



HAMILTON, Rebecca
August 27, 2015
Agenda #: R.1

Kevin COOK <kevin.c.cook@multco.us>

Sauvie Island Draft Plan comment

Rebecca Hamilton <becca.s.hamilton@gmail.com>
To: simcplanning@multco.us

Tue, Aug 25, 2015 at 3:12 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to express my deep disappointment and concern over the language regarding recreational cycling in the draft Sauvie Island transportation plan. Specifically:

"Implement a range of Transportation Demand Management (TDM) policies encouraging existing businesses and requiring new development (beyond single family residential use and agricultural uses) to help reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT), maximize use of existing facilities and alleviate congestion on US 30 and county roads caused by seasonal and special event traffic. **Support the use of bicycle transportation alternative to automotive use without encouraging purely recreational bicycle activities that may increase this level of vehicle conflict on roadways.**"

This position is discriminatory and short-sighted. Cyclists are road users who are fully within their legal rights to use these publicly funded roads for recreation, for pure transportation, or for transportation that they happen to find enjoyable, just like car drivers or motorcyclists. By codifying and promoting this negative attitude towards one type of road user you will encourage negative behavior towards those users - behavior that could be threatening, menacing, or physically dangerous for *all* cyclists, not just the recreational cyclists you intend to exclude.

If you are trying to limit cycling on and around the island in order to reduce VMT, maximize use of existing facilities, and alleviate congestion on US 30 as well as reducing vehicle conflict on the roadways, the public deserves to see a traffic study performed and stamped by a professional engineer that supports your implied claim that recreational cycling is a significant contributor to any of these conditions. Given the relative volume of car drivers to cyclists, it is highly unlikely that this is the case. Also, crash records for the island are not indicative of a safety concern caused by car/bicycle "vehicle conflicts". Your justification for this discriminatory position is backed by indefensible claims.

I strongly urge you to remove this divisive, discriminatory language from the plan.

Rebecca Hamilton
Multnomah County Resident