

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners  
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100  
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon  
Wednesday, June 5, 2013**

**BUDGET WORK SESSION #14**

Chair Jeff Cogen called the meeting to order at 10:08 a.m. with Vice-Chair Judy Shiprack and Commissioners Deborah Kafoury, Loretta Smith and Diane McKeel present.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Lynda Grow, Board Clerk.

**[THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF THE CLOSED CAPTIONING OF THIS PROGRAM.]**

**BWS #14 Fiscal Year 2014 Follow up and Department Budget Amendments.  
Presenters: Karyne Kieta, Deputy Director, County Management & Invited Others.**

**Chair Cogen:** GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE, AND WELCOME TO OUR BUDGET WORK SESSION. THE 14th AND FINAL WORK SESSION OF THIS YEAR'S BUDGET. LET ME TURN IT OVER TO KARYNE.

**Ms. Kieta:** GOOD MORNING CHAIR COGEN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, KARYNE KIETA, BUDGET DIRECTOR. AS CHAIR COGEN MENTIONED, TODAY IS OUR LAST AND FINAL WORK SESSION BEFORE WE ADOPT THE BUDGET TOMORROW. OUR AGENDA IS DIVIDE IN ADDITION TWO SECTIONS. THE FIRST SECTION YOU WILL HEAR SOME BRIEFINGS FROM THREE DEPARTMENTS, COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, AND THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ASSETS, THEY ARE ESSENTIALLY FOLLOWING UP ON SOME INFORMATION THAT WAS REQUESTED AT THE LAST BRIEFING. AFTER THEY COMPLETE THEIR PRESENTATIONS AND GET ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE ANSWERED, WE WILL MOVE INTO SOME FURTHER REVIEW OF THE BOARD AMENDMENT AND DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS AND TALK ABOUT ANY NEW ADDITIONS WE HAVE AT THIS TIME, AND REALLY MAKE SURE WE'RE ANSWERING ANY LAST AND FINAL QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE. THIS AFTERNOON AT 2:00 P.M. TIME CERTAIN WE HAVE OUR TWO TSCC HEARINGS, THE FIRST IS ON THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BUDGET, AND THE SECOND HEARING IS ON THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT. AS YOU'LL REMEMBER, THIS IS THE TAX SOUP'S HEARING SO YOU GET TO SIT WHERE STAFF NORMALLY SITS. WE WILL HAVE FOLKS FROM THE DEPARTMENTS AVAILABLE IF YOU WANT TO LOOK BACK, IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS OF SPECIFIC DETAIL YOU DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO, WE'LL HAVE FOLKS HERE PREPARED TO RESPOND TO THAT. SO IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS AT

THIS TIME, I WILL GO AHEAD AND TURN THIS OVER TO SUSAN MYERS AND HER STAFF.

**Chair Cogen:** GREAT.

**Ms. Myers:** I HAVE A GANG UP HERE. A FRIENDLY GANG! GOOD MORNING, I'M SUSAN MYERS, THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. AND THERE WAS SOME QUESTIONS AT THE LAST BUDGET WORKSHOP, AND WE'RE HERE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME ANSWERS. THE FIRST ISSUE THAT WE'LL TALK ABOUT IS THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESPONSE TEAM. ALSO KNOWN AS DVERT. THIS IS A FEDERAL GRANT THAT FUNDS VICTIM ADVOCATES TO PROVIDE IN-PERSON EMERGENCY RESPONSE TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIMS WHO HAVE HAD CONTACT WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT. ADVOCATES ASSIST WITH SAFETY PLANNING, EMERGENCY SERVICES, RESTRAINING ORDERS, AND OTHER SUPPORT. WE HAD SOME SAVINGS IN THE DVERT GRANT AND WE'RE ALLOWED -- WE WERE ALLOWED TO USE THE UNSPENT DOLLARS TO FUND A PILOT PROGRAM, WHICH INCREASED SERVICES TO AFTER-HOURS FOUR NIGHTS A WEEK. THOSE GRANT SAVINGS HAVE BEEN SPENT, TO WE'RE NO LONGER AVAILABLE TO FUND THE AFTER-HOURS PILOT PROGRAM, AND THIS MEANS SERVICE WAS STILL BE AVAILABLE DURING THE DAY, BUT WOULD DISCONTINUE AFTER HOURS. I HAVE ANNIE NEAL HERE WHO WILL TALK MORE ABOUT THE IMPACT OF THIS AFTER-HOURS PILOT PROGRAM.

**Ms. Neal:** THANK YOU CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. I'M ANNIE NEAL, THE PROGRAM MANAGER FOR THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COORDINATION OFFICE. OUR DVERT DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ENHANCED RESPONSE TEAM IS THE TEAM THAT FOCUSES ON HIGH-RISK POTENTIAL LETHAL SITUATIONS. SO THE TEAM EXISTS WITH -- IT'S PARTNERED WITH THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU, VICTIMS SERVICES AND MANY OTHER PARTNERS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND HUMAN SERVICES ARENA. IT'S EXISTED SINCE I THINK 2006. THE GRANT SAVINGS HAVE ACCRUED OVER SIX YEARS OF SOME SEVERAL GRANT CYCLES THROUGH SALARY SAVINGS AND OTHER PLACES, SO WE WERE ABLE TO USE THAT TO FUND A PILOT PROJECT TO SEE IF THIS PROJECT WOULD ACTUALLY BE BENEFICIAL AND IT IF IT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE'D WANT TO SEEK FURTHER FUNDING FOR. SO THE ADVOCATES ARE AVAILABLE AFTER REGULAR BUSINESS HOURS TO PROVIDE AN IN-PERSON RESPONSE WHEN POLICE HAVE SECURED THE SCENE. IT'S THE ONLY IN-PERSON RESPONSE AFTER BUSINESS HOURS, AND THEY ARE THE ONLY PEOPLE THAT ARE MATTHEW MEADLY AVAILABLE WHEN THE POLICE WANT THEM TO COME OUT AND RESPOND TO VICTIMS. WE UPDATED OUR NUMBERS LAST NIGHT AND WE FOUND THAT IN THE FIRST -- FROM MARCH THROUGH MAY 1st IN THE FIRST 15 MONTHS OR SO, WE SERVED 604, WE RECEIVED 604 REFERRALS AND SERVED OVER 800 FAMILIES AND VICTIMS IN THOSE CONTACTS. WE HAD A REALLY HIGH ENGAGEMENT RATE. WE REACHED ABOUT 90% OF THE VICTIMS THAT THE POLICE WANTED US TO

CONNECT TO. AND 90% OF THOSE VICTIMS THAT WE REACHED WANTED ADDITIONAL SERVICES, AND THAT'S VERY HIGH ENGAGEMENT RATE, MUCH HIGHER THAN VICTIMS TRYING TO FOUND THEIR WAY THROUGH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. WHEN THE PROJECT ENDS, THE POLICE BUREAU HAS EXPRESSED THEIR WISH IT WOULD CONTINUE, BUT THEY DO KNOW THE DVERT TEAM EXISTS, WE HOPE THEY WILL CONTINUE TO REFER PEOPLE TO THE HIGH-RISK TEAM, BUT WE DO HAVE A GAP IN THE AFTER-HOURS SERVICE.

**Chair Cogen:** QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? COMMISSIONER MCKEEL?

**Commissioner McKeel:** I HAVE ONE QUESTION. I THINK THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT, BUT I -- WHEN WE -- SO THE GRANT ENDED, AND THE FUNDS ENDED. CORRECT? IS THAT --

**Ms. Neal:** THIS IS A FEDERAL GRANT FROM THE OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN. THIS WAS A LITTLE OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT. WE HAD GRANT SAVINGS AND THE GRANT -- THE OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ALLOWED US TO USE THOSE SAVINGS TO EXTEND THE GRANT PERIOD AND IMPLEMENT THIS PILOT PROJECT.

**Commissioner McKeel:** SO DID WE ANTICIPATE THE GRANT FUNDING WOULD -- WE WOULD BE ABLE TO GET THAT AGAIN, OR -- I'M JUST TRYING TO DETERMINE HOW WE ENDED UP WITH THE PROGRAM GONE.

**Ms. Neal:** WE HAD HOPED THAT WE WOULD FIND ADDITIONAL GRANT FUNDING, BUT WE ALSO HADN'T HAD THIS SERVICE IN OUR COMMUNITY. AND DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER IT WAS AN EFFECTIVE USE OF RESOURCES. SO WE PILOTED IT WITH THE IDEA THAT WE WOULD SEE, IS THERE AN ACTUAL NEED OR IS THIS A NICE IDEA.

**Commissioner McKeel:** OK. THANK YOU.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** ONE COMMENT ABOUT, THIS IS AN AREA OF INTERFACE WITH WORK THAT OBVIOUSLY WITH WORK THAT THE PORTLAND POLICE DO. AND WE'VE REALLY HAD A LITTLE BIT OF LIGHT SHINING ON THIS TOPIC OF HOW WE COORDINATE EFFORTS WITH OTHER JURISDICTIONS, NOT JUST THE CITY OF PORTLAND, BUT ALSO THE CITY OF GRESHAM WHERE I'M SURE THESE SAME NEEDS ARISE. AND I JUST WANT TO SORT OF CAPTURE THIS MOMENT IN TIME, WHICH HAS BEEN LIKE A LONG MOMENT WHILE WE COORDINATED BUDGETS WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND, AND ATTEMPTED TO KEEP THE WHOLE SAFETY NET SYSTEM INTACT THROUGH SOME REALLY SERIOUS BUDGET PAIN THAT THEY'RE ENDURING, AND IN SOME EFFORTS TO DEFINE I THINK SYSTEMICALLY THE IMPORTANCE OF SERVICES THAT AS GOVERNMENT ENTITIES WE WORK TOGETHER TO ROLL OUT TO A COMMUNITY THAT NEEDS SERVICES. SO THIS IS JUST SORT OF A LENGTHY

WAY OF SAYING THAT THIS IS ONE OF THOSE AREAS, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S VERY SIGNIFICANT AND HELPFUL TO THE OPERATION OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S VERY SIGNIFICANT AND HELPFUL TO THE FUNCTIONS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY SERVICES PERFORMS, AND THAT'S NOTHING NEW. WHAT SHOULD BE NEW AND WHAT I THINK WE SHOULD ALL EXPLORE TOGETHER IS A KIND OF ENHANCED RECOGNITION OF THE DUAL GOVERNMENTAL OBLIGATION TO SERVE THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE HERE THROUGH PROGRAMS SUCH AS THIS. AND SOME ADDITIONAL BUDGET COORDINATION AROUND PROGRAMS EXACTLY SUCH AS THIS.

**Ms. Neal:** PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU DOES FUND THREE ADVOCATES DIRECTLY IN THEIR FAMILY SERVICES DIVISION. BECAUSE MANY VICTIMS HAVE NEEDS OUTSIDE OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARENA, SO THOSE ADVOCATES DO TAKE REFERRALS IN AN ONGOING WAY, AND THEY'RE ONE OF THE PRIMARY CONNECTIONS FOR THE AFTER-HOURS ADVOCATES TO CONNECT VICTIMS UP FOR ONGOING SERVICES AND SUPPORT.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** THAT'S TOTALLY APPROPRIATE, AND IT'S ALSO TOTALLY APPROPRIATE THAT WE WOULD LEARN MORE ABOUT THAT, LEARN MORE IN MORE DEPTH ABOUT THIS AREA OF INTERFACE IN BUDGET -- JUST IN BUDGETING FOR SERVICES. THANKS, ANNIE.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** WHAT I FIND SO INTRIGUING ABOUT THIS PILOT IS THAT IT'S REALLY THE WAY WE SHOULD BE DELIVERING SERVICES IN SO MANY WAYS, AND WE'VE BEEN LOOKING AT THE D.V. SYSTEM, AND HOW WE HAVE -- WE'VE OFTEN FORCED VICTIMS TO COME THROUGH THE SHELTER SYSTEM IN ORDER TO GET SERVICES WHEN IT DOESN'T -- IF THAT'S NOT WHAT THEY NEED, THAT'S NOT HELPFUL. AND THIS PROGRAM WHERE WE HAVE PEOPLE ACTUALLY MEETING PEOPLE, WHERE THEY'RE AT, I HATE THAT FORECAST PHRASE, IT'S GRAMMATICALLY INCORRECT, COMMON LINGER 0, MEETING PEOPLE WHERE THEY ARE AND FINDING OUT WHAT KIND OF SERVICES THEY NEED AT THAT TIME REALLY IS THE WAY, IT'S MORE COST EFFECTIVE, IT HELPS MORE PEOPLE, IT REALLY TO ME IS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW WE SHOULD BE MOVING FORWARD. AND I THINK THE SUCK SIS OF THIS PROGRAM SHOWS HOW USEFUL AND HELPFUL IT IS FOR THE VICTIMS. AND ALSO FOR THE POLICE AND ALSO FOR OUR COMMUNITY. SO I WANT TO OFFER AN AMENDMENT TO CONTINUE THE DVERT EMERGENCY RESPONSE ADVOCATES. IT'S \$136,000 ADDED TO PROGRAM OFFER 25047. AND I WANT TO THANK ANNIE AND ALL THE FOLKS WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS, BECKY AND CHRIS AND -- IT'S A GREAT PROGRAM. AND IT WORKS REALLY WELL WITH ALL THE REST OF OUR SYSTEM. SO THANKS.

**Chair Cogen:** THANK YOU.

**Commissioner McKeel:** I JUST HAVE A QUESTION ON A FOLLOW-UP ON THAT

AMENDMENT. A FOLLOW-UP ON COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK'S COMMENTS ABOUT WORKING WITH EVERYBODY IN THE WHOLE COUNTY. IS THAT THE EXPECTATION OF THAT -- BECAUSE SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT PORTLAND, THE PORTLAND POLICE, AND HOW DO WE WORK WITH GRESHAM AND THOSE EAST COUNTY CITIES. AND WILL THAT BE AN EXPECTATION THAT WE WILL BE.

**Ms. Neal:** WE'LL HAVE TO FIGURE THAT OUT. RIGHT NOW PORTLAND WAS WILLING TO FACILITATE THAT RELATIONSHIP WITH NORTH AND EAST PRECINCTS, THEIR COMMAND STAFF WERE INVOLVED IN GETTING THE ADVOCATES IN THE DOOR. BUT THERE'S CLEARLY A NEED, AND GROWING AWARENESS OF THE SERVICE, AND MORE PEOPLE ARE ASKING FOR THIS INTERVENTION. SO WE'LL DEFINITELY BE LOOKING AT HOW WE COVER A LARGER AREA.

**Commissioner McKeel:** THANK YOU. AND I FEEL LIKE IF WE'RE FUNDING IT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT THE COUNTY AND HOW WE SERVE A LARGER AREA. SO THAT'S A FOCUS I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AROUND THIS.

**Ms. Neal:** AND THE HIGH-RISK TEAM DOES COVER THE ENTIRE COUNTY, THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE.

**Commissioner McKeel:** OK.

**Chair Cogen:** GREAT. THANK YOU.

**Ms. Myers:** NEXT AREA WE'LL DISCUSS IS THE DEPAUL RESIDENTIAL YOUTH BEDS. WE PROVIDED \$280,000 IN MATCH TO THE STATE FOR SEVERAL YEARS TO DRAW DOWN MEDICAID DOLLARS FOR YOUTH RESIDENTIAL ADDICTION SERVICES. THERE IS NO LONGER A MECHANISM FOR US TO PROVIDE THESE MATCHED DOLLARS, AS THE STATE HAS NOW GIVEN THIS RESPONSIBILITY TO THE COORDINATED CARE ORGANIZATIONS. WE CONSIDERED SUMMITTING THE ENTIRE \$280,000 TO MEET OUR 1% CONSTRAINT, BUT INSTEAD WE DECIDED TO REQUEST, TO REPROGRAM HALF OF IT, \$140,000, INTO FUNDING FOR UNINSURED YOUTH BEDS WHICH HAVE NOT PREVIOUSLY BEEN FUNDED. THIS WOULD PAY FOR APPROXIMATELY TWO TO THREE UNINSURED BEDS. I'LL TURN IT OVER TO DEVARSHI BAJPAI, WHO WILL TALK MORE ABOUT THESE SERVICES.

**Chair Cogen:** GOOD MORNING.

**Mr. Bajpai:** DEVARSHI BAJPAI, ADDICTION SERVICES MANAGER. SO RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT IN CASE YOU DON'T KNOW, IS SERVICE FOR THE HIGHEST RISK, HIGHEST NEEDS KIDS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO MAKE IT IN OUTPATIENT TREATMENT OR STAY CLEAN, OR HAVE HAD BEHAVIORAL PROBLEMS THAT REQUIRED A HIGHER LEVEL OF CARE. SO IT'S A VERY LIMITED RESOURCE, THERE'S NOT MANY RESIDENTIAL YOUTH BEDS AROUND

THE STATE, SO IT'S NOT USED UNLESS THE KIDS ARE REALLY HAVE HIGH NEED. WE HAVE, LIKE SUSAN MENTIONED, FUNDED MEDICAID MATCH TO THE STATE THAT PAY FOR NINE BEDS FOR THE LAST -- FOR MANY YEARS. WITH THIS STATE MOVING RESIDENTIAL FUND TO THE CCOs THERE WASN'T ANY MECHANISM LEFT TO GUARANTEE THE MONEY WOULD BE SPENT ON YOUTH RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT. IT WOULD GO TO THE GLOBAL BUDGET AND IT WOULD BE UP TO THEM WHAT THEY WANTED TO DO WITH IT. SO LIKE SUSAN MENTIONED, WE TALKED TO DEPAUL, WE FOUND THERE WAS A NEED FOR YOUTH -- SOME KIDS WHO HAVEN'T BEEN COVERED UNDER THE OREGON HEALTH PLAN, BECAUSE THEIR PARENTS HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO PROVIDE STABLE ADDRESS, OR IF THEY'RE UNDOCUMENTED, OR ANY NUMBER OF OTHER REASONS THAT THEY MIGHT NOT BE COVERED, THERE'S ABOUT TWO OR THREE KIDS BEING TURNED AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT THAT NEED IT EVERY MONTH. SO THIS IS ESSENTIALLY -- THIS \$140,000 THAT IS LEFT IN OUR BUDGET IS PROVIDING A NEW SERVICE THAT HASN'T BEEN PROVIDED IN THIS COUNTY FOR MANY YEARS. THE YOUTH RESIDENTIAL FOR INDIGENT KIDS.

**Chair Cogen:** THE CCO WILL BE FOCUSING ON KIDS WHO ARE COVERED BY MEDICAID, SO THIS WILL BE SUPPLEMENTING WHAT THEY'RE DOG.

**Mr. Bajpai:** EXACTLY.

**Commissioner Smith:** SO HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE TO ESTABLISH THE TREATMENT BEDS AT A LOCAL PROVIDER?

**Mr. Bajpai:** WE CAN HAVE THOSE BEDS OPEN ALMOST IMMEDIATELY AFTER JULY 1st. THE SERVICE HAS ALREADY BEEN PROCURED, AND WE HAVEN'T USED THAT PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY UNTIL RECENTLY BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE FUNDING FOR IT, BUT I THINK WE CAN GET IT GOING CLOSE TO JULY 1st.

**Commissioner Smith:** WILL WE TRACK OUTCOMES OF THE YOUTH?

**Mr. Bajpai:** WE ALWAYS TRACK THE OUTCOMES RETENTION ENGAGEMENT, COMPLETION RATES, SO, YES, WE'LL DO THAT.

**Commissioner Smith:** THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** COMMISSIONER KAFOURY.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** I'M SURE WE ARE BECAUSE I KNOW YOU DID SUCH A FABULOUS JOB, WE'RE GOING TO BE TRACKING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE BEDS THAT WE UNDERSTAND MAY BE CUT ARE NOT -- THERE'S ALWAYS A NEED, AND I DON'T WANT TO HAVE A LINE-UP OF PEOPLE OUT THERE, OF KIDS TRYING TO GET SERVED, AND WE'VE SAID IT'S NOT OUR JOB, IT'S THE CCOs

JOB TO FUND THEM -- I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE OUR EYE ON THIS, AND MAKING SURE IF THERE'S HOLES OR GAPS THAT YOU COME BACK AND TALK TO US ABOUT IT.

**Mr. Bajpai:** ABSOLUTELY. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THAT TOO, SO WE'VE BEEN VERY CLOSELY ENGAGED WITH HEALTH SHARE, AND FAMILY CARE TO MAKE SURE THEY UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR THOSE BEDS.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** NOW I'M CONFUSED. I THOUGHT THIS WAS -- I THOUGHT WHAT YOU WERE DESCRIBING IS A HOLE IN THE SERVICES, BECAUSE CCOs WILL NOT BE PROVIDING SERVICES FOR THESE KIDS WHO PRESENT THEMSELVES WHO ARE NOT ELIGIBLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CCO FUNDED SERVICES.

**Mr. Bajpai:** THAT'S CORRECT. I THINK COMMISSIONER KAFOURY'S CONCERN IS IT WOULD CREATE A HOLE IN THE MEDICAID COVERED KIDS' AVAILABILITY. THE NEEDS FOR KIDS THAT ARE NOT COVERED BY MEDICAID IS A HOLE THAT WE -- THAT'S EXISTED FOR A WHILE.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** THERE'S TWO HOLES. [LAUGHTER] IMAGINE THAT.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** I'M ALMOST SORRY I ASKED. ONE OTHER THING, GIVEN THE NATURE OF THIS HOLE IN THE BUDGET, AND THE NATURE OF THE BUSINESS OF PROVIDING RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT BEDS, IT IS NOT AN APPROPRIATE ONE-TIME-ONLY FUNDING REQUEST. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD NEED TO BE AN ONGOING COMMITMENT.

**Mr. Bajpai:** YES.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** OK. CAN YOU REPEAT JUST FOR COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK AND SLOW TO GAIN TRACTION HERE WHAT THE AMOUNT WOULD BE TO MAKE THIS WHOLE?

**Chair Cogen:** CAN I TRY THIS? MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT RIGHT NOW WE'VE BEEN SPENDING \$280,000 FOR MEDICAID COVERED KIDS. WE'RE NOT DOING THAT NOW BECAUSE THAT RESPONSIBILITY IS GOING TO THE CCO. THEORETICALLY, AND WE EXPECT THEY WILL SPEND THAT MONEY ON THIS. THE HOLE COMMISSIONER KAFOURY WAS TALKING ABOUT, WHAT IF THEY DON'T? BUT WE THINK THEY WILL, BUT WHAT IF THEY DON'T, THERE COULD BE A HOLE. ASSUMING WE DO AND WE SPEND THIS \$140,000 ON UNINSURED KIDS, IT SHOULD BE THE CASE STARTING JULY 1st THAT THINGS ARE BETTER THAN NOW. BECAUSE THE 280 IS STILL COMING FROM THE CCO, AND NOW WE HAVE AN ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT OF 140 FOR UNINSURED KIDS. IS THAT RIGHT?

**Mr. Bajpai:** THAT IS CORRECT.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** SO WE'RE REALLY ROLLING OUT A SAFETY NET FOR THIS GROUP.

**Mr. Bajpai:** YES.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** THANK YOU.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** BUT IF YOU REMEMBER FROM OUR IRCO BUDGET HEARING, THERE IS CONCERN ON THE PART OF THE PROVIDER THAT THERE'S --

**Chair Cogen:** THEY STILL MAY NOT DO IT.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** EXACTLY. I WANT TO MAKE SURE BY WATCHING IT, WE HAVE THESE LOVELY FOLKS WHO DO THAT FOR US, AND THEY KEEP AN EYE ON IT.

**Chair Cogen:** THEY'LL REPORT IF THAT'S NOT HAPPENING, AND WE CAN RESPOND.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THIS ONE? GREAT. THANKS.

**Ms. Myers:** FINALLY WE'LL DISCUSS BRIDGEVIEW. AND THE LUKE-DORF BEDS. THE COUNTY WAS NOTIFIED IN MAY THAT THE FEDERAL PATH FUND ALLOCATION FROM THE STATE WAS BEING REDUCED TO BRIDGEVIEW, WHICH IS A SUPPORTED HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS THAT'S OPERATED BY LUKE-DORF. THE INITIAL REDUCTION FIGURE OF 110,000 DOLLARS IS DUE TO A REALLOCATION DECISION BY THE STATE. APPARENTLY THERE WAS AN ERROR IN THE STATE'S CALCULATION AND LAST WEEK THEY PROVIDED US WITH AN UPDATED AMOUNT WITH A SMALLER FINAL REDUCTION OF \$80,000. THIS CUT WILL RESULT IN DECREASED CAPACITY FOR THIS 48-BED PROGRAM AND DAVID HIDALGO WILL SPEAK MORE ABOUT THESE SERVICES.

**Mr. Hidalgo:** GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS DAVID HIDALGO, THE DIRECTOR FOR MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES. DIVISION HERE AT THE COUNTY. THE BRIDGEVIEW, MANY OF YOU I BELIEVE ARE VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE PROGRAM, THE COUNTY HAS HAD A SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENT IN THE SUPPORTED HOUSING PROGRAM OVER THE LAST NUMBER OF YEARS. WE HELPED SUPPORT THE BUILDING OF A NEW BRIDGEVIEW SEVERAL YEARS AGO WITH THE CITY, AND IT IS ONE OF THE SIGNIFICANT BACKBONES OF SUPPORTED HOUSING PROGRAMS IN OUR COMMUNITY FOR INDIVIDUALS

WITH SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESS. SO IT IS A PROGRAM THAT AT FULL CAPACITY IS 48 BEDS, INDIVIDUALS CAN STAY FOR UP TO TWO YEARS, AND THE PRIMARY GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE COMING THROUGH THIS PROGRAM BEING REFERRED ARE INDIVIDUALS COMING OUT OF THE STATE HOSPITAL. PEOPLE TRANSITIONING INTO THE COMMUNITY WHO OTHERWISE MAY HAVE SOME SIGNIFICANT STRUGGLES AT RISK FOR HOMELESSNESS, INDIVIDUALS BEING REFERRED FROM REGIONAL HOSPITALS, SO ONCE AGAIN, AVERTING HOMELESSNESS FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESS. AND ALSO FROM PROVIDERS. SO INDIVIDUALS WHO MAY BE IDENTIFIED EITHER AT RISK FOR HOMELESSNESS OR WHO ARE CURRENTLY HOMELESS. SO IT HAS A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT IN OUR COMMUNITY. THE REDUCTION THAT SUSAN MENTIONED REALLY IS NOT A REDUCTION OF FEDERAL FUNDING TO THIS STATE, IT IS A REALLOCATION AT THE STATE LEVEL. BASED ON SOME CHANGE CRITERIA THAT THE FEDERAL PATH DOLLARS AND THE FEDERAL PATH GROUP IS LOOKING FOR, AND THEY'RE LOOKING FOR MORE OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT IN THE COMMUNITY. WHAT WE DO KNOW IS THAT THE BRIDGEVIEW IS A FACILITY. SO THEY DO LESS OF THE OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT COMPONENT. WHAT THEY DO PROVIDE IS 24/7 ON-SITE SERVICES TO THE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE NEEDING ESTABLISH LIE SAYINGS SO THEY GET THEIR MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT SERVICES DIRECTLY ON SITE, IN ADDITION WITH ASSISTANCE WITH LONGER TERM PLANNING FOR STABILITY AND PEOPLE ARE MOVING TO LONG-TERM PERMANENT HOUSING. THE GREAT STAT FROM THE BRIDGEVIEW PROGRAM IS THEY HAVE 48 BEDS, AND SINCE LUKE-DORF HAS OPERATED THAT PROGRAM, THEY'RE SERVING APPROXIMATELY 100 INDIVIDUALS A YEAR. THEY ARE BEING VERY SUCCESSFUL IN THAT PROGRAM, MOVING INDIVIDUALS WITH VERY COMPLICATED ISSUES AND COMPLEX MENTAL HEALTH AND SOMETIMES ADDICTIONS ISSUES COMBINED INTO THE COMMUNITY OF PERMANENT HOUSING. SO WHAT WE WANTED TO DO IS BRING FORWARD THE INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE FROM THE STATE, OUR STATE BUDGET IS NOT YET FINALIZED. SO WE'RE STILL AWAITING TO HEAR IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER DOLLARS COMING FROM THE STATE, THE HOPE WOULD BE THAT WE WOULD GET GOOD NEWS, AND THAT THERE WOULD BE ADDITIONAL DOLLARS TO HELP KEEP THIS PROGRAM WHOLE. WE CERTAINLY DO RECOMMEND THAT THIS BE A PROGRAM THAT WE DO KEEP AT CAPACITY FOR THE COMMUNITY. AND IF FOR SOME REASON THERE AREN'T ADDITIONAL RESOURCES, THEN I'LL GO TO SUSAN AND KATHY AND FIGURE OUT WHERE WE GO NEXT. THAT'S ALL THE INFORMATION I HAVE. ANY QUESTIONS I CAN ANSWER?

**Commissioner Kafoury:** I HAVE A BUDGET AMENDMENT ABOUT THIS. A BUDGET AMENDMENT, OR A BUDGET NOTE. I'M GOING TO READ IT, BUT I FORWARDED ALREADY A COPY TO THE BUDGET OFFICE. FEDERAL CUTS TO THE PATH PROGRAM PROJECTS FOR ASSISTANCE IN TRANSITION FROM HOMELESSNESS WILL ELIMINATE FUNDING FOR 10 BEDS AT THE BRIDGEVIEW TRANSITIONAL SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROGRAM OPERATED BY LUKE-DORF. THESE BEDS ARE AN IMPORTANT RESOURCE THE COUNTY HAS INVESTED

TIME AND DOLLARS TO DEVELOP, AND THE BOARD INTENDS TO CONTINUE TO FUND. DCHS WILL BE FINALIZING THEIR FUNDING PROJECTIONS FROM THE STATE AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OVER THE SUMMER. ONCE COMPLETED A FULL FUNDING IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR BRIDGEVIEW, WE DIRECT DCHS TO PRESENT FUNDING OPTIONS TO THE BOARD.

**Chair Cogen:** SOUNDS GOOD.

**Commissioner Smith:** DAVID, SO THIS IS A NEW ALLOCATION, SO NEXT YEAR WILL WE GET THE SAME ALLOCATION, OR WE DON'T KNOW? IS THIS FOR A TWO-YEAR BUDGET?

**Mr. Hidalgo:** WHAT I UNDERSTAND, COMMISSIONER SMITH, IS THE PATH FUNDS HAVE BEEN DEDICATED OR AT LEAST FROM THE STATE, MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAS RECEIVED A CONSISTENT AMOUNT OF PATH FUNDS FOR APPROXIMATELY THE PAST 10 YEARS. THIS IS A DIVERSION FROM THE AMOUNT THAT THE COUNTY HAS RECEIVED HISTORICALLY, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THAT AMOUNT WOULD BE FOR THE NEXT TWO YEARS. BUT AGAIN, I CAN CHECK IF THERE'S ANY OTHER ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

**Commissioner Smith:** IF WE GET IT THE NEXT TWO YEARS, WE'RE GOING TO KICK IN AS WELL THE MATCH MONEY.

**Mr. Hidalgo:** CORRECT.

**Commissioner Smith:** OK. THANK YOU.

**Mr. Hidalgo:** AND AGAIN, MY UNDERSTANDING IN THE HOPE WE REALLY DO HAVE IS THAT GIVEN THE NEED FOR SUPPORTED HOUSING IN OUR COMMUNITY, IF THERE'S ADDITIONAL DOLLARS THAT COME FROM THE STATE, THAT THIS WOULD BE ONE RECOMMENDATION WE WOULD HAVE TO THE BOARD, HERE'S A GREAT AREA TO ENSURE WHERE WE SERVE OUR COMMUNITY BEST. THE COMMISSIONER KAFOURY ALSO MENTIONED THE REDUCTION, IT DOES EQUATE TO 10 BEDS IN THAT PROGRAM, SO THEY WOULD MOVE FROM 48 BEDS TO 38 BEDS. THAT WOULD BE A REDUCTION OF SERVING 20 INDIVIDUALS IN THE COMMUNITY THIS NEXT YEAR.

**Commissioner Smith:** OK, THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** ANYTHING ELSE ON THESE? GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR COMING. I KNOW WE HAD ALL THESE ISSUES COME UP AT OUR HEARING LAST WEEK. IT'S ALWAYS HELPFUL TO HEAR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THOSE. SO THANK YOU FOR COMING FORWARD.

**Ms. Myers:** AND WE APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT AS ALWAYS.

**Chair Cogen:** NEXT UP IS EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT.

**Mr. Rizzi:** GOOD MORNING, CHAIR COGEN, COMMISSIONERS. WE'RE HERE TODAY TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE BUDGET, QUESTIONS YOU HAD ON THE BUDGET. LIMITED DURATION ONE-TIME FUNDING FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FOR THE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS POSITION. AND YOU HAD QUESTIONS ABOUT THE EMERGENCY PROGRAM COORDINATOR IN HUMAN SERVICES. I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO SUSAN MYERS FROM HUMAN SERVICES TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE EMERGENCY PROGRAM COORDINATOR POSITION THAT SHE HAS. AND THEN I'LL CLOSE IT BY TALKING ABOUT THE POSITION THAT WE HAVE FOR THE ONE-TIME LIMITED DURATION.

**Ms. Myers:** AGAIN, SUSAN MYERS, GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. LET ME JUST SAY THAT IN THE EVENT OF A DISASTER, THE TWO GREATEST AREAS OF IMPACT ARE INFRASTRUCTURE AND PEOPLE. AND BEING THE LARGEST GOVERNMENT HUMAN SERVICE AGENCY IN THE COMMUNITY, I ANTICIPATE AN EXPECTATION THAT OUR DEPARTMENT WILL RESPOND TO THE NEEDS OF PEOPLE. WITH A STAFF OF OVER 700 PUBLIC EMPLOYEES, I DO NOT SEE WE CAN BE OFF DUTY WHEN OUR COMMUNITY IS IN CRISIS. IT IS OUR JOB AND OUR OBLIGATION TO HELP THOSE IN NEED. I KNOW THAT EACH ONE OF YOU CARES ABOUT THE PEOPLE IN THIS COMMUNITY, AND I'M PRETTY CERTAIN THAT IF SOMETHING WERE TO HAPPEN YOU ALSO WOULD EXPECT US TO RESPOND. WE NEED TO BE PREPARED, JUST AS WE ASK OUR CITIZENS TO BE PREPARED. DURING MY VALUATION OF OUR DEPARTMENT, I FELT THAT OUR EMERGENCY RESPONSE READINESS COULD BE MUCH MORE ROBUST. WE DID NOT HAVE A DEDICATED RESOURCE FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANNING, SO IT WAS A TAG ON TO EVERYONE'S JOB, AND WAS NOT WELL COORDINATED. I FELT AS THOUGH OUR INNER DEPARTMENT COORDINATION COULD BE VASTLY IMPROVED, AND I'M GRATEFUL LAST YEAR WE RECEIVED COUNTY GENERAL FUNDS TO HIRE A PROGRAM SPECIALIST SENIOR FOR EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS. HER NAME IS ALICE BUSH AND SHE CAME TO US FROM THE SANDY FIRE DEPARTMENT, AND SHE'S FANTASTIC. WE'RE VERY HAPPY TO HAVE HER ON BOARD. ALTHOUGH MUCH OF OUR EMERGENCY PLANNING FOCUSES ON VULNERABLE POPULATIONS, WHEN A DISASTER STRIKES, ANYONE CAN SUDDENLY BECOME VULNERABLE. PEOPLE ARE IN CRISIS, THEY MAY HAVE LOST THEIR POSSESSIONS, THEIR HOMES, THEY OR THEIR LOVED ONES MAY HAVE SUFFERED MAJOR INJURIES, AND THEY MAY HAVE EXPERIENCED LOSS OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. THE ROLE OF THE DCHS EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COORDINATOR IS TO DEVELOP OUR DEPARTMENT'S CAPACITY TO RESPOND TO THE COMMUNITY IN THE EVENT OF A DISASTER. FOR INSTANCE, I ANTICIPATE THE NEED TO EXPAND THE CAPACITY AT OUR MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS SERVICES, ESPECIALLY THE CALL CENTER. OR WE COULD BE

INVOLVED IN MASS CARE AND EMERGENCY SHELTERING AND FEEDING, AS MY EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN, THERE'S SOMETIMES A SHORTAGE OF VOLUNTEERS IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR. OR AN EVENT COULD POSSIBLY AFFECT PEOPLE'S HOUSING, AND WE WOULD BE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN LONG-TERM RECOVERY EFFORTS SUCH AS PLACING PEOPLE IN NEW LIVING SITUATIONS WITHIN THE AREA, OR POSSIBLY HELPING THEM TO RELOCATE FAMILY ELSEWHERE. WE ALSO KNOW THAT GOVERNMENT CAN'T DO IT ALONE, AND IT IS IMPERATIVE FOR US TO FORM LABTIVE PARTNERSHIPS BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND NONPROFIT AND FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS. THE RESPONSIBILITY OF OUR EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COORDINATOR IS TO REACH OUT TO DEVELOP THOSE PARTNERSHIPS, FORMALIZE THEM AS NEEDED, AND CONTINUALLY MANAGE THOSE RELATIONSHIPS, WHICH REALLY DOES TAKE A LOT OF WORK. IF THERE WAS A LARGE EVENT, ALL OF DCHS WOULD LIKELY BE INVOLVED IN RESPONSE AND RECOVERY EFFORTS, SO THIS POSITION ALSO ACT AS THE DEPARTMENT'S LIAISON WITH EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT TO DETERMINE THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY AND COORDINATE THE ACQUISITION AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF RESOURCES TO MEET THOSE NEEDS. OUR EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COORDINATOR PROVIDES THE DEPARTMENT WITH LEADERSHIP, TECHNICAL EXPERTISE, AND SUPPORT TO ADVANCE DCHS' EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS CAPACITY. IF THERE EVER IS AN EVENT IN THIS COMMUNITY, AS THE ELECTED BODY, THERE'S A STRONG LIKELIHOOD THAT YOU WILL BE INTERVIEWED BY THE MEDIA, POSSIBLY NATIONALLY, AND I THINK YOU'LL BE VERY RELIEVED TO BE ABLE TO SAY THAT MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAS A ROBUST EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN AND THAT WE ARE RESPONDING TO THE NEEDS OF OUR COMMUNITY. THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** THANKS, SUSAN.

**Mr. Rizzi:** GOOD MORNING AGAIN CHAIR COGEN, COMMISSIONERS. MY NAME IS JOE RIZZI, THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR FOR OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE LIMITED DURATION POSITION USING ONE-TIME-ONLY FUNDING. FOR THE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS POSITION. THIS REALLY FOCUSES ON SUPPORT FUNCTIONS AND PLANS THAT WE ALREADY HAVE IN PLACE. AS WELL AS COORDINATING THE OVERALL ABILITY TO INTRODUCE AND LOOK AT VULNERABLE POPULATION AND HOW IT'S AFFECTED. IN EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT WE LOOK AT VULNERABLE POPULATIONS DIFFERENTLY THAN HUMAN SERVICES DOES. A LOT OF TIMES WE USE THE TERM "WHOLE COMMUNITY." THE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS WE LOOK AT ARE EXPANDED, IT'S REALLY ANYONE THAT HAS A DIFFICULTY IN A DISASTER. WHEN I SAY THAT, DIFFICULTY, IT COULD BE ANYTHING FROM SOMEONE ESSENTIALLY IF YOU CAN'T GET IN A CAR, LEAVE AN AREA, USE A CREDIT CARD, UNDERSTAND EVERYTHING THAT'S BEING TOLD TO YOU, ANY OF THOSE PEOPLE CAN BE A VULNERABLE POPULATION. SO THERE'S MANY DIFFERENT FACTORS. WHAT OUR GOAL IS IS TO FOCUS ON VULNERABLE POPULATIONS AND WHACK MAKE

PEOPLE VULNERABLE AND LOOK AT ALL THE PHASES. FROM PREPAREDNESS, PLANNING, RESPONSE, AND RECOVERY. AND ENGAGE THOSE DIFFERENT POPULATIONS SAYING HOW WILL THIS AFFECT YOU AND WHAT ARE SOME OF THE THINGS YOU NEED? WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS HAVE THAT PERSON COME BACK AND WORK WITH US THROUGH THE DIFFERENT PLANS THAT WE WORK WITH, EVERYTHING FROM THE EMERGENCY OPERATION PLAN, RECOVERY PLANS, EVACUATION AND SHELTERING, IN-PLACE PLANS, LIKE IF YOU HAVE A HAZMAT INCIDENT, SO IF YOU GIVE A NOTIFICATION WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? PEOPLE CAN'T JUST EVACUATE. SOME CAN, SOME CAN'T. DO THEY UNDERSTAND THE MESSAGE, HOW ARE THEY GETTING THE MESSAGE? DO THEY HAVE A COMPUTER, A RADIO? ALSO NOTIFICATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION AND WARNINGS AS WELL AS THE EMERGENCY SUPPORT FUNCTIONS WE REALLY BASE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COORDINATION ON. SO FOR US EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT WE USE A COORDINATION SYSTEM THAT REALLY FOCUSES ON 15 KEY AREAS, THAT'S EVERYTHING FROM TRANSPORTATION COMMUNICATION, PUBLIC WORKS AND ENGINEERING, FIREFIGHTING, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, MASS CARE, EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE AND HOUSING, THAT'S WHERE HUMAN SERVICES TAKES A LOT OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES FOR. AS WELL AS LOGISTICS, PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICAL SERVICES, SEARCH AND RESCUE, THE LIST GOES ON. AND A FEW OTHERS ARE PUBLIC SAFETY AND SECURITY AND LONG-TERM RECOVERY. SO OUR GOAL IS TO HAVE A WHOLE COMMUNITY APPROACH, AND ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF ALL THE PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND ENGAGE THEM IN THE PROCESS AS WE'RE DOING THE PLANNING, AND MAKE SURE THAT IN PLANNING AND PREPAREDNESS, THIS POSITION HELPS US FOCUS A LENS ON SAYING, WHO ARE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS, HOW DO WE IDENTIFY THEM AND HOW DO WE ENGAGE THEM IN THE PROCESS? I'D BE GLAD TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** I AM STILL CONFUSED. I THINK WHAT YOU'VE OUTLINED SOUNDS GOOD, BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE WHAT ALL THE OTHER PEOPLE IN EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ARE DOING. I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW THIS POSITION IS DIFFERENT THAN WHAT THE REST OF THE STAFF ARE DOING. ARE THEY NOT FOCUSING ON PEOPLE AS WELL?

**Mr. Rizzi:** YES. COMMISSIONER KAFOURY. WE TRY TO FOCUS ON THE WHOLE COMMUNITY. EVER SINCE KATRINA AND SANDY, WE SEE DISPROPORTIONATE AMOUNT OF SERVICES THAT GO TO POPULATIONS, WE HAVE A LARGER FOCUS ON IT. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE ONE PERSON THAT'S REALLY JUST THAT'S THEIR VISION, SO THERE'S A LOT MUCH PLANS THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT OVER THE YEARS, EMERGENCY PLANS, RESPONSE COORDINATION, THAT CAN FOCUS AND SAY, LET ME REALLY FOCUS ON THIS AND HOW DO WE ENGAGE THIS, AND HOW DO WE BRING THEM IN? AND WRITE THAT INTO OUR STRATEGIC PLANNING AND PART OF OUR PROCESS SO WE UPDATE THEM, THEY'RE ALWAYS INVOLVED. SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE LOOKING FOR A ONE-TIME LIMITED DURATION POSITION TO SAY, WE WANT TO HAVE THAT LENS,

WE WANT THAT PERSON TO FOCUS ON THIS, THERE'S A LOT OF RESEARCH AND WE STARTED BUILDING A PLAN TO HELP INTEGRATE AND GIVE RECOMMENDATIONS. WE WANT TO FOLLOW UP WITH THAT.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** COMMISSIONER SMITH.

**Commissioner Smith:** GOOD MORNING. SO HAVE WE IDENTIFIED THE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT? TODAY, WHO THEY ARE, AND HOW MANY OF THOSE FOLKS THAT ARE OUT THERE?

**Mr. Rizzi:** THAT IS PART OF THE PROCESS. I THINK, COMMISSIONER SMITH, I THINK THAT THAT FLEXES DEPENDING ON WHAT THE EMERGENCY OR DISASTER IS.

**Commissioner Smith:** RIGHT.

**Mr. Rizzi:** BUT OBVIOUSLY WE WANT TO FOCUS AND LOOK ON WHO COULD THOSE POPULATIONS BE, AND IT'S PRETTY VAST. IT'S EVERYTHING FROM OUR POPULATION OF BABY BOOMERS ARE GETTING OLDER, SO WE HAVE MOBILITY ISSUES, WE HAVE A DIFFERENT FOCUS FOR THEM. OUR SOCIOECONOMICAL, WE HAVE A POPULATION THAT IS BELOW POVERTY LINES, OR PEOPLE THAT ARE ON THE CUSP. SO THEY'RE LIVING PAYCHECK-TO-PAYCHECK. IF YOU INTERRUPT THAT, THEY CAN FALL INTO POVERTY. THERE'S ALL THOSE DIFFERENT POPULATIONS AND IT'S HAVING THAT POSITION, SAYING HERE'S THE THINGS WE THINK WILL BE IMPACTED IN THESE DIFFERENT PLANS, SO IF WE'RE LOOKING AT A SPECIFIC PLAN AROUND FLOODING, AND IT AFFECTS A CERTAIN AREA, HEY, THIS POPULATION IS GOING TO BE REALLY IMPACTED, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GIVE THEM ADDITIONAL SERVICES TO RECOVER AND BOUNCE BACK, SO WHAT HAPPENED IN SANDY, WHEN PEOPLE WERE TRYING TO RECOVER, THEY FALL INTO POVERTY. THE REASON IS, THEY CAN'T DO TRANSPORTATION, OR THEY DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO ABSORB A LOSS IN ANY INCOME.

**Commissioner Smith:** HAVE YOU IDENTIFIED FOLKS WHO ARE IN NURSING HOMES, SENIORS, FOLKS WHO CAN'T SPEAK ENGLISH, IS THAT THE VULNERABLE TYPE OF DEMOGRAPHIC YOU WOULD BE LOOKING AT?

**Mr. Rizzi:** VERY MUCH SO.

**Commissioner Smith:** THANK YOU.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** I JUST HAVE TO SAY LISTENING TO YOU, JOE, AND HEARING YOU TALK ABOUT THIS REMINDS ME OF SITTING HERE AND

LISTENING TO ONE OF YOUR PREDECESSORS TALK ABOUT THIS INSTANT -- INSTANCE WHICH -- I REALLY RECALL BECAUSE IT WAS A TOXIC ORANGE CLOUD THAT HAD EMERGED FROM PRECISION CAST PARTS WHICH SITS ON THE LINE, ON THE COUNTY LINE BETWEEN CLACKAMAS COUNTY AND MULTNOMAH COUNTY. SO THAT CREATED SOME PROBLEM ABOUT WHETHER THE WIND WAS GOING TO BLOT CLOUD OVER ONE COUNTY OR THE OTHER COUNTY. AND THE TERRAIN IN THAT AREA, WHICH IS REALLY HILLY, AND THE MESSAGE BEING GET OUT, BUT IF YOU HAVE LIMITED MOBILITY, THAT'S HARD. IF YOU'RE NOT TUNED IN TO THE MESSAGE AND YOU DON'T GET IT, YOU DON'T GET OUT. NOT ONLY ELDERS, BUT CHILDREN AND LATCHKEY CHILDREN. THERE ARE SO MANY SCENARIOS FOR YOU TO EXERCISE ON, AND I'M REALLY DELIGHTED THAT YOU ARE DOING THAT. IT ALLOWS ME TO FOCUS MY ATTENTION AND APPREHENSION IN OTHER AREAS, KNOWING THAT YOU ARE FOCUSING YOURS ON THIS AREA. SO I DO THINK THIS IS NEEDED, AND I APPRECIATE ALSO THE COORDINATION SO THAT IT'S NOT LIKE CATCHERS IN THE OUTFIELD, YOU KNOW, "I GOT IT, NO, I GOT IT." THAT CHARLIE BROWN SCENARIO. SO I THINK THIS IS VALUABLE AND HELPFUL, AND I'M GLAD THAT WE'RE DOING IT.

**Mr. Rizzi:** THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK.

**Commissioner McKeel:** CHAIR COGEN?

**Chair Cogen:** YES, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL.

**Commissioner McKeel:** I AGREE, AND I APPRECIATE YOUR LOOK AT VULNERABLE POPULATIONS IN A BIGGER SPECTRUM. AGAIN, I'M GOING TO GO COUNTYWIDE WITH THIS ISSUE, AND YOU LOOK AT -- YOU TALK ABOUT BEING ABLE TO GET ANYWHERE OR -- AS WE LOOK AT EAST COUNTY, THERE IS NOT TRANSIT SERVICE TO SOME OF THE PARKS OUT THERE, AND THERE'S VERY SPARSE TRANSIT SERVICE TO A LOT OF THOSE AREAS. SO THAT'S JUST ONE EXAMPLE OF VULNERABILITY THAT COULD EXIST OUT IN THE EAST PART OF THE COUNTY. SO I APPRECIATE YOU, I THINK THIS IS IMPORTANT TO REALLY DO THIS SORT OF ANALYSIS OF WHAT -- WHERE OUR VULNERABLE POPULATIONS ARE AND WHAT THAT MEANS. THAT MAYBE ISN'T A TRADITIONAL WAY WE THINK OF VULNERABLE POPULATIONS. SO I APPRECIATE THAT.

**Mr. Rizzi:** THANK YOU.

**Ms. Myers:** I JUST WANTED TO SAY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANNING IS JUST THAT -- PLANNING. MY EXPERIENCE LIVING IN AN AREA THAT FREQUENTLY HAD EVENTS WAS THAT SOMETIMES YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HOOP AND YOU DON'T RECOGNIZE A VULNERABLE POPULATION UNTIL AFTER THE FACT. FOR INSTANCE, IN AN AREA THAT HAD A LARGE NUMBER OF RETIREES, WHEN THE POWER GOES OUT AND SOMEBODY IS 90

YEARS OLD AND LIVING ON THE 26th FLOOR, WHO DIDN'T EVACUATE, THEN YOU HAVE TO REALIZE THERE'S A LOT OF ELDERLY PEOPLE WHO ARE ON THE HIGH -- WITHOUT ELEVATORS. AND SO SOMETIMES YOU'RE NOT REALLY KNOW WHAT THE VULNERABLE POPULATION IS UNTIL AFTER THE EVENT. BUT WE DO THE BEST WE CAN TO PLAN FOR THOSE. INCIDENTS. AND LANGUAGE, TRANSPORTATION, AS JOE SAID, PHYSICAL AILMENT, HARD OF HEARING, ALL SORTS OF THINGS, WE TRY TO PLAN THE BEST WE CAN, AND I THINK WE'RE ON OUR WAY TO DOING THAT.

**Commissioner McKeel:** AND THAT'S ANOTHER GOOD EXAMPLE. THE POWER GOING OUT. OUT WHERE WE ARE, WE'RE NOT A PRIORITY. YOU CAN BE WITHOUT YOUR POWER FOR A LONG TIME IF IT IMPACTS THE WHOLE AREA, IF IT'S AN EMERGENCY THAT IMPACTS THE WHOLE AREA. SO THAT'S ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF WHAT COULD HAPPEN OUT THERE. AND I DO AGREE THAT ALICE IS -- SHE'S AMAZING.

**Ms. Myers:** THANK YOU.

**Commissioner McKeel:** YEAH.

**Ms. Myers:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

**Chair Cogen:** NEXT UP IS THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ASSETS. JULIE AND TRACEY ARE COMING UP.

**Ms. Neburka:** GOOD MORNING, CHAIR COGEN AND COMMISSIONER, I'M JULIE NEBURKA FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ASSETS. WITH ME IS TRACEY MASSEY, A SENIOR I.T. MANAGER. SHERRY SENDS HER REGRETS, SHE'S NOT ABLE TO BE HERE THIS MORNING. SO HAD YOU ASKED LAST WEEK ABOUT THE PROCESS THAT WE'LL USE TO PRIORITIZE AND FUND THE BIG I.T. REPLACEMENT PROJECTS THAT WE'RE PROPOSING IN OUR NEW PROGRAM OFFER, THE I.T. CAPITAL REPLACEMENT, THE MILLION DOLLARS OFFER. SO I LEFT A SLIDE THAT LOOKS LIKE THIS ON YOUR DAIS, AND I'LL TALK ABOUT THE PROCESS THAT'S OUTLINED THERE. WHAT YOU HAVE ON THE SLIDE, IT'S GOT TWO SIDES, THE FRONT SIDESHOWS A SNAPSHOT OF THE PROCESS THAT WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON WITH THE OPERATIONS COUNCIL OVER THE PAST YEAR. BASICALLY WE'RE GOING TO ADAPT THE EXISTING PROCESS THAT WE USE FOR THE I.T. INNOVATION FUND PROPOSALS. WE'LL HAVE DEPARTMENTS OR COUNTY ASSETS FOR AN ENTERPRISE-WIDE APPLICATION, PROPOSED PROJECTS TWICE A YEAR, IN SEPTEMBER AND IN JANUARY. AND THAT PROPOSAL TO THE OPERATIONS COUNCIL WILL INCLUDE A CHARTER, WHAT IS THE WHOLE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT, WHAT IS THE ESTIMATED TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP, AND THEN THE OPERATIONS COUNCIL HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK THAT PROPOSAL OVER, ASK QUESTIONS, SEEK SOME MORE INFORMATION AND THEN IF ANY PROJECTS WERE RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING, WITH WOULD BRING THOSE TO YOU ALSO TWICE A YEAR.

PROBABLY IN JANUARY AND IN MAY. AS SHERRY MENTIONED DURING OUR BUDGET -- DEPARTMENT BUDGET PRESENTATION, WE'LL ALSO PLAN TO UPDATE YOU FOR EXAMPLE IN A TUESDAY BRIEFING, SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR ON THE STATUS OF THE PROJECTS THAT ARE UNDERWAY. SO YOU HAVE A GOOD SENSE OF WHERE THINGS ARE IN TIME. THE BACK OF YOUR HANDOUT HAS A TIME LINE THAT SHOWS KIND OF WHAT THE DECISION POINTS MIGHT LOOK LIKE ASSUMING WE STARTED JULY 1st. IN SEPTEMBER YOU CAN SEE THE COUNCIL WOULD RECEIVE AND CONSIDER PROJECT PROPOSALS, THEN IF ANY OF THEM WERE TO MOVE FORWARD, WE'D BRING THEM TO YOU IN EARLY JANUARY. AND IN THE MEANTIME, THE COUNCIL WOULD RECEIVE THE NEXT BATCH, IF THERE ARE ANY, AND WE WOULD BRING THOSE TO YOU IN MAY. YOU CAN ALSO SEE THAT WE'VE INSERTED A COUPLE OF POINTS WHERE WE WOULD BRING THOSE STATUS REPORTS TO THE BOARD ON EXISTING PROJECTS. YOU NOTE THAT ON THE FIRST SIDE WE REALLY WARNED TO CALL OUT THAT WE COULD ALSO BRING PROJECTS FORWARD ON AN AD HOC BASIS, THERE'S NO NEED TO WAIT IF SOMETHING IS PRESSING. AND IN AN EXAMPLE OF THAT, SORT OF AD HOC REQUEST MIGHT BE THE RESTAURANT INSPECTIONS SOFTWARE PROJECT THAT YOU HAVE HEARD ABOUT. THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT KIND OF WENT THROUGH THIS PROCESS THAT I'VE JUST DESCRIBED, THEY IDENTIFIED REPLACING THE SYSTEM AS AN IMPORTANT PRIORITY FOR THEM, THEY BROUGHT IT TO THE OPERATIONS COUNCIL, AND NOW WE'RE KIND OF ALL IN THE PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING WAYS TO FUND THAT PROJECT. SO THAT WHEN WE DO BRING IT TO THE BOARD, WE'D HAVE SOME SOLID RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WAYS THAT WE MIGHT PAY FOR THAT FOR YOU TO CONSIDER.

**Chair Cogen:** COMMISSIONER SMITH.

**Commissioner Smith:** I HAVE A QUESTION WITH THAT PARTICULAR ONE. SO YOU TOOK IT TO THE INNOVATION COMMITTEE?

**Ms. Neburka:** WE TOOK IT TO THE OPERATIONS COUNCIL.

**Commissioner Smith:** WHAT DID THEY SAY, DON'T THEY ALL 0 INDICATE DOLLARS FROM THAT FUND?

**Ms. Neburka:** NO. THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION. THAT'S NOT --

**Commissioner Smith:** WHAT WERE THEY VOTING ON?

**Ms. Neburka:** THE I.T. INNOVATION FUND IS KIND OF DONE WITH -- FOR THIS NEW PROGRAM, FOR THE I.T. SORT OF CAPITAL ASSET REPLACEMENT, YES, THEY WOULD RECOMMEND ALLOCATING DOLLARS FROM THAT.

**Commissioner Smith:** THEY GIVE THE RECOMMENDATION TO THE I.T.

INNOVATION FUND, SO YOU WOULDN'T HAVE A NEED TO COME BACK TO US TO ASK FOR MONEY.

Ms. Neburka: WE WOULD BE TO ASK YOU TO APPROVE THE PROJECT SO WE COULD APPROPRIATE THE DOLLARS FOR USE. BECAUSE THEY --

**Commissioner Smith:** WE APPROVE ALL THE PROJECTS IN THE INNOVATION FUND?

**Ms. Neburka:** YES.

**Commissioner Smith:** WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME WE DID ONE? I DON'T REMEMBER THEM.

**Ms. Fuller:** COMMISSIONER SMITH, JOANNE FULLER, CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER. THERE WAS A FUND THAT HAD BEEN ALLOCATED BEFORE THAT WAS ALLOCATED FOR A SET OF PROJECTS THAT WE'VE BEEN USING FOR THOSE PROJECTS. WE'RE NOW RECOMMENDING THE SET-ASIDE OF MILLION DOLLARS FOR THE NEXT SET OF PROJECTS, BUT JULIE IS DESCRIBING THE PROCESS WHERE WE WOULD BE IDENTIFYING THOSE PROJECTS AND THEN THE POINTS AT WHICH WE WOULD BE COMING BACK TO THE BOARD TO BRIEF YOU ON THOSE PROJECTS.

**Commissioner Smith:** BUT YOU ALL APPROVE THE PROJECTS THAT ARE COMING OUT OF THE INNOVATION FUND, RIGHT?

**Ms. Fuller:** WE WOULD BE WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENTS TO PRIORITIZE THOSE PROJECTS, FIGURE OUT WHICH PROJECTS MADE THE MOST SENSE FROM AN ORGANIZATION-WIDE PERSPECTIVE TO ELEVATE FOR THIS FUND BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT MORE PROJECTS THAN JUST A MILLION DOLLARS OUT THERE THAT DEPARTMENTS NEED TO HAVE OPERATING. AND THEN WE WOULD BE -- THE OPS COUNCIL DOESN'T HAVE ANY FORMAL AUTHORITY TO AUTHORIZE THE EXPENDITURES. SO AS A BOARD, YOU WOULD EITHER SET ASIDE THIS MONEY AND GIVE THAT AUTHORITY TO THE CHAIR, ESSENTIALLY, TO SPEND THE MONEY, OR SET IT IN -- SET IT ASIDE AND SAY TO US, YOU NEED TO BRING BACK THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS FOR APPROVAL.

**Commissioner Smith:** SO WHO IS THE CHAIR OF THE INNOVATION FUND? DO THEY BRING US THESE PROJECTS? I DON'T REMEMBER THIS PROCESS.

**Ms. Fuller:** SO WE HAVE BEEN USING THIS PROCESS INFORMALLY IN THE ORGANIZATION FOR MONEY THAT WAS ALLOCATED A WEEK AGO.

**Commissioner Smith:** YOU ALL APPROVE THOSE PROJECTS IN THAT -- AND THAT DIDN'T COME IN FRONT OF US.

**Ms. Fuller:** COMMISSIONER SMITH, THAT WAS QUITE A WHILE AGO. AND NOW THIS IS SORT OF A -- WE'RE REALLY CODIFYING THE PROCESS WE'VE BEEN USING TO SAY THIS, IS HOW WE'RE GOING TO GO FORWARD, BECAUSE THE ORGANIZATION HAS SO MANY MORE I.T. NEEDS, CAPITAL I.T. NEEDS THAN WE'RE ABLE TO FUND EVERY YEAR, THAT THIS IS WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING THAT WE WOULD USE AS THE GO FORWARD WAY TO DO THAT. I'M ACTUALLY THE CHAIR OF THE OPERATIONS COUNCIL. SO IT'S -- IT'S A GROUP THAT WE FORMED A LONG TIME AGO IN THE ORGANIZATION TO LOOK AT BUSINESS NEEDS ACROSS THE WHOLE ORGANIZATION.

**Commissioner Smith:** SO WE HAVE TWO DIFFERENT FUNDS, WE HAVE INNOVATION FUND AND THE OPERATIONAL FUND. AND NO ONE CAN MAKE A DECISION ON EITHER ONE OF THOSE UNTIL THEY COME TO US?

**Ms. Fuller:** SO THE OPERATIONS, THE DAY-TO-DAY OPERATIONS OF I.T., WHEN YOU PASS THE BUDGET, YOU'RE AUTHORIZING I.T. TO RUN THOSE SERVICES.

**Commissioner Smith:** RIGHT.

**Ms. Fuller:** THE INNOVATION FUND THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE IS NEW MONEY DESIGNATED FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR I.T.

**Commissioner Smith:** OK. THE REASON WHY THIS KIND OF POPPED OUT AT ME, BECAUSE I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S PROJECT, AND I THINK IT WAS LIKE A HALF MILLION DOLLARS? 450 SOME-ODD DOLLARS. MY ISSUE WITH THAT PARTICULAR PROJECT WAS THE FACT THAT WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO THE ONLINE PAYMENT OF THE -- FOR THE RESTAURANTS. BUT THE ISSUE IS, \$453,000, THERE SHOULD BE A WAY THAT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAS \$453,000, THEY KNOW THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO, YOU KNOW, DO THIS. AND TO UPGRADE. SO THEN WE SET A SEPARATE PROCESS FOR THEM TO GET \$453,000, OR WE GIVE IT BACK TO THE CONSUMER.

**Ms. Fuller:** RIGHT. SO THAT PIECE OF SOFTWARE IN PARTICULAR -- SO I WOULD SET ASIDE THAT PIECE OF SOFTWARE FROM THIS PROCESS. THAT PIECE OF SOFTWARE CAME FORWARD WITH A PROPOSAL FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, SINCE IT'S ATTACHED TO A COMPLETELY FEE-SUPPORTED SYSTEM, IT CAME FORWARD AS A PROPOSAL THAT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WOULD FUND THAT OUT OF A FEE, A TEMPORARY FEE INCREASE OVER SEVERAL YEARS. THE CHALLENGE WITH THAT PROCESS -- WITH THAT PIECE OF SOFTWARE AND THAT PROCESS IS THE COMMITTEE THAT ADVISES THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT ABOUT THOSE FEES WASN'T SUPPORTIVE OF THAT FEE INCREASE, EVEN THOUGH THIS SOFTWARE REALLY IS CRITICAL TO THE FUNCTION OF THAT SYSTEM, BY THE WAY, AND SO CONSEQUENTLY, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WAS SORT OF LEFT

BETWEEN A ROCK AND A HARD PLACE. THEY DESPERATELY REALLY NEED THIS SOFTWARE TO GET THAT SYSTEM MODERNIZED AND MOVED FORWARD, THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE SUPPORT OF THEIR ADVISORY COMMITTEE, AND --

**Commissioner Smith:** AND THERE IS WHERE THE PROBLEM IS. IF THEY DON'T HAVE SUPPORT OF THEIR ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND WE JUMP OVER THAT AND YOU GIVE A REQUEST TO THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, THAT'S A PROBLEM.

**Ms. Fuller:** I TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU. I THINK THE CHALLENGES -- THEY HAVE AN ANTIQUATED SYSTEM THAT ISN'T WORTH CONTINUING TO SUPPORT FROM AN I.T. PERSPECTIVE THAT REALLY NEEDS TO BE REPLACED. AND THEY DON'T REALLY HAVE, BECAUSE IT SUPPORTS A FEE SUPPORTED SYSTEM, THEY HAVEN'T BEEN SETTING ASIDE MONEY TO REPLACE IT --

**Commissioner Smith:** WHEN THEY COULD'VE BEEN SETTING ASIDE MONEY FOR THIS.

**Ms. Fuller:** THAT WOULD HAVE REQUIRED AN INCREASE IN THE FEES, YES. IN ORDER TO DO THAT, THAT WOULD REQUIRE AN INCREASE IN THE FEES.

**Commissioner Smith:** WE JUST RAISED THE FEES FOR THAT. YOU KEEP GOING BACK TO THE CONSUMER, TELLING THEM OUR FEES HAVE CHANGED EVERY YEAR, IF WE CAN LOOK AND SHOW THE FUTURE -- LOOK INTO THE FUTURE AND SAY WE KNOW WE'RE GOING TO NEED NEW SOFTWARE, LET'S DO THAT AND WE KNOW WE'RE GOING RAISE FEES IN THE NEXT THREE YEARS, WE SHOULD DO IT THEN INSTEAD OF RAISING THE FEES EVERY YEAR AND THEY THINK GOVERNMENT IS TAKING ALL OUR MONEY, AND IT'S SOMETHING. AND BE MINDFUL -- I DON'T THINK PEOPLE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH PAYING IT, BUT WHEN YOU COME BACK EVERY SINGLE YEAR AND YOU CHANGE THE FEE FOR THIS, THEY GET IRRITATED.

**Ms. Fuller:** I'M HAPPY TO WORK WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT ON THAT ISSUE.

**Commissioner Smith:** THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? COMMISSIONER KAFOURY.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** SO THIS IS A NEW FUND, WE'VE CLOSED THE OTHER FUNDS, OR THERE'S STILL --

**Ms. Fuller:** THEY'RE CHUGGING ALONG BEING SPENT, YES.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** WHY ARE WE NOT PUTTING THIS MONEY INTO OTHER FUNDS?

**Ms. Fuller:** IT IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME FUND. WHAT WE'RE DOING IS WE'RE CLARIFYING WITH YOU THE STRUCTURE THAT WE'RE GOING TO USE WITH THESE NEW FUNDS.

**Commissioner Smith:** BECAUSE IN THE PAST THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO HAVE BOARD APPROVAL.

**Ms. Fuller:** RIGHT. SO WHAT WE'RE DOING IS CLARIFYING THE STRUCTURE SO YOU HAVE MORE PARTICIPATION IN THE PROCESS OF UNDERSTANDING WHAT WE'RE SPENDING THIS MONEY ON.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** AND IN THE PAST IT SEEMS LIKE THERE HAD BEEN SOME PROJECTS DEFINED, AND THEN DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS WE WOULD LOOK AT THE LIST, SO ALTHOUGH WE DIDN'T APPROVE INDIVIDUALLY, WE STILL HAD AN IDEA. AND THIS TIME WE DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA.

**Ms. Fuller:** WE ACTUALLY HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH DEPARTMENTS TO IDENTIFY WHAT THEIR TOP PRIORITIES ARE.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** WE DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA.

**Ms. Fuller:** YES. SO WE -- BUT UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE CHAIR, WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH DEPARTMENTS TO IDENTIFY WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE, AND SO -- WE CAN ACTUALLY SHARE WITH YOU SOME OF THOSE PRIORITIES. I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE LIST IS WAY LONGER THAN A MILLION DOLLARS OF MONEY TO SPEND ON I.T. PROJECTS THAT REALLY NEED THESE KINDS OF CAPITAL UPGRADES.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** HOW DID THE MILLION DOLLARS COME INTO IT? WHY DID WE CHOOSE THAT NUMBER? IT SEEMS LIKE WE'VE TRIED TO CHANGE THE PIECES THAT ARE GOOD, BUT WE ADDED NEW THINGS THAT MAYBE AREN'T THAT GOOD.

**Ms. Fuller:** SO THE MILLION DOLLARS REALLY IS -- PART OF WHAT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT IS HOW MANY NEW CAPITAL -- HOW MANY CAPITAL PROJECTS CAN I.T. HAVE ON THEIR PLATE AT ONE TIME AND STILL MANAGE EFFECTIVELY. SO THE MILLION DOLLARS IS SORT OF A BALLPARK FIGURE THAT SHERRY IDENTIFIED AS WHAT SHE THINKS THAT THEY CAN KIND OF BE ADDING IN THIS NEXT YEAR TO LARGE PROJECTS, BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO SCOPE THAT PROJECT, THEY HAVE TO GO OUT FOR PROCUREMENT, THEY HAVE TO MANAGE IF IT'S A VENDOR THAT'S INSTALLING THAT PROJECT, THEY'VE GOT TO MANAGE THAT, AND THE DEPARTMENT FROM A BUSINESS END, HAS TO BE READY TO MAKE THE BUSINESS CHANGES, THEY NEED TO MAKE TO TAKE NEW SOFTWARE OR NEW HARDWARE, WHENEVER IT IS THAT THEY'RE CHANGING, AND ADD THAT AS WELL.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** I APPRECIATE -- THAT WAS A PROBLEM IN THE PAST, THEY WOULD COME FORWARD AND SAY WE WANT \$7 MILLION FOR THESE PROJECTS, AND IT WAS OBVIOUS THEY WEREN'T GOING TO BE ABLE TO COMPLETE THEM ALL.

**Ms. Fuller:** RIGHT. SO WHAT SHE'S TRIED TO DO IS SCOPE OUT WHAT IN A YEAR MAKES SENSE FOR US, GIVEN WHAT'S ALREADY ON OUR PLATE, WHAT WE'RE ALREADY IMPLEMENTING, WHAT MAKES SENSE IN THIS NEXT YEAR IN TERMS OF A BALLPARK FIGURE, BECAUSE SHE KNOWS SORT OF HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO COST TO DO DIFFERENT THINGS. AND THEN THE VISION THAT SHE WAS DESCRIBING IN THE CAPITAL BRIEFING IS THAT EVERY YEAR WE WOULD BE -- WE WOULD CONTINUE TO IDENTIFY JUST LIKE WE DO WITH OUR FACILITIES CAPITAL PROJECTS, WE WOULD CONTINUE TO LOOK AHEAD AND IDENTIFY WHAT ARE GOING TO BE THE CAPITAL NEEDS IN I.T. AND THEN HOW CAN WE EACH YEAR BE CHIPPING AWAY AT INVESTMENTS TO REPLACE THOSE CAPITAL NEEDS.

**Commissioner Kafoury:** I GUESS, THOUGH, IT'S STILL ARBITRARY IN THAT IT DOESN'T TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE MILLION DOLLARS -- IT DOESN'T TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE IMPORTANCE -- FOR EXAMPLE, THIS YEAR THERE ACTUALLY IS \$1.5 MILLION OF \$2 MILLION OF THINGS THAT ARE REALLY IMPORTANT. AND WE DON'T -- EVEN IF WE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE THE CAPACITY, WE COULD HIRE SOMEONE TO DO THAT, OR WE COULD BOND IT -- I JUST --

**Ms. Fuller:** SO I THINK THAT THE PROCESS THAT JULIE WAS DESCRIBING TO YOU IS GOING TO ALLOW US TO GET AHEAD OF THAT PLANNING. SO THAT -- FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE NEXT YEAR, IF IT WAS -- IF WE HAD IDENTIFIED AS THE NEXT HIGHEST NEEDS A COUPLE OF PROJECTS THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE \$2 MILLION OR \$3 MILLION, WE'LL BE ABLE TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD AT THAT POINT AND SAY, OK, FOR THIS NEXT YEAR IT LOOKS LIKE WHAT WE'VE GOT AS THE NEXT PROJECTS ROUGHLY SCOPED OUT ARE A COUPLE OF MILLION DOLLARS. SO I THINK THIS IS WHAT YOU'RE SEEING AS THE BEGINNING, I THINK OF INSTITUTIONALIZING A PROCESS THAT'S GOING TO ALLOW US TO BE PLANFUL INTO THE FUTURE TO ADDRESS OUR I.T. CAPITAL NEEDS.

**Commissioner Smith:** THE ISSUE THAT I'M GOING TO GO BACK TO WHAT THE CHAIR SAID LAST MONTH. ARE WE CHARGING ENOUGH FOR INTERNAL SERVICES? BECAUSE IF WE'RE NOT, MAYBE WE NEED TO HAVE INTERNAL SERVICE REFORM CONVERSATIONS.

**Ms. Fuller:** SO WE'RE HAPPY TO HAVE MARK CAMPBELL COME AND TALK IN MORE DETAIL ABOUT INTERNAL SERVICES. WE HAVE RECEIVED, AND I MAY GET OUT OVER MY SKIS HERE BECAUSE HE KNOWS MORE ABOUT THIS THAN I DO, BUT WE USED TO ACTUALLY CHARGE INTERNAL SERVICES, AND THEN

USE THAT TO ADDRESS THESE KINDS OF NEEDS. WE ARE NO LONGER THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING ADVICE TO US AND AUDIT ADVICE TO US SAID THAT WE LIMITED OUR ABILITY TO CHARGE AN INTERNAL SERVICES TO JUST EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE SPENDING NOT ON CAPITAL, BUT ON OPERATIONAL NEEDS. AND SO WE HAVE RIGHT SIZED OUR I.T. INTERNAL SERVICE RATE COLLECTION FROM DEPARTMENTS TO JUST OUR ACTUAL COSTS IN OPERATIONS. WHAT THAT MEANS IS NOW WE HAVE TO LOOK AT HOW WE COVER HOUR CAPITAL COSTS. THERE ARE TIMES WHEN WE HAVE FUNDING SOURCES THAT ARE NONGENERAL FUND THAT ALLOW US TO SPEND THAT MONEY ON CAPITAL COSTS. BUT ALSO FOR A LOT OF OUR SOURCES, WE CAN'T RECOUP THOSE COSTS FOR CAPITAL, SO WE HAVE TO SEEK OTHER WAYS OF FUNDING THE CAPITAL COSTS.

**Commissioner Smith:** SO FOR THIS OPERATIONAL FUND YOU CAN TEE UP FOR THE NEXT YEAR, AND IF YOU IDENTIFY THE NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT WE NEED IMMEDIATELY IN THE NEXT -- THAT COULD POSSIBLY BE PUT IN THE BIGGER BUDGET.

>> ONLY IF THEY'RE OPERATIONAL. THEY CAN ONLY BE FOR REIMBURSEMENT FROM THE -- FROM OUR INTERNAL SERVICE RATES, ONLY OPERATIONAL.

**Commissioner Smith:** OK. WE'LL TALK ABOUT IT.

>> AND I'LL ACTUALLY -- WOULD I ASK MARK TO TALK ABOUT IT BECAUSE HE KNOWS MORE ABOUT IT THAN I DO.

**Commissioner Smith:** THANK YOU.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** MY CONCERN IS KIND OF TWOFOLD. ONE IS THAT INITIALLY THIS WAS PRESENTED AS A POTENTIAL COMMITMENT OF ONGOING ONE-TIME-ONLY MONEY, AND THAT CONCERNS ME AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD BECAUSE WE NEED FLEXIBILITY ON A FAIRLY REGULAR BASIS TO DETERMINE WHERE I.T.'S CAPITAL NEEDS FALL WITHIN A WHOLE SPECTRUM OF PRIORITY DECISIONS THAT THE BOARD MAKES. SO ONE CONCERN ABOUT THIS IS JUST KIND OF GETTING IN OVER OUR HEADS ON COMMITMENTS TO CAPITAL FOR, AND THIS IS MY -- HERE'S MY SECOND I GUESS PRONG OF CONCERN, FOR CAPITAL TO PAY FOR SYSTEMS THAT I DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND HOW THEY WORK, OR I KNOW THAT THEY'RE REALLY IMPORTANT, BUT -- AND WE HAVE GROWN SO RAPIDLY, THIS WHOLE CHANGE INTO GREATER AND GREATER DEPENDENCE ON AND GREATER AND GREATER SOPHISTICATION OF I.T., IT'S LIKE, YOU KNOW, HAL? PLEASE CLOSE THE POD BAY DOOR. AND SO THAT'S MY CONCERN ABOUT MAKING ONGOING COMMITMENTS. I REALLY FEEL THIS KIND OF CAMEL'S NOSE UNDER THE TENT HERE WITH I.T.

>> I THINK IT WAS CLEAR EVERYONE ON THE BOARD SAID NO, WE'RE NOT MAKING AN ONGOING ONE-TIME ONLY --

>> THIS IS A ONE-TIME-ONLY COMMITMENT YOU'RE MAKING WITH THE PASSAGE OF THIS BUDGET, AND I THINK THAT, AGAIN, THE PROCESS THAT JULIE IS DESCRIBING IS GOING TO ALLOW US TO MORE CLEARLY ARTICULATE TO YOU WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE GOING FORWARD SO THAT THEN YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE PRIORITIES IN RELATION TO OTHER CAPITAL NEEDS, AND OTHER OPERATIONAL NEEDS IN THE ORGANIZATION. I GUESS THE OTHER THING I WOULD SAY IS, EVERYTHING THAT WE'RE DOING IS BECOMING MORE SOPHISTICATED. WE'RE BUILDING MORE SOPHISTICATED BUILDINGS, WE'RE DEVELOPING MORE SOPHISTICATED I.T., OUR PEOPLE SYSTEMS ARE MORE SOPHISTICATED, AND IT IS BECOMING I THINK CHALLENGING TO STAY ON TOP OF ALL OF IT.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** I APPRECIATE THAT EMPATHY PART OF THAT MESSAGE.

>> I AM A SOCIAL WORKER. [LAUGHTER]

>> I DO THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF WE HAD SOME IDEA WHAT WE WERE TALKING ABOUT. I THINK IT'S A LITTLE ODD THAT NONE OF THESE PAPERS HAVE ANY --

>> SO DO YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHAT ARE SOME OF THE THINGS THAT DEPARTMENTS HAVE IDENTIFIED THAT ARE TOP OF THE LIST? THEIR LIST?

>> THIS IS NOT -- I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT OFF THE CUFF. I'M TALKING ABOUT -  
-

>> WOULD IT BE BETTER TO PREPARE SOMETHING?

>> FOR ME, I WOULD THINK THAT WOULD BE BETTER. THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** OK. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** NOW I THINK KARYNE IS GOING TO COME UP AND LEAD US THROUGH FINAL AMENDMENTS AND BUDGET NOTES AND WHERE WE ARE AND WHERE WE'RE GOING AND THOSE SORTS OF THINGS. WELCOME BACK.

**Ms. Kieta:** THANK YOU. SO WHERE I'D LIKE TO START IS WITH A BOARD AMENDMENT. YOU HAVE THE BLUE SHEET ATTACHMENT A BOARD AMENDMENTS, AND THIS SHEET REFLECTS YOUR ACTIONS UP TO 5/30, YOUR LAST WORK SESSION. IT DOESN'T INCLUDE THE AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY

COMMISSIONER KAFOURY FOR THE DVERT. IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 2, I CAN SEE THE BALANCE AVAILABLE FROM THE SET-ASIDE OF 793,000 DOLLARS, AND AT THIS TIME WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE BOARD IS ARE THERE ANY FURTHER OUTSTANDING AMENDMENTS THAT WE NEED TO GET ON THIS LIST AND PREPARE FOR ADOPTION TOMORROW?

**Commissioner Smith:** YES. FIRST I'D LIKE TO PRESENT A BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR \$10,000 FOR MATERIALS FOR TUTORING FOR THE LEARNING CENTER, IT'S GOING TO HELP ADULTS PASS THEIR GED. IS CAROL HERE? I'M GOING TO ASK HER TO COME UP, IF THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS. BECAUSE THE GED ASSESSMENT IS GOING TO BECOME MORE CHALLENGING AFTER 2013 AND MANY ADULTS WITHOUT HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMAS OR GEDs HAVE MORE DIFFICULTY FINDING EMPLOYMENT, AND THESE ARE ADULTS WHO ARE -- WHO HAVE BEEN ADJUDICATED THROUGH AND SUPERVISED THROUGH OUR DEPARTMENT OF DCJ. AND THESE ARE ALSO ADULTS WHO ARE IN THE COMMUNITY. THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND MULTNOMAH COUNTY'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE, WE'RE PARTNERING ON THIS, AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS. AND I BELIEVE THAT THEIR EFFORTS WILL PROVIDE CRITICAL SUPPORT FOR ADULTS IN PASSING THESE TESTS PRIOR TO 2013. WE HAVE AN AMAZING NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER TUTORS WHO ARE PARTICIPATING FROM THE COMMUNITY. WE HAVE 40, AND MANY OF THE DOLLARS THAT WE'RE GOING TO SPEND ARE GOING TO HELP WITH SUPPLIES, GED COST AND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS. THIS IS A HUGE UNDERTAKING AND WE JUST LIKE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS PARTICULAR OPPORTUNITY BEFORE 2013. THAT'S ONE. AND THE OTHER -- ARE THERE BUDGET NOTES IN THIS SECTION? JUST AMENDMENTS? OK. GREAT. SO IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD TO THIS, CAROL.

>> I DO. I WANT TO MENTION THAT THIS INITIATIVE THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, THE COMMUNITY GED IS PART OF A NATIONAL CAMPAIGN. IT'S CALLED THE GED CLOSEOUT CAMPAIGN. AND STATES AND COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY ARE RESPONDING IN DIFFERENT WAYS, AND WE ARE A SCHOOL, BUT WE'RE ALSO AN OFFICIAL GED TESTING SITE. THE STATE OF OREGON ASKED US TO NOTIFY OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS TO HELP GET THE WORD OUT TO ADULTS THAT THEY NEED TO COME IN AND FINISH THEIR GED BEFORE THE TEST CHANGES. SO THAT'S HOW THIS WHOLE THING STARTED. THEIR RESPONSE HAS BEEN TREMENDOUS. WE HAD WITHIN 72 HOURS WE HAD 50 VOLUNTEERS SIGNED UP TO TUTOR. SO TO ME THE GED CLOSEOUT CAMPAIGN REPRESENTS AN OPPORTUNITY TO ENGAGE COMMUNITIES IN A FORM OF HEALING AND A FORM OF VIBRANCY. THESE ADULTS THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHO NEED A GED ARE MOST VULNERABLE PEOPLE. THEY'RE MORE LIKELY TO BE UNEMPLOYED AND HEAVY USERS OF COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES. SO IT IS -- THIS SMALL INVESTMENT IS IN OUR INTEREST TO HELP THESE FOLKS HAVE OPPORTUNITIES AT EMPLOYMENT.

**Commissioner Smith:** AND THE SECOND ONE IS \$10,000 FOR REAP. THIS IS AN ORGANIZATION THAT WORKS WITH OUR AT-RISK YOUTH IN EAST COUNTY FOR THEIR LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE. IN AUGUST FOR A WEEK. THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS? I ACTUALLY HAVE ONE. IT'S \$20,000, ONE-TIME-ONLY MONEY TO A FUND EXPANDING THE PRINTING OF THE ROSE CITY RESOURCE GUIDE. THE AMENDMENT INCREASES THE PRINTING OF THE ROSE CITY RESOURCE GUIDE BY 40,000 COPIES TO 140,000 TOTAL. THE ROSE CITY RESOURCE GUIDE IS A COMPREHENSIVE LISTING OF SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS AND POVERTY, PUBLISHED BY STREET ROOTS AND DISTRIBUTED BY MULTNOMAH COUNTY NONPROFITS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AS WELL AS VENDORS. THESE 40,000 ADDITIONAL COPIES WILL ALLOW DISTRIBUTION TO CONTINUE THROUGHOUT THE YEAR BECAUSE IN RECENT YEARS THEY'VE BEEN HAVING GAPS IN THE SPRING AND FALL PRINT RUN.

>> WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS MOVE OUR AGENDA AROUND, AND I'D LIKE TO MOVE THE BURNSIDE BRIDGE NOTES UP TO THE NEXT SESSION TO CONSIDER. I WANT TO CALL OUT BACK ON YOUR BOARD AMENDMENT SHEET, YOU HAVE TWO AMENDMENTS THAT ARE TIED TO BUDGET NOTES. AND TYPICALLY THE BUDGET NOTE IS POLICY DIRECTION, BUT THE AMENDMENT ACTUALLY IMPLEMENTS THAT POLICY DIRECTION IF THERE ARE ANY FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS. SO --

**Chair Cogen:** I HAVE A BUDGET NOTE THAT WOULD PROBABLY FALL INTO THAT CATEGORY. MAYBE I SHOULD START WITH THAT. IT'S TO SET ASIDE DOLLARS TO DEAL WITH FEDERAL CUTS WE WERE AWARE OF. SEQUESTRATION AND OTHERWISE. \$1 MILLION APPROPRIATION WILL BE EARMARKED IN THE TORNIAKE FUND. IT WILL BE -- CONTINGENCY FUND. \$800,000 REMAINING IN THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATION SET-ASIDE AND \$200,000 FROM TWO VACANT POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES. THOSE ARE IN THE COMMUNITY SERVICES PORTION. IT'S TWO POSITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN VACANT FOR THREE YEARS. THE BUDGET OFFICE WILL WORK TO GATHER, ANALYZE INFORMATION ON THE FEDERAL CUTS AS IT BECOMES AVAILABLE WITH A TENTATIVE PLAN TO RETURN TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN AUGUST. THE BUDGET OFFICE AND DEPARTMENTLESS BRIEF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON THIS SPECIFIC CUTS AND IMPACTS AND PROVIDE PRIORITIZED RECOMMENDATIONS TO MITT GAIT GAIT (migate?) THE IMPACTS. IF IT'S DETERMINED BY THE BOARD THE CONTINGENCY FUND MUST BE TAPPED TO PREVENT SAFETY NET CUTS THE BUDGET OFFICE WILL WORK WITH DEPARTMENTS TO BRING A PACKAGE OF BUDGET MODIFICATIONS FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION.

**Commissioner McKeel:** I HAVE A BUDGET NOTE. THIS BUDGET NOTE HAS TO DO AROUND THE QUESTIONS THAT I WAS ASKING DCS ABOUT THE UPDATING OF THE RURAL AREA PLANS. AND I APPRECIATE THEIR RESPONSIVENESS BACK, AND THE INFORMATION THAT WE WERE ABLE TO GET. SO MY BUDGET NOTE IS TO DIRECT DCS STAFF TO EVALUATE THE CAPACITY NEEDED AND THE COST OF DOING UPDATES TO THE COMPREHENSIVE FRAMEWORK PLAN AND THE RURAL OVERLAY PLANS. I'D LIKE THEM TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD WITH A BRIEFING BY THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR ON A POTENTIAL PLAN FOR HOW WE CAN GO FORWARD TO UPDATE THESE PLANS. THEY REALLY NEED AN UPDATE, SO I WANT TO SEE A PATH FORWARD TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

**Commissioner Smith:** I HAVE A BUDGET NOTE. THIS IS IN REGARDS TO YOUR AUTOMATIC VOTER REGISTRATION AMENDMENT. I'D LIKE TO PUT A BUDGET NOTE IN THAT SAYS SHOULD HOUSE BILL 3521 BE SIGNED INTO LAW, I'M DIRECTING ELECTIONS TO RETURN TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN AUGUST WITH THE PRESENTATION THAT BRIEFS THE BOARD ABOUT THE IMPACTS OF THIS LEGISLATION AND THE PROCESS THAT ELECTION HAS PUT IN PLACE TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF OUR VOTER ROLL.

**Chair Cogen:** THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** JUST A QUESTION TO COMMISSIONER SMITH. ON THE ATTACHMENT C OF BUDGET NOTES, AND ALSO FOR YOU, MR. CHAIR. THERE'S AN AUTOMATIC VOTER REGISTRATION BUDGET NOTE. IS THAT THE BUDGET NOTE THAT YOU'RE --

**Commissioner Smith:** YES. EXACTLY.

**Vice-Chair Shiprack:** PROPOSING? GREAT.

>> SO WHAT I'D LIKE TO NOTE ON THE ATTACHMENT C BUDGET NOTES THAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU, WE HAD A COUPLE OF PLACE HOLDER ITEMS, AND THAT WE HAVE LANGUAGE NOW THAT'S BEEN INCLUDED. THE FIRST ONE WAS THE LIBRARY OUTREACH SERVICES PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER KAFOURY. SO WE NOW HAVE THE DRAFT LANGUAGE IN THERE. AND THEN ALSO AT THE LAST SESSION WE HAD A SHERIFF'S OVER TIME BUDGET NOTE PLACE HOLDER FROM COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, AND THAT LANGUAGE IS NOW IN THERE.

>> I DON'T WANT TO FORCE OUR BUDGET OFFICE, JUST SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE AMENDMENT I PROPOSED, BUT THIS IS -- I'VE REVIEWED IT ON PAGE 2 OF ATTACHMENT C, AND IT'S ALL ACCURATE AND CORRECT, AND I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THE FIRST LINE IN THIS BUDGET AMENDMENT, WHICH IS THE

MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND THE SHERIFF, BUT I THINK THAT'S SIGNIFICANT THAT THIS IS -- THIS IS GOING TO SPONSOR A MUTUAL EFFORT TO GET A HANDLE ON OVER TIME IN THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE.

**Commissioner Smith:** IS IT A BUDGET NOTE?

>> THIS IS A COMMISSIONER SMITH VERY MUCH LIKE YOUR BUDGET NOTE THAT YOU JUST PROPOSED. THIS IS BOTH A BUDGET NOTE AND AN AMENDMENT. SO IT WILL PLACE \$2,244,042 IN GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY, WHICH WILL BE APPROVED ON A QUARTERLY BASIS. WITH THE PROVISION FROM MCSO OF A BOARD BRIEFING AND REPORT ON INFORMATION THAT'S DETAILED IN THE BUDGET AMENDMENT.

>> ARE THERE ANY OTHER BUDGET NOTES OUT THERE?

>> I'M SURE THERE ARE SOMEWHERE. [LAUGHTER]

>> WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT BACK NOW THAT WE'VE COMPLETED THE BUDGET NOTE PROPOSALS IS TO TAKE YOU BACK TO ATTACHMENT A ON THE BOARD BUDGET AMENDMENTS. THE AMENDMENTS COLLECTIVELY THAT WE HAVE EXCEED THE REVENUE THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE ON THIS SHEET, AND THE BUDGET OFFICE PROPOSAL IS THAT WE BRING FORWARD UNSPENT CONTINGENCY FROM FISCAL YEAR 2013 AS A BALANCER --

**Chair Cogen:** WHICH WE HAVE ABOUT 1.2 MILLION OF?

>> THAT'S CORRECT. AS A BALANCER DEPENDING UPON THE NUMBER AT THE END OF THE DAY AFTER THE VOTING. SO WE'LL ADD A LINE FOR THAT.

>> EXCUSE ME, JUST A TECHNICAL QUESTION. CURIOSITY. WHERE WOULD THAT LEFT OVER CONTINGENCY MONEY FROM 2013 END UP OTHERWISE?

>> NEXT YEAR'S ONE-TIME MONEY.

**Chair Cogen:** FOR '15.

>> THAT WAS A QUICK ANSWER, MR. CHAIR.

**Chair Cogen:** I KNEW THAT ONE!

>> THANK YOU.

>> SO I JUST WANTED TO NOTE WE'LL BE ADDING THAT LINE TO THIS SHEET. THE LAST SECTION THAT WE HAVE ARE THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENT AND I WON'T TORTURE YOU AND GO THROUGH EACH SECTION AGAIN. WE DO HAVE

ONE PROPOSED NEW PROPOSED PROGRAM AMENDMENT THAT I'M GOING TO HAVE MIKE ADDRESS.

**Mr. Jaspin:** GOOD MORNING. MIKE JASPIN, THE BUDGET OFFICE. THIS AMENDMENT IS FOR THE --

>> IT'S ON PAGE 13, EXCUSE ME.

>> THAT'S OUR GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND. WE'RE DOING THIS AMENDMENT FOR TWO REASONS. THE FIRST ONE IS IT RESPOND TO A RECOMMENDATION WE RECEIVED FROM THE TAX SUPERVISING COMMISSION, AND ALSO IT TRUES UP OUR BEGINNING WORKING CAPITAL ESTIMATES FOR NEXT YEAR. SO WHAT IT DOTS IS REDUCES THE MONEY WE HAVE IN THAT FUND GOING INTO NEXT YEAR BY A HALF A MILLION DOLLARS, AND IT REDUCES THE AMOUNT THAT WE ANTICIPATE THE FUND ENDING NEXT YEAR WITH BY 1.5 MILLION. AND THE UPSHOT OF THAT IS THAT WE WILL LEVY APPROXIMATELY \$1 MILLION LESS IN TAXES TO COVER THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND. SO THE AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD IS -- WILL SEE SEVERAL DOLLAR REDUCTION IN THEIR TAX BILL TO COVER THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH IS OUTSIDE OF THE MEASURE 5 LIMITS.

>> THAT IS ALL WE HAVE FOR YOU. UNTIL 2:00 TODAY.

>> THANK YOU.

**Chair Cogen:** AND 2:00 IS WHEN WE'RE HAVING THE TECH SUPERVISING CONSERVATION COMMISSION HEARING ON THE COUNTY'S BUDGET AS WELL AS THE NEW LIBRARY DISTRICT'S BUDGET. RIGHT? ALL RIGHT. THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS WE'RE NOW ADJOURNED.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 11:25 a.m.

This transcript was prepared by LNS Captioning and edited by the Board Clerk's office. For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:  
[http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=3](http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3)

Submitted by:  
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and  
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk  
Board of County Commissioners  
Multnomah County