

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon
Thursday, August 18, 2016**

REGULAR MEETING

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 9:38 a.m. with Vice-Chair Loretta Smith and Commissioners Jules Bailey, Judy Shiprack and Diane McKeel present.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING, WELCOME TO THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS. TODAY IS THURSDAY, AUGUST 18th, AND WELCOME.

CONSENT AGENDA

- C.1 NOI for A Place for You Grant
- C.2 Budget Modification DCS-01-17 Reclassification of an Engineering Technician 2 Position to an Engineering Technician 3 Position
- C.3 Budget Modification DCS-02-17 Reclassification of a Finance Specialist 2 Position to a Finance Specialist Senior Position
- C.4 Budget Modification DCS-03-17 Reclassifies 2.00 FTE Animal Care Technician Positions to a Program Specialist Positions
- C.5 Budget Modification DCS 05-17 Reclassification of a Project Manager Position to an Administrative Analyst/NR Position
- C.6 Budget Modification DCS 06 17 Reclassification of a 1.00 FTE Planner Position to a Planner Senior Position
- C.7 Budget Modification HD-03-17: Authorizing Two Position Reclassifications Within the Health Department
- C.8 Budget Modification DCA-01-17 Reclass an Engineer 3 Vacant Position to Project Manager in DCA Facilities
- C.9 Budget Modification DCA-02-17 Reclassify a Program Specialist to Data Analyst Sr in DCA Records Management
- C.10 Budget Modification DCA-03-17 Reclass Engineer Services Manager to Facilities Strategic Planning & Projects Manager

C.11 Budget Modification DCM-05-17 Reclassification Within DCM Central Human Resources – Administrative Analyst to Administrative Analyst Senior

Chair Kafoury: MAY I HAVE A MOTION ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR? COMMISSIONER BAILEY MOVES, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SECONDS APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] OPPOSED? THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS APPROVED.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Opportunity for Public Comment on non-agenda matters. Testimony limited to three minutes per person. This is a time for the Board to hear public testimony, not for Board deliberation.

Chair Kafoury: DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT THIS MORNING?

Board Clerk: YES, WE DO. PLEASE COME FORWARD WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME. [READS NAMES]

Ms. Eng: GOOD MORNING, MULTNOMAH COMMISSIONERS. I AM MARY ENG. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE, MISS MARINA BAKER, FOR BEING SO KIND TO ME. I AM NOW TELLING PEOPLE I LOVE YOU, SO DEBORAH KAFOURY I LOVE YOU, JUDY SHIPRACK I LOVE YOU AND DIANE MCKEEL, I LOVE YOU, AND MARINA BAKER, I LOVE YOU, AND MISS MADKOUR, AS WELL. SHE'S NOT HERE YET. I SAW HER WALK IN. I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT I AM FEELING A LITTLE BETTER. OF COURSE THE JUNE 2 ASSAULT FROM OUR MULTNOMAH CRISIS SITUATION WAS VERY DIFFICULT. I AM STILL IN A LOT OF PAIN, BUT I AM GETTING A LOT OF SUPPORT FROM FRIENDS. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE ONTO LARGER ISSUES OF CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS. IN THE CITY HALL CHAMBERS, THE BLOG WHERE I AM POSTING INFORMATION ON THAT IS CORKSCREWGATE I ADDED THE GATE TO KIND OF GIVE IT LIKE A WATERGATE KIND OF A FEELING.

I WAS ALLEGED TO BE BRANDISHING A CORKSCREW AT A CITY HALL MEETING ON JUNE 29, AND I WOULD LIKE TO TESTIFY ON RECORD OR SWEAR MYSELF IN AND SAY NO, I WAS NEVER BRANDISHING A CORKSCREW, BUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE SERIOUS PATTERN OF POLICE BRUTALITY AND PUBLIC EXECUTION OF PEOPLE WHO ARE HOLDING A SNICKER'S BAR OR A WALLET OR A WRENCH OR A SCREWDRIVER, ALLEGING THAT I AM BRANDISHING SOME KIND OF WEAPON TO ME IS A LICENSE TO GIVE POLICE SORT OF A GREEN LIGHT TO ISSUE BRUTALITY ON ME. I WAS COVERED IN BRUISES. THIS IS AFTER TELLING MAYOR HALES THAT BENJAMIN PICKERING BECAME VERY PSYCHOTIC AND ATTACKED ME AND ALMOST KILLED ME INCLUDING A CHOKE MOVE AROUND MY NECK AS WELL AS A CRUSHING MOTION TO SNAP MY NECK AND GIVE ME EXTREME AMOUNTS OF WHIPLASH Ms. Eng: ON JUNE 2, SO WHEN

A PERSON WHO HAS BEEN VOLUNTARILY ATTEMPTING OR ATTEMPTING TO DEAL WITH PROJECT RESPONSE AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH UNIT, MULTNOMAH CRISIS, CLATSOP BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, NUMEROUS HOSPITALS, NUMEROUS PROFESSIONALS, NONE OF WHOM WOULD HELP ME, INCLUDING PROVIDENCE E.R. OR THE OFFICER WHO THREATENED TO ARREST ME, THE LAST THING THAT I NEED IS FOR SOME POLICE TO ASSAULT ME, BUT I THINK THAT MAYOR HALES REALLY STEPPED OUT OF LINE WHEN HE ASKED JOHN CHANDLER OF THE G4S CORPORATION TO LIE ON A 9-1-1 ALLEGING THAT I HAD A CORKSCREW, AND THAT GREENLIGHTED TODD ENGSTROM TO COVER ME WITH BRUISES, AND ALISON TOOK OFF MY NECK BRACE. THEY RIPPED MY SHOULDERS TO THE POINT OF MY BACK ALMOST BREAKING. FINGERPRINTS OF BRUISES ALL OVER MY ARMS. TWISTED AND CRUSHED MY THUMB UNTIL MY THUMB IS STILL PAINING ME ON THE BUS OVER HERE, AND MANGLED MY HANDS AND FINGERS. ANYWAY, NICE TO SEE YOU ALL.

Mr. Lightning: YES, I AM LIGHTNING. I REPRESENT LIGHTNING WATCHDOG PDX. I TRIED TO DO PUBLIC COMMUNICATION LAST WEEK PERTAINING TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF, AND FOR WHATEVER REASON I WAS UNALLOWED TO DO THAT AT THE TIME. SO TODAY ONE OF MY CONCERNS WAS, IS THAT AS YOU KNOW, WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT ELECTED OR APPOINTED SHERIFF, AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WANT TO SAY RIGHT NOW IS THAT I THINK THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF REESE AT THIS TIME IS A GOOD CHOICE, AND WHY I AM SAYING THAT IS FROM MY POSITION THAT HE DID HAVE SOME ISSUES AT THE CITY OF PORTLAND AS THE POLICE CHIEF BUT I WANT GOING TO GIVE HIM THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT AND PUT THAT BEHIND HIM AND LEAVE IN THAT OVER AT THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND GIVE HIM THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT TO START OUT FRESH OVER HERE AND TO LEARN FROM HIS EXPERIENCES AT THE CITY OF PORTLAND THAT WE HAVE SEEN OVER THERE ON WHAT HAPPENED TO A LOT OF THE POLICE CHIEFS OVER AT THE CITY OF PORTLAND OVER TIME. BUT HE'S BACK TO THE TABLE, OBVIOUSLY, BECAUSE HE ENJOYS THE WORK. TO DO SOMETHING POSITIVE WHERE MOST PEOPLE WOULD HAVE TURNED AWAY PROBABLY BY THIS TIME AND SAID IT'S JUST TOO DIFFICULT TO EVEN BE IN THESE POSITIONS.

I AM WATCHING VERY CLOSE ON WHO MIGHT STEP UP TO GO AGAINST HIM. IF THERE IS GOING TO BE ANY PEOPLE THAT WILL DO THAT AT THIS TIME DUE TO THE FACT OF SOME OF THE SITUATIONS FROM THE OTHER SHERIFF. AGAIN MY POSITION ON THE LAST SHERIFF WAS UNTIL HE'S PROVEN GUILTY ON CERTAIN THINGS I DON'T LIKE -- I THINK THAT PORTLAND TRIBUNE OVERREACHES ON HOW THEY DO THEIR BUSINESS. IN MY OPINION YOUR STORIES ARE JUST COMICAL TO ME. I THINK THAT AS A MEDIA PUBLICATION MR. PAMPLIN, PORTLAND TRIBUNE, SOME OF YOUR REPORTERS ARE JUST INCOMPETENT. WHEN THE SHERIFF HAS STEPPED AWAY, MR. STATON HAS STEPPED AWAY, YOU WANT TO CONTINUE TO THROW HIM IN THE MEDIA. I THINK THAT IT'S INAPPROPRIATE. I THINK THAT IT'S INAPPROPRIATE UNTIL HE'S FOUND GUILTY ON THESE CHARGES, AND I THINK THAT PORTLAND

TRIBUNE JUST TRIES TO COME UP WITH STORIES THAT ARE JUST ALMOST COMICAL. I THINK THAT IT'S INCOMPETENT OF THE PORTLAND TRIBUNE. THANK YOU.

Mr. Walsh: GOOD MORNING. I AM JOE WALSH, AND I REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS FOR JUSTICE. I DON'T KNOW IF ANY OF YOU SAW THE MOVIE, AND JUSTICE FOR ALL. IT WAS AN AL PACINO MOVIE IN 1979. THAT'S WHEN MY SHIRT SAYS. I WEAR THIS SHIRT BECAUSE IN THE MOVIE AL PACINO HAS A NERVOUS BREAKDOWN. HE'S A LAWYER, AND HE HAS A TOTAL BREAKDOWN, AND HE POINTS TO THE JUDGE. HE SAYS NO. I AM NOT IN CONTEMPT. YOU ARE. THIS WHOLE SYSTEM IS IN CONTEMPT. YOU ARE OUT OF ORDER. I WAS ON MY FEET WHEN HE SAID THAT. ONLY THE WAY AL PACINO COULD SAY IT. AND WHAT HE WAS SAYING WAS THE WHOLE SYSTEM WAS BROKEN. THAT'S 37 YEARS AGO FOLKS. IT'S WORSE TODAY THAN IT WAS THEN. I GOT UP THIS MORNING AND I THOUGHT ABOUT IT AND I SAID ALL THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE JOE AND ALL THE HOURS THAT YOU PUT IN ON A VOLUNTEER BASIS BY THE WAY, WE DON'T GET PAID FOR WHAT WE DO HERE. NOBODY IS PAYING US. WE DO IT BECAUSE WE BELIEVE IN JUSTICE FOR ALL. WE REALLY BELIEVE THAT. SOMEBODY SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE CONVINCED US THAT WE SHOULD HAVE JUSTICE FOR ALL. WE HAVE 2,000 PLUS ON OUR STREETS.

JUST READ AN EMAIL BECAUSE THERE WAS A WOMAN WITH CHILDREN AND NOW SHE WAS EVICTED AND ON THE STREET WITH THE KIDS, AND I JUST WENT GOD! ALL THIS WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE, AND THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS? WHY? I CAN'T BLAME YOU. WHO DO I BLAME? DO I BLAME THE SYSTEM? I DO NOT FIGHT FOR ME. I AM ON MY LAST FEW YEARS. I DO NOT FIGHT FOR ME. I FIGHT FOR MY GRANDDAUGHTERS. I FIGHT FOR MY GRANDSONS. THAT'S WHO I FIGHT FOR. IT SCARES THE HELL OUT OF ME WHAT'S GOING ON IN THIS COUNTRY. PLEASE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE HOMELESS QUESTION. RIGHT NOW WAREHOUSING THEM IN TERMINAL ONE IS A NO. IT SHOULD BE A NO. YOU CANNOT WAREHOUSE HUMAN BEINGS. I DON'T CARE WHAT YOU CALL IT. A CAMPUS? WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO HAVE CLASSES? IT IS NOT A CAMPUS. IT'S A WAREHOUSE. THAT'S WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. AND WHEN YOU GET PEOPLE WITH 50 OR 60 OF THEM, THEY HAVE NO PRIVACY. THEY WANT PRIVACY. GET THEM IN APARTMENTS LIKE OTHER CITIES HAVE DONE. AND YOU GUYS HAVE SAID NOTHING ABOUT TERMINAL ONE. NOTHING! WHERE ARE YOU? AND I TOLD YOU THAT WE WOULD FIGHT YOU ON THIS, AND THIS IS A SCREW-UP. A MAJOR SCREW-UP. THEY WANT TO SPEND 100 MILLION ON TERMINAL ONE AREA. THEY ARE SPENDING MONEY ALREADY. YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO BE THE LEAD AGENCY IN THIS, AND HAVING SALTZMAN IN CHARGE OF HOUSING IS LIKE HAVING IN CHARGE OF MUSIC. THAT'S HOW BAD IT IS. I KNOW I AM OVER.

Ms. Eng: NOTICE THE BRUISES ON JOE WALSH'S ARMS FROM HIS 6/27 ASSAULT FROM WHICH I CAME DOWN TO BE ASSAULTED MYSELF. I WAS NOT EXPECTING THAT. I WANTED TO PROTECT HIM.

Mr. Marschke: GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. I AM GARY MARSCHKE, AND THIS IS A STORY OF THE POWER OF PRIVILEGE AND THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE. ON JUNE 9 OF THIS YEAR, THREE PRIVILEGED MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MARCHED INTO THE OFFICE OF CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT AND FIRED THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. THEY DID THIS WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE, APPROVAL OR AUTHORITY FROM THE REST OF THE NOT SO ENTITLED NOT SO PRIVILEGED COMMITTEE MEMBERS, SETTING IN MOTION A SERIES OF EVENTS THAT THREATEN THE VERY EXISTENCE OF THAT COMMITTEE. SUBSEQUENTLY THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE REFUSED TO RECOGNIZE THE FIRING AND INITIATED ACTIONS TO REMOVE THE MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE THAT PERPETRATED IT. AND SINCE THEN, BARRIERS HAVE BEEN THRUST IN THEIR PATH BY KNOWS FEW, AND INTENT ON OBSTRUCTING THE COMMITTEE FROM THE MISSION, TRANSPARENT, INCLUSIVE AND EQUITABLE COMMUNITIES ENGAGEMENT IN COUNTY POLICY AND BUDGET DECISION-MAKING. MANY OF THOSE OBSTACLES WERE PERPETRATED BY THIS BODY, SPECIFICALLY CONTINUOUSLY DELAYING THE LEGITIMATE APPOINTMENTS TO THE COMMITTEE AND EVEN INSISTING THAT BOTH NEW AND EXISTING MEMBERS BE SOME HOW VETTED, WHICH CAN ONLY PRESUME HAND-PICKED BY THE BODY THAT THE VOTERS MANDATED THE COMMUNITY BE INDEPENDENT FROM.

THIS IS CLEARLY NOT TRANS-PARTICIPANT, INCLUSIVE AND EQUITABLE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AS THE VOTERS INTENDED. ESTABLISHED IN THE COUNTY CHARTER IN 1985 TO PROVIDE THAT VERY MEANS FOR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, IT NOW SEEMS APPARENT THAT THE VOICE OF PRIVILEGE IS THE ONLY ONE BEING HEARD WHILE THE REMAINING VOICES, THE MAJORITY OF THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE ARE BEING BULLIED, MANIPULATED, IGNORED, AND ULTIMATELY SILENCED. SUCH IS THE POWER OF PRIVILEGE. THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE ALSO HAS, AT LEAST ACCORDING TO THE CHARTER IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY SUCH AS THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE, SO I ASK YOU WILL PRIVILEGE BE ALLOWED TO PREVAIL? WILL THIS ERNEST DIVERSE AND COMMUNITY DRIVEN ATTEMPT AT TRANSPARENT AND INCLUSIVE AND EQUITABLE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT BE RELEGATED TO A CONSTANT -- DISTANT MEMORY.

YOU, THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS HAVE A GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY TO SUPPORT THEM AND TO CHOOSE INCLUSIVE TRANSPARENT AND EQUITABLE RATHER THAN PERPETRATING EXCLUSIVE, OPAQUE AND DISCRIMINATORY. I CALL ON THIS BODY TO DO THE RIGHT THING BY FULFILLING THE DUTY AS OUTLINED BY THE VOTERS IN THE COUNTY CHARTER AND GIVE AT LEAST EQUAL VOICE TO THOSE UNHEARD AND UNDERREPRESENTED VOICES, AND NOT TO SIMPLY BOW TO THE POWER OF PRIVILEGE. I CALL ON THIS BODY TO APPROVE THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE. THESE VOLUNTEERS CARE TO STEP UP AND BE COUNTED. INSTEAD OF ONCE AGAIN TELLING THEM THAT THEY DON'T COUNT. THEY ARE THE VOICE OF PRIVILEGE, THAT'S WHAT

REALLY COUNTS. I CALL ON THIS BODY TO CARE ENOUGH TO BE BRAVE ENOUGH TO CHOOSE THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE OVER THE POWER OF PRIVILEGE. THANK YOU.

Board Clerk: MADAM CHAIR WE HAVE TWO OTHER PEOPLE SIGNED UP. PLEASE COME FORWARD WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME. [READS NAMES]

Mr. Cardinale: GOOD MORNING, THIS IS MATTHEW CARDINALE, CITIZEN ADVOCATE, ON TUESDAY I ATTENDED THE OPEN MEETING OF THE REMAINING MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE, OR CIC. I OBSERVED THE EFFECT OF YOUR UNNECESSARY, HARMFUL AND OUTRAGEOUS CONTINUED DELAYS TO CARRY OUT YOUR OBLIGATION UNDER COUNTY CODE 3.251 TO CONSIDER THE MEMBER NOMINEES YOU ALL HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE CIC. NOT ONLY HAVE YOU HELD UP THE APPOINTMENTS AND THE REAPPOINTMENTS CAUSING THE MEMBERSHIP TO REACH THE LOW NUMBER OF FIVE, BUT JEAN, WHO STATED PUBLICLY ON TUESDAY, THAT SHE WAS APPOINTED CLARE BY JENNY MADKOUR, OUR COUNTY ATTORNEY, AND NOW SAYS THAT MISS MADKOUR HAS OPINED PUBLICLY THAT THE REMAINING MEMBERS ARE TO TAKE NO ACTION INDEFINITELY BECAUSE OF THE LOWER NUMBERS, NO ACTION.

EVEN THOUGH THE CIC BY-LAWS SAY A QUORUM IS A MAJORITY OF THE MEMBERS, SO A QUORUM OF FIVE IS THREE, AND THEY COULD HAVE ACTED. AND TWO OF MY FRIENDS WHO ARE AFRICAN-AMERICAN WHOSE VOICES ARE NEEDED GOT UP AND WALKED OUT BECAUSE OF THE DISRESPECT BACKED UP BY THE WEIGHT OF THE GOVERNMENT, AND THAT'S WHAT I NEED YOU ALL TO UNDERSTAND BECAUSE YOU ARE LIVING IN A LA-LA LAND AND MY COMMISSIONER CAN LOOK DOWN IF HE WANTS TO. BUT MS. MADKOUR MAY BE GIVING RECOMMENDATIONS BACKED UP BY THE WEIGHT OF YOU HOLDING UP THESE NOMINEES INDEFINITELY. TWO MONTHS SO FAR. THAT SOUNDS LIKE DURESS TO ME. YOU ARE CREATING THE CONDITIONS OF DURESS WHICH HAVE UNDERMINED THE CITIZEN'S ABILITY TO MAKE INDEPENDENT DECISIONS. YOU ALL HAVE BAKED A MULTI-LAYER CAKE OF DISEMPOWERMENT. IF YOUR GOAL WAS TO UNDERMINE THE VOICES YOU COULD NOT HAVE HASHED OUT A BETTER PLAN.

THERE IS NOTHING -- NOT A SINGLE THING ABOUT THE NOMINATING PROCESS THAT HAS BEEN ADDRESSED. IF YOU NEED TO HIRE MARY MARGARET TO HELP YOU GET CLEAR ON THAT, THAT'S FINE. THE CITIZENS HAVE DONE THEIR DUE DILIGENCE WEEKS AGO INCLUDING SECOND LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION. THE APR, IF YOU HAD APPROVED IT, WOULD HAVE CREATED THE MOST DIVERSE CIC IN HISTORY. THE MECHANISMS TO ADDRESS ALL OF THESE ISSUES WERE WITHIN THE CIC BY-LAWS INCLUDING THE MECHANISM TO AMEND THOSE BY-LAWS. THAT'S WHAT A TRULY CITIZEN, INDEPENDENT CITIZEN BODY IS ABOUT, BUT YOU PREVENTED THAT FROM

HAPPENING THROUGH YOUR TACTICS AND NOW DISEMPOWERMENT BY WAY OF LEGAL OPINION.

Mr. Cardinale: YOU MAY THINK THAT YOU CAN WAIT FOREVER BUT SONNY AMOS IS APPALLED AND WILL NOT JUMP THROUGH HOOPS TO SERVE AS A VOLUNTEER, SO IN REALITY, YOU WILL END UP SOON WITH AN EMPTY SHELL. IS THAT WHAT YOU WANT? MAYBE IT IS. I TOOK MY NAME OFF THE APR ON JUNE 30 WHEN YOUR DELAY BECAME OUR FOREMOST PROBLEM. BUT I DON'T NEED TO BE A MEMBER TO MAKE SURE THAT THE CIC REACHES ITS POTENTIAL AS A VOICE FOR OUR CITIZENS, AND THAT IS WHAT I WILL CONTINUE TO DO. HAVE A LOVELY DAY.

Mr. Johnson: GOOD MORNING. THE IF MY NAME WAS JULES BAILEY I WOULD NEED TO BE PUNCHED IN THE NOSE, BUT MY NAME IS CHARLES JOHNSON. CITIZENS WATCHING THIS ON VIDEO SHOULD OBSERVE THE CONDUCT OF THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THE LAST THREE MINUTES. IT'S REPULSIVE THAT ONLY THE WOMEN CAN BEHAVE WITH PROPER ATTENTION FOR CITIZEN EXPRESSION DURING THIS MEETING. WE HAVE A LAME DUCK PROBLEM IN THE CITY. IT'S OVER THERE IN CITY HALL, AND IT'S RIGHT HERE IN THIS CHAMBER. SO I AM NOT AS FAMILIAR WITH THIS CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT ISSUE. I KNOW THAT PEOPLE WHO WANT TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THE OFFICE OF CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT AS OPPOSED TO THE COMMISSION CAN GO TO MULTCO/OCI BUT AFTER THE PRESENTATION OF THE LAST TWO PEOPLE, I HOPE WHEN WE COME BACK NEXT WEEK WE WILL SEE THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE ON THE AGENDA. OBVIOUSLY IT WILL BE CONTENTIOUS BUT MISS KAFOURY, YOU DON'T ALWAYS SHY AWAY FROM CONTENTIOUS THINGS.

I HOPE THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE CONTINUING IN YOUR DUTIES FOR YOUR VARIOUS DISTRICTS IN THIS CHAIR WILL OPEN UP THIS SPACE AND TRY AND RESOLVE THE SERIOUS CONCERNS THE PAST TWO PRESENTERS HAVE PRESENTED ABOUT THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE. AS WE LOOK OVER TODAY'S AGENDA I THINK THAT THERE IS ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT EVEN THOUGH SOME PEOPLE HAVE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET. OTHERS DON'T. WE HAVE A RESOLUTION VACATING A PORTION OF A PUBLIC ROAD. THIS IS NOT THE BIGGEST COUNTY IN OREGON. THERE IS STILL A LOT OF PUBLIC ROADS. IT WOULD BE BETTER IF THERE WAS CLOSER COORDINATION BETWEEN YOUR OFFICES AND THE CLERK SO THAT THE EXACT LOCATION OF THE PUBLIC ROAD WAS RIGHT THERE ON THE FIRST PAGE OF THE AGENDA, AND THE CITIZENS DIDN'T HAVE TO DIG FOR IT.

THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN NATURALLY IS TOO BIG FOR HUMAN BEINGS TO ADDRESS BUT WE'RE GOING TO TRY ANYWAY. ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES IS BEING SUED BECAUSE THEY ARE TRYING TO HELP -- THAT'S ON R.2, AND YOU SHOULD PROBABLY GO AHEAD AND DO THE TAX FORECLOSED LAND TRANSFER ANYWAY. IT TIES IN WITH WHAT OTHERS IN THE PUBLIC HAVE PRESENTED, AND THAT IS THE CITY, OUR WHOLE CITY AND COUNTY RIGHT

NOW IS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF IT'S GOOD OR BAD TO LET 400 PEOPLE GO INSIDE TERMINAL 1. NEXT YEAR THERE MAY BE A MEGA-MILLION DOLLAR EXPENDITURE PROJECT TO HOUSE THE HOMELESS THERE BUT THIS WINTER WE NEED YOU TO ENCOURAGE MARK TO WORK CLOSELY WITH DAN SO THAT JUST OVER THE WINTER THERE IS 400 PEOPLE INSIDE WITH ACCESS TO TEMPORARY HEAT, SHELTERS AND SUCH AS THAT. THANK YOU.

REGULAR AGENDA

R.1 Resolution Authorizing the Chair to Execute a Lease of the Kelly Building Located at 4747 E. Burnside St., Portland, OR for Certain Operations of the Multnomah County Sheriff. Presenters: Jason Gates and Madeline Herrle.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES. COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R1. GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Herrle: GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. I AM MADELINE HERRLE THE LEASING AGENT FOR THE COUNTY.

Chief Deputy Gates: JASON GATES, CHIEF DEPUTY, SHERIFF'S OFFICE REPRESENTING SHERIFF REESE. GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

Ms. Herrle: I AM HERE THIS MORNING TO SEEK APPROVAL OF A THREE-YEAR LEASE WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND IN THE KELLY BUILDING, WHICH THE COUNTY USED TO OWN FOR THE SHERIFF'S OCCUPANCY, A PORTION OF THAT. A LITTLE HISTORY ON THIS PROPOSAL WHICH IS IT'S BEEN A VERY RAPID PROCESS BUT WHEN THE CITY AND THE LEADERSHIP WE'RE TALKING ABOUT RESOLVING WHAT TO DO WHEN THE SHELTER CLOSED THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT BUILDINGS WERE IN EACH MUNICIPALITIES' PORTFOLIO THAT COULD BE OCCUPIED. THE HANSEN BUILDING WAS ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS. THE KELLY BUILDING, I THINK WASN'T ON THE LIST YET.

THERE WAS A DECISION MADE TO ACCOMMODATE IN THE HANSEN BUILDING SOME OVERFLOW FROM THE SHELTER. AT THAT JUNCTURE WE NEEDED TO FIND A LOCATION FOR THE SHERIFF TO VACATE THE BUILDING AND OCCUPY. SO THE KELLY BUILDING WAS PUT FORTH AS A SOLUTION WHICH ACTUALLY WORKS OUT VERY WELL. THE LEASE WAS PROPOSED 3,000 SQUARE FEET FOR THEM TO USE BUT 8,000 SQUARE FEET IS SHARED BETWEEN THE SHERIFF AND THE POLICE FOR INTAKE, CONFERENCE ROOMS, AND OTHER PUBLIC AREAS THAT THEY SHARE, SO THIS LEASE BECAUSE IT EXCEEDS 100,000 IN ANNUAL RENT NEEDS TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

Vice-Chair Smith: IS IT NORMAL TO DO THE LEASE AFTER THE FOLKS OCCUPY IT?

Ms. Herrle: NO. ACTUALLY IT WAS A RAPID PROCESS IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE JULY 22 DEADLINE FOR FOLKS COMING OUT OF THE SHELTER DOWNTOWN. WE ENDEAVORED TO COMPLETE IT BEFORE THEN AND BECAUSE OF THE SCHEDULE THAT IS REQUIRED FOR GETTING ON THE AGENDA AND SO FORTH IT WAS UNFORTUNATELY MOVING QUICKLY SO NO, IT'S NOT NORMAL.

Vice-Chair Smith: OK. JUST CURIOUS TO KNOW WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF WE SAID NO AND THEY HAD TO LEAVE.

Ms. Herrle: OF COURSE. THE CITY I WANT TO ADD HAS BEEN EXTREMELY HELPFUL IN MAKING THIS ALL WORK QUICKLY. I DO APPRECIATE THEIR HELP. I KNOW THAT IT'S BEEN A VERY GOOD COOPERATION BETWEEN THE CITY POLICE AND THE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, AS WELL.

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS?

Commissioner McKeel: WOULD YOU SAY HOW MANY SQUARE FEET THE SHERIFF HAS IN THIS BUILDING?

Ms. Herrle: YES. SO THE SOLE OFFICES, THERE IS 3,000 SQUARE FEET, AND THEN THEY SHARE ABOUT 8400 SQUARE FEET SO 4200 IF YOU SPLIT IT DOWN THE MIDDLE OF SHARED SPACE. AND THEY ALSO HAVE PARKING AS WELL ALLOCATED PARKING.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

Board Clerk: WE HAVE ONE PUBLIC TESTIMONY ON THIS MATTER.

Chair Kafoury: GREAT, THANK YOU. ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD? ANYTHING ELSE FROM THE COMMISSIONERS? THANKS FOR COMING.

Ms. Johnson: THANK YOU COMMISSIONERS. FOR THE RECORD I AM CHARLES JOHNSON AND UNFORTUNATELY I DIDN'T HAVE TIME TO ONLINE MAP THIS. MAYBE YOU ALREADY KNOW FROM THE INFORMATION YOU HAVE IN YOUR PACKET AND I WOULD APPRECIATE IF YOU CAN CONFIRM. THIS IS THE PROPERTY ON THE NORTH SIDE OF BURNSIDE THAT HAS UNDERGROUND PARKING STRUCTURE AND HAS BEEN USED VARIOUSLY BY THE POLICE AND THE COUNTY FOR HOUSING THE LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES. IT'S A RATHER SUBSTANTIAL OLD BUILDING, AND IT MIGHT NEED 100,000 WORTH OF REPAIRS BUT IT'S A PUBLIC FINANCE STRUCTURE THAT'S BEEN AROUND FOR A LONG TIME SO I HOPE THAT THE 100,000 IS TOTALLY UNRELATED TO MARKET RENT. IT'S EXISTING PUBLIC FACILITY INVOLVED, AS THE COUNTY TRIES TO DO BETTER ABOUT HOUSING PEOPLE SO I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A

NEO LIBERALISM THING GOING ON OVER AT THE CITY HALL WHERE EVERY BUREAU HAS TO PRETEND LIKE THEY OWN PROPERTY, AND IT'S NOT THERE FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD BUT THERE FOR THAT BUREAU TO MAXIMIZE THE REVENUE AND MINIMIZE THE EXPENSES.

Mr. Johnson: I DON'T THINK THAT ALWAYS BRINGS US TO THE BEST RESULT FOR THE BROADER SCOPE OF THE CITIZENS. HOW DO WE KNOW THAT? BECAUSE IT LEAVES 2000 CITIZENS SLEEPING OUTSIDE. SO I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WILL HAVE TIME IN YOUR REMARKS TO ADDRESS THE BASIS FOR THE 100,000 EXPENSE. WE NEED TO SPEND A LOT OF MONEY TO HELP HOMELESS PEOPLE BE INSIDE, AND 100,000 IS JUST A SMALL TRICKLE BUT IT MIGHT HELP BETTER SOMEWHERE ELSE. BUT I KNOW THAT THERE IS THIS WEIRD INTERGOVERNMENTAL THING WHERE MONEY NEEDS TO BE TRANSFERRED TO GET A BUREAU OR AGENCY TO DO THE RIGHT THING.

Chair Kafoury: IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH MY STAFF, CHRISTIAN RIGHT OVER HERE, CAN ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS. THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. OPPOSED. THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.2 Resolution and Public Hearing Authorizing Transfer of a Tax Foreclosed Property to the City of Portland Bureau of Environmental Services. Presenter: Mike Sublett, Tax Title Property Coordinator, DART.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES AND COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R2.

Mr. Sublett: GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. MIKE SUBLETT, TAX TITLE PROPERTY COORDINATOR FOR THE DIVISION OF ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION. WE BRING YOU THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE TRANSIT CENTER OF THE TAX FORECLOSED PROPERTY TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS APPROXIMATELY TWO-THIRDS OF AN ACRE, 30,000 SQUARE FEET AT THE 8700 BLOCK ALONG JOHNSON CREEK IN THE SPRING WATER CORRIDOR, AND IT FRONTS ALONG THE CREEK. MULTNOMAH COUNTY TOOK TITLE TO THIS THROUGH TAX FORECLOSURE ON 2014. THE BOARD ORDERED FOR THE MARCH 23 SALE BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE STARTED DISCUSSIONS WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND ABOUT POSSIBLE USES AND SALES, AND IN FACT, THIS HAS LONG BEEN A TARGETED PROPERTY BY THE CITY OF PORTLAND BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. LET ME EMPHASIZE THE LOCATION IS ALONG JOHNSON CREEK.

Mr. Sublett: IT'S PART OF THE TARGET AREA FOR HABITAT RESTORATION, FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS. SO IT WAS A HAPPY COINCIDENCE. AS IT WERE WE HAD IT ON THE TAX ROLL THE 27,500. THEY HAD AN INDEPENDENT APPRAISAL AT 25,000. WE PULLED IT FROM THE SALE IN

ORDER TO COMPLETE A SALE, TRANS-GOVERNMENT TRANSFER TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND BUREAU OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FOR THOSE PURPOSES. IN THE PAST THIS IS A PROPERTY THAT WE WOULD HAVE, IN ALL LIKELIHOOD, DONATED TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND, BUT WITH THE CHANGES IN ORS275275 IN CHAPTER 7 WE ARE NOW WORKING TO MARKET THESE PROPERTIES AND RECEIVE VALUE FOR THEM WITH THE NET PROCEEDS BEING SENT TO THE DEDICATED AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY THAT WAS EFFECTIVE AS OF JANUARY 1. IF YOU APPROVE TODAY WE WILL TRANSFER THE PROPERTY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AND CERTAINLY AM AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR I HAD A COMMENT. I SUPPORTED WHAT YOU ARE DOING. THIS IS GREAT.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.

Board Clerk: WE HAVE ONE PUBLIC TESTIMONY.

Mr. Lightning: YES, I AM LIGHTNING AND I REPRESENT LIGHTNING WATCHDOG PDX. AGAIN I WANT TO COMMEND MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR YOUR EFFORTS ON HOW TO HANDLE FORECLOSURES AND SURPLUS PROPERTIES. I THINK THAT YOUR DECISION TO USE CHAPTER 7 ORDINANCE 275 AND DISTRIBUTED THIS MONEY TOWARDS AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS THE WAY THAT IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE IN THE PAST. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE APPRAISALS DONE ON THE PROPERTIES TO CONFIRM THOSE VALUES, AND THEN I LIKE TO SEE THE MONEY TRANSFERRED INTO AREAS THAT CAN BE UTILIZED THROUGHOUT MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I THINK THAT YOU HAVE IT RIGHT OVER HERE. THE CITY OF PORTLAND HAS IT WRONG ON THEIR FORECLOSURES. THEY USE THEIR CODE ENFORCEMENT NUISANCE LIENS TO THROW SOME HOMEOWNERS INTO FORECLOSURE, AND THEN THEY EXERCISE THE RIGHT TO PURCHASE THE PROPERTY THEMSELVES BEFORE IT GOES THROUGH THE FORECLOSURE PROCESS.

I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT ON BEING IMPARTIAL ON THE TRANSACTION WHERE YOU ARE IMPARTIAL. YOU SEPARATE YOURSELVES FROM TRYING TO PURCHASE THESE PROPERTIES AT A DISCOUNT, TRANSFERRING THEM TO CERTAIN NONPROFITS WITH BEHIND CLOSED DOORS MEETINGS THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE BEFORE THESE TRANSACTIONS GO THROUGH, AND YOU CLEARED YOURSELF FROM WATCHDOGS SUCH AS MYSELF THAT WILL COME BACK WITH LITIGATION TO STOP PEOPLE BEING TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF WHO ARE LOSING THEIR HOMES WHO ARE IN DISTRESS WHO MAY HAVE MENTAL ILLNESS WHO MAY BE SENIOR CITIZENS WHO NEED SUPPORT AND HELP THROUGH THE COMMUNITIES AND NOT LOSE THEIR EQUITY, NOT LOSE EVERYTHING THAT THEY HAVE AND WALK AWAY AND FEEL AS THOUGH THEY

HAVE BEEN TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF BY THE SAME PEOPLE THAT NEED TO BE STANDING UP FOR THESE PEOPLE AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WAYS TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY CAN STILL KEEP GOING FORWARD.

Mr. Lightning: MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAS BEEN ABLE TO DO THIS AND MAKE SURE THAT THE FUNDS DO GO BACK INTO AFFORDABLE HOUSING AREAS. THE CITY OF PORTLAND HAS FAILED. I WANT CITY OF PORTLAND TO BEGIN TO TRANSFER THE MONEY INTO THE HOUSING INVESTMENT FUND. I WANT CITY OF PORTLAND TO GET FULL MARKET VALUES FOR THESE PROPERTIES. AND I WANT CITY OF PORTLAND TO STOP BEHIND CLOSED DOORS WITH NONPROFITS WAITING TO TRY TO TAKE THESE PROPERTIES AT NO VALUE FOR THEIR OWN PURPOSES, WHICH IS NOT BEING IMPARTIAL AND I WANT TO SEE THAT CHANGE AND I WANT TO WATCH THIS VERY CLOSELY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.3 Resolution Vacating a Portion of Public Road Pursuant to ORS 368.326 to 368.366. Presenters: Patrick Hines, Transportation Permit Specialist and Courtney A. Lords, Assistant County Attorney.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES AND COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.3. GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Lords: GOOD MORNING. I AM COURTNEY LORDS WITH THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. THIS IS UNIQUE BECAUSE IT APPLIES TO THE WEST END RAMP OF THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE CONSTRUCTED IN 1976 BUT WAS CLOSED TO VEHICULAR TRAFFIC IN 2001. IT HAS CURRENTLY OPERATED AS A SEPARATE AREA FOR BICYCLES AND PEDESTRIANS. IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF IS THE NEW COUNTY COURTHOUSE WE ARE HAVING TO VACATE THAT AREA TO HELP TO PUT THE COURTHOUSE THERE. WHAT MAKES THIS ROAD VACATION UNIQUE IS THAT THE PETITION CAME FROM US, THE COUNTY, FROM THE COUNTY ROAD OFFICIAL. BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT WE OWNED THE ENTIRE BLOCK 8 THE ADJOINING LANDOWNERS OF THE COUNTY, SO WE HAVE 100% APPROVAL FROM THE ADJOINING LANDOWNERS.

AS A RESULT OF THAT NO PUBLIC HEARING IS NECESSARY. WHAT WE DO HAVE IS WE HAVE COMPLIANCE WITH ALL THE REQUIREMENTS FOR ROAD VACATION PETITION FROM THE COUNTY. WE ALSO HAVE A COUNTY ROAD OFFICIAL REPORT WHICH WAS FILED AND STATES THAT IT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO VACATE THIS PORTION OF THE ROADWAY. THEN THE FINAL STEP OF THIS IS BECAUSE THIS IS IN THE CITY WE NEED TO COMPLY WITH ORS-368.361 SUB3, AND THAT REQUIRES THE CITY OF PORTLAND TAKE SEPARATE ACTION CONCURRING WITH THE COUNTY'S ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION. SO IF YOU APPROVE THIS RESOLUTION TODAY WE WILL PROCEED WITH

WORKING WITH THE CITY AND ON ADOPTING A SIMILAR RESOLUTION OR ORDINANCE. ANY QUESTIONS?

Commissioner Shiprack: I GOT TO SAY SOMETHING. I HAVE GOT TO SAY THAT EVERY STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION IS A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. YOU CAN MAKE THAT INTO A BUMPER STICKER I SUPPOSE AND ATTRIBUTE IT TO ME.

Chair Kafoury: ALL RIGHT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES]. THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED. THANK YOU.

R.4 Briefing on Proposal to Adopt a New County Comprehensive Plan, Including a New Proposed Transportation System Plan. Presenters: Rich Faith, Sr. Planner; Michael Cerbone, Planning Dir.; and, Joanna Valencia, Transportation Planning Manager, LUP.

Chair Kafoury: THIS IS A NON-VOTING ITEM SO WE WILL BE VOTING NEXT WEEK BUT THIS IS A BOARD BRIEFING. I APPRECIATE YOU ALL COMING TODAY. GOOD MORNING.

Mr. Cerbone: GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. I AM MICHAEL CERBONE, THE PLANNING DIRECTOR FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I AM PLEASED TO INTRODUCE THE PLAN AND THE PLAN FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY. THESE PLANS REFLECT THE LONG-TERM VISION FOR THE RURAL AREAS OF THE COUNTY, AND THE 20-YEAR VISION ARTICULATED WITHIN THE PLANS IS IMPORTANT AS IT PROVIDES THE PUBLIC POLICY THAT SERVES AS THE FOUNDATION WITHIN THE RURAL AREAS OF THE COUNTY OVER THE COMING YEARS. WE COULD NOT HAVE ARRIVED WHERE WE ARE TODAY WITHOUT THE COMMUNITY PROCESS. I WANT TO THANK THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE PROCESS. THANK YOU.

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO THANK MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE WHO DEDICATE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF THEIR TIME TO CAPTURE AND SHAPE THE SENTIMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY. WE WOULD NOT BE WHERE WE ARE TODAY WITHOUT THE CAC'S DEDICATION TO AND INVOLVEMENT IN THE PROCESS. I WANT TO THANK THOSE MEMBERS. AND I ALSO WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE CONTRIBUTION AND LEADERSHIP OF THE STAFF MEMBERS WHOM WERE KEY TO OUR SUCCESS. [THANKS INDIVIDUALS]. PLEASE ALLOW ME TO INTRODUCE RICH WHO IS A SENIOR PLANNER AND JOANNA, TRANSPORTATION PLANNING MANAGER, WHO WILL TALK ABOUT THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN FOR THE RELATIVE COMMUNITY.

Mr. Faith: GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION. WE HAVE QUITE A BIT OF INFORMATION THAT WE WANT TO COVER THIS MORNING,

AND SO I AM GOING TO BE MOVING VERY RAPIDLY THROUGH OUR PRESENTATION, AND AS WELL JOANNA IN ORDER THAT WE CAN LEAVE TIME FOR QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION AT THE END. SO HERE'S AN OVERVIEW OF THE POINTS THAT WE WANT TO COVER IN TODAY'S PRESENTATION, AND AS I SAID WE ARE GOING TO NEED TO MOVE RAPIDLY THROUGH THEM. AS MIKE MENTIONED THE PROJECT TEAM CONSISTED OF BOTH THE COUNTY PLANNING STAFF AND A CONSULTANT TEAM THAT BROUGHT A VARIETY OF EXPERTISE TO THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT. IN TERMS OF WHY WE DID THIS PLAN UPDATE AND WHY IT WAS NECESSARY, IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT OUR CURRENT PLAN IS NEARLY 40 YEARS OLD, AND IT WAS ORIGINALLY ADOPTED IN 1977. AND ALTHOUGH THERE HAVE BEEN SOME ISSUES SPECIFIC AMENDMENTS OVER THE YEARS THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A COMPLETE UPDATE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, AND SO MUCH OF THE OUTDATED INFORMATION AND FOCUS OF THAT PLAN NEEDS TO BE CORRECTED.

THE REVISIONS WILL BE NECESSARY IN ORDER TO REFLECT THE CURRENT TIMES TO INCLUDE THE VARIOUS RURAL AREA PLANS THAT WE ALSO HAVE AS PART OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND AS PART OF THE PROJECT WE DID UPDATE THE RURAL AREA PLANS AND INCORPORATED INTO THIS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, AND OF COURSE THE PLAN WILL GUIDE FUTURE LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION DECISIONS FOR OUR RURAL AREAS. THE PROJECT INCLUDED AN UPDATE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND THE AREAS RURAL PLANS AND THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN WHICH JOANNA WILL SPOKE TO, AND OUR ZONING CODE ITSELF IS PART OF THE PLAN UPDATE. IN TERMS OF THE SCHEDULE, THIS WAS DESIGNED AS A TWO-YEAR INITIATIVE. IT WAS KICKED OFF IN LATE 2014 WITH THE SERIES OF PUBLIC OPEN HOUSES, AND AT THAT TIME WE ALSO APPOINTED OUR CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE WHICH PLAYED A MAJOR ROLE IN THIS UPDATE PROCESS. THE CAC THERE MET CONTINUOUSLY OVER A 15-MONTH PERIOD AND THEY COMPLETED THEIR WORK IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR.

THEIR RECOMMENDATION WENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT CONDUCTED THE HEARING IN MAY, AND THAT RECOMMENDS IS NOW BEING FORWARDED FOR CONSIDERATION IN ADOPTING PLANS. THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE WAS THE CENTERPIECE OF THIS COMP PLAN PROCESS. IT WAS COMPRISED OF 16 MEMBERS THAT WERE APPOINTED BY THIS BOARD, AND IT WAS SUPPLEMENTED WITH TWO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT SERVED AS EX OFFICIO NON-VOTING MEMBERS. THE 16 MEMBERS OF THE CAC PROVIDED EQUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE EAST AND WEST UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AND BROUGHT A VARIETY OF PERSPECTIVES TO THE TABLE. AS I MENTIONED EARLIER THEY MET MONTHLY OVER A PERIOD OF 15 MONTHS FOR A TOTAL OF 15 MEETINGS AND BROKEN INTO VARIOUS SUBCOMMITTEES IN COMBINATION MET A TOTAL OF 19 TIMES. THE PRIMARY ROLE OF THE CAC WAS TO CRAFT THE POLICIES THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PLAN, BUT THEY ALSO PROVIDED OVERALL PROJECT GUIDANCE.

Mr. Faith: IN ADDITION TO OUR ADVISORY COMMITTEE THERE ARE OTHER TYPES OF OUTREACH THAT WE USED OVER THE COURSE OF THE PROJECT, AND WE HAD VARIOUS COMMUNITY MEETINGS IN THE COMMUNITY AT VARIOUS MILESTONES HELD IN BOTH THE EAST AND WEST PARTS OF THE COUNTY. WE HAD A DEDICATED WEBSITE IN WHICH THE ANNOUNCEMENTS WERE POSTED AS WELL AS ALL THE DOCUMENTS GENERATED OVER THE COURSE OF THIS PROJECT. WE ATTENDED A VARIETY OF MEETINGS SUCH AS NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS AND THE CAC MEMBERS THEMSELVES HOSTED SEVERAL MEETINGS PARTICULARLY OUT IN THE EAST COUNTY AREA AND REACHED OUT TO VARIOUS LOCAL STATE AND REGIONAL FEDERAL AGENCIES FOR THEIR INPUT AND REVIEW OF THE PROJECT OR OF THE PRODUCTS SO THAT WE COULD BE SURE THAT THEY ALIGN WITH THE REGULATIONS THAT THOSE AGENCIES ADMINISTER. IN HE REMEMBERS IT OF HOW THE PLAN IS ORGANIZED, THE ORDER OF THE CHAPTERS GENERALLY FOLLOW THOSE THAT THE PLAN MUST ADDRESS, AND ANOTHER KEY PART OF THE PLAN IS THE COUNTY AND RURAL AREA VALUES AND VISIONS AND CHARACTER STATEMENTS TAKEN FROM THE EXISTING RURAL AREA PLANS AND UPDATED AS NECESSARY.

IN EACH OF THE CHAPTERS, IT IS ORGANIZED IN THE SAME MANNER SO THERE IS CONSISTENCY IN HOW THEY ARE LAID OUT AND CAN BE READ. THE FOCUS ON THIS PLAN IS THE POLICIES. THEY REALLY FORM THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PLAN. HOWEVER, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OBJECTIONS THAT WE FELT THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS IN DOING THIS UPDATE. AMONGST THEM WAS TO COMBINE AND STREAMLINE THE DOCUMENTS THAT WAS -- THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AS WELL AS THE VARIOUS RURAL PLANS, AND WE ALSO NEEDED TO BE AWARE OF COMPLYING WITH STATE LAWS AND ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AS WE PROCEEDED ON THIS PROJECT. WE WANTED TO INTEGRATE OTHER COUNTY INITIATIVES THAT ARE OUT THERE AS MUCH AS THE CLIMATE ACTION PLAN, MITIGATION PLAN AND OUR EQUITY LENS. AND WE LOOKED AT ALL OF OUR EXISTING POLICIES AND ELIMINATED THOSE OUTDATED AND NO LONGER RELEVANT, AND, WE WANTED TO RESPOND TO THE COMMUNITY CONCERNS AND PRIORITIES THAT ARE OUT THERE AT THIS TIME.

THE END RESULT OF THIS WAS THAT THE CIC CAME UP WITH NEARLY 400 POLICIES AND STRATEGIES THAT ARE CONTAINED IN THE PLAN. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE KEY ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE CONTAINED IN THIS PLAN. ON THE TOPIC OF FARM AND FOREST LANDS A KEY ISSUE WAS TO MAINTAIN THE STANDARDS THAT EXCEED STATE MINIMUMS. AND THIS WAS AN IMPORTANT POINT THAT WE PUT IN FRONT OF OUR COMMITTEE AND TO THE PUBLIC AT LARGE RECOGNIZING THAT IN A FEW INSTANCES THE COUNTY EXCEEDS THE STATE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS AND WHETHER OR NOT THOSE SHOULD BE PULLED BACK. THE CONSENSUS OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE WAS THAT THEY DO WANT TO MAINTAIN THESE

STANDARDS IN THOSE INSTANCE WHERE IS THEY EXCEED THE STATE MINIMUMS.

Mr. Faith: THIS IS PARTICULARLY THE CASE WITH RESPECT TO THE STANDARDS PERTAINING TO THE DWELLINGS IN OUR FARM AND FOREST LANDS. ANOTHER NOTABLE ISSUE THAT CAME UP WAS THAT OF AGRI-TOURISM WHICH REFERS TO AGRICULTURAL PROMOTIONAL EVENTS AND CONDUCTED, OR NOT HELD IN CONCUSSION WITH A FARM STAND OR A WINERY. AND RECENT STATE LAW PROVIDES COUNTIES THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADOPT THE PROVISIONS FOR AG TOURISM EVENTS, AND IN ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE THE CIC DECIDED THAT WE DO NOT, OR THEY DID NOT WANT TO ADOPT AGRICULTURAL TOURISM PROVISIONS IN THE WEST COUNTY BUT THEY DID FEEL THAT THEY WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IN THE EAST COUNTY WITH THE RESTRICTION SAYS AND THE POLICY DIRECTS US TO LOOK AT THOSE RESTRICTIONS AND THE REGULATIONS THAT WOULD COME FROM THAT.

IN THE CATEGORY OF LAND USE AND HOUSING THE POLICIES EMPHASIZE A REPEATED THEME OF MAINTAINING RURAL CHARACTER, AND THAT IS ACCOMPLISHED BY PROHIBITING THE NEW USES AND INCREASED DENSITIES PER THE RURAL RESERVES RULE OR POLICY WHICH IS A POLICY ALREADY ADOPTED IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND BEING CARRIED INTO THE NEW PLAN. THE MAINTAINING OF THE RURAL CHARACTER WOULD ALSO BE ACCOMPLISHED BY NOT ALLOWING ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS IN THOSE LIMITED AREAS WHERE THE STATE LAW WOULD PERMIT US TO HAVE THEM. THE POLICIES SPECIFICALLY SAYS NOT TO PERMIT ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS. AND ON THE ISSUE OF THE CODE ENFORCEMENT THE POLICIES AND THE PLAN CALL FOR FAIR AND CONSISTENT CODE ENFORCEMENT BUT WITH SOME FLEXIBILITY I THINK THAT A NOTABLE STRATEGY HOWEVER IS DIRECTING US TO LOOK AT THE FINDS THAT ARE BEING IMPOSED AND TO POTENTIALLY INCREASE THE FINES TO DISCOURAGE WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE CODES.

UNDER THE CATEGORY OF PUBLIC FACILITIES PARKS AND RECREATION, THERE ARE SEVERAL NOTABLE POLICIES THAT ADDRESS A RANGE OF SUBJECTS. AMONG THEM IS THE POLICY THAT WOULD PROHIBIT THE UTILITY FACILITIES IN A RURAL, IN OUR RURAL AREAS INTENDED TO SERVE THE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND REVERING SPECIFICALLY TO THE DEVELOPMENT INSIDE THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND THAT THE PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE SUCH AS WATER RESERVOIRS OR ELECTRO SUBSTATIONS SHOULD NOT SERVE THOSE DEVELOPMENTS INSIDE. ALSO A POLICY THAT WOULD REQUIRE UNDER-GROUNDING OF PERSONAL SERVICE LINES FOR NEW AND REPLACEMENT DEVELOPMENT. THERE IS A POLICY ON THE REC'S CATEGORY OR THE PARKS AND REC CATEGORY ABOUT BALANCING THE NEED FOR PARKS AND TRAILS WITH NATURAL RESOURCE PROTECTION GOALS, PRIMARILY WILDLIFE. THIS HAS BEEN A BIG ISSUE ON THE WEST SIDE.

Mr. Faith: I AM GOING TO SPEAK MORE ABOUT THAT LATER ON IN THE PRESENTATION. FINALLY, A KEY RECOMMENDATION IS TO EXPLORE REST-STOP FACILITIES FOR BICYCLISTS ALONG THE MOST HEAVILY USED TRAVEL ROUTES. THIS WOULD NOT COMMIT THE COUNTY TO ACTUALLY HAVING TO BUILD THOSE BUT IT DOES WANT US TO PARTNER WITH OTHER AGENCIES SUCH AS ODOT OR OREGON PARKS AND RECREATION TO ANSWER THE QUESTION OF WHO, WHAT, WHERE, AND HOW THE FACILITIES MIGHT BE CONSTRUCTED. LOOKING AT HISTORY AND CULTURAL RESOURCES, PLANNED POLICIES DIRECT THE COUNTY TO PLAY A MORE ACTIVE ROLE BY ESTABLISHING A HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROGRAM WHICH INCLUDES A NUMBER OF THINGS, AMONG WHICH WOULD BE TO ADD A PROCESS TO OUR DEVELOPMENT CODE FOR THE HISTORIC LANDMARK DESIGNATION OF PROPERTIES WHEN REQUESTED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER.

HISTORICALLY DESIGNATED LANDMARKS WOULD THEN QUALIFY FOR AN ADAPTIVE REUSE OF THAT PROPERTY WHERE POSSIBLE AND WHEN IT CAN BE DEMONSTRATED THAT THE USE WOULD PRESERVE AND REUSE THAT HISTORIC LANDMARK. AND OF COURSE WE DO HAVE POLICIES THAT DIRECT US TO CONTINUALLY WORK WITH AND COORDINATE WITH THE STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES, NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES, TO PROTECT THE CULTURAL RESOURCES. MOVING ONTO THE NATURAL RESOURCES. POLICIES IN THIS AREA PRIMARILY PERTAIN TO THE RETENTION OF OUR EXISTING POLICIES BUT TO STREAMLINE THEM WHERE POSSIBLE. THERE ARE POLICIES TO UPDATE THE RESOURCE INVENTORY AND MAPS TO REFLECT THE CURRENT DATA FOR BOTH STREAMS AND WILDLIFE HABITAT. THIS IS NEW INFORMATION THAT IS BEING GENERATED BY THE VARIOUS AGENCIES AND WOULD SUPERSEDE OUR CURRENT INVENTORY IN MAPPING. IN CONJUNCTION WITH ADOPTING THE NEW INVENTORY WE WOULD ALSO BE REQUIRED TO CONDUCT AN ESE ANALYSIS WHICH STANDS FOR ECONOMIC SOCIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY ANALYSIS, WHICH IS REQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW IN ORDER TO ALLOW USES THAT MAY CONFLICT WITH THE RESOURCE.

THERE IS POLICY TO CONSIDER THE EXPANSION OF OUR SCENIC VIEW OVERLAY DISTRICT WHICH CURRENTLY APPLIES ONLY ON THE EAST SLOPE OF THE WEST HILLS AND POLICY DIRECTS US TO EXPLORE OR CONSIDER EXPANDING THAT OVERLAY TO THE WEST SLOPE. THIS HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF NUMEROUS COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC SINCE THIS MATTER HAS BEEN PUT THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION. FINALLY, ONE ADDITIONAL NOTABLE POLICY I DID NOT INCLUDE THERE IN THIS SLIDE IS TO EXPLORE AMENDMENTS TO LIMIT THE SIZE AND THE BUILDING FOOTPRINT OF HOUSES IN OUR ENVIRONMENTAL OVERLAY FOR WILDLIFE IN ORDER TO MINIMIZE THE HARM TO WILDLIFE HABITAT. THE NEXT SLIDE IS A MAP TO GIVE YOU A GENERAL PICTURE OF THE ADDITIONAL AREAS THAT WE WOULD BE TALKING ABOUT UNDER THE POLICIES. ON THIS PARTICULAR MAP THE ORANGE LINEAR LINES REFLECT THE STREAMS THAT ARE ALREADY

REGULATED UNDER OUR ENVIRONMENTAL OVERLAY. THE BLUE REPRESENT ADDITIONAL STREAMS THAT ARE NOT CURRENTLY PROTECTED THAT WOULD BE CANDIDATES TO BE DESIGNATED AS SIGNIFICANT AND THEN WOULD BE SUBJECT TO OUR ENVIRONMENTAL OVERLAY REGULATIONS.

Mr. Faith: THIS PARTICULAR MAP SHOWS THE WEST SIDE ON SAUVIE ISLAND AND THE WEST HILLS. THE NEXT MAP SHOWING THE EAST SIDE WHICH IS A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT TO SEE BECAUSE OF THE SCALE BUT THERE ARE ONLY A FEW LIMITED AREAS WOULD BE WEST OF THE SANDY RIVER AND IMMEDIATELY ALONG THE SANDY RIVER ARE A FEW ISOLATED AREAS THAT ARE SHOWN CURRENTLY NOT REGULATED THAT WOULD BE CANDIDATES TO DO SO. ANY OF THE BLUE AREAS SHOWN IN THE COLUMBIA GORGE SCENIC AREA WOULD NOT BE CANDIDATES FOR THIS BECAUSE WE TREAT THE GORGE SCENIC AREA DIFFERENT FROM THE REMAINDER OF THE COUNTY. AND THEN LOOKING AT WILDLIFE MAPPING HERE IN THE WEST AREA, THE ORANGE AREA ON THE MAP REFLECTS THE LANDS CURRENTLY COVERED BY OUR ENVIRONMENTAL OVERLAY FOR WILDLIFE HABITAT.

THE HATCHED AREAS THERE REPRESENT ADDITIONAL LANDS, SOME OF WHICH ARE NOT REGULATED THAT WOULD BE THE POTENTIAL CANDIDATES FOR APPLYING THE SEC OVERLAY BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS SIGNIFICANT WILDLIFE HABITAT. MOST OF THESE AREAS THAT ARE SHOWING THE HATCH UP HERE OR OCCUR BETWEEN HIGHWAY 30 AND THE COLUMBIA SLOUGH. THERE IS A FEW THAT ACTUALLY THEN GO BEYOND THE SLOUGH ONTO SAUVIE ISLAND AS WELL. THESE ARE THE AREAS THAT WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT UNDER THIS POLICY FOR APPLICATION OF THE OVERLAY. AND IN THE EAST COUNTY AREA, THE HATCHED AREAS ARE PRIMARILY THIS IN THE NORTHERN NORTHWESTERN CORNER, MOST OF WHICH ACTUALLY ARE WITHIN THE GORGE SCENIC AREA AND WOULD NOT BE SUBJECT TO THIS POLICY, BUT THOSE THAT ARE NOT CURRENTLY COVERED BY OUR WILDLIFE HABITAT OVERLAY WOULD BE CANDIDATES FOR APPLYING THAT REGULATORY DISTRICT.

MOVING ON TO THE NATURAL HAZARDS AND UNDER THIS CATEGORY THERE ARE POLICIES THAT WOULD DIRECT THE COUNTY TO APPLY THE HILLSIDE DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY TO AREAS THAT ARE COVERED BY THE NEW STATE DATA. THERE IS NEW INFORMATION THAT'S COME OUT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY AND INDUSTRIES, MOST OF THE AREAS BEING MAPPED BY THAT AGENCY ARE AREAS HAVING HISTORICAL LANDSLIDES THAT MAY NOT NECESSARILY BE STEEP SLOPE AREAS BUT HAVE EXPERIENCED LANDSLIDES IN THE PAST. THE POLICY ALSO DIRECTS US TO UPDATE THE FLOOD HAZARD MAPPING WHICH IS PROVIDED BY FEMA UNDER THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM SO WE WOULD BE UPDATING THOSE MAPS AS THEY ARE GENERATED BY THAT FEDERAL AGENCY.

Mr. Faith: THEN WE HAVE THE POLICY ABOUT EXPANDING THE WILDLIFE PROTECTION POLICIES AND STANDARDS TO AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN MAPPED BY THE STATE AS FIRE PRONE. WE CURRENTLY APPLY PROTECTIVE MEASURES OR WILDLIFE PROTECTION STANDARDS IN OUR COMMERCIAL FOREST USE ZONE BUT NOT IN OTHER ZONES. MAPPING THAT WE ARE GETTING FROM THE STATE ENCOMPASSES THE ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES THAT ARE NOT OUTSIDE OF THE CFU AND THIS PARTICULAR POLICY WOULD DIRECT US TO LOOK AT THOSE AND TO APPLY WILDLIFE PROTECTION STANDARDS TO THOSE AREAS AS WELL.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR I HAVE A QUESTION. COULD YOU TELL ME WHICH AREAS THOSE WILL EXPAND TO?

Mr. Faith: THE NEXT SLIDE WILL SHOW YOU COMING UP HERE. AND THE FINAL POINT UNDER NATURAL HAZARDS IS A POLICY THAT DIRECTS US TO INVESTIGATE THE POSSIBLE DEVELOPMENT RESTRICTIONS IN THE AREAS THAT HAVE HIGH PROBABILITY OF LIQUEFACTION IN A MAJOR EARTHQUAKE. AND THEN TO YOUR QUESTION, COMMISSIONER SMITH. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS THESE AREAS THAT I AM TALKING ABOUT.

THE SALMON AND BLUE HATCHED AREAS ON THIS MAP WHICH IN THIS SLIDE IS THE WEST HILLS SAUVIE ISLAND ARE THOSE THAT THE POLICY WOULD DIRECT US TO LOOK AT FOR THE APPLICATION OF OUR HILLSIDE DEVELOPMENT OVERLAY. MANY OF THESE PROPERTIES ARE ALREADY SUBJECT TO THAT BECAUSE THEY ARE ALREADY STEEP SLOPES EXCEEDING 25% BUT IT MAY CAPTURE SOME OTHER AREAS AS WELL, AND THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT TO SEE HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL AREA WOULD BE ENCOMPASSED BY THIS.

Vice-Chair Smith: WHAT ARE THE POCKETS THAT WE'RE NOT CURRENTLY IN THE WILDLIFE PROTECTION?

Mr. Faith: THE WILDLIFE PROTECTION? OK. YET TO COME. I HAVE GOT TO GET TO THAT ONE. NOT THIS MAP. LOOKING AT THE EAST SIDE WE'RE LOOKING AT THE SALMON COLORED AND THE BLUE AREAS ARE THOSE MAPPED AS LANDSLIDE HAZARD AREAS. OK. LET'S GO BACK TO YOUR QUESTION PARTICULARLY WHICH OF THE HAZARDS WAS IT THAT YOU WANTED TO --

Vice-Chair Smith: I DON'T HAVE MY GLASSES AND I DON'T KNOW WHICH AREAS THAT THEY ARE. CALL THEM OUT BY NEIGHBORHOOD OR CITY. I SEE THEM ON THE MAP BUT I DON'T KNOW WHO THEY REPRESENT. YEAH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT ARE THOSE AREAS.

Mr. Faith: YOU KNOW I APOLOGIZE. I DON'T HAVE A MAP IN THIS PRESENTATION THAT SHOWS THE WILDLIFE-PRONE AREAS.

Chair Kafoury: MAYBE YOU COULD SCHEDULE TIME TO MEET WITH COMMISSIONER SMITH.

Mr. Faith: WE CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT.

Mr. Cerbone: WE CAN FOLLOW-UP WITH YOU AND PROVIDE THAT.

Commissioner Shiprack: I HAVE A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. AS LONG AS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MAPS ARE THESE MAPS AVAILABLE TO US OR TO OUR CONSTITUENTS TO RESIDENTS TO INVESTIGATES AND RESEARCHER ON A FINER LEVEL IN MORE DETAIL, MORE GRANULARITY?

Mr. Faith: CURRENTLY, THESE MAPS ARE THE BEST THAT WE HAVE. WE HAVE TAKEN THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE AGENCIES THAT HAVE DONE THIS MAPPING FOR THE PURPOSES OF OUR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. WE WILL BE ABLE TO GENERATE THEM IN OTHER FORMS, YOU KNOW, WHERE THEY COULD PROBABLY BE BLOWN UP AND EVEN OVERLAYING ON A PARCEL LAYER, AND WE HAVE NOT DONE THAT YET AS PART OF THIS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BUT THAT WOULD CERTAINLY BE SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD DO WHEN WE GET TO THE POINT OF LOOKING AT ACTUALLY IMPLEMENTING THIS AND LOOKING AT SPECIFIC PROPERTIES THAT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE NEW REGULATION.

Mr. Cerbone: COMMISSIONER THE ANSWER IS YES. IF FOLKS WANT TO COME IN AND LEARN MORE ABOUT THEIR PROPERTY SORE PROPERTY THEY SEEK TO PURCHASE WE CAN PROVIDE THEM A BETTER SCALE SO THEY CAN UNDERSTAND HOW POTENTIALLY IT IMPACTS THEM.

Commissioner Shiprack: IT'S KIND OF HYPOTHETICAL. IT DOES NOT REALLY BECOME APPLICABLE TO REAL LAND USE, AND SO YOU CAN PUT YOUR PIN ON THE MAP AND SAY THIS IS WHERE I WANT TO BUILD MY HOUSE.

Mr. Cerbone: YOU ARE CORRECT. AND NOW WITH THE INFORMATION WE CAN PROVIDE THAT IF THEY CAME INTO THE COUNTER, WE ARE OPEN BETWEEN 8:00 AND 4:00, TUESDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, SO WE CAN HELP THEM TO FIND THAT INFORMATION THEN. AND WE CAN ALSO GIVE THEM MORE DETAILED INFORMATION IF THEY COME IN FOR A PREFILE MEETING WHICH IS A MEETING THAT WE CONDUCT WITH THEM TO GIVE THEM INFORMATION TO ASSIST THEM WITH PREPARING THEIR APPLICATIONS.

Commissioner Shiprack: I AM SORRY TO BE SO TIRESOME. BUT THE MAPPING FASCINATES ME AND SEEMS THAT IT'S A GREAT UTILITY, AND ALSO THAT THE TECHNOLOGY EXISTS. SO IF WE ARE NOT THERE RIGHT NOW, I WOULD LIKE TO JUST KIND OF PUT SOMETHING ON THE DASHBOARD THAT I THINK ONE OF THE GOALS SHOULD BE TO HAVE THESE MAPS BE ACCESSIBLE ONLINE TO

RESIDENTS AT THEIR CONVENIENCE FOR WHATEVER PURPOSE, CURIOSITY OR YOU KNOW SOMETHING MORE PRACTICAL.

Mr. Cerbone: AND WE DO HAVE AN ONLINE MAPPING PROGRAM SO I CAN WORK WITH OUR FOLKS TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS AVAILABLE OR TOWARDS MAKING IT AVAILABLE IF THERE IS A HURDLE THAT WE NEED TO OVERCOME.

Commissioner Shiprack: I APPRECIATED THAT.

Commissioner McKeel: I WANT TO FOLLOW-UP ON THAT. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR PEOPLE TO KNOW, BUT HOW WILL THE PEOPLE KNOW THAT THEY CAN COME IN AND GET THESE MAPS OR THEY CAN LOOK ONLINE AND GET THE MAPS? WE HAVE PEOPLE IN THESE AREAS THAT HAVE LIVED THERE FOREVER, AND IT'S OK IF YOU WANT TO BUILD SOMETHING OUT THERE BUT IF YOU LIVED THERE HOW DO YOU KNOW YOUR RISK FOR WILDFIRES OR EARTHQUAKES OR WHATEVER?

Mr. Cerbone: AND IT'S PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PROCESS SO IF YOU DID WANT TO CONSTRUCT SOMETHING ON THE PROPERTY, YOU ARE GOING TO REACH OUT AND DO THAT DUE DILIGENCE. PRIOR TO CHANGING ANY DESIGNATIONS WHICH IS WHAT RICH ALLUDED TO EARLIER WITH SOME OF THAT WILDLIFE MAPPING, BEFORE WE CHANGED ANY ACTUAL ZONING OR OVERLAY ON SOMEONE'S PROPERTY WE WOULD NEED TO PROVIDE NOTICE TO THEM SO THAT THEY WOULD KNOW THAT THERE IS A NEW STANDARD THAT WOULD APPLY TO THAT PROPERTY.

Commissioner McKeel: I AM JUST THINKING THAT PEOPLE THAT LIVE THERE, HOW DO THEY KNOW TO COME AND LOOK FOR THIS INFORMATION? ON YOUR WEBSITE OR, HOW DO WE REACH THEM?

Mr. Faith: WELL I THINK THAT THE IMPORTANT POINT IS IF AND WHEN WE GET TO THE POINT WHERE WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO CONSIDER AMENDING THE ZONING MAPS WHICH IS WHAT WILL AFFECT THOSE PROPERTIES WE ARE GOING TO BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PERSONAL MAILED NOTICE TO THEM SO THAT'S DOWN THE ROAD, OF COURSE, BUT AT THIS POINT THESE MAPS ARE AT A SCALE WHERE IT'S JUST GIVES YOU A GENERAL IDEA WHERE THESE PROPERTIES WOULD BE. IT'S A GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO POINT OUT THAT WE'RE NOT ADOPTING NEW MAPS THAT WOULD APPLY TO PEOPLE'S PROPERTY THAT WOULD CHANGE REGULATIONS ON THEIR PROPERTY WITH THIS PROCESS. WHAT RICH IS TALKING ABOUT IS A FURTHER STUDY WHERE WE WOULD EXAMINE WHETHER WE WANTED TO DO THAT, AND PRIOR TO THAT OCCURRING THAT WOULD HAVE TO COME BEFORE THIS BODY TO ACTUALLY PROVE THROUGH AN ORDINANCE.

Commissioner McKeel: I AM TALKING ABOUT NOTIFICATIONS IN GENERAL NOT CHANGING THE ZONING.

Mr. Faith: RIGHT, AND AT THIS POINT THE BEST THAT WE COULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO IS TO LET ERIN KNOW THAT THERE IS A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN WITH ALL OF THIS INFORMATION.

Commissioner McKeel: SOMETHING TO PUT ON THE RADAR. THAT'S ALL THAT I AM SAYING.

Vice-Chair Smith: I HAVE A COMMENT TO GO ALONG WITH COMMISSIONER MCKEEL. WHEN WE WERE PREPARING THE INFORMATION FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, WE LET THE PUBLIC KNOW THAT WE ARE DOING THIS BECAUSE I THINK THAT PROBABLY WOULD HAVE TAKEN CARE OF FOLKS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE WILDFIRES OR ANY OF THE MAPPING THAT WE WERE DOING. DID WE DO ANY OUTREACH TO PEOPLE?

Mr. Faith: WE DID THAT MANY TIMES IN MANY MANNERS.

Mr. Cerbone: WHICH DID INCLUDE WHAT WE CALLED A MEASURE 56 NOTICE THAT WENT TO ALL OF THE AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

Commissioner McKeel: AND I WILL ATTEST TO THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH. THEY DID A LOT.

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU.

Mr. Faith: I AM GETTING CLOSE TO THE END AND I WANT TO GO TO IS THIS, SO THIS PARTICULAR MAP AGAIN TALKS ABOUT THE NATURAL HAZARDS, AND IS RELEVANT TO THE EARTHQUAKE HAZARD AND THE AREAS HERE IN RED ON THIS MAP AGAIN WHICH WE DID NOT GENERATE BUT HAVE GOTTEN FROM STATE AGENCIES HAVE A HIGH PROBABILITY OF LIQUEFACTION MEANING IN A MAJOR EARTHQUAKE THE GROUND IS GOING TO BECOME VERY UNSTABLE AND HIGHER DAMAGE POTENTIAL THERE. THE NEXT SLIDE IS SHOWING EAST COUNTY. THERE ARE NO HIGH POTENTIAL OR HIGH PROBABILITY AREAS FOR LIQUEFACTION IN THE EAST COUNTY. IT'S ALL IN THE WEST COUNTY AREA.

Mr. Faith: SO WHAT WERE SOME OF THE MAJOR POINTS THAT WERE RAISED AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION? THERE WERE TWO. FIRST WAS THE CONCERN ABOUT THE EXPANSION. SCENIC VIEW OVERLAY, AND AS I MENTIONED THIS HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF MANY PUBLIC COMMENTS OPPOSED TO THIS EXPANSION. IT APPEARS THAT THERE IS SOME MISUNDERSTANDING OR MISCONCEPTION ABOUT WHAT THE SEC OVERLAY WOULD DO. MANY PEOPLE IN THEIR COMMENTS ARE TALKING ABOUT HOW IT WILL AUTOMATICALLY INCREASE THEIR ASSESSED VALUE AND RESULT IN HIGHER PROPERTY TAXES WHICH WE HAVE CONFIRMED FROM THE COUNTY RECORDING AND ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION OFFICE THAT IS NOT THE

CASE. AND ANOTHER KEY ISSUE RESOLVED AROUND THE PARK FACILITIES AND WAS TRYING TO FIND THE CORRECT POLICY LANGUAGE THAT WOULD ACCOMMODATE YET LIMIT THE TYPES OF IMPROVEMENTS TO THESE AREAS. AND WE STILL ARE CONTINUING TO GET COMMENTS ON THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE THAT WILL BE BROUGHT TO YOU AS WELL AT THE HEARING. CHAIR.

Commissioner Bailey: GOING BACK TO THE CONCERN ABOUT THE SCC DESIGNATION. IT'S A LITTLE CONFUSING FROM THE SLIDE SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND COMPLETELY. WE ARE NOT CHANGING THAT SEC. WE ARE SIMPLY STUDYING THE POTENTIAL FOR CHANGING IT. IS THAT CORRECT?

Mr. Faith: THE POLICIES VERY, VERY CLEAR ABOUT CONSIDERATION. IT DOES NOT SAY THAT WE SHOULD, WE SHALL DO THIS. WE MUST DO IT. WE WILL DO IT. IT SAYS THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER THIS AND STUDY IT AND BRING THE INFORMATION TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND ULTIMATELY WILL BE THEIR CHOICE WHETHER THEY FEEL THAT THEY WANT TO PROCEED TO ACTUALLY AMEND THE CODE TO DO SO AND IT WOULD BE YOUR DECISION IN THE END.

Commissioner Bailey: SO NOBODY'S PROPERTY WILL CHANGE OVERNIGHT?

Mr. Faith: NOT WITH THE ADOPTION OF THIS PLAN, NO.

Commissioner Bailey: GOT IT. AND JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I AM DOUBLE CLEAR ON THIS. THIS IS A SCENIC VIEW PROTECTION FOR VIEWS OF THE WEST HILLS NOT FROM THE WEST HILLS, IS THAT CORRECT?

Mr. Faith: THAT'S CORRECT.

Commissioner Bailey: GOT IT. SO THIS WILL NOT IMPACT THE VIEWS OUT FROM THE WEST HILLS THAT WOULD BE SAY IMPACTFUL ON SOMEONE'S PROPERTY VALUES?

Mr. Faith: IN FACT, IT MIGHT ACTUALLY DIMINISH IT FROM THOSE PROPERTIES IN THE WEST HILLS BECAUSE THEY ARE RESTRICTED IN WHERE THEY CAN LOCATE A STRUCTURE AND HOW MANY TREES AND WHICH TREES CAN BE CUT DOWN, SO IN A SENSE IT MIGHT DIMINISH THE VIEWS THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ON THAT PROPERTY.

Mr. Cerbone: BUT NOT FOR AN EXISTING STRUCTURE? THIS WOULD CHIEFLY GOVERN CHANGES TO EXISTING STRUCTURES AND-OR NEW STRUCTURES, SO FOLKS THAT ALREADY HAVE THEIR HOMES, THEIR STRUCTURES IN PLACE, IT WOULD NOT IMPACT THAT? AND AGAIN IT WOULD NOT DO ANYTHING UNTIL IT IS BROUGHT BACK TO THIS BOARD AND THIS BOARD SAW FIT TO APPROVE AN ORDINANCE TO ENACT THAT LAW.

Commissioner Bailey: GOT IT. THANK YOU.

Mr. Faith: AND MY FINAL SLIDE IS JUST TO POINT OUT THAT THERE ARE TASKS REMAINING AFTER THE PLAN ADOPTION, THE ADOPTION OF THE PLAN IS NOT THE END OF THE STORY. THERE ARE OTHER THINGS AHEAD OF US. NOTABLY WE NEED TO COMPLETE THE ZONING CODE CONSOLIDATION, AND WE ARE CHAMPIONING TO STREAMLINE AND MAKE OUR CODE MORE USER FRIENDLY. WE WILL LEAD TO COMPLETE A GOAL ANALYSIS FOR THE STREAM CORRIDORS AND WILDLIFE HABITAT AREAS THAT HAVE BEEN MAP AND HAD PROVIDED TO US. AND THEN THERE WILL BE MANY OTHER FUTURE CODE AMENDMENTS NECESSARY IN ORDER TO IMPLEMENT THE POLICIES AND STRATEGIES. AMONG THOSE MIGHT BE AMENDMENTS RELATING TO THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING REQUIREMENTS WHICH WILL BE LOOKED AT. THE PLANNING RULE IS ADDRESSED IN THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN, AND THAT IS MY SEGUE TO TURN THIS OVER TO JOANNE SO SHE CAN BRIEF YOU ON THE PLAN.

Chair Kafoury: BEFORE YOU DO THAT IS THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR RICH?

Commissioner Bailey: I WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW THIS INCORPORATES OTHER PLANS THAT WE HAVE MADE, WE REMEMBER HERE AND DISCUSSING A YEAR AGO THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN AND THAT'S JUST ONE EXAMPLE. MY UNDERSTANDING BECOMES THIS SUPERSEDES THAT UNLESS WE OTHERWISE SAY SO IS THAT CORRECT?

Mr. Faith: YES. THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN AND ALL OF THE POLICIES WITHIN THE PLAN HAVE BEEN CARRIED OVER INTO THIS NEW PLAN. IN SOME INSTANCES THE POLICY MAY HAVE BEEN MODIFIED OR APPLIES COUNTY-WIDE, AND IN OTHER INSTANCES IT IS A VERY SPECIFIC POLICY TO THE SAUVIE ISLAND MULTNOMAH CHANNEL IN WHICH CASE IT IS CALLED OUT AS SUCH. WE HAVE BROUGHT ALL THOSE POLICIES IN. WE WILL BE REPEALING THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN WITH THE ADOPTION OF THIS BUT WE HAVE ATTACHED IT AS AN APPENDIX TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN SO THAT EVERYONE STILL HAS THE BENEFIT OF THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION IN THE CONTEXT OF EVERYTHING COMING OUT OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN IS THEN CAPTURED WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

Commissioner Bailey: I WANT TO SAY CLEARLY THAT THERE WAS A LOT OF EXCELLENT WORK THAT WENT INTO THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN FROM THE COMMUNITY, FROM STAFF, AND I WANT TO ENSURE THAT WE CAPTURE ALL OF THE DETAIL WITHIN THE PLAN AS IT RELATES TO THE SAUVIE ISLAND PARTICULARLY. IF THAT MEANS WITHIN THIS PLAN THAT WE NEED TO CARVE OUT MORE GENERAL POLICIES THAT MAY HAVE MODIFIED FROM THE PLAN SUCH THAT THEY APPLY SPECIFICALLY TO THE SAUVIE ISLAND IN THE WAY THAT THEY STATED THEM IN THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN WE SHOULD DO THAT

BECAUSE I THINK THAT THERE WAS A COMMITMENT THAT WAS MADE FROM THIS BODY TO THE PUBLIC ON SAUVIE ISLAND THAT THE WORK THAT THEY PUT INTO THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN WOULD BE HONORED AND WOULD BE UNCHANGED, ESSENTIALLY, IN THIS PLAN SO I WANT TO PUT THAT ON THE RECORD.

Vice-Chair Smith: AND I HAVE ONE QUESTION. GOING BACK TO THE AGRI-TOURISM POLICIES, YOU SAID THAT THEY ARE ONLY GOING TO IMPACT THE EAST SIDE AND THAT THE WEST SIDE WOULD NOT BE DOING THAT? IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR REASON? IS THAT BECAUSE THE RESIDENTS DIDN'T WANT IT OR --

Mr. Faith: THAT PARTICULAR DECISION CAME OUT OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND MULTNOMAH CHANNEL PLAN PROCESS ON-RAMP THEY EXAMINED THAT ISSUE, AND MY UNDERSTANDING BECAUSE I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS WAS THAT THEY CHOSE NOT TO, OR DID NOT WANT TO HAVE AGRI-TOURISM PROVISIONS BECAUSE THEY ALREADY HAVE SO MUCH GOING ON IN THE WAY OF THE PROMOTIONAL EVENTS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FARM STANDS ON SAUVIE ISLAND. THE FOLKS IN THE WEST HILLS WERE WELL AWARE OF THE POSITION THAT'S TAKEN IN THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN, AND THEY WANTED IT TO APPLY TO THEM AS WELL. THEY SAID THAT WE AGREE. WE DON'T WANT IT TO BE HERE IN THE WEST HILLS EITHER SO WE ARE CARRYING THAT OVER BUT ON THE EAST SIDE THERE WAS A DIFFERENT OPINION ABOUT IT THAT THEY THOUGHT IT WAS OK BUT WITH THE LIMITATIONS.

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR THANK YOU. I HAVE A QUESTION ON AGRI-TOURISM IN EAST COUNTY WHERE IT SAYS ADOPT STRICT STANDARDS IN EAST COUNTY. ARE THOSE THE SAME STANDARDS APPLIED BY THE GORGE COMMISSION BECAUSE THEY HAVE STANDARDS AROUND THAT, I BELIEVE.

Mr. Faith: NO THEY WOULD NOT BE. WE HAVE ALREADY COMMENCED LOOKING AT THAT AND HAVE ALREADY CONVENED SOME OF OUR MEMBERS OF THE CIC FROM THE EAST SIDE. WE HAVE NOT LOOKED AT THE GORGE STANDARDS AT ALL. IT'S PRIMARILY DICTATED BY THE STATE LAW THAT WAS WRITTEN SPECIFICALLY FOR AGRI-TOURISM IN THE FARM USE ZONES.

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON THOSE BEFORE WE TURN IT OVER TO JOANNA? ALL RIGHT, YOU ARE UP.

Ms. Valencia: FOR THE RECORD JOANNA VALENCIA, THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT MANAGER. SO JUST REALLY QUICK WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE COVERING IS WHAT WE WENT THROUGH, THROUGH THE PROCESS AND THE POLICIES AND THE POLICY MAPS AND INCLUDING THE NEW

BIKEWAY MAP THAT I WILL BE TALKING A BIT MORE IN-DEPTH ABOUT LATER IN MY PRESENTATION. WE HAVE A RANGE OF SOLUTIONS AND UNIQUE TO THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN IS, ACTUALLY, A LIST OF PROJECTS. THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU SEE IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, BUT IN THE NATURE OF THE TSP THERE IS A LIST OF PROJECTS THAT COME ALONG WITH IT. SO JUST REAL QUICKLY HOW THE DOCUMENT IS ORGANIZED WE HAVE AN INTRODUCTION INTO THE FUTURE CONDITIONS, AND THEN THE TOOLBOX AND GOLDEN POLICIES AND THEN THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN ITSELF.

THAT CONTAINS THE FIVE ELEMENTS BEFORE YOU, A ROADWAY, A GREAT, AND A PED AND BIKE ELEMENT AS WELL AS THE PROJECTS THAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT. AND THESE PROJECTS BOTH INCLUDE THE CAPITAL PROJECTS AND THE STUDIES THEMSELVES, WHICH I WILL GO INTO MORE DETAIL AND A FUNDING ANALYSIS TAKING A LOOK AT WHAT THE PROJECTED FUNDING FOR THE TRANSPORTATION IS FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND ALSO EXPLORING THE OPTIONS THAT THERE MAY BE IN THE REVENUE RAISING RESOURCES FOR TRANSPORTATION. SO IN REGARDS TO HOW WE DEVELOP THIS, WE TOOK A LOOK AT THE EXISTING PLANS AND POLICIES AND ESSENTIALLY TOOK A LOOK AT DO WE NEED TO REVIEW AND MODIFY THE EXISTING POLICIES, DO WE NEED TO DELETE ANY AND REVISE AND WHAT DO WE MOVE FORWARD IN REGARDS TO THE POLICIES AND WE ALSO TOOK A LOOK AT THE EXISTING AND PROJECTED FUTURE CONDITIONS, SO THIS TOOK A LOOK AT THE VOLUME OF THE TRAFFIC ON THE COUNTY ROADS, AND WHAT IS PROJECTED FOR THE FUTURE.

THEN WE ALSO TOOK A LOOK AT NEW POLICIES. WHAT ARE THE POLICIES THAT WE NEED TO LOOK AT AND THIS TAKES A LOOK AT HEALTH AND EQUITY POLICIES, AND POLICIES ADDRESSING THE BICYCLE INFRASTRUCTURE AND ALSO POLICIES ADDRESSING THE WILDLIFE. ADDITIONALLY, WE UPDATED THE PROJECT LIST. AND WITH THAT DEVELOPED PROJECT PRIORITIES WHICH I WILL GO IN-DEPTH IN LATER SLIDES. SO WHAT DOES THIS BRING US TO? IT BRINGS US TO THE 10 KEY POLICY THEMES, AND THAT ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING ITEMS. REDUCING THE MODAL CONFLICTS ON THE COUNTY ROADS, THIS INCLUDES A LA MODES OF TRANSPORTATION, AND WE HAVE HEARD THAT THERE IS BIKE AND PED AND EQUESTRIAN USE AND GREAT USE AND MOTOR VEHICLE USE SO THERE WAS IS A GREAT NEED TO ADDRESS THE, ADDRESSING THE MODAL CONFLICTS BETWEEN THESE, AND THERE IS ENHANCING THE SAFETY FOR ALL THOSE USERS. ALSO WE HEARD A LOT ABOUT MANAGING TRAVEL DEMAND AND THE CONCERN ABOUT ADDRESSING THE INCREASING TRAFFIC AND SAFETY ISSUES WHILE MAINTAINING THE RURAL CHARACTER OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

Ms. Valencia: ADDITIONALLY, WE HEARD A LOT ABOUT REDUCING THE TRAFFIC PRESSURE ON THE COUNTY ROADS. AS WE KNOW THERE CONTINUES TO BE AN INCREASE AND CONCERN ABOUT THE COMMUTER TRAFFIC, PEAK SEASON AND JUST GENERAL TOURISM TRAFFIC ON THE COUNTY ROADS.

ADDITIONALLY, AS THE TOURISM AND THE RECREATIONAL USES CONTINUE TO INCREASE ON THE COUNTY ROADS THERE CONTINUES TO BE A CONCERN ABOUT THE LACK OF BICYCLE INFRASTRUCTURE ON OUR RURAL ROADS WHERE WE HAVE A LOT OF OUR RURAL ROADS THAT WERE NOT DESIGNED FOR SOME OF THE BICYCLE TRAFFIC THAT WE'RE EXPERIENCING NOW IN OUR RURAL AREAS AND AS THAT CONTINUES TO INCREASE THERE IS SOME CONCERN WITHIN THE CIC TO ADDRESS THE BICYCLE INFRASTRUCTURE IN OUR RURAL AREAS.

ADDITIONALLY, THERE IS JUST GENERAL CONCERN ABOUT JUST HOW WE MAINTAIN OUR ROADS AND BETTER ROAD MAINTENANCE IN OUR RURAL AREAS, AND THEN ADDRESSING THE HEALTH AND EQUITY AND WATER TRANSPORT AND ALSO WILDLIFE CROSSING ESPECIALLY OUT IN THE WEST HILLS WHERE WE'RE SEEING THAT THERE IS A LOT OF AREAS WHERE THERE ARE A LOT OF WILDLIFE CROSSINGS THAT OCCUR IN THAT RURAL AREA. SO THIS IS JUST A FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION MAP, THIS IS TO REMIND YOU OF THE SCOPE. AND THIS IS THE WHITER AREAS, THIS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN UPDATED THE FOCUS ON UNINCORPORATED MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT THIS DOES NOT COVER THE FACILITIES OR, THE FACILITIES IN THE SMALLER CITIES AND ALSO TO UNINCORPORATED POCKETS OF PORTLAND AND THE WILLAMETTE BRIDGES.

THOSE ARE TACKLED THROUGH COORDINATION AND THROUGH WITH THROUGH THEIR UPDATES. THOSE ARE URBAN FACILITIES AND WE NEED TO WORK WITH THEM THROUGH THEIR PROCESS. THE FOCUS IS IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. SO THIS IS A NEW POLICY MAP THAT I WANTED TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION. WE TOOK A LOOK AT THE 1990'S BICYCLE PLAN. WE HAVE DEVELOPED WHAT'S CALLED A FUNCTIONAL CLASS FOR BICYCLE DESIGNATIONS, AND THIS IS TO ADDRESS YOU KNOW, THE BICYCLE INFRASTRUCTURE CONCERNS THAT WERE RAISED AND ALSO JUST TO INCREASE THE USE OF OUR RURAL ROADS FOR BIKE WAYS.

THIS TOOK A LOT OF EFFORT, AND THERE WAS A LOT OF PUBLIC OUTREACH, AND WE HAD FOCUS GROUPS AND WE HAVE HEARD A LOT OF CONCERNS FROM THE WEST HILLS AS WELL AS EAST COUNTY SO WE WENT OUT AND HAD FOCUSED OUTREACH TO BE ABLE TO HEAR THE CONCERNS OF THE PUBLIC. ADDITIONALLY WE WORKED A LOT WITH OUR BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO GET A LOT OF THEIR FEEDBACK AND THESE CONCEPTS WENT THROUGH A LOT OF ITERATIONS AND WHAT YOU SEE BEFORE YOU IN LIGHT ORANGE IS A DESIGNATION CALLED BIKEWAYS, SO ONE OF THE POLICIES THAT CAME OUT, THE TSP IS THE CONTEXT SENSITIVE DESIGN, AND SO WHAT WE HEARD FROM OUR CIC MEMBERS IS JOANNA, MAYBE THERE IS CERTAIN LOCATIONS WHERE WE CANNOT BUILD THE FULL ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE AND A SECTION AS WE ENVISIONED IT.

Ms. Valencia: SO WE NEED TO LOOK AT WHAT ARE MAYBE THE CONTEXT-SENSITIVE SOLUTIONS OR THE TOPOGRAPHY CONSTRAINTS OR OTHER STRAIGHTENS THAT WE CANNOT WORK AROUND. ARE THERE GOOD LOCATIONS WHERE WE CAN LOOK AT A BIKE PULL-OUT? IS THERE A GOOD LOCATION WHERE THERE MAY BE GOOD SHOULDERS THAT WE CAN DEVELOP BECAUSE THERE IS ROOM AND THERE IS RIGHT-OF-WAY AND THERE IS GOOD SITE DISTANCE SO WHAT THIS CONCEPT TAKES A LOOK AT IS ON THE ORANGE LOCATIONS IS TO LOOK AT THE SOLUTIONS FOR THESE BIKEWAYS AND THE CLIMBING LANES, PULL-OUTS OR SHOULDERS CAN BE PLACED. FOR THE LIGHT GREEN IT'S A SHARED ROADWAY SO IT REALLY IS A SHARED ROADWAY WHERE THE BICYCLIST, THIS IS IDENTIFIED IN LOW TRAFFIC VOLUME ROADS, AND ESSENTIALLY WHAT WOULD BE HAPPENING IS THE BIKE AND MOTOR VEHICLE WOULD BE SHARING THE ROAD, AND JUST TO GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE OF THAT, THIS IS WHAT THAT CONCEPT WOULD LARGELY LOOK LIKE. SO BIKEWAYS, COMPLETELY SEPARATED SORT OF FACILITY, SORT OF SOLUTION, LIKE I SAID, UPHILL CLIMBING LANES OR PULL-OUTS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

ON THE SHARED ROADWAYS THE BICYCLISTS WOULD BE SHARING THE ROAD WITH THE MOTOR VEHICLE, AND SO I BELIEVE THAT EXAMPLE ON THE RIGHT IS ON THE SAUVIE ISLAND WHERE THE SITUATION IS CURRENTLY ON THE LEVY BECAUSE OF THE CONSTRAINT THAT IT IS RIGHT NOW WITH THE LIMITED RIGHT-OF-WAY THERE. AND THAT BRINGS US TO OUR RANGER OF SOLUTIONS, OR ALSO WHAT I CALL THE TOOL KIT, SO THIS SHOULD LOOK VERY FAMILIAR TO YOU, AND THESE CONCEPTS WERE FORWARDED FROM THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN AND COVERS FOUR KEY THEMES, THE BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES, SAFETY, TREATMENTS AND TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT AND MANY OF YOU MIGHT HAVE HEARD ME SAY THIS MANY TIMES BUT I WILL REMIND YOU AGAIN THIS IS THE SOFTER SIDE OF IT.

THIS TAKES A LOOK AT NOT THE CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS BUT ACTUALLY THE SOFTER SIDE. HOW CAN WE MANAGE THE DEMAND ON THE COUNTY ROADS WHETHER IT BE SHUTTLE CONCEPTS OR CAR-POOLING CONCEPTS AND ANY SORT OF THAT SORT OF CONCEPT TO -- INCLUDING PARKING MANAGEMENT AND ANOTHER SOFT SORT OF SOLUTION AND THESE ARE ALL CONCEPTS, LARGELY VETTED THROUGH THE SAUVIE ISLAND PROCESS AND CONTINUED TO BE SUPPORTED BY THE CIC TO APPLY COUNTY-WIDE. SO THAT BRINGS ME TO THE LAST SLIDE, AND SO WHAT DOES THIS MEAN? AS I HAD MENTIONED TO YOU, THE TSP IS UNIQUE BECAUSE IT INCLUDES A PROJECT LIST, AND SO WHAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU IS A HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW PRIORITY LIST OF PROJECTS AND STUDIES, AND SO HIGH LOOKING AT MAYBE A FIVE-YEAR IMPLEMENTATION, MEDIUM, SIX TO TEN, AND LOW, 11 TO 20-YEAR IMPLEMENTATION.

Ms. Valencia: WHAT WE DID WAS WE ESSENTIALLY WENT OUT TO THE COMMUNITY AND SAID LOOK HERE'S WHAT THE PROJECT LIST LOOKS LIKE RIGHT NOW. WHAT'S MISSING? AND WITH THAT WHAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU

IS THE PROJECT LIST THAT WAS DEVELOPED THROUGH THE COMMUNITY INPUT AND IT WAS PRIORITIZED USING THE CRITERIA OF ITEMS SUCH AS SAFETY AND CRASH HISTORY AND BIBLE ROUTE DESIGNATION, THE FUNCTIONAL CLASS, THE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME, AND THE PROXIMITY TO THE KEY DESTINATIONS LIKE RECREATIONAL AREAS AND CENTERS, AND THE PAVEMENT CONDITION AND JUST THE COST OF THE CONSTRUCTION, AND SO WITH THAT WHAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU IS ESSENTIALLY A LIST OF PROJECTS AND STUDIES. BY STUDIES WHAT I MEAN IS THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME OTHER CONCEPTS THAT NEED TO BE STUDIED LIKE AN EXAMPLE OF A ROAD SAFETY AUDITED ON CORBIN HILL ROAD.

WE NEED TO DO A DETAILED STUDY, AND YOU ALSO HEARD THE RAIL STUDY THAT WAS ASKED FOR ASSESS PART OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN FOR THE CHANNEL TO LOOK AT EMERGENCY EGRESS AND INGRESS DURING THE TIME THAT SAY FOR INSTANCE A TRAIN IS BLOCKING THE SYSTEM SO WHAT IS THE LOCAL NETWORK THAT WE CAN PROVIDE ALONG THE CHANNEL FOR EMERGENCY SAFETY INGRESS AND EGRESS AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO COORDINATE WITH THE RAILROAD COMPANY AND ODOT TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT EMERGENCY FEATURE. ALSO TO TRAVEL THE MANAGEMENT. TDM CONCEPTS ARE UNIQUE IN RURAL AREAS AND I HAVE SCoured THE STATES AND THE NATION TO SEE WHERE THE CONCEPTS ARE AND HAVE BEEN APPLIED AND IT'S RARE, AND SO I THINK THAT MULTNOMAH COUNTY IS GOING TO BE A LEADER IN LOOKING AT TDM CONCEPTS IN RURAL AREAS AND THAT'S A STUDY THAT WE NEED TO CONDUCT AND TO CONDUCT PUBLIC OUTREACH AND WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY ON. THOSE ARE SOME STUDY CONCEPTS THAT NEED TO OCCUR THAT WERE IDENTIFIED AS PART OF THE PROCESS. AT THIS TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO CONCLUDE MY PRESENTATION AND ASK IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS FOR JOANNA?

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

Commissioner Shiprack: FOR YOU JOANNA AND THE OTHER PRESENTERS I JUST HAVE TO SAY THAT THE 1977 DATE STANDS OUT. SOME OF US HAVE HISTORY HERE, AND I WAS THINKING THAT WAS ONLY FOUR YEARS AFTER THE SENATE BILL 100 WAS SIGNED BY GOVERNOR TOM MCCALL. WE HAVE NOT MODIFIED OUR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN COMPREHENSIVELY. SINCE THEN BUT A LOT HAPPENED SINCE THEN. FOR EXAMPLE, METRO WAS CREATED IN 1979. HELLO METRO. NICE TO HAVE YOU HERE. IN 1982 WE ALL LIVED THROUGH, OR SOME OF YOU LIVED THROUGH, A VERY DEEP RECESSION, AND THERE WERE SOME PEOPLE WHO BLAMED THAT RECESSION ON THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF LAND USE PLANNING.

THEY HAD PREDICTED IT WOULD DESTROY US AND THERE IT WAS DESTROYING US BUT THEIR BALL MEASURE FAILED SO WE GOT TO KEEP THE

LAND USE PLANNING. WE DID NOT GET THE COLUMBIA GORGE SCENIC AREA UNTIL 1986. I LOOKED THIS UP. AND LCDC DIDN'T ADOPT THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING RULE UNTIL 1991. SO ALL OF THIS HISTORY SINCE 1977 WE HAVE NOT CHANGED OUR COMPREHENSIVE PLANS SINCE 1977. JUST SORT OF UNDERLINES HOW IMPORTANT THIS WORK IS AND I KNOW THAT IT IS MASSIVE AND COMPLICATED AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THAT YOU HAVE TAKEN IT ON AND ACCOMPLISHED THIS.

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU FOR ALL OF YOUR WORK ON THIS FOR EVERYBODY THAT WAS INVOLVED IN ALL OF YOUR WORK ON THIS, I THINK. I THINK YOU KNOW THAT THIS HAS BEEN AN IMPORTANT ISSUE FOR ME TO SEE THIS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATED, AND I THINK THAT WE STARTED WITH THE SAUVIE ISLAND PLAN AND REALIZED THAT IF WE DID IT SECTION BY SECTION WE WERE TWO YEARS, AND THEN ANOTHER TEN YEARS DOWN THE ROAD, AND SO YOU KNOW THIS BOARD APPROVED THE FUNDING TO UPDATE THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, AND I THINK THAT THIS IS EXCITING. I AM HAPPY THAT YOU ARE PRESENTING BEFORE I AM LEAVING IN BOARD. THIS WAS A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE FOR ME TO SEE THAT THE ENTIRE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN WAS UPDATED, AND I THINK THAT THIS HIGHLIGHTS, AS COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SAID ALL THIS HAPPENED SINCE 1977 WHEN WE HAVE UPDATED, I GUESS, OR PUT IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

SO I THINK THAT THIS IS REALLY AN IMPORTANT MOVE FOR ALL OF OUR AREAS, AND SO THANK YOU ALL FOR YOUR WORK. THANKS TO JOANNA. I WORK WITH YOU ALL THE TIME, AND I TRULY APPRECIATE THAT YOU UPDATED THE TRANSPORTATION PLAN ALONG WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, TOO. AND THANK YOU, RICH. I HAVE KNOWN YOU FOR A LONG TIME. THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK AS WELL. THIS REALLY IS EXCITING. THANK YOU.

Vice-Chair Smith: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU TO SOMEONE WHO REALLY LED THIS EFFORT. SHE'S NOT HERE NOW, BUT THAT'S KAREN SCHILLING. SHE WAS REALLY SUPPORTIVE OF THIS AND IT WAS KIND OF HER VISION. I JUST WANT TO SAY, THANK YOU, KAREN. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

Commissioner Bailey: I WANT TO ECHO THE COMMENTS AND THE THANKS. THIS IS A VERY DELICATE PROCESS AND YOU'RE BALANCING A LOT OF COMPLETING INTERESTS IN SENSITIVE AREA AND THAT CAN BE VERY DIFFICULT. SO AS YOU SEEK TO BALANCE COMMUNITY CONCERNS, FUTURE USES, CHANGING DEMOGRAPHIC PATTERNS, CHANGING TECHNOLOGY, ALL OF THOSE NEED TO BE EMBEDDED IN A DOCUMENT THAT'S GOING TO LIVE FOR QUITE SOME TIME. I'M EXCITED BY SOME OF THE REFERENCES AND THE POTENTIAL FOR LOOKING AT TOOLS LIKE TDM TO ADDRESS TRANSPORTATION CHALLENGES AND TO BE ABLE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE LIVABLE, VIBRANT COMMUNITIES.

I GUESS I WOULD HOPE THAT, AS WE SET POLICIES WITHIN THIS PLAN, THAT WE'RE SETTING POLICIES THAT ARE FORWARD-LOOKING AND THAT ARE CONSIDERING HOW BEST PRACTICES ARE CHANGING AND THE TOOLS WE CAN USE TO APPLY THOSE THINGS TO REGIONAL MOBILITY TO FREIGHT TO PRESERVATION AND TO INVESTIGATE HOW WE CAN BEST-USE THOSE TOOLS TO DETERMINE WHAT POLICIES WE WANT, GOING FORWARD AND I THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF THIS PLAN TO CONSIDER THE BALANCE OF THE PLAN AND THE HARD WORK FROM OUR COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDERS AND HOW WE CAN INCORPORATE THOSE THINGS GOING FORWARD, WITHOUT TAKING A PRESUPPOSING WHERE THAT CONVERSATION MIGHT GO.

Chair Kafoury: IT HAS BEEN VERY INTERESTING AND VERY FASCINATING. AS COMMISSIONER BAILEY SAID, TRYING TO ADDRESS DIFFERENT CONCERNS FROM THE COMMUNITY, WHICH ARE OFTEN TIMES AT ODDS, IS EXTREMELY CHALLENGING AND YOU ALL DO THAT SO WELL. YOU'VE HEARD FROM COMMISSIONER SMITH AND COMMISSIONER MCKEEL AND HAVING THE COMMUNITY AWARE AND INVOLVED AND I THINK YOU'VE DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB. ALL THE EMAIL I'VE GOTTEN FROM CONSTITUENTS HAS BEEN VERY VALID QUESTIONS, BUT NO COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE PROCESS OR PEOPLE FEELING LIKE THEY AREN'T INVOLVED. PEOPLE OFTEN USE PROCESSES -- USING THAT AS THEIR CONCERN IF THEY'RE FEELING LIKE THEY AREN'T GETTING WHAT THEY WANT, BUT I HAVEN'T HEARD THAT. I THINK WE'RE FINISHED. WE'LL SEE YOU NEXT WEEK.

BOARD COMMENT

BC.1 Opportunity as time allows, for the Commissioners to provide comment on non-agenda items.

Chair Kafoury: WE HAVE TIME FOR BOARD COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS.

Commissioner Bailey: COME TO THE VILLAGE ON SATURDAY. IT'LL BE HOT, BUT THERE WILL BE PLENTY OF ICE CREAM, I'M SURE, UP THERE

Vice-Chair Smith: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE COMPETING EVENTS PROBABLY TOMORROW. I SAW THE MULTNOMAH DAYS. I WILL BE WALKING IN AFRICAN AMERICAN HEALTH COALITIONS WELLNESS WALK ON SATURDAY MORNING AT DAWSON PARK AND WE'RE GOING TO START AROUND ABOUT 10:00.

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU. TOMORROW IS THE END OF THE SCHOOL SUPPLY DRIVE. SO, GET YOUR SCHOOL SUPPLIES IN TODAY AND TOMORROW BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO GO OVER SO THE KIDS CAN HAVE SCHOOL SUPPLIES, GET READY FOR SCHOOL. I THINK IT PROBABLY STARTS SOON? AUGUST 29, OKAY.

ADJOURNMENT – 11:07 a.m.

Chair Kafoury: THANKS FOR COMING AND WE'RE ADJOURNED.

[CAPTIONS PROVIDED BY LNS CAPTIONING AND MAY INCLUDE INACCURATE WORDS OR PHRASES DUE TO SOUND QUALITY, OTHER TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND/OR SOFTWARE ERRORS.]

For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:
http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:

Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County, Oregon