGOING, AND THEN IN THE CHAIR'S BUDGET, THERE IS HALF, HALF A MILLION DOLLARS ALL RIGHT TO IT, BUT IT'S ONE-TIME ONLY, SO TO CONVERT THAT TO ON-GOING WE DO A SWAP IN THE SUMMER WORKS. THEN COMPARATIVELY, COMMISSIONER SMITH'S PACKAGE ONE WAS FOR SPECIFIC PROGRAM OFFERS TO SWITCH FROM ONGOING TO ONE-TIME ONLY, WHICH TOTALED THE 1.6 MILLION, AND THEN ACTUALLY, THE MATH WORKS VERY SIMILAR TO THE CHAIR'S PACKAGE, WITH THE EXCEPTION 6 ADDING \$75,000 FOR THE CULLEY NEIGHBORHOOD, AND

THEN THE COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK'S AMENDMENT TODAY, AND THEN MCKEEL'S HANSEN BUILDING, ARE THE SAME ACROSS BOTH. SO, THAT, IN THE CHAIR'S SIDE, THAT LEAVES, ASSUMING WE HAVE GOT ALL THE MATH RIGHT HERE, IT LEAVES \$950,000 OF ONE-TIME-ONLY MONEY REMAINING AND ESSENTIALLY, WE HAVE PRETTY MUCH ZEROED OUT THE ONGOING. COMMISSIONER SMITH'S PACKAGE LEAVES US WITH 770 OF ONE-TIME ONLY, AND WE'RE SHORT ABOUT \$400,000 OF ONGOING, BUT IN TOTAL, COMES CLOSE TO BALANCING OUT. THERE IS TWO SETS OF LINE ITEMS THAT WE HAVEN'T DEALT WITH, AND SO ONE WAS THE MILITARY CRISIS LINE AND TEEN SERVICES AT \$200,000, SO I'M PUTTING THOSE IN SO WE CAN GO THROUGH THE WHOLE LIST, AND THE REDUCED SHERIFF'S OFFICE TRAINING, SO IF YOU LOOK AT THE CHAIR'S NUMBERS, RIGHT HERE, THERE IS A MISMATCH BETWEEN ONGOING AND ONE-TIME-ONLY. BUT, WE'RE WITHIN A COUPLE OF HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, AND IN THE COMMISSIONER SMITH'S SCENARIO, WHEN YOU INCLUDE THOSE OTHER TWO OPTIONS, WE'RE ABOUT 500,000 SHORT IF YOU IGNORE THE COLOR OF MONEY, SO I HOPE I GOT ALL THAT RIGHT.

Commissioner Shiprack: MADAM CHAIR.

Chair Kafoury: YES.

Commissioner Shiprack: I HAVE A QUESTION, PERHAPS, A CHANGE. THE SHIPRACK PACKAGE, I BELIEVE, THAT'S A RED ITEM. IS IT TAKING IT OUT OF ONE-TIME-ONLY?

Mr. Jaspin: YOU ARE CORRECT, THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: AND MIKE, UNDER CHAIR PACKAGE NUMBER TWO, I DIDN'T SWAP THE ONE-TIME-ONLY IN THE ONGOING FOR THE 500, I JUST CUT IT, BECAUSE IT WAS REDUNDANT, BECAUSE I HAD FOUND \$2 MILLION OF ONGOING SO I DIDN'T NEED THAT 500,000, SO I JUST --

Mr. Jaspin: OH, OK.

Chair Kafoury: AND THEN I HAD NOT, ACTUALLY, MADE AN AMENDMENT TO FUND SUMMERWORKS, THE \$32,000, WHICH IS FOR THE \$15 MINIMUM WAGE. I HAD NOT DONE THAT, BUT IT MAKES PERFECT SENSE THAT THERE IS DOLLARS LEFT OVER TO DO THAT.

Commissioner Smith: AND MADAM CHAIR, I CAUGHT THAT SAME ISSUE, BUT THAT \$500,000 THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT, THAT CAME FROM ONGOING MONEY BECAUSE IT'S ALREADY IN THE RACIAL JUSTICE PACKAGE.

Chair Kafoury: IN THE CHURCH PROPOSED BUDGET IS ONE-TIME-ONLY MONEY.

Commissioner Smith: REALLY? I THOUGHT IT WAS ONGOING.

Chair Kafoury: NO, WE WERE WORKING TO GET ONGOING THROUGH DOING THE CHANGES WITH THE OTHER ISSUES THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER, THAT WAS TO GET IT TO BE ONGOING, BUT WHEN WE COME UP WITH \$2 MILLION, WE DON'T NEED TO HAVE THE \$500 ANY LONGER. DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? I THINK WE STILL NEED A BIT OF WORK ON IT.

Ms. Kieta: AS WE CONTINUE TO REFINE OUR MATH, ON LINE 16, SO CHAIR KAFOURY, YOU HAD PROPOSED NOT A SWAP BUT IT WAS, ACTUALLY, A CUT TO AN EXISTING PROGRAM THAT WAS IN YOUR BUDGET THAT FREED UP AN ADDITIONAL \$500,000 OF ONGOING.

Chair Kafoury: NO, OF ONE-TIME ONLY. THAT'S WHAT THAT SAYS THERE. SORRY, I WASN'T UNDERSTANDING.

Ms. Kieta: SO, ALL THINGS BEING EQUAL, WE'RE VERY CLOSE ON THE CHAIR KAFOURY SCENARIO, AND WE'RE A BIT FURTHER APART ON COMMISSIONER SMITH'S SCENARIO WITH ALL THE OTHER AMENDMENTS.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER BAILEY -- COMMISSIONER SMITH?

Commissioner Smith: SO MADAM CHAIR, ARE YOU SAYING THAT WE'RE BALANCING EVERYTHING FOR PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD? OR BECAUSE IF THAT'S THE CASE, THESE OTHER TWO AMENDMENTS CAME AFTERWARD, SO WHY ARE WE SAYING WE'RE CLOSE IN TERMS OF THE PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD, IF ALL THE AMENDMENTS ARE EQUAL --

Ms. Kieta: SO COMMISSIONER SMITH, I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION, I WAS MERELY REFLECTING THE BOTTOM LINE, NO. 38 IN TERMS OF THE OVERALL BALANCE. AND THE TWO NUMBERS SHOULDN'T BE AS CLOSE TO ZERO AS POSSIBLE. IF THEY NET OUT TO GET US TO A BALANCED PLACE.

Commissioner Smith: WITH ALL THE ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS FROM TODAY, THAT'S WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT?

Ms. Kieta: THAT'S CORRECT.

Commissioner Smith: OK.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER BAILEY.

-s44:30-

Vice-Chair Bailey: THE CHALLENGES OF TRYING TO DO THIS ON THE FLY, YOU HAVE MY SYMPATHIES. NOTHING LIKE TRYING TO DO MATH IN PUBLIC. BUT, I WAS GOING TO MAKE THE COMMENT ABOUT THE 500,000. I THINK IT'S RIGHT

TO ADD THAT BACK IN. EVEN THOUGH WE'RE NOT SWAPPING IT, WE'RE CUTTING IT. MY UNDERSTANDING, AND I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN COMMISSIONER SMITH'S MOUTH BUT ON THE CULLEY AMENDMENT, THAT I THINK, WAS SEPARATE FROM THE PROMISE NEIGHBORHOODS' MOVEMENT SO THAT MIGHT BE IN BOTH COLUMNS, I BELIEVE. AND AS A SEPARATE AMENDMENT. AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT I UNDERSTOOD --

>> Commissioner Smith: WELL, IT IS PART OF THAT PACKAGE THAT'S IN ONE BIG PACKAGE.

>> Vice-Chair Bailey: OK. AND THEN THE -- ON THE BALANCE HERE, DOWN BELOW, WE HAVE POSITIVE 396,610, SO WE HAVE A NEGATIVE BALANCE THERE OF WHATEVER IT IS, YOU KNOW. 300,000 OR SO, 350,000, AND THAT'S PRIMARILY A DERIVATIVE FROM -- WE HAD A 500,000 ADDITION IN ONE TIME ONLY, SO I'M TRYING TO DO THE MATH, 350 PLUS THE 141 BALANCE GETS US TO AROUND THE ZERO THAT WE WERE AT. IS THAT WHERE MY UNDERSTANDING IS OF NOW WHY WE ARE IN THE RED?

>> SO THERE ARE -- THE 500,000 -- IS THIS THE COMMISSIONER MCKEEL'S AMENDMENT THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, TO PUT INTO THE HANSEN'S DIP?

>> Vice-Chair Bailey: CORRECT.

>> SHE PROPOSED OFFSETTING REVENUES FOR THAT. THERE WERE TWO ITEMS BEING HELD IN CONTINGENCY, PENDING THE SECURING OF STATE FUNDING, SO THAT FREED UP PROBABLY TWO-THIRDS OF THAT, AND I THINK THAT THE OTHER OFFSET HAD TO DO WITH THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE REDUCING THE TRAINING BY 200,000 SOME ODD, SO THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN A NET ZERO.

>> Vice-Chair Bailey: SO IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO -- WHAT MOVED THAT NOW WE'RE SEEING A NEGATIVE 350 WHEN WE WERE AT POSITIVE 141 GIVEN THAT EVERYTHING ELSE SHOULD PRETTY MUCH ZERO OUT?

>> Commissioner Smith: IF YOU WOULD JUST DO MY AMENDMENT ALONE, IT PAYS FOR ALL OF MY PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD. SO GIVING ME THE RESPONSIBILITY OF BALANCING EVERYTHING ELSE THAT CAME AFTER THAT, IS NOT REALLY A GOOD DEAL BECAUSE I'M LOOKING AT 2 MILLION. 1600. I FOUND THE MONEY IN THOSE FOUR PLACES. IF YOU BALANCE ME WITH JUST THE MONEY IN THE CHANGES, I'M GOOD.

>> Chair Kafoury: SO COMMISSIONER SMITH, THAT'S AN EXCELLENT POINT THAT THIS SPREADSHEET DOESN'T ADDRESS. WE WERE CONSTRUCTING THIS SPREADSHEET TO ADDRESS KIND OF A NET BOTTOM WHERE WE'RE AT.

>> Commissioner Smith: WITH THE EARL BOYLES, IF YOU WOULD DEAL WITH IT POINT BY POINT, MY AMENDMENT PAYS FOR ITSELF.

>> Chair Kafoury: THAT'S CORRECT

>> Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS SPREADSHEET THAT I REALIZE IS A WORK IN PROGRESS, AND I JUST WANT TO GIVE MY THANK YOU TO YOU, MIKE, FOR PUTTING THIS TOGETHER SO QUICKLY.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: AGAIN, I WANT TO RETURN TO -- I KNOW THAT IT SEEMS A BIT HIGH CENTERED IN LIGHT OF THE CONVERSATION, BUT THERE IS A BALANCE, CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG, MIKE, IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE BOTTOM LINE, BUT THERE IS A POSITIVE BALANCE AVAILABLE IN ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDS WHERE WE RUN INTO A SNAG. IS THAT EVEN IF WE CONVERTED AGAIN, VIOLATING OUR OWN FISCAL POLICY, OUR ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDING, INTO ONGOING PROGRAMS, AND I SUPPOSE WE COULD HAVE A REFRESHER IN WHY IT IS THAT WE DON'T SPEND ONE-TIME ONLY IN ONGOING PROGRAMS. BUT, EVEN IF WE DID THAT, WE WOULD STILL BE 400,000, ROUGHLY, IN THE RED.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: SO WE HAVE -- JUST IN A BROAD SENSE WITHOUT TALKING ANYBODY'S OWNERSHIP OR ANY OF THE -- ANY POLICY, OTHER THAN BROAD BUDGET POLICY, ANY PROGRAM DETAIL, WHAT WE HAVE GOT IS EITHER A 761,000 HOLE, BEST CASE SCENARIO, IF WE STAY WITH OUR FISCAL POLICY, OR A 400,000 HOLE IF WE DEPART FROM OUR OWN FISCAL POLICY, AND I THINK THAT THAT'S A CONVERSATION -- WE'RE GOING TO HAVE, TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION. WE'RE GOING TO AT LEAST HAVE A 400,000 CONVERSATION IF NOT A 761,000 CONVERSATION HERE.

>> SO COMMISSIONER, YOU ARE CORRECT. IT DOES GO BEYOND OUR OWN FISCAL POLICIES IN THAT OREGON BUDGET LAW REQUIRES US TO HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET. SO, WE WILL NEED TO CLOSE THE GAP EITHER WAY ON EITHER SCENARIO.

>> Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION. IN TERMS OF MAKING ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY, SWAPPING ONGOING MONEY SO IT DOESN'T THAT CHANGE MY AMENDMENT TO MAKE THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD WHOLE, ALL OF MY CHANGES ARE COMING FROM ONGOING MONEY, AND THAT IS NOT REFLECTED HERE, SO WHAT'S CAUSING THE IMBALANCE IS EVERYTHING THAT CAME AFTER THAT. IT'S NOT THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD, SO I DON'T WANT THIS TO YOU KNOW, TRYING TO BALANCE -- I SAID THIS BEFORE, I DON'T WANT THIS TO BE BALANCED AGAINST THE

PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE THAT'S NOT THE DEAL. I HAVE IDENTIFIED ONGOING MONEY. AND SO IN TERMS OF THE FISCAL POLICIES AND, YOU KNOW, WHAT WE'RE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING, IN MY AMENDMENT, IT TAKES CARE OF THAT. I DON'T WANT IT TO BE HANGING OUT THERE THAT I'M TAKING MONEY FROM PLACES THAT SHOULDN'T BE.

>> SO THIS IS KIND OF WHERE WE'RE AT RIGHT NOW. BY THURSDAY, WE WILL NEED TO RESOLVE OUR GAPS IN TERMS OF THE VOTING PROCESS. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS, IF THERE IS NO FURTHER CONVERSATION OR DISCUSSION, ON THIS SPREADSHEET ANY MORE, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO THE LAST ITEM THAT WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: BEFORE WE MOVE ON, JUST FOR MY INFORMATION BECAUSE I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH ALL OF THE PROGRAMS, COMMISSIONER SMITH, THAT YOU ARE PROPOSING BE CUT. COULD YOU DO A REFRESHER AND RUN THROUGH THOSE PROGRAMS?

Commissioner Smith: I WILL DO THAT. SO IN TERMS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT, AND YOU HAVE IT AS PACKAGE 2-B, I WISH YOU WOULD PUT IT UP, BECAUSE IT'S ONE PACKAGE, WITH 2A, WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR IS ACTUALLY 1,600, 1,500 IN ONGOING, SO HOW I ACHIEVED THAT, I TAKE THE RECOVERY ORIENTED SERVICE ENGAGEMENT PROGRAM OFFER 2561B, IN ONGOING MONEY, AND I PUT THAT IN ONE-TIME ONLY. THAT'S THE FIRST DEAL. THE SECOND ONE IS THE VIOLENCE PREVENTION INITIATIVE, FOR 323,000, IT IS CURRENTLY IN ONGOING IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, AND I AM JUST MERELY MOVING IT OVER TO ONE-TIME ONLY. THE THIRD PIECE, THE CULTURALLY SPECIFIC YOUTH CASE MANAGEMENT FOR SUN, IS AN ONLY NEW PRIVATE PROGRAM FOR 250,000, AND I AM MOVING IT TO ONE-TIME ONLY. THE FOURTH PIECE, IS THE ATTENDANCE SUPPORT, IT IS AN ONGOING, AND SO I AM SWAPPING IT OUT AND SENDING IT TO ONE-TIME ONLY. NOW, THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT WE CAN DO, KARYNE. WE CAN -- THE CHAIR PUT IN 250,000 TO ERASE THE SUN CHAIR. IS THERE ANYBODY HERE FROM SUN? WE CAN CERTAINLY TAKE THAT OUT. I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT THAT, SO THAT'S WHY I PUT IT INTO ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY.

>> GOOD MORNING. CAN YOU ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN?

Commissioner Smith: THE QUESTION IS, IN MY AMENDMENT, 250 WAS PUT INTO THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET FOR ONGOING MONEY FOR A NEW SUN COORDINATOR. AND HOW I'M BALANCING TO GET THE MONEY FOR THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD IS MOVING THAT FROM ONGOING INTO ONE-TIME ONLY BUT THE EXCEPTION IS, IF YOU DON'T NEED THAT DOLLAR AM, I CAN TOTALLY CUT IT OUT BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THE CHAIR HAS DONE.

>> SO COMMISSIONER SMITH, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE PROGRAM

OFFER YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT WOULD BE CULTURALLY SPECIFIC CASE MANAGEMENT. NOT NECESSARILY STAFFING, UNLESS I'M NOT LOOKING AT THE CORRECT ONE.

Chair Kafoury: THAT IS THE CORRECT ONE.

>> SO IT WOULD BE NEW CULTURALLY SPECIFIC THAT'S WHY I PUT IT OVER TO ONE-TIME ONLY. IT IS A PILOT CURRENTLY RIGHT NOW. AND SO FOR THE MOST PART, WE HAVE PUT PILOTS IN ONE-TIME ONLY AND THE NEXT YEAR IN ONGOING. I DID NOT CUT IT AWAY, AND THE CHAIR'S PROPOSAL THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, IT CUTS IT AWAY. SO, IF THAT IS WHAT SHE WANTS TO DO, WE CAN DO THAT, BUT I'M TRYING TO KEEP IT WHOLE BY PUTTING IT INTO ONE-TIME ONLY.

>> IT'S KINDS OF SIX OF ONE --

>> RIGHT.

Chair Kafoury: MY THEORY WAS THAT IT WAS ORIGINALLY PART OF THE PACKAGE THAT I PUT INTO IS THE BUDGET THAT ADDRESSED SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT WERE OCCURRING WITH THE MILLION DOLLARS THAT HAD GONE TO UNITED WAY, AND IN ADDITION, THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT THE SUPERINTENDENTS HAD CALLED OUT AS A NEED AS WE'RE GOING DEEPER IN OUR SUN SERVICES. IN MY VISION OF HOW WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THE 2 MILLION FOR PROMISED NEIGHBORHOODS, THAT THIS, SINCE I THINK WE HAVE COME TO AN AGREEMENT, WHICH IS REALLY A WONDERFUL MOMENT THAT WE SHOULD ALL BE CELEBRATING, THAT WE'RE IN AGREEMENT THAT WE NEED TO SPEND 2 MILLION OF ONGOING, ON CULTURALLY SPECIFIC SERVICES, THAT IT'S A LITTLE -- IT'S REDUNDANT SO WE CAN -- WE DON'T NEED THAT 250 ANY MORE BECAUSE IT WILL BE, BASICALLY, SPENT IN THE REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT THROUGH THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOODS.

Commissioner Smith: I CAN MAKE A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO MATCH THE CHAIR'S AMENDMENT TO TOTALLY CUT IT OUT. BUT IF YOU THINK WE NEED IT I WILL LEAVE IT ALONE.

>> I AM NEVER GOING TO TURN DOWN MONEY FOR CASE MANAGEMENT. I THINK IT'S WHERE IT COMES FROM AND HOW MUCH CAPACITY WE WANT TO FUND IN THE COMMUNITY, IT SOUNDS LIKE, IS THE POLICY QUESTION FOR THE BOARD.

Commissioner Smith: THE POLICY QUESTION IS THAT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE IN LINE WITH WHAT YOU WANT, AS WELL, BECAUSE IT'S COMING OUT OF YOUR BUDGET. BUT IF YOU WANT ME TO MAKE THE MONEY DECISION, I WILL, DARLING.

>> SO THE QUESTION IS, KARYNE, THAT COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK WAS CONCERNED ABOUT, SHE WANTED TO HAVE A BIT MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRAMS THAT I WAS ATTEMPTING TO FLIP FROM ONGOING TO ONE-TIME ONLY. THE ONLY PIECE THAT I WOULD SAY IS NOT FAIR GAME, AND THAT WOULD BE THE STRIDE PROGRAM. IF THERE WAS GOING TO BE A PROGRAM THAT'S GOING TO BE CUT FROM ONE-TIME ONLY, OF THIS MOVE, I WOULD SAY IN THAT I NEED THAT TO STAY. THE OTHER THREE, YOU KNOW, WE COULD CERTAINLY TAKE OUT, AND I COULD DO A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT, BUT IT APPEARS TO ME THAT THE STRIVE PROGRAM AND THE ROSE RECOVERY NEEDS TO STAY IN ONE-TIME ONLY. BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT MY ORIGINAL AMENDMENT SAYS, MOVE IT FROM ONGOING TO ONE-TIME ONLY AND PUT THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD IN FOR THE 1.5.

Commissioner Shiprack: EXCUSE ME, MADAM CHAIR. I GUESS THE REASON I ASKED IS IT'S A TRUE QUESTION. I AM JUST NOT CLEAR ABOUT WHAT THE MOVEMENT IS -- I'M NOT CLEAR IF WE ARE MOVING ESTABLISHED PROGRAMS FROM ONGOING FUNDING STATUS IN THE CHAIR'S PROPOSED BUDGET OR IN THE CHAIR'S BUDGET, INTO ONE-TIME ONLY STATUS. AND IF THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING, I AM INTERESTED IN THE CONTENT, NOT THE MOVEMENT OF MONEY, BUT NOW I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE CONTENT OF THE PROGRAMS WHICH FOR THESE FOUR PARTICULAR PROGRAMS, SHOULD MAKE THEN SUSCEPTIBLE TO US, UNHINGING THEM FROM A KIND OF INSTITUTIONALIZED STATUS INTO A ONE-TIME ONLY STATUS.

Commissioner Smith: SO, MADAM CHAIR, EVERY ONE OF THESE, 1-4, THESE ARE ALL NEW PILOT PROGRAMS. THEY HAVE NEVER BEEN PAID FOR BEFORE WITH ONGOING MONEY. THE ONLY PROGRAM THAT'S BEEN GOING ON IS THE STRIDE PROGRAM, WHICH IS ON A TWO-YEAR GRANT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. SO, THIS IS, BASICALLY, AN EARLIER, AN EARLY BACKFILL BUT ALL THE OTHER FOUR ARE ALL NEW, ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY, SO I'M NOT TAKING AWAY FROM ANY PROGRAMS THAT ARE ESTABLISHED. I DON'T THINK I NEED TO BE ON THE HOT SEAT, THERE ARE OTHER PROPOSAL THIS IS NOT BALANCING FOR PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD. I HAVE ALREADY GIVEN MY THOUGHTS ON HOW WE SHOULD PAY FOR IT. SO, THIS OTHER, YOU KNOW, THE MILITARY CRISIS LINE, THE MCSO TRAINING, THOSE ARE PLACES THAT NEED TO FIND WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO GET THEIR FUNDING FROM. THEY HAVE NOT IDENTIFIED THOSE. I HAVE IDENTIFIED MINE.

Chair Kafoury: I THINK IT IS FAIR, HOWEVER, THAT WE'RE HAVING A CONVERSATION ON SOME OF THE AMENDMENTS, AND I WOULD JUST LIKE TO MAKE A POINT, WHICH IS THAT WHILE THE NAME MAY HAVE CHANGED ON ONE OF THE PROGRAM OFFERS, THAT COMMISSIONER SMITH HAS PUT TO CHANGE FROM ONGOING TO ONE-TIME ONLY, THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT IT IS A NEW PILOT PROJECT. IN FACT, THE ROSE MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION ENGAGEMENT SERVICES HAS BEEN AN ONGOING PROGRAM. IT IS

ALCOHOL AND DRUG TREATMENT AND MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT FOR HOMELESS YOUTH, AND IN 2013, THE CHILDREN LEVY HAD BEEN FUNDING IT. IT WAS CUT. AND IT CAME TO THE COUNTY. WE ORIGINALLY DID FUND IT AS ONE-TIME ONLY DOLLARS BUT SINCE THAT POINT, IT HAS BEEN FUNDED WITH ONGOING DOLLARS FROM THE COUNTY. SO --

Commissioner Smith: IT WAS NOT FUNDED WITH ONGOING DOLLARS. IT WAS FUNDED WITH ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY. THIS IS THE OLD METAMORPHOSIS PROGRAM, AND WE HAD A CONVERSATION WITH THE COMMISSIONER, AND WE SAID, YOU NEED TO IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL OUTSIDE RESOURCES TO BE ABLE TO FUND THIS. THIS PRO IN METAMORPHOSIS WITH EXPANDING PROGRAM CAPABILITY

Chair Kafoury: WE CAN CHOOSE TO HAVE OUR DIFFERENCES ON THE DISCUSSION. THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT NEEDS TO BE AN ONGOING FUNDING BECAUSE WE CONTINUE TO FUND IT EVERY YEAR, AND THE HOMELESS YOUTH CONTINUUM HAS DECIDED THAT IT IS CRUCIAL FOR THE YOUTH WHO ARE ENGAGED IN SERVICES TO GET THEM THE MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION TREATMENT SERVICES THAT THEY NEED, AND THIS IS WHERE THOSE DOLLARS COME FROM.

Commissioner Smith: EXACTLY, AND THAT'S WHY I'M NOT PROPOSING TO CUT IT. I AM PROPOSING TO MOVE IT OVER TO ONE-TIME ONLY, THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET CAN IDENTIFY IT IN THE ONGOING COLUMN. BUT, FOR THIS PURPOSE, WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO IDENTIFY 1.5 MILLION FOR THE PROMISED NEIGHBORHOOD.

Chair Kafoury: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE SPREADSHEET? KARYNE?

>> CHAIR KAFOURY, WE HAVE, BEFORE WE LEAVE THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, JUST A FEW THOUGHTS FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS. AS WE'RE, AGAIN, TRYING TO PREPARE OURSELVES FOR ADOPTION ON THURSDAY, I APPRECIATE THE DISCUSSION THAT THE BOARD IS HAVING, AND THE VERY DIFFICULT CHOICES. YOU WILL BE, HOWEVER, IF WE DON'T KIND OF HAVE THIS PUBLIC DELIBERATION TODAY, TO CLOSE THE GAP, EITHER WAY, YOU WILL BE ADDRESSING THAT ON THURSDAY ON THE AMENDMENT BY AMENDMENT VOTE, WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS A GAP. WE CANNOT SPEND MORE MONEY THAN WE HAVE. SO, IF FOLKS ARE COMFORTABLE LEAVING THIS WHERE IT IS TODAY, WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE REALLOCATIONS OF RESOURCES.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE ANOTHER COMMENT. I THINK WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT A WAY FOR THOSE PROGRAMS WHO ARE ADDING THE AMENDMENTS TO IDENTIFY WHERE THEY WANT TO TAKE THE MONEY FROM. BECAUSE THAT, I THINK, IS PUTTING THE BIG GAP IN OUR CURRENT BUDGET.

>> SO COMMISSIONER SMITH, THANK YOU FOR THAT. SO, WE WILL BE UPDATING THIS PACKAGE SHEET BASED ON THE CONVERSATION TODAY, AND I, ACTUALLY, THINK THAT WE HAVE IT COVERED, OR AT LEAST I CHECKED IT OFF MY LIST THAT ALL THE THINGS PROPOSED TO DATE NOW HAVE IDENTIFIED FUNDING SOURCES.

Commissioner Smith: IF THEY IDENTIFIED THE FUNDING SOURCE, WHY ARE WE OVER?

>> THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED MORE EXPENDITURE THAN WE HAVE RESOURCES BUT THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED THE COLOR OF MONEY, SO IF IT'S ONE-TIME ONLY ORION GOING.

Commissioner Smith: AND YOU HAVE TO GO DEEPER THAN THAT, WHETHER IT'S ONE-TIME-ONLY OR ONGOING, YOU HAVE TO IDENTIFY OTHER FORMS OF MONEY, WITHIN THE BUDGET OF WHERE TO PAY FOR THIS, LIKE I HAVE IN MY AMENDMENT, SPECIFICALLY. IT'S DEEPER THAN, THIS IS GOING IN ONE-TIME ONLY ORION GOING MONEY. IDENTIFY THE LINE ITEM YOU ARE SWAPPING FOR.

>> THAT'S CORRECT. THE ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS, FOR THE SAME REVENUE SOURCE. RIGHT.

Commissioner Shiprack: I REALIZE THIS IS A BIT OF IMPASSE BUT WITHOUT MORE CONVERSATION, AND IT'S AN IMPORTANT CONVERSATION, AND I REALIZE, I MEAN, IT'S AN IMPORTANT CONVERSATION. IT DOES NOT MEAN IT'S AN EASY CONVERSATION. BUT, IGNORING THE CONVERSATION DOESN'T MAKE THE PROBLEM GO AWAY. AND WE HAVE A PROBLEM, AND IT IS NOT JUST A PROBLEM. IT'S NOT YOUR PROBLEM, COMMISSIONER SMITH. IT IS A BOARD PROBLEM, AND THE PROBLEM IS THAT WE HAVE A -- WE HAVE PRESENTED A BUDGET THAT CURRENTLY IS ABOUT 400,000 MORE THAN THE MONEY AVAILABLE, EVEN ASSUMING THAT WE ARE WILLING TO DISREGARD OUR OWN FISCAL POLICY IN ORDER TO GET WITHIN THAT DISTANCE. BUT, AGAIN, THE TOTAL AMOUNTS THAT WE ARE MISSING THE TARGET BY IS A TINY AMOUNT UP AGAINST THE ENTIRE BUDGET EXERCISE, WHICH REALLY IS A TREMENDOUSLY POSITIVE REFLECTION ON THE WORK THAT THIS BOARD HAS DONE. THOSE OF US SENIORS WHO REMEMBER NADIA --

Chair Kafoury: A PERFECT TEN.

Commissioner Shiprack: SO I THINK THAT WE'RE VERY, VERY CLOSE, AND AT THE SAME TIME, I WOULD RATHER NOT POSTPONE THIS UNTIL THURSDAY. I THINK THAT IT MIGHT BE A FRUIT FULL-TIME TO TAKE A BREAK AND DO SOME MORE MATH ON THE BACK.

Chair Kafoury: ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WAS JUST THINKING OF, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF TELEPATHY, IS THAT WE MIGHT RECONVENE TOMORROW AND HAVE ANOTHER DISCUSSION TOMORROW.

Commissioner Smith: I WOULD PREFER TO KEEP THIS CONVERSATION GOING SO WE CAN KIND OF SETTLE THIS, THIS AFTERNOON. IF WE NEED TO GO FURTHER, WE CAN. AS COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SAID, IT'S NOT GOING TO GO ANYWHERE, AND IF WE WERE TALKING ABOUT FOLLOWING OWN POLICIES, WE NEED TO NOT HAVE DOUBLE STANDARDS BECAUSE OUR POLICY SAYS THAT WE VOTED ON -- YOU WERE NOT HERE, MADAM CHAIR, THAT WE SPEND 50% OF ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY, WHICH WOULD HAVE GIVEN US \$13 MILLION NOW, 15 MILLION GOING TO THE COURTHOUSE. SO, IF WE ARE FOLLOWING OUR POLICIES, THE COURTHOUSE HAS EXCEEDED OUR POLICIES. PLUS, 2 MILLION. SO, IF WE LOOK AT THE POLICIES, WE WON'T HAVE MONEY TO BUDGET THIS. IT WOULD ONLY BE 13 OR 15. BUT INSTEAD, WE HAVE 28. SO, WE CAN'T -- YOU CAN'T USE THE FINANCIAL POLICIES TO FITTED YOUR SCENARIO. IF YOU ARE GOING TO SAY THAT, DO IT ACROSS THE BOARD.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL?

Commissioner McKeel: MIGHT I SUGGEST THAT WE TAKE A BREAK AND RECONVENE AT 2:00. DOES THAT WORK IN PEOPLE'S SCHEDULES?

Commissioner Smith: YES. WE'LL MAKE IT WORK.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER BAILEY?

Vice-Chair Bailey: I THINK A BREAK IS WARRANTED. I AM FINE WITH A MEETING LATER TODAY OR TOMORROW. EITHER WAY, I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I AM UNDERSTANDING WHAT SOME OF THE MOVEMENT IS IN THE BUDGET HERE, AND I AM NOT SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND ALL THE MATH THAT'S HAPPENED, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE GREAT FOR US TO GET A CHANCE TO REVIEW THAT, AND MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE ALL THE MATH RIGHT, AND HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO RECONVENE. IT'S DIFFICULT TO HAVE A DISCUSSION WHEN IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME WHAT THE UNDERLYING FACTS OF THE DISCUSSION ARE. SO, THAT MAY MEAN WAITING AN HOUR OR TWO OR WAITING UNTIL TOMORROW, BUT I THINK THAT WE NEED A SUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF TIME TO FEEL LIKE THE BUDGET OFFICE HAS TIME TO CATCH UP, AND THAT WE HAVE ALL HAD TIME TO SIFT THROUGH THE INFORMATION, UNDERSTAND IT, AND COME PREPARED FOR A DISCUSSION ON IT.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, DOES 2:00 TODAY WORK FOR YOUR CALENDAR?

Commissioner Shiprack: IT WORKS BEAUTIFULLY IN MY CALENDAR.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, IT WORKS FOR ME, TOO. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO BEFORE I FORGET, I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THE EAST COUNTY LIAISON OUT OF CONTINGENCY. KEEP IT NAMED AS THE EAST COUNTY LIAISON AND PUT IT BACK INTO THE BUDGET.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, FOR PROVIDING US WITH A RESOLUTION TO THIS CURRENT IMPASSE. WE WILL RECESS AS OF NOW AND WE WILL RECONVENE TODAY AT 2:00 RIGHT HERE IN THIS VERY SAME PLACE. STAY TUNED. THANK YOU.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was recessed at 11:32 a.m.

Transcript prepared by LNS Captioning and utilized in creating minutes by the Board Clerks. For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at: http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County