

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon
Thursday, April 17, 2014**

REGULAR MEETING

Chair Marissa Madrigal called the meeting to order at 9:37 a.m. with Vice-Chair Diane McKeel and Commissioners Liesl Wendt, Loretta Smith and Judy Shiprack present.

Also attending were Jenny M. Madkour, County Attorney, and Lynda Grow, Board Clerk.

[THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF THE CLOSED CAPTIONING OF THIS PROGRAM.]

Chair Madrigal: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE THURSDAY, APRIL 17th, 2014 MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING. [TALKING AT ONCE] COMMISSIONER SMITH MOVES, COMMISSIONER WENDT SECONDS APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] OPPOSED? THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS APPROVED.

Board Clerk: PUBLIC COMMENT, OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON NONAGENDA MATTERS, TESTIMONY LIMITED TO THREE MINUTES PER PERSON UNLESS OTHERWISE DESIGNATED BY PRESIDING OFFICER. THIS IS A TIME FOR THE BOARD TO HEAR PUBLIC TESTIMONY, NOT FOR BOARD DELIBERATION. MADAME CHAIR, WE HAVE THREE TODAY. WE HAVE MR. LIGHTNING, MR. WALSH AND MR. JOHNSON, IF YOU'LL PLEASE COME FORWARD.

Mr. Lightning: GOOD MORNING. I REPRESENT THINK LIGHTNING COMPANY, MY NAME IS LIGHTNING. ONE OF THE THINGS I WANT TO DISCUSS TODAY IS BASICALLY ON CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS. THERE'S A PERCEPTION THAT CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS CAN'T END, THERE'S A PERCEPTION THAT WE CAN'T CREATE ENOUGH RESOURCES OR MONEY TO END CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS. I ABSOLUTELY DISAGREE WITH THAT ASSESSMENT. THERE'S ALWAYS GOING TO BE HOMELESSNESS, BUT CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS IS GENERALLY SOMEBODY THAT'S BEEN OUTSIDE FOR SAY OVER A YEAR, POSSIBLY TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE YEARS. IN MY OPINION, WE CAN END CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS IN PORTLAND AND MULTNOMAH COUNTY. THERE WILL ALWAYS BE HOMELESSNESS. WHEN SOMEBODY'S CHRONICALLY HOMELESS, THAT SHOULD BE A RED FLAG. THAT SHOULD BE -- WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING, WE'RE NOT DOING ENOUGH. THE REALITY IS WE NEED TO ALSO START TO LOOK AT HOW LONG HAS THAT PERSON BEEN A RESIDENT IN PORTLAND OR MULTNOMAH COUNTY. WE NEED TO START LOOKING AT THAT

ALSO. IF THEY HAVE BEEN A RESIDENT FOR 10-PLUS YEARS, AND BEEN CHRONICALLY HOMELESS FOR ANOTHER FIVE TO 10 YEARS, SOMEBODY COMES IN FROM ANOTHER STATE AND THEY GET HOUSING WITHIN SIX MONTHS, IS THAT RIGHT? THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO START LOOKING AT. WHAT IS FAIR AND WHAT IS EQUITABLE. WE NEED TO LOOK ACROSS THE WHOLE SCOPE AT CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS AND END IT, NOT GROW ONE GROUP OR ANOTHER GROUP, BUT EVERYBODY. CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS NEEDS TO END IN PORTLAND AND MULTNOMAH COUNTY. PLAIN AND SIMPLE, THE REALITY IS WE NEED MORE RESOURCES. WE NEED TO FOCUS ON THAT, AND THAT NEEDS TO COME TO AN END. AND THEN, WHEN SOMEBODY IS HOMELESS, WE NEED TO FOCUS ON THAT AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY DON'T STAY HOMELESS FOR OVER 12 MONTHS. THAT'S THE KEY. THE CITY WILL RUN BETTER, MULTNOMAH COUNTY WILL RUN BETTER, AND THE BUSINESSES WILL RUN BETTER BECAUSE EVERYTHING AT THAT POINT WILL GO ON AND MOVE FORWARD IN A REASONABLE MANNER. BECAUSE IF WE ALLOW PEOPLE TO BECOME CHRONIC HOMELESS, THAT IS A DRAG ON THE SYSTEM. YOU LOOK AT THE PUBLICLY FUNDED SERVICES, YOU LOOK AT THOSE INDIVIDUALS, WE'RE TALKING 20, 30, \$40,000 A YEAR TO HAVE THEM OUTSIDE. WE'RE TALKING \$10 TO \$15,000 A YEAR TO HAVE THEM INTO HOUSING. IT DOESN'T TAKE MUCH TO FIGURE OUT WHAT YOU WANT TO DO. WE NEED TO END CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS, WE NEED TO FOCUS ON THAT FIRST MONTH TO 12 MONTHS AND GET PEOPLE INTO HOUSING AS FAST AS WE CAN. THANK YOU.

Chair Madrigal: THANK YOU.

Mr. Walsh: MY NAME IS JOE WALSH, I REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS FOR JUSTICE. BEFORE I START I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU ALL AN APOLOGY, APPARENTLY I GOT CARRIED AWAY LAST WEEK AT THE END AND USED WHAT SOME PEOPLE CONSIDER PROFANITY. THAT WAS NOT AIMED AS DISRESPECT, IT WAS ANGER. AND I APOLOGIZE FOR THE CHOICE OF WORDS. THIS MORNING I COME TO YOU WITH GOOD NEWS. TWO DAYS AGO AN EX-PRESIDENT BY THE NAME OF THE JIMMY CARTER, WHO IS PROBABLY OUR GREATEST EX-PRESIDENT THAT WE'VE EVER HAD, SIGNED ON TO A LETTER TO PRESIDENT OBAMA ASKING HIM NOT TO APPROVE THE TAR SANDS PIPELINE COMING DOWN CUTTING OUR COUNTRY IN HALF. IT'S RUNNING FROM CANADA ALL THE WAY TO THE GULF OF MEXICO. IT IS THE FILTHIEST, DIRTIEST, TYPE OF CARBON LIQUID THAT WE'VE EVER SEEN. IT'S GOING TO RUN RIGHT THROUGH THE HEART OF AMERICA, TO GO TO REFINERIES IN THE GULF OF MEXICO FROM CANADA. WE WILL NOT USE THIS OIL, THIS OIL WILL BE SENT TO CHINA. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE UNITED STATES EXCEPT IT'S GOING TO SPLIT OUR COUNTRY IN HALF. IF THE PIPELINE BREAKS OR RUPTURES, WE WILL HAVE A DISASTER THAT WILL MAKE THE GULF LEAK LOOK LIKE SOME LITTLE PUDDLE. IT WILL BE A DISASTER THAT NOBODY CAN EVEN IMAGINE. THAT'S WHY JIMMY CARTER CAME OUT, TO HIS CREDIT, AND SAID TO PRESIDENT OBAMA, DO NOT APPROVE THE REST OF THIS PIPELINE. HE

SIGNED A LETTER WITH 12 NOBEL PEACE PRIZE WINNERS AND THEY WERE BASED ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. MOST PEOPLE HAVE TAKEN A POSITION THAT I KNOW OF, THAT THIS IS CRAZY STUFF, THIS IS NUTS. SO I'M ASKING YOU AGAIN, DO WHAT YOU CAN. JIMMY CARTER DID. HE DIDN'T HAVE TO DO IT OBVIOUSLY. HE DID IT BECAUSE IT'S THE THING TO DO AS A HUMAN BEING. SO I'M ASKING YOU AS A HUMAN BEING AND AS COMMISSIONER, TALK TO THE GOVERNOR. IF YOU CAN. TALK TO ANYBODY THAT YOU HAVE CONTACT WITH, AND ASK THEM WHY ARE WE DOING THIS? THIS IS INSTANT. THANK YOU.

Chair Madrigal: THANK YOU.

Mr. Johnson: GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS, MY NAME IS CHARLES JOHNSON, IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE BACK WITH YOU YOU. I SAW COMMISSIONER WENDT OVER AT THE OTHER SIDE OF THE RIVER WITH COMMISSIONER SALTZMAN AND THAT CREW. I'LL SAY A KOSHER HAPPY PASSOVER TO EVERYONE. WE TOLD SOME ANECDOTAL STORIES YESTERDAY ABOUT A FEW -- MORE THAN A FEW WOMEN WHO HAVE BEEN ASSISTED OR HELPED OR MAYBE EVEN DARE WE SAY RESCUED FROM SITUATIONS OF CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS. THOSE ANECDOTAL STORIES ARE GOOD. BUT UNFORTUNATELY WE KNOW THAT THEY ARE SOMEWHAT ANECDOTAL. THERE'S STILL A HUGE POPULATION IN NEED OF SERVICES THAT WE JUST HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO STRUCTURE THE BUDGET TO PROVIDE. SO I'LL TAKE UP YOUR TIME WITH ANOTHER LITTLE ANECDOTAL STORY. THERE'S A PERSON WHOSE DAY, AFTER THEY WERE DISCHARGED ON THE FOURTH FLOOR OF GOOD SAMARITAN WAS TO BE GIVEN THREE TICKETS TO SLEEP ON THE FLOOR OF CITY TEAM. AND EVENTUALLY THAT PERSON WOUND UP IN A SITUATION THAT WAS MAYBE GOOD OR MAYBE BAD OR MAYBE BOTH, AND CODEPENDENT, SLEEPING ON THE FLOOR OF A PERSON WHO RECEIVES AGING DISABILITY SERVICES. AND THAT PERSON GOES ON THROUGHOUT THEIR DAY AND TAKES CARE OF COMPANION ANIMALS THAT ARE SOMETIMES -- THEIR REGULAR PERSON IS IN DISTRESS OR IN RELAPSE. INTERACTS AS A FRIEND AT SOCIAL EVENTS FOR POLITICAL CANDIDATES WITH THE STAFF OF TRANSITION PROJECTS, PEOPLE LIKE DOREEN BENDER. THAT PERSON GETS ON THE OREGON HEALTH PLAN AND IS TOLD BY OHSU TO COME TO AN APPOINTMENT AND BRING SOME PAPER. OHSU SAYS, OH, NO, YOU ABSOLUTELY POSITIVELY HAVE TO CALL THIS OTHER NUMBER. TWO HOURS OF YOUR LIFE ON HOLD AT A TOLL-FREE NUMBER ALREADY? IF YOU DON'T GET THE RIGHT CONNECTION, WE'RE GOING TO EXPECT TO YOU PAY FULLY FOR SERVICES BUT WE'RE GOING TO GIVE THEM TO YOU ANYWAY. AND A LOT OF MONEY IS FINANCING THAT OPERATION BUT THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE ACTUALLY ANY CARE OR POINT OF CONTACT, ANY KIND OF OMBUDSMAN THAT'S COME OUT OF THE BUDGET FOR COVER OREGON OR OHP OR OHA. AND I THINK ANY TAXPAYER WOULD ADMIT SOMETIMES IT'S VERY FUZZY, THE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN SOME OF THAT STATE AND FEDERAL MONEY AND

DIFFERENT SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT ARE OVERSEEING LIKE YOURSELF. I'LL BE CONTINUING TO WORK WITH Y'ALL AND ADVOCATING FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE SAFETY NET WHERE YOU HAVE -- ARE ABLE TO PROVIDE THOSE PEOPLE IN NEED WITH CONTACT PEOPLE, THAT THOSE PEOPLE IN NEED CAN ACTUALLY FEEL LIKE THEY ARE GETTING REAL CASE MANAGEMENT TOWARDS THEIR HEALTH, INSTEAD OF TRYING TO BE SILOED INTO DIFFERENT SLOTS, WHICH WILL LEAD TO THEM GOING BACK TO ANOTHER VERY EXPENSIVE STAY ON THE FOURTH FLOOR OF GOOD SAM LEGACY'S FOURTH FLOOR. THANK YOU, LADIES.

Board Clerk: R-1, CENTRAL COURTHOUSE REPLACEMENT PROJECT UPDATE.

>> THANK YOU, CHAIR MADRIGAL. IT'S WONDERFUL TO HAVE THIS ON THE AGENDA TODAY, AND COMMISSIONER WENDT AND I ARE DELIGHTED TO BE THE OFFICIAL HOSTESSES OF THIS CELEBRATION AND BRIEFING. SO MULTNOMAH COUNTY'S REQUIRED BY STATUTE TO PROVIDE A COURTHOUSE FOR THE DELIVERY OF JUSTICE TO THE CITIZENS OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY. AND AN AVERAGE OF 3,000 PEOPLE A DAY WALK THROUGH THE FRONT DOOR OF OUR DOWNTOWN COURTHOUSE, WHICH I THINK AS WE ALL KNOW BY NOW, IS BOTH STRUCTURALLY AND FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE. SINCE 2010, FORMER COMMISSIONER KAFOURY AND NOW COMMISSIONER WENDT AND I HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH COUNTY PARTNERS, STAKEHOLDERS AND THE COMMUNITY TO REPLACE THE CENTRAL COURTHOUSE AND WHAT WE ARE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT TODAY IS A STORY OF REALLY EXTRAORDINARY MOMENTUM AND PROGRESS WITH THOSE PARTNERS. COMMISSIONER WENDT.

Commissioner Wendt: THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, FOR THE INTRODUCTION AND YOUR STEADFAST LEADERSHIP ON THIS TOPIC. YOU'VE REALLY KEPT IT GOING. IN MY SHORT TIME HERE, IT'S BEEN REALLY REMARKABLE TO WORK WITH THE TEAM IN FRONT OF US TODAY AND THE PROGRESS THAT'S BEEN MADE. THE MOMENTUM I THINK J. D. IS GOING TO KEEP GOING NO MATTER WHAT, I THINK HE SAID AT A MEETING LAST WEEK. I'M NOT SURE IF YOU SLEEP, IF YOU DO SLEEP YOU THINK ABOUT THIS, THE PROJECT IS IN GOOD HANDS. I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE OUR PRESENTERS TODAY, MICHAEL BOWERS, DIRECTOR OF COUNTY FACILITIES, J.D. DESCHAMPS, THE COUNTY'S PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE COURTHOUSE, AND MIKE DAY OF DAY CPM, AND THE COUNTY'S -- [TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES WITH CAPTIONER AND ARCHIVE VIDEO]

>> WE'LL GET A BRIEF UPDATE IN TERMS OF THE MASTER SCHEDULE, PRIMARILY THOSE WILL ENCOMPASS PROGRAMMING, MIKE DAY WILL COME IN KIND OF AT THE TAIL END OF THE BRIEF TO TALK ABOUT BUSINESS ANALYSIS, SITE SELECTION, AND CERTAINLY DURING THE COURSE OF THE PRESENTATION TODAY THE THREE MEMBERS OF THE TEAM WILL BE HAPPY

TO ANSWER QUESTIONS DURING ANY TOPIC WE'LL COVER, AS WELL AS SPECIFIC ISSUES MAYBE AT THE END THAT WE HAVE MAYBE NOT COVERED AS WELL AS YOU WOULD LIKE. WITH THAT, I WILL TURN IT OVER TO J.D. TO MY LEFT.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MICHAEL, CHAIR, COMMISSIONERS, IT'S GREAT TO BE HERE. SO ONE OF THE THINGS I WANTED TO TALK ABOUT IS COMMUNICATION WITH YOU, TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE GETTING THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED IN A TIMELY MANNER. SO ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS WE'VE BEEN DOING FOR THE LAST FIVE MONTHS IS WE HAVE A COUNTY EXECUTIVE TEAM, WHICH INCLUDES COMMISSIONERS WENDT AND SHIPRACK AND STAFF FROM THE DIFFERENT DISTRICTS AND CHAIR'S OFFICE. WE HAVE BEEN MEETING WITH THEM FOR THE LAST FIVE MONTHS TO MAP THE PROGRESS AND MAP EACH STEP. WE WOULD ALSO PROPOSE WE DO BIMONTHLY BRIEFINGS TO THE BOARD. WE CAN DO THEM MORE OFTEN AS WE GET FARTHER IN THE PROCESS, BUT WE THINK BIMONTHLY WOULD ALLOW TO YOU GET A GOOD IMPACT, GOOD INFORMATION AND PUT AN END -- PUT IT INTO A PUBLIC FORUM SO YOU HAVE WHAT YOU NEED. I'M ALSO AVAILABLE TO DO ONE-ON-ONE BRIEFINGS, UPDATES AT ANY TIME. CALL ME, I WILL BE OVER HERE AND GIVE YOU ANYTHING THAT YOU'RE LOOKING FOR. ONE OF THE THINGS I THINK YOU'RE MOST INTERESTED IN IS WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO BE VOTING, WHEN IS THERE GOING TO BE INFORMATION BROUGHT TO YOU. SO WE'VE RECEIVED DRAFT REPORTS FROM THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS FOR THE PROGRAMMING. WE WILL BE WORKING ON THOSE THROUGH THE SUMMER OF THIS YEAR. WE SHOULD BE BRINGING YOU THE PROGRAMMING REPORT FOR YOU TO VOTE ON. WITH THAT REPORT WE WILL THEN BE REFINING IT, TALKING TO THE STAKEHOLDERS, GETTING A LITTLE BIT MORE CLARITY AND LOOKING AT SITES. SO THERE WILL BE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY IN THE FALL FOR YOU TO BE ENGAGED AND SEE HOW THE PROGRAMMING HAS BEEN REFINED. THAT ALSO TIES IN WITH BUILDING A REFERENCE DESIGN, AND FINALIZING THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND THE BUDGET. SO TAKING IT FROM PROGRAMMING TO PROJECT. WE'RE ALSO GOING TO BE WORKING WITH PARTNERSHIPS B.C., WHO IS UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY TO DO A BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS. THAT BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS WILL LOOK AT THE POSSIBILITY OF DOING A PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP, SOMETHING THAT THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE IS VERY INTERESTED IN EXPLORING. IT'S A NEW WAY OF DOING BUSINESS AND WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THEM AND EVALUATING THOSE POSSIBILITIES. THE LAST ITEM THAT WE WILL BE BRINGING TO YOU IS THE SITE SELECTION. WE WILL BE WORKING ON THAT THROUGH THE SUMMER WITH PUBLIC OUTREACH AND OTHER EVENTS. BUT WE'LL BE BRINGING TO YOU A FEW SUGGESTIONS AND THAT WILL BE LATER ON.

>> MADAME CHAIR, I HAVE A QUICK QUESTION BEFORE WE MOVE ON. I

LOOKED AT YOUR LIST OF WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING. IT SAID WINTER 2014 WE WILL BE LOOKING AT CASE ANALYSIS. WE'RE PAST WINTER OF 2014. ARE YOU GOING TO GO BACK AND REVISE THAT? WE HAVEN'T SEEN A CASE ANALYSIS YET.

>> SURE. THE SLIDE IS INTENDED TO BE THE WINTER OF 2014-2015. SO IT WILL BE THE BUSINESS CASE FROM PARTNERSHIPS B.C. WILL BE DELIVERED TO THE COUNTY PROBABLY THIS FALL. WE'LL HAVE TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS AROUND WHAT'S OUR PROCUREMENT METHOD THIS FALL, NEXT WINTER, AND PROBABLY BEFORE SPRING OF 2015.

>> I JUST THOUGHT I MAY HAVE MISSED SOMETHING.

>> THAT WAS GOOD CLARIFICATION.

>> THE COUNTY HAS THE PARTNERS FROM THE STATE, SO THE STATE HAS \$15 MILLION THROUGH SENATE BILL 5506 COMMITTED TO THE PROJECT. SO WORKING WITH PRESIDING JUDGE WALLER AND STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR DOUG BRAY, I'M GOING TO BE WORKING WITH THEM AND THE PROJECT TEAM TO PUT IN A REQUEST FOR THE BOND SALES FOR THE 2015-2017 BIENNIUM. WE WILL BE WORKING WITH THEM ON THAT. I SEE A QUESTION?

>> DON'T WE HAVE TO HAVE A \$15 MILLION MATCH BEFORE WE CAN DO THAT?

>> ACTUALLY THAT IS TRUE, COMMISSIONER. WE DO HAVE TO ENTER INTO AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR A \$15 MILLION MATCH. AS THE OREGON JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT GETS TOWARD SUBMITTING THEIR BUDGET NEXT YEAR, IF THERE'S ANYTHING ABOVE AND BEYOND THAT \$15 MILLION MATCH WE THINK SHOULD BE SUSPENDED IN THE CASH FLOW BEFORE THE SUMMER OF 2017, WE NEED TO REQUEST ADDITIONAL FUNDS BEYOND THAT AS EARLY AS MID MAY TO THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. SO YOU'RE CORRECT, THERE'S A \$15 MILLION MATCH WE HAVE TO MAKE. AS THE STATE LOOKS TO THEIR NEXT BIENNIUM, IF THERE'S ANYTHING BEYOND THE MATCH THAT THE STATE HAS KIND OF THEORETICALLY IN THE COFFERS TODAY IN THE COUNTY MATCH. WE NEED TO DETERMINE THE CASH FLOW AND ADD TO THAT.

>> THAT WOULD BE THE CASE ANALYSIS, CORRECT?

>> OUR TEAM IS TRYING TO MAKE AN ASSESSMENT ON, IF WE NEED ADDITIONAL CASH FOR THE PROJECTS SCHEDULED, IF IT GOES BEYOND THAT INITIAL \$15 MILLION. THAT'S THE ASSESSMENT WE'RE MAKING NOW TO BRING BACK BEFORE THE BOARD WITHIN FOUR WEEKS' TIME. THANK YOU. STARTING WITH A COUNTY EXECUTIVE TEAM TO HELP KIND OF MANAGE THE PROCESS THROUGH, WE'VE FORMED A COURTHOUSE USERS GROUP AFFECTIONATELY

REFERRED TO AS CHUG, LIKE A TRAIN CHUGGING ALONG. THAT'S GOING TO BE THEIR MOMENTUM TO KEEP THE TRAIN MOVING DOWN THE TRACK. WE ALSO HAVE A JUDGES COURTHOUSE ADVISORY GROUP. I'VE BEEN MEETING WITH THE JUDGES TO KEEP THEM AWARE AND ENGAGED THROUGH THE PROCESS OF WHERE WE ARE. WE'VE ALSO TALKED A LITTLE TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. THAT WILL BE AN ONGOING DIALOGUE. THE COMMUNICATION DEPARTMENT OF THE COUNTY WILL BE ISSUING PRESS RELEASES AS WE WORK OUR WAY THROUGH THE PROCESS AS WE GET TO KEY MILESTONES AND OPPORTUNITIES. AND WE ARE ALSO GOING TO BE ON THE COUNTY WEBSITE.

>> THE COUNTY'S STAFF, THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, THE COURT STAFF WILL NEED TO DEBATE AND MAKE SOME VERY TOUGH DECISIONS AROUND THIS. AS J.D. REFERENCED, WE HIRED THE NATIONAL CENTER FIELD STATE COURTS TO DO A PROGRAMMING ANALYSIS FROM NOW UNTIL 2050 IN TERMS OF WHAT'S THE COURT WE NEED TODAY OPTIMALLY AND AS GROWTH HAPPENS IN THE COUNTY FROM NOW TO 2050. THAT'S AN ANALYSIS, IT'S SOMEWHAT THEORETICAL AS WE TRY TO TARGET WHAT THE WORLD WILL LOOK LIKE IN 2050. IT MAY EVEN REACH TO THE UNAFFORDABLE WHEN WE DO THE ANALYSIS. THE DIFFICULT TASK WILL BE DETERMINING WHAT PROJECTS DO WE WANT TO CONSTRUCT. WHAT ARE THE ASSUMPTIONS WE BUILD AROUND THAT PROJECT? WHAT'S THE BUDGET FOR THAT PROJECT? WHAT'S THE AFFORDABILITY, WHAT ARE THE EFFICIENCY FACTORS, WHAT'S THE COUNTY CONTRIBUTION AND THE STATE CONTRIBUTION? NOT JUST TO CONSTRUCT THE COURTHOUSE BUT AFTER IT'S OPENED, WHAT IS THE COST TO OPERATE THAT COURT AFTER 2020 AND WHO OWNS THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THAT. THOSE ARE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT DELIBERATING DECISIONS. THE TARGET IS TO BRING IT BACK AFTER 2015, AFTER A HOST OF ANALYSIS THAT GOES ON. IT'S A PROJECT THAT WE'RE COMMITTED TO FOR THE NEXT FOUR YEARS. THAT WILL INVOLVE THE PROJECT STAFF IN FRONT OF YOU, A FINANCIAL ADVISOR AND CERTAINLY A PILE OF WORK FROM OUR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND HIS STAFF AND OUR ADDITIONAL CONSULTANTS WE BRING ON. THAT WILL BE THE VITAL WORK THAT WE DO. TOMORROW WE'LL BE TALKING THROUGH THE STAGES OF WHAT THAT PROCESS LOOKS LIKE. THERE'S LIKELY EIGHT TO 10 REALLY TOUGH ISSUES WE WILL NEED TO TACKLE THAT ARE INTO THEORETICAL TODAY, TO WHAT ARE THE ASSUMPTIONS WE WANT TO PUT INTO THIS PROJECT. THAT'S NUMBER ONE IN TERMS OF TOUGH WORK FOR THE NEXT FOUR MONTHS.

>> THANK YOU. AND HOW OFTEN ARE WE HAVING BRIEFINGS, MICHAEL? IT'S A LOT OF WORK.

>> THAT'S A LOT OF WORK. J.D. SUGGESTED THAT THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TEAM WILL BE MEETING MONTHLY, THE COURTHOUSE USER GROUP WILL BE MEETING MONTHLY IF NOT MORE OFTEN IF WE NEED TO DIVE INTO ONE OF

THESE ISSUES. AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS PRESENTATIONS SUCH AS THIS WOULD BE AN EVERY OTHER MONTH UPDATE. THE PACE WE WILL NEED TO GO THROUGH, OBVIOUSLY IF WE NEED TO ADJUST, WE'RE PREPARED TO DO THAT. YOU DON'T WANT TO GET TOO FAR AHEAD WITH COMMITTING TO A SITE UNLESS WE KNOW IT'S THE RIGHT SITE FOR THE RIGHT PROJECT. WE DON'T WANT THE BUSINESS CASE TO GET TOO FAR AHEAD UNTIL WE KNOW THE BOUNDS OF THE PROJECT THAT WE WANT TO BUILD.

>> TWO BRIEFINGS TO THE BOARD FORMALLY AT A PUBLIC FORUM. CERTAINLY, IF WE NEED TO DEBATE THOSE ISSUES TO DECIDE ON CRITERIA, THAT WILL LARGELY HAPPEN AT THAT EXECUTIVE TEAM UNLESS THE BOARD DECIDES THEY WOULD LIKE TO BRING SOME OF THOSE ISSUES MORE PUBLICLY FORWARD AS WE DEBATE THOSE.

>> I THINK AFTER OUR NEXT BRIEFING, IF YOU FEEL THAT THERE'S BEEN TOO MUCH TIME OR THERE'S MORE THAT NEEDS TO BE DISCUSSED IN THIS PETROLEUM, WE CAN CERTAINLY ADJUST AND GO MONTHLY OR WHATEVER.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, CHAIR, AND COMMISSIONERS. SO JUST TO KIND OF -- A LOT HAS BEEN SHARED ABOUT THE OVERVIEW OF WHERE WE'RE AT AND THE NEXT TWO SLIDES EARLIER ABOUT GETTING INTO MORE THE WEEDS AND DETAILS OF WHAT WE'RE REALLY BEGINNING NOW. IT'S KIND OF MOVING FROM THIS FUNCTIONAL PROGRAM ANALYSIS WITH THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS DIGESTING THAT AND DEVELOPING KIND OF THE FINANCIAL AND FUNDING MODELS WHICH ARE PART OF THIS WHOLE BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS REVIEW PROCESS WE'RE GOING THROUGH, REALLY BEGINNING IN MAY AND GOING THROUGH THE EARLY FALL AND IN THE LATE FALL BRINGING KIND OF A DECISION POINT MILESTONE TO A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON VARIOUS OPTIONS, INCLUDING A PUBLIC-PRIVATE SECTOR DELIVERY OPTION AS PART OF THAT BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS REVIEW PROCESS THAT WE'RE GOING THROUGH. WE ARE ALSO BRINGING IN A MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL ADVISORY RESOURCE TO BE A PART OF THAT BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS STUDY ALONG WITH THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE CFO AND OTHER MUNICIPAL ADVISORS THAT ARE ALREADY ON RETAINER WITH THE COUNTY. SO THE THRUST OF THAT WORK REALLY DOES KIND OF THE HEAVY LIFTING PART, THAT STARTS IN MAY AND GOES REALLY THROUGH SEPTEMBER. THEN WE'LL BE PUTTING THAT INTO A REPORT FORMAT THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO BRING FORWARD TO YOU. THERE WILL BE INTERVIEWS ALONG THE WAY WHERE WE WILL HAVE TOUCHPOINTS TO PROVIDE THE BIGGER PICTURE UPDATE ON HOW THAT'S PROGRESSING. ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT BEFORE WE MOVE OFF?

>> I HAVE A QUESTION. THIS IS MOVING QUITE QUICKLY. AND WE'RE BEING ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION ON MAY 15th WITHOUT HAVING THE CASE ANALYSIS. AND WE'RE BEING ASKED TO SUPPORT BONDING FOR 2015-17 ON MAY 15th. I THINK THAT'S KIND OF BACKWARDS. HOW CAN WE SUPPORT THAT IF WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO BE IN THE CASE ANALYSIS?

>> WITH THE BIENNIUM COMING UP AT THE STATE LEVEL, IT'S REALLY A CASH FLOW FORECAST OF THE PROJECT, BASED ON THIS SCHEDULE. SO SAID ANOTHER WAY, IF WE BUILD A 500,000 SQUARE FOOT BUILDING OR A 350,000 SQUARE FOOT BUILDING, WE'LL LIKELY HAVE TO DO THE SAME KIND OF WORK TO SELECT A SITE, DO SOME PRELIMINARY DESIGN WORK. I THINK THE GOOD NEWS IS IRRESPECTIVE OF THE SIZE AND BREADTH AND SCOPE OF THE PROJECT, THE RELATIVE SAME AMOUNT OF WORK WILL PROBABLY NEED TO BE DONE BETWEEN NOW AND 2017. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BETWEEN 10% AND 15% OF THE TOTAL CASH FLOW FOR THE NEXT TWO YEARS, NOT REALLY THE BIG DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION WORK. THE COMMITMENT FOR THE DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE STATE IS KIND OF ON THE LOW END OF THE SPECTRUM OF THE EARLY DESIGN WORK, NOT ON THE HEAVY COMMIT SIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION, WHICH WE DON'T KNOW AT THIS POINT.

>> SO IF THE COMMISSIONER IN THE FALL, BEING DEVIL'S ADVOCATE, WHAT IF WE DON'T APPROVE THAT AND PUT THE BONDING. WILL THEY HOLD US TO THAT? WILL WE BE RESPONSIBLE?

>> I THINK THE STAFF WILL NEED TO DO SOME RESEARCH ON THAT AND GET BACK TO YOU BETWEEN NANCY BENNETT AND OUR LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS BUSINESS STAFF WE'LL FIND OUT ABOUT THAT. WE WERE A LITTLE SURPRISED AT THE STAFF LEVEL THE STATE WANTED FOR THIS EARLY OF A REQUEST.

>> YEAH, ITS OUT OF ORDER. THE OTHER ISSUE IS, I WOULD PREFER TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION JUNE 12th. AT THAT TIME WE KNOW WHO OUR PERMANENT COMMISSIONERS ARE GOING TO BE. RIGHT NOW WE DON'T KNOW. IF WE MAKE DECISIONS BEFORE OUR PERMANENT FOLKS WE KNOW ON JUNE 15th, SOMEONE IN DISTRICT 1 WILL BE HERE. BUT I'D LIKE TO SEE AT LEAST A MAJORITY OF OUR PERMANENT FOLKS. IF WE MAKE THAT CASE WITHOUT ANALYSIS, I'M CONCERNED THAT WE'RE DOING THIS OUT OF ORDER.

>> CAN YOU SHED A LITTLE LIGHT FOR US ON THE PROCESS?

>> YEAH, I THINK THIS IS JUST PART OF THE BUDGET PROCESS FOR THE STATE. I THINK BECAUSE THEIR DEADLINES ARE COMING UP, THAT'S WHY WE NEED TO MAKE SORT OF OUR BEST ESTIMATE AT THIS POINT ABOUT WHAT

WE'LL BE ASKING FOR FROM THE STATE IN THE NEXT LEGISLATIVE SESSION. I THINK WE'LL HAVE TO COME UP WITH THAT FIGURE THAT MAKES SENSE. AND IT'S JUST BECAUSE OF THE STATE PROCESS, BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO PUT THE GUFF'S BUDGET TOGETHER IN THE TIME FRAME THAT THEY NEED TO, SO WE BASICALLY HAVE TO MEET THOSE DEADLINES.

>> NO, WE DON'T, NANCY, WE DON'T KNOW THE NUMBERS. HOW DO WE MAKE THAT DECISION RIGHT NOW IF WE'RE NOT READY TO GO? WE DON'T KNOW WHERE OUR FUNDING IS GOING COME FROM.

>> I THINK AGAIN, WE KNOW IT'S GOING COST MORE THAN \$15 MILLION.

>> RIGHT.

>> SO I THINK THAT --

>> WE'RE GOING GIVE THEM AN ARBITRARY NUMBER SO --

>> I DON'T THINK IT'LL BE ARBITRARY. WE'RE LOOKING AT THIS PROJECT IN TERMS OF THE STATE MATCH AND THE STATE APPROACH TO THIS IS GOING TO BE OVER SEVERAL BIENNIA. WE WILL BE COMING WITH AGAIN, KIND OF WHERE WE'RE GOING TO BE AT WITH THE PROJECT. THEY ARE NOT GOING GIVE US IT ALL AT THE SAME TIME. EVEN THOUGH THE TOTAL DOLLAR FIGURE OF THE PROJECT, YOU KNOW, MAY MOVE AROUND A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW EVERYTHING YET, AND THAT'S NORMAL, I STILL THINK WE CAN COME TO THE STATE SAYING HERE'S WHERE WE'RE AT WITH THIS PROJECT W A REASONABLE -- WITH A REASONABLE ANALYSIS OF OF WHAT THAT MEANS. IF WE DON'T DO THAT, THEN IT'S VERY HARD TO COME BACK. WE CAN'T COME TO THE STATE IN JANUARY OR FEBRUARY OF NEXT YEAR AND SAY, HERE WHAT'S WE NEED NOW. THAT'S GOING TO BE TOO LATE FOR THEIR PROCESS.

>> WHAT IF WE DON'T ASK FOR ENOUGH MONEY AND IT'S NOT ENOUGH TO DO THE PROJECT? WE'RE NOT WORKING WITH THE REAL NUMBERS.

>> WE'LL HAVE ANOTHER LEGISLATIVE SESSION, AND ACTUALLY WE'LL HAVE A COUPLE OF THEM BECAUSE THEY ARE NOW AN ANNUAL SESSION. WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE FULLY BUILDING THE PROJECT IN THE 2015--17 BIENNIUM. THIS IS KIND OF THAT MIDDLE GROUND THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING OUR BEST ANALYSIS. THE BUSINESS ANALYSIS IS MORE ABOUT THE PROCUREMENT METHOD THAN THE TOTAL DOLLAR FIGURE. I'M NO EXPERT ON BUILDING PROJECTS, I'LL LEAVE IT TO THESE GUYS. BUT IN TERMS OF THE STATE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS WE'RE DOING WHAT WE SHOULD BE DOING. WE CAN ALWAYS PULL BACK A LITTLE BIT FROM THAT IF WE HAVE OVERESTIMATED WHAT WE NEED --

>> OR UNDERESTIMATED.

>> I THINK WE'VE GOT TO PUT SOMETHING INTO THE BUDGET, WE NEED TO PUT THE PLACE-HOLDER IN THERE, THAT'S PART OF THE PROCESS.

>> WE NEED TO TALK TO THE STATE. IF WE PUT IN FOR A BOND REQUEST, ARE WE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THAT BOND.

>> THE STATE, THE LEGISLATURE GOATS DECIDE WHAT'S GOING TO BE IN THERE.

>> PEOPLE PUT IN LOTS OF REQUESTS IN STATE BUDGETS THAT DON'T NECESSARILY GET FUNDED. IT'S STILL GOING TO BE UP TO US TO COME AND MAKE OUR BEST CASE IN THE LEGISLATURE IN THE 2015 SESSION AS TO WHY WE NEED THOSE FUNDS. THIS GETS US AT THE STARTING GATE FOR THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET. WE'RE GOING TO BE HOPEFULLY PART OF THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT BUDGET AND THAT'S A MUCH MORE FAVORABLE SEQUESTRATION.

>> CAN SOMEBODY WALK ME THROUGH WAS A NUMBER LOOKS LIKE RIGHT NOW? A NUMBER WE THINK THE COST MIGHT BE SO I GET AN IDEA?

>> WE ACTUALLY DON'T HAVE THAT NUMBER YET BECAUSE IT WILL -- IT WILL CIRCULATE AROUND THE SIZE OF THE PROJECT THAT WE'RE GOING TO BUILD.

>> THAT'S MY POINT EXACTLY. WE'RE NOT GOING HAVE THAT UNTIL THE FALL.

>> COMMISSIONER, YOU'RE ASKING SOME REALLY TOUGH AND GOOD QUESTIONS. LET ME REPHRASE MAYBE A SLIDE WE HAD EARLIER. THE INITIAL REQUEST FOR STATE FUNDING IN THE BIENNIUM FROM NOW TO THE END OF 2017 IS WHAT THE STATE'S ASKING FOR BY MID MAY. THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE AROUND THE TOTAL FINANCING PACKAGE OF THE PROJECT IS WHAT'S DUE TO THE STATE BY THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR. SO AS NANCY EXPLAINED, THE REQUEST TODAY IS JUST THE CASH WE THINK WE NEED FOR THE TOTAL PROJECT, WHETHER IT'S A GIANT PROJECT OR SOME MEDIUM SIDES PROJECT, ONLY THROUGH THE END OF THE --

>> YOU ALL HAVE TO HAVE SOME SORT OF IDEA WHAT THAT NUMBER LOOKS LIKE IN YOUR HEAD RIGHT NOW.

>> NO.

>> COMMISSIONER, IF I COULD INTERJECT, IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO LOOK BACK TO THE SELLWOOD PROJECT, WE HAD A VERY SIMILAR STAGING ON THAT PROJECT. MANY YEARS AGO WE KNEW WHAT WE NEED TO DO BUILD BUT WE DIDN'T KNOW WHERE WE WERE GOING GET THE MONEY. WE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF SEED MONEY FROM THIS POT AND A LITTLE BIT FROM HERE.

>> RIGHT.

>> AND KIND OF WENT THROUGH A MULTIYEAR, MULTI-BIENNIUM PROCESS WITH THE STATE OF COBBLING THAT TOGETHER. BUT IT ALL HINGES, AS NANCY SAID, ON APPROVAL EACH TIME WITH THE LEGISLATURE. IT'S A LITTLE BIT OF A DANCE BUT WE'VE BEEN THROUGH A SIMILAR PROCESS BEFORE.

>> BUT THE SELLWOOD BRIDGE, ALL THE COMMISSIONERS HAD AGREED THAT WAS GOING TO BE THE PROJECT. WE HAVEN'T DONE THAT YET FROM THE COMMISSION'S STANDPOINT. THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SELLWOOD BRIDGE AND WHAT'S GOING ON HERE. I'M JUST FEELING A LITTLE BIT RUSHED, THAT'S ALL. I'M FEELING RUSHED, I DON'T HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION, AND IF YOU'RE SUPPOSED COME UP WITH THIS NUMBER WITHIN LESS THAN 30 DAYS AND YOU WANT US TO SIGN OFF ON THAT, IT'S MAKING ME REALLY UNCOMFORTABLE.

>> IF I COULD, COMMISSIONER SMITH, BECAUSE, AND I THINK THIS IS KIND OF WHAT J.D. IS SPEAKING TO, THIS IS A PROCESS. AND THIS IS NOT -- THIS IS LIKE THE PROCESS, IF YOU'RE BAKING A CAKE, YOU KNOW, START OFF IN THE MIXING BOWL, AND SOMEONE WHO HADN'T BEEN INVOLVED IN PREPARING THE RECIPE MIGHT LOOK AND SAY, THAT DOESN'T LOOK AT ALL LIKE A CAKE TO ME. I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING THAT IS PRE DEVELOPMENT, WE'RE DOING DUE DILIGENCE. I THINK IN TERMS OF THE PREPARATION THAT WE ARE GOING TO PROVIDE TO THE STATE OF OREGON IN ORDER TO SECURE OUR POSITIONING FOR THE \$15 MILLION BOND PROCEEDS, THAT THAT IS MORE ANALOGOUS TO GETTING A PRE LOAN APPROVAL FROM A BANK. THEY DON'T START CHARGING YOU PRINCIPLE AND INTEREST PAYMENTS UNTIL YOU ACTUALLY SIGN THE PAPERS AND HAVE THE HOUSE OR IN THIS CASE THE COURTHOUSE. THIS IS ALL A PART OF A PROCESS. THE ENCOURAGING PART OF THE PRESENTATION TODAY IS NOT THAT WE ARE AT THE END OF THE PROCESS, BUT THAT WE HAVE A LOGIC MODEL THAT WE'RE PURSUING. AND IT IS GOING TO FEEL LIKE THIS. AND I THINK PROBABLY UNTIL WELL INTO THE WINTER OF 2014, 2015, IT'LL FEEL LIKE THIS, THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF QUESTIONS. IT IS A RUBIK'S CUBE, IT IS A VERY COMPLEX PROJECT. THERE ARE A LOT OF DIFFICULT QUESTIONS AND WE HAVE VERY FEW ANSWERS SO FAR. AND WE'RE WORKING IN THIS VERY LOGICAL, DETERMINED WAY, WITH TREMENDOUS PARTNERS. AND I THINK THE MOST ENCOURAGING PART AND I'M ALMOST DONE, IS THAT THE MISSION PRIORITY IS CLEARLY IN FRONT OF

US, EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE A LOT OF COMPLEXITIES AND POTENTIAL TRIP-WIRES HERE, THE MISSION PRIORITY IS THAT WE ARE GOING TO SUCCEED IN CREATING A NEW CENTRAL COURTHOUSE TO REPLACE THE FUNCTIONALLY AND STRUCTURALLY OBSOLETE COURTHOUSE.

>> IF WE ALL AGREE ON THAT. THAT'S THE ISSUE I'M REALLY STRUGGLING WITH RIGHT NOW. THE \$15 MILLION WE HAVE TO GO TO BOND ON THAT, OR HALF OF THE PROJECT COST, WHATEVER THAT NUMBER IS. IS THAT WHAT WE'RE HOPING TO GET FROM US ON MAY 15th? I DON'T KNOW THE NUMBER BUT IS THAT THE IDEA?

>> THE IDEA BETWEEN NOW AND MAY 15th. NANCY CAN ADD TO THIS. WE HAVE \$15 MILLION COMMITTED IN THEORY FROM THE STATE, THE COUNTY WILL HAVE A \$15 MILLION MATCH. THAT'S A TOTAL OF \$15 MILLION BETWEEN NOW AND THE SUMMER OF 2017. PLANNING SITE SELECTION, SITE PURCHASE, ADDITIONAL ENGINEERING, DUE DILIGENCE, PERHAPS EVEN GETTING SOME EARLY PERMITS FOR THE PROJECT. EVERYTHING BUT CONSTRUCTION AND BREAKING GROUND AND COMPLETE DESIGN WORK.

>> IT'S PROBABLY ABOUT HALF THE PROJECT.

>> IT MAY BE 10 TO 15% OF THE PROJECT'S EFFORT FOR GETTING --

>> THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT. I DON'T KNOW THE NUMBER. BUT IF YOU TELL ME IT'S 20% OF WHATEVER THE PROJECT COST IS, I CAN KIND OF WRAP MY ARMS AROUND IT. I'M STILL TRYING TO WRAP MY ARMS AROUND THE \$15 MILLION BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW HOW TO GET MY ARMS AROUND THAT MONEY, EITHER.

>> THAT WAS FROM THE LAST BIENNIUM. WE HAVE -- THE STATE HAS SET ASIDE -- AND THEY WILL SELL THE BONDS WHEN WE'RE READY TO TAKE ACTION --

>> OKAY.

>> -- AND WE'VE GOT OUR \$15 MILLION MATCH. THAT'S ALREADY KIND OF DONE.

>> WE HAVE THE MATCH TO THE \$15 MILLION?

>> I BELIEVE SO.

>> THAT'S NOT WHAT I --

>> I THINK THE NEXT PIECE FOR THE MAY WHAT, WE'RE BEING ASKED TO DO

IS COME UP WITH A NUMBER. LET'S PULL A NUMBER OUT OF A HAT. \$40 MILLION, \$30 MILLION WE PUT IN THE STATE'S BUDGET, IT DOESN'T REALLY COMMIT ANYBODY TO ANYTHING. IT'S KIND OF THE STARTING POINT. WE HAVE TO GO AND LOBBY FOR THAT IN THE NEXT SESSION. THE LEGISLATURE MAY DECIDE THAT'S THE RIGHT NUMBER, THEY MAY DECIDE LESS OR MORE. IT'S ALL IN INTERPLAY WITH THE OTHER PROJECTS THEY ARE LOOKING AT. WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS AGAIN IN THE 1719 BIENNIUM. THIS ONE'S NOT GOING TO BE ANY DIFFERENT. VERY FEW OF THEM, ESPECIALLY A PROJECT OF THIS MAGNITUDE GETS FUNDED IN ONE FELL SWOOP. THAT'S JUST AGAIN, TO CLARIFY, THEY ARE TWO KIND OF DIFFERENT THINGS AND IT DOESN'T COMMIT US TO DOING ANYTHING.

>> THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT. ARE WE GOING TO BE COMMITTED TO THIS. WHAT I WANT TO GET CLEAR TODAY, DO WE HAVE THE \$15 MILLION MATCH TO THE \$15 MILLION WE GOT COMMITTED? AND DO WE HAVE THAT MONEY IN PLACE RIGHT NOW?

>> COMMISSIONER SMITH, I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. BUT I THINK WE NEED TO TOUCH BASE WITH OUR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. I THINK THE ONE IMPORTANT THING TO KEEP IN MIND, THE COUNTY COMMITS RESOURCES ON AN ANNUAL BUDGET CYCLE FOR THE BIG PROJECTS LIKE THIS.

>> MY POINT EXACTLY. HOW DO WE GET THAT \$15 MILLION? WE DON'T GET THAT UNLESS WE GET THE \$15 MILLION MATCH AND I DON'T REMEMBER VOTING ON IT.

>> AS THE COUNTY PROCEEDS BETWEEN THE BOARD BETWEEN NOW AND MID MAY, THE COURTHOUSE PROJECT IS ON THAT BRIEFING AS WELL AS A COUPLE OF OTHER BIG PROJECTS. I BELIEVE WHAT WE NEED TO DO FOR THAT BRIEFING OR ONE OF THE OTHER BUDGET BRIEFINGS IS TO TALK THROUGH FROM THE CFO'S PERSPECTIVE, THE COMMITMENTS AND RESOURCE AVAILABILITY OVER THE NEXT --

>> FOR THE MATCH.

>> CORRECT.

>> OBVIOUSLY WE HAVEN'T GIVEN THE MATCH YET.

>> I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION, I'LL HAVE TO TALK TO THE CFO.

>> THAT IS GOOD POWERPOINT. THE ONLY THING MISSING IS THE CURRENT

MONEY WE HAVE ALREADY IN THE PROJECT. I DON'T SEE THAT ANYWHERE. HOW MUCH MONEY DO WE HAVE FOR THE PROJECT TO DATE?

>> TO DATE. WE HAVE THE MONEY COMMITTED FOR THE STAFF TIME AND THE CONSULTANT TIME THAT WE HAVE FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR ONLY. AND NEXT FISCAL YEAR WE'VE ASKED FOR \$2.25 MILLION --

>> NO, I MEAN THE MONEY THAT WE ALREADY HAVE. DON'T WE HAVE \$10 MILLION FROM A SALE OF SOMETHING? I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE MONEY WE HAVE FOR PROJECT.

>> WE HAVE A \$9 MILLION SET-ASIDE FROM THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, FROM THE ZONING ON THE PROJECT DOWNTOWN SET ASIDE FROM THE RAMP ZONE. I BELIEVE WE ARE COMMITTED TO THE SALE OF THE MORRISON BRIDGE FUNDS, I CAN'T REMEMBER THE SALE AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. I THINK IT'S BETWEEN THE EIGHT AND \$10 MILLION RANGE.

>> I THINK IT IS, TOO.

>> I THINK IN TERMS OF OUR MATCH --

>> THAT'S WHAT I WAS TRYING TO SAY. IF WE ALREADY HAVE MONEY IN OUR POSSESSION WE MAY NOT HAVE TO FORK UP THE \$15 MILLION. THAT'S WHAT I'M STRUGGLING WITH, WHERE IS ALL THIS GOING TO COME FROM. THANK YOU, MICHAEL.

>> JUST A TIME CHECK, WE'RE ABOUT 20 MINUTES PAST OUR SCHEDULE.

>> THIS LAST SLIDE WILL BE VERY BRIEF. SO WE'RE JUST REALLY GOING THROUGH THE EARLY SITE SELECTION PROCESS NOW. THERE'S SOME SPECIFIC BULLET POINTS HERE. WE HAVE NOT SELECTED OR SHORT-LISTED THE SITE. WE'RE TAKING THE 2012 STUDY THAT WAS A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY AND REALLY REFRESHING AND BUILDING ON AND MOVING FORWARD FROM THAT STUDY TO CREATE OUR SITE EVALUATION CRITERIA. AND THIS SUMMER WE WILL BE GOING THROUGH AN RFI SOLICITATION TO LANDOWNERS IN THE AREAS AND ZONES THAT ARE POTENTIAL SITE AREAS, BE IT THE DOWNTOWN CORE OR EAST OF THE RIVER, AND THAT'S PART OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS THAT WE WILL BE GOING THROUGH. THAT SITE CRITERIA SELECTION WILL BE A VERY ROBUST PROCESS THAT WILL INVOLVE MANY OF THE DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDER GROUPS THAT J.D. MADE REFERENCE TO IN THE COMMUNICATION PLAN EARLIER. THAT WILL BE A VERY ROBUST DISCUSSION AS WE DO THE PREPARATION PRIOR TO GOING OUT WITH AN RFI THIS SUMMER. THE DECISION POINT REALLY IS TARGETED AS A KIND OF END OF THE YEAR DECISION POINT THAT WILL BE COMING AFTER THE BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS REVIEW IS COMPLETED. SITE WOULD

FOLLOW SHORTLY THEREAFTER. SO IN THE NOVEMBER-DECEMBER TIME FRAME IS THE OVERALL TIME FRAME WEAVER LOOKING AT FOR THAT.

>> OKAY.

>> THAT CONCLUDES WHAT WE WANTED TO COVER WITH THE BOARD TODAY. WE'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> ANY QUESTIONS?

>> A COMMENT MORE THAN A QUESTION. YOU WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THE BEST WAY TO COMMUNICATE AND GETTING AT SOME OF COMMISSIONER SMITH'S QUESTIONS AROUND THE TIMELINE, I KNOW THE DECISION POINTS FROM A FINANCIAL BUSINESS CASE, THE DIFFERENT LEVERS THAT ARE THERE AND WHERE THE DECISION POINTS ARE I THINK WOULD BE A REALLY USEFUL PIECE TO SEE HOW ONE DECISION LEADS TO ANOTHER, ET CETERA.

>> AND ALSO A COMMENT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK THAT IN FRONT OF US RIGHT NOW WE HAVE THIS DEDICATED GROUP THAT MAKE ME FEEL VERY CONFIDENT. WE HAVE GOT SO MUCH COMPETENCE AND EXPERIENCE. NANCY, I WANT TO THANK YOU IN PARTICULAR FOR THE WORK YOU'VE DONE WITH THE LEGISLATURE. THAT IS VERY ODD ARRANGEMENT, WHERE THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED BY STATUTE TO OWN THE FACILITY THAT IS THE PRIMARY -- WELL, IT IS THE PRIMARY FACILITY IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR THE TRANCE ACTION OF THE BUSINESS OF JUSTICE, WHICH IS THE STATE COURTS. SO WE HAVE A LIMITED EXPERIENCE WITH THIS KIND OF CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL BUILDING PROJECT. AND IN FACT, IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY IT HAS BEEN A 40-YEAR STRUGGLE TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE ARE GOING TO REPLACE THIS COURTHOUSE. SO THERE ARE LOTS AND LOTS OF EXAMPLES OF EFFORTS THAT HAVE EXPLODED, FOR ANY NUMBER OF REASONS. AND I AM SO LOOKING FORWARD TO BEING A PART OF THE TEAM THAT CREATES THE EXAMPLE. AND YOU REALLY ONLY NEED TO DO IT ONCE, AT LEAST IN OUR LIFETIMES, THAT CREATES THE MODEL AND EXAMPLE OF HOW TO DO IT AND SUCCEED. SO IT'S GREAT THAT MULTNOMAH COUNTY IS REALLY ALSO ADVANTAGED BY THE FACT THAT OUR PRESIDING COURT JUDGE IS HERE TODAY, SO THANK YOU, JUDGE WALLER, AS WELL AS DOUG BRAY FROM OUR COUNTY COURT ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE, THE STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE -- YOU KNOW, THAT'S JUST CONFUSING. [LAUGHTER] AND I THINK THAT IT IS EVIDENCE OF THE EXTENT OF THE PARTNERSHIP AND OUR APPRECIATION OF THE DEGREE OF DIFFICULTY THAT WE'VE GOT THIS EXPERTISE AND COMMITMENT IN THE ROOM. SO HERE WE ARE WITH A CLEAR NEED, A CLEAR NEED, A CAPABLE TEAM, AND THE POLITICAL WILL, NOT JUST ON THE COUNTY'S PART, BUT ALSO ON THE PART OF THE STATE, TO SUCCEED. AND THAT ALL POINTS IN A VERY POSITIVE DIRECTION. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR BRIEFING THIS MORNING.

>> MADAME CHAIR, I JUSTIFY A COMMENT.

>> NANCY, YOU AND CLAUDIA, LET ME TELL YOU, YOU DID SUPERWOMAN WORK LAST LEGISLATIVE SESSION. I HAD NO IDEA WE WOULD EVEN GET THE \$15 MILLION. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, THANK YOU, THANK YOU, FOR ALL YOUR HELP AND LONG DAYS AND NIGHTS AND WEEKENDS IN THE LEGISLATURE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR, I AM VERY MUCH IN SUPPORT OF BUILDING A NEW COURTHOUSE. THE ISSUE I'M HAVING TODAY IS HOW WE'RE GOING TO PAY FOR IT. SO THAT'S WHEN WE GET INTO THE WEEDS AND NUTS AND BOLTS. AND I AM TOO, JUST LIKE COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, I KNOW WE HAVE A GOOD AND CAPABLE TEAM AND WE'RE COMMITTED TO DOING THIS. I JUST HAVE TO WRAP MY ARMS AROUND ALL THE NUMBERS. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Chair Madrigal: WE WILL KNOW RECESS AS THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND CONVENE AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR DUNTHORPE RIVER DETAIL SANITARY DISTRICT NO. 1.

>> OUR TWO-PART HEARING IN CONVERSATION OF THE DUNTHORPE RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICES DISTRICT, PROPOSED BUDGET AND OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.

Chair Madrigal: DO I HAVE A MOTION?

Vice-Chair McKeel: SO MOVED.

Chair Madrigal: COMMISSIONER McKEEL MOVES.

Commissioner Shiprack: SECOND,

Chair Madrigal: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SECONDS.

>>> SO THE MEETING AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, THE FIRST REQUIREMENT IS THAT A PRESIDING OFFICER IS SELECTED. THE RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT FALLS WITHIN DISTRICT 1'S AREA SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE NAMING COMMISSIONER WENDT TO FILL THAT ROLE.

>> IN YOUR ROLES AS BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBERS WE WILL SELECT A PRESIDING OFFICER.

>> MAY I HAVE A NOMINATION?

>> I NOMINATE COMMISSIONER LIESL WENDT.

>> SECOND.

Chair Madrigal: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES COMMISSIONER WENDT AS THE PRESIDING OFFICER. COMMISSIONER McKEEL SECONDS. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] THE MOTION CARRIES, SO DOES THE -- [INAUDIBLE]

>> OH, BOY. THANK YOU. WE WILL NOW HEAR AND CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THE DUNTHORPE RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT SERVICE BUDGET FOR 2014-2015.

>> GOOD MORNING, TODAY I'M HERE SERVING AS THE BUDGET PERSON OF THIS DISTRICT. SPECIFIC METHODS ARE PROVIDING FOR OBTAINING PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT AND FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES OF THE DISTRICT REVIEW. I'M HERE TO PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW, ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE SO YOU CAN BE FAMILIAR WITH THE PROPOSED BUDGET SUBMITTED FOR FY 15 SO YOU HAVE THE CONFIDENCE TO HOPEFULLY APPROVE IT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THIS ACTION. SO ON SHEETS I THINK 4 AND 5 IT REALLY GETS INTO THE MEAT OF THE DISTRICT. SO THIS IS A DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE FORMATTED BUDGET ARRANGEMENT. THERE ARE TWO SHEETS, BASICALLY THE REQUIREMENTS AND THE RESOURCES, THE EXPENDITURES AND REVENUES. IT'S DESIGNED THIS WAY TO PROVIDE YOU HISTORICAL REVIEW OF WHAT THE DISTRICT'S EXPENSES HAVE BEEN TO AUDITED FY12 AND FY13, THE CURRENT YEAR BUDGET AND THE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2015. IT'S BASICALLY FULFILLING THE OBJECTIVE OF THE DISTRICT'S CHARGE, TO PROVIDE RELIABLE AND EFFICIENT SERVICES OF THE SEWER DISTRICT LOCATED IN THE DUNTHORP AREA. A LOOK AT THE GENERAL REQUIREMENT SUMMARY SHEET, THIS IS THE EXPENDITURES. SO LOOKING AT THE COLUMN TO THE RIGHT, THIS IS THE PROPOSED BUDGET OFFICE REQUEST FOR FY 15. IT'S BROKEN INTO REALLY THREE DIFFERENT SECTIONS, THE MATERIALS AND SERVICES, CAPITOL, AND THE APPROPRIATED BALANCE COLUMN. THE SERVICE IS BASICALLY MEETING THE CHARGE OF GETTING THE MAINTENANCE ELEMENTS OPERATIONAL. THE MAINTENANCE OF THE DISTRICT CONTINUES TO BE PROVIDED THROUGH THE CITY OF PORTLAND. WE HAVE AN IGA WITH THEM, THEY PROVIDE TREATMENT AND SERVICES FOR THE DISTRICT, ENGINEERING CAPITAL FOR THE CAPITAL WORK, AS WELL. IN COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE CITY THEY ARE ANTICIPATING A 5% RATE INCREASE, THAT'S INCLUDED IN THE NUMBER OF THE 487. THE ADMINISTRATIVE COST IS TO COVER MY TIME. COUNTY FINANCE, THE COUNTY AUDITOR'S TIME, THE EXTERNAL AUDIT WE HAVE DONE EACH YEAR. THE CAPITAL OUTLAY I ALIGNING TOWARDS A PROJECT IDENTIFIED IN THE DISTRICT'S 20-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN. THIS IS REPLACING A

PRESSURE MAIN LOCATED ON HIGHWAY 43, ROUGHLY ABOUT A 3/4 MILE TARGETED RUN FOR EARLY NEXT FISCAL YEAR. THE DESIGN PHASE SHOULD BE WRAPPING UP THIS SUMMER. LOOKING AT THE CURRENT YEAR BUDGET UNDER THE CAPITAL, WE HAVE \$675,000 ESTABLISHED IN ANTICIPATION OF THE PORTLAND CITY SCHEDULE. WE'RE ONLY FORECASTED TO EXPENSE ABOUT \$200,000 OF THAT THIS YEAR. MOVE TO GET PRIOR SHEET, THIS IS THE REQUIREMENTS OR THE RESOURCES. SO THIS IS THE REVENUES NEED TO DO SUSTAIN THIS DISTRICT. THE DISTRICT IS NOT PROPOSING ANY RATE INCREASE TO THE PROPERTIES AT THIS TIME. THE \$130 PER MONTH FEE WILL REMAIN. IT'S SUFFICIENT TO MEET THE ANTICIPATED NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT. IT ALSO PROVIDES THE DISTRICT WITH THE NEEDED RESOURCES TO ANTICIPATE FUTURE CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS THAT THE DISTRICT IS AWARE OF. THE UNAPPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE ON THE EXPENDITURE SHEET IS TARGETED TO SUPPORT -- OH, LET'S SEE -- THE UNAPPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE OF \$879,000 WILL NOT BE APPROPRIATE IN THE ADOPTED BUDGET. IT'S THERE AS CARRY-OVER TO SUPPORT THE FUTURE CAPITAL OF THE DISTRICT. SO YOUR AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH THIS RATE AND ASSESSMENT IS CITED IN ORS, WHICH PROVIDES YOU THE ABILITY TO SET EQUITY ABILITY AND JUST RATES TO MEET THE DEMANDS OF THE DISTRICT. IT MUST GENERATE REVENUES BY CHARGING RATES TO THE CUSTOMERS WHO RECEIVE THOSE NECESSARY SERVICES. AS REMINDER, THE BUDGET IS APPROVED ONLY ONCE AT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO ADJUST THE BUDGET PRIOR TO APPROVAL. IF ANY CHANGES ARE NECESSARY THE GOVERNING BODY MUST MAKE CHANGES PRIOR TO ADOPTION. THE DISTRICT IS PROPOSING TO COME BACK MAY 29th WITH THAT PLANNED BUDGET ADOPTION. WITH AN APPROVAL TODAY, THE BUDGET WILL BE SUBMITTED TO TAX SUPER VICE AND CONSERVATION AND THEY WILL GO THROUGH AND REVIEW AND SCRUTINIZE YOUR APPROVED BUDGET. I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME.

>> MADAME CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION.

>> DO WE HAVE ANY DEFERRED MAINTENANCE?

>> NO, MA'AM. CURRENTLY THE DISTRICT IS FULFILLING ALL THE IDENTIFIED FACILITY -- CAPITAL FACILITIES IDENTIFIED ON THE PLAN. ON THE EXPENDITURE SHEET YOU'LL SEE THE ELK ROCK BYPASS PROJECT, THE ELK ROCK PUMP STATION, WE ARE ADDRESSING THE NEEDS AS THEY OCCUR. THE RATES YOU'RE SETTING ENSURE WE HAVE THE NECESSARY FUNDS TO ESTABLISH A RELIABLE -- YEAH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IT KIND OF ACTS ALMOST LIKE A UTILITY BECAUSE THE GOVERNING BODY

IS ABLE TO SET THE RATES NECESSARY TO ENSURE RELIABLE AND EFFICIENT SERVICES.

>> DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC TESTIMONY?

>> NO, WE DO NOT.

>> NOW WE WILL VOTE ON THE BUDGET AND SUBMITTAL TO THE TAX SUPERVISING AND TAXING COMMISSION. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES]

>> OPPOSED? THE CONSERVATION DISTRICT BUDGET IS APPROVED.

>> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER.

>> WE WILL NOW ADJOURN AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR THE DUNTHORPE RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 1, AND CONVENE AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR MID COUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE ADDITION NO. 14.

>> OUR THREE, PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF THE MID COUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE, PROPOSED BUDGET AND OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.

>> DO I HAVE A MOTION?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND. [LAUGHTER]

>> GO AHEAD, FINE.

>> APPROVAL OF R-3.

>> JUST A PROCEDURAL NOTE, MEETING AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE MID LIGHTING DISTRICT REQUIRES THE BUDGET OFFICER. JOINING US ARE ONE JOINING THE GOVERNING BODY HERE THIS MORNING. HE, TOO, CAN BE SELECTED.

>> BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT COMMISSIONER MCKEEL BE CONSIDERED FOR THIS.

>> THANK YOU, I WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE COMMISSIONER McKEEL AS PRESIDING OFFICER.

>> CAN I HAVE A SECOND?

>> SECOND.

Chair Madrigal: COMMISSIONER McKEEL IS NOMINATED AS PRESIDING OFFICER SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER WENDT. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] OPPOSED? THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> GOOD MORNING. WE WILL NOW HEAR AND LOOK AT THE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2014-2015.

Mr. Hansell: FOR THE RECORD, TOM HANSELL, PROGRAM MANAGER, SERVING AS THE BUDGET MANAGER OF THE MIDCOUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT. THE BOARD IS JOINED AS THE DISTRICT RESIDENT HOLDING EQUAL AUTHORITY AS YOU CONSIDER THIS BUDGET. THE INTENT OF THIS COMMITTEE MEETING TODAY IS TO ASK QUESTIONS, ALLOW ME TO COMMENT, AND VOTE ON THIS BUDGET. YOU'RE ACTIONS TODAY FULFILL THE PROCEDURE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OREGON BUDGET LAW WHICH PROVIDE SPECIFIC METHODS TO OBTAINING PUBLIC REVIEW AND FINANCIAL INPUT ON THE POLICIES FOR THE DISTRICT. THE DISTRICT PROVIDES STREET LIGHTS, LIGHTING SERVICES IN MAYWOOD PARKINGS FAIRVIEW, TROUTDALE, A SMALL PORTION OF UNINCORPORATED COUNTY. MEETING TODAY AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE YOU MAY SEPTEMBER BUDGET AS PROPOSED OR MAKE REVISIONS AS WE GO THROUGH IT. SO AGAIN, I'M GOING TO MOVE THROUGH THESE LAST TWO PAGES. I'LL START WITH THE EXPENDITURE SIDE OR THE REQUIREMENTS. AGAIN, THE FORMAT OF THE SHEET IS INTENDED TO PROVIDE A SUMMARY AND HISTORY OF THE DISTRICT'S PERFORMANCE AND WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON. THE DISTRICT IS REALLY FORMED JUST TO PROVIDE ILLUMINATION IN THESE SMALL CITIES AND A VEHICLE TO PAY PGE FOR THE ELECTRICAL POWER. IT'S COLLECTED THROUGH A PROPERTY ASSESSMENT AND IT ENSURES THAT THE LIGHTS REMAIN ON AND PROVIDES THE SAFETY FOR THE PUBLIC AND THE MOTORING PUBLIC. THE DISTRICT, AS FAR AS MATERIALS AND SERVICES, IT'S REALLY A STATUS QUO. WE'RE PROPOSING THE SAME BUDGET AS THIS CURRENT YEAR. AS THE DISTRICT IS LOOKING AT CONVERTING TO LEDs, PGE IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THAT EFFORT WERE SCRUTINIZING THE REPLACEMENT OF EQUIPMENT NEARING END OF LIFE. WE'RE ABLE TO SHED SOME OF THOSE COSTS THAT WE NORMALLY WOULD SEE. PGE AT THE SAME TIME ISN'T PROPOSING ANY NEW RATE INCREASES IN THIS CURRENT LIGHTING TECHNOLOGY. THEY ARE REALLY MAKING THE JUMP AS ARE OUR JURISDICTIONS ENTERING THIS NEW LED TECHNOLOGY. THE DISTRICT IS CONTINUING IN THIS CURRENT BUDGET AND THE PROPOSED 15 BUDGET TO ALIGN TOWARDS A TRANSITION TO LED TECHNOLOGY. THIS LED WILL ALLOW TO US GAIN THE BENEFITS OF LIGHTING EFFICIENCY AND ENERGY SAVINGS. RIGHT NOW IT'S POSTED AT 50 TO 70 PEST ENERGY

SAVINGS. THE DISTRICT CONTINUES TO GO DOWN THAT PATH. IN THIS EFFORT WE'RE NEEDING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT WE OWN AND WHAT PGE'S RESPONSIBILITIES ARE. SO THIS DELAY IN MAKING THE CONVERSION IS INTENTIONAL. WE DON'T WANT TO JUMP INTO THIS UNTIL WE ARE AWARE OF THE RAMIFICATIONS AND WHAT PGE IS GOING TO CALL ON THE DISTRICT TO OPERATE AND MAINTAIN. WE'RE ALSO WORKING IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CITIES OF GRESHAM AND PORTLAND, WHO ARE ALSO MAKING AN LED CONVERSION EFFORT SIMULTANEOUSLY TO US. WE'RE LOOKING TO THEM, THEIR LEADERSHIP AND MERELY THE SIZE OF THOSE ORGANIZATIONS TO KIND OF SHAPE THE STRUCTURE OF HOW WE ALL WILL FOLLOW AND MAKE THAT TRANSITION. INTERNALLY AND IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES WE'RE WORKING ON DEVELOPING CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS NEW, LIGHTING STANDARDS, WHICH UP TO THIS POINT WE'VE RELIED ON PGE FOR THAT ASSISTANCE. IN THIS NEW ARRANGEMENT WE WILL BE MOVING TO THE COUNTY TAKING ON A GREATER LEADERSHIP ROLE IN DICTATING THE DESIGN STANDARDS OF THE LIGHTING SYSTEMS INSTALLED. THE PORTION OF THE DISTRICT'S BUDGET FOR FY 15 FOR THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TO BE AWARE OF IS THE RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS ARE BALANCED AT \$859,000. UNDER THE REVENUE SIDE OF THIS WORKSHEET, THE DISTRICT AGAIN, FOR THE MID COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT IS NOT PROPOSING ANY NECESSARY FEE INCREASE TO THE PROPERTIES RECEIVING LIGHTING SERVICES. THE FEE WILL REMAIN AT \$60 PER YEAR FOR THE ROUGHLY 7,000 PROPERTIES RECEIVING THE LIGHT PROGRAM. THE DISTRICT'S GROWTH HAS LARGELY STABILIZED AND WE'RE BASICALLY SEEING A LARGE COMPLETE OF MUNICIPAL ANNEXATION BUT WE'RE CONTINUING TO SEE DEVELOPMENTS OCCUR. NOTEWORTHY AS IN IT IS CITY OF TROUTDALE, THE PORT IS CONTINUING TO DO SOME MAJOR DEPOSIT AROUND THE PORT PROJECT, WORKING TO ENSURE THE LIGHTING SYSTEMS ARE IN PLACE. ONCE AGAIN, I'D LIKE TO REMIND YOU THE BUDGET IS APPROVED ONLY ONES. YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REVISE THE BUDGET PRIOR TO APPROVAL. IF CHANGES ARE NECESSARY AFTER THE BUDGET IS APPROVED THE GOVERNING BODY MUST MAKE THE RESTRICTIONS DURING THE PLANNED ADOPTION OF THE NEXT COUNTY'S BUDGET. YOUR APPROVAL WILL GO HOPEFULLY TO SUBMIT IT FOR CONSIDERATION AND REVIEW. FROM THE REVIEW A STAFF REPORT WILL COME BACK TO THIS BOARD FOR REVIEW AND ADOPTION. I'M AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME.

>> QUESTIONS? DID YOU WANT TO ADD ANYTHING?

>> THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO JOIN YOU ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THIS MORNING. I WOULD JUST SAY AS YOUR CITIZEN REPRESENTATIVE I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE BUDGET IN ADVANCE. AND REVIEW ANY QUESTIONS WITH TOM. I FIND THE PROPOSED BUDGET ENTIRELY CONSISTENT WITH THE INTENDED PURPOSES AND I'M CONFIDENT THAT THE CFCC WILL FIND IT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE LOCAL

BUDGET LAW. I CERTAINLY WOULD PLAN TO SUPPORT THE APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AND HOPE THAT MY FELLOW COMMITTEE MEMBERS WOULD SEE THAT, AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU. THANKS FOR BEING HERE.

Chair Madrigal: DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC TESTIMONY?

>> NO, WE DO NOT.

>> THANKS. ANY OTHER BOARD COMMENTS? OKAY. NOW WE WILL VOTE ON THE BUDGET AND FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE TAX SUPERVISING AND CONSERVATION COMMISSION. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES]

>> OPPOSED? THE MOTION CARRIES. THE STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 BUDGET IS APPROVED. AND ONLY BECAUSE I GET TO DO THAT. [LAUGHTER]

>> THANK YOU.

>> WE WILL NOW ADJOURN AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR THE MID COUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NO. 14 AND RECONVENE AS THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

BOARD CLERK: R-4, RESOLUTION CERTIFYING AN ESTIMATE OF EXPENDITURES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-15 FOR ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ORS 294.175.

Chair Madrigal: MAY I HAVE A MOTION?

Commissioner Shiprack: SO MOVED.

Commissioner Wendt: SECOND.

Chair Madrigal: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES AND COMMISSIONER WENDT SECONDS, APPROVAL ON R-4.

Mr. Walruff: GOOD MORNING. MADAME CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I'M RANDY WALRUFF, THE DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION, WE'RE RESPONSIBLE FOR YOUR COUNTY ASSESSOR AND TAX COLLECTOR FUNCTIONS.

>> TODAY WE'RE HERE AS WE ARE AT THIS TIME EVERY YEAR, SEEKING YOUR APPROVAL FOR AN ESTIMATE FOR EXPENDITURES. THE CAFFA GRANT PROGRAM WAS CREATED BACK IN 1989, TWO THIRDS OF THE MONEY IN THIS GRANT COMES FROM THE 4% OF THE DELINQUENT INTEREST. ONE THIRD

COMES FROM A \$9 RECORDING FEE. RECENTLY WE'VE RECEIVED \$3.7 TO \$4 MILLION A YEAR. SOMETIMES RECORDING DOCUMENTS GO UP OR DOWN, BUT IT'S BEEN A PRETTY CONSISTENT VARIABLE. IT'S BASED ON A CURRENT SERVICE LEVEL BUDGET IN LINE WITH THE PROPOSED BUDGET YOU'LL BE HEARING NEXT WEEK. WE HAVE A DEADLINE OF SUBMITTING THIS BY MAY FIRST. IT'S AN ESTIMATE AND A COMMITMENT THAT IF OUR BUDGET'S APPROVED THEN WE'RE GOING TO WITH DUE DILIGENCE AGREE TO FOLLOW OUR BUDGET. IT'S OUR CURRENT SERVICE LEVEL BUDGET AND I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> ANY QUESTIONS? OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

>> NO.

>> OKAY. WITH THAT, WE'LL VOTE. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES]

>> OPPOSED? THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> BOARD CLERK: R-5, RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF TAX FORECLOSED PROPERTY TO COMMUNITY VISION, INC., A NONPROFIT CORPORATION FOR SOCIAL SERVICES.

>> AGAIN, GOOD MORNING, I'M RANDY WALRUFF. ALONG WITH OUR OTHER DUTIES AT DIVISION OF TAXATION AND RECORDING, WE TAKE CARE OF TAX FORECLOSED PROPERTIES. I'M HERE TODAY ABOUT A PROPERTY WE'VE BRIEFED BOARD STAFF ON. IT'S A PROPERTY WITH A LONG HISTORY IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. IT WAS FIRST FORECLOSED ON IN 1981. THE ORIGINAL OWNERS DID A REPURCHASE AGREEMENT, THEY AGAIN DEFAULTED ON IT IN 1998, AND WE'VE OWNED IT SINCE. WE'VE CLEANED IT UP, IT WAS A CONTAMINATED PROPERTY. THERE'S A LOT OF RULES AROUND THIS AND HOW YOU PROCEED WITH SUCH A PROPERTY. WE CAN GO INTO THAT AND WE'LL USE UP ALL THE TIME THAT WE HAVE THIS MORNING. THROUGH OUR -- PRETTY MUCH REACH CAME FORWARD TO TRY TO DO COMMUNITY LOW-INCOME HOUSING. THEY WORKED WITH DEQ AND PUT A LOT OF EFFORT INTO THIS. OUR AGREEMENT WITH THEM EXPIRED IN 2007. IN THE INTERIM REACH AND COMMUNITY DIVISIONS HAVE COME TOGETHER. I'M NOT GOING TO STEAL THEIR THUNDER BECAUSE THEY ARE HERE TO TELL US ABOUT THEIR PROPOSAL FOR THIS PROPERTY. I'M ONLY GOING TO SAY WITH ALL THE THINGS WE DO IN ASSESSMENT TAXATION, I'M WEARING MY HAPPY TIE

TODAY BECAUSE I THINK IT'S A FANTASTIC APPROVAL AND I HOPE YOU'RE GOING TO APPROVE IT. I NOW PRESENT COMMUNITY VISIONS.

>> GOOD MORNING, I'M JOE WYKOWSKI, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY VISION AND VALERIE PLUMMER IS WITH ME, OUR DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR. WE'VE STUDIED THE PROPERTY IN DETAIL AND WE'D LIKE TO CREATE A CENTER FOR NONPROFITS, PRIMARILY THOSE SERVING INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. WE'VE CREATED A PARTNERSHIP WITH TWO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS SO FAR, FACT, FAMILY AND COMMUNITIES TOGETHER. WE SERVE OVER 2,000 FAMILIES FROM BIRTH THROUGH AGE 21 IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND COMMUNITY PATHWAYS, ANOTHER NONPROFIT SUPPORT BROKERAGE THAT SERVES 500 INDIVIDUALS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE A WIDE AREA OF PROGRAMS, HOME OWNERSHIP, INDIVIDUAL SUPPORT, WE HAVE 225 STAFF AT WORK IN THIS COUNTY ALONE. WE'RE GOING TO BE INVITING ANOTHER NONPROFIT TO JOIN US, WE SEE A TOTAL OF FIVE TO SIX COMMUNITY NONPROFITS TO BE LOCATED IN THIS PROPERTY. WE STUDIED THE INFORMATION REAL THOROUGHLY WITH REACH. IT JUST DOESN'T PENCIL OUT TO CREATE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THAT AREA. THAT'S WHY, GIVEN THE MARKET WITH RENTS GOING UP FOR OFFICE SPACE DRAMATICALLY, WE ESTIMATE IN TWO YEARS WE CAN HAVE COMPLETION OF THE BUILDING AND MARKET RENTS IN THAT AREA WILL BE \$25 TO \$30 PER SQUARE FOOT. WE'RE GOING TO KEEP IT DOWN FOR ABOUT HALF OF THAT COST FOR NONPROFITS TO BE WITH US AND CREATE SERVICES OUT OF THAT LOCATION. AS THE DIFFICULT DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE OF THE DEQ PROCESS, THE TRIANGLE NATURE OF THE PROPERTY. WE'LL BE WORKING IN TANDEM WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION AND CONTINUE THOSE CONVERSATIONS, SO THAT THERE'S PARKING DOWN THE STREET WITH ONE OF THE LOCAL CHURCHES, SO IT'S NOT A BIG IMPACT WITH PARKING. WE'RE PRETTY PLEASED AND REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THIS OPPORTUNITY. I JUST SEE A TOTAL CREATION OF NEW SERVICES. AS ONE OF OUR PARTNERS HAS SAID, IT'S ALL ABOUT PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES BEING ABLE TO HAVE DIVERSITY AND BEING INCLUDED IN COMMUNITY. IT GIVES US THAT OPPORTUNITY FOR A WIDE VARIETY OF ORGANIZATIONS FROM BIRTH INCLUDING THE LIFE SPAN FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS.

>> THIS DONATION MEETS STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS COMPLETELY FOR DONATION FOR SOCIAL SERVICE USES, AND WE CAN DO THIS FOR SOCIAL SERVICES OR LOW-INCOME HOUSING AND CONCLUDED THE PROPERTY COULD NOT BE SPECIFICALLY USED FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSING. THIS MEETS 100% OF THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR DONATION. WE REQUEST YOUR APPROVAL.

>> ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

>> I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION, RANDY. THIS PROPERTY IS AT 19th AND DIVISION?

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I HAVE A QUESTION. THOSE STAKEHOLDERS YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, HAVE YOU IDENTIFIED THOSE FOLKS YET?

>> WELL, THE ORGANIZATIONS WE HAVE, WE HAVE TWO ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE ALREADY -- WHICH IS WONDERFUL, BECAUSE THEY ARE ALREADY LINING UP THE FINANCING FOR THE BUILDING. WITH VALERIE'S LEADERSHIP WE WILL BEGIN A MILLION-DOLLAR CAMPAIGN AND FUND-RAISING TO HELP OFFSET THE COST OF THE BUILDING. WE'D LIKE TO BE REALLY CREATIVE, WE'D LIKE TO SEE EITHER OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT HOUSING ORGANIZATIONS JOIN US OR OTHER EMERGING ORGANIZATIONS JOINING US WITH THAT CREATIVE PROCESS.

>> AND WHO ARE THOSE TWO?

>> THE CURRENT TWO ARE FACT, FAMILY AND COMMUNITIES TOGETHER, AND COMMUNITY PATHWAYS.

>> WHAT DO THEY DO?

>> THEY BOTH SERVE INDIVIDUALS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES. ONE REALLY FOCUSES ON BIRTH TO 21. THEY HAVE A PARENT MENTOR PROGRAM AND THEY WORK WITH OTHER PARENTS AS CHILDREN ARE BORN WITH DISABILITIES TO MENTOR THEM ON A VOLUNTEER BASIS. THEY ALSO HELP WITH INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION PLANS OR IEPs DURING THE SCHOOL YEARS.

>> A QUICK QUESTION AND COMMENT. WILL YOU ALSO LOOK AT SORT OF JOINT SPACE WITH SOME OF THE OTHER ORGANIZATIONS? IS THAT PART OF THE VISION?

>> YES. RIGHT NOW WE'RE FOCUSING ON THE ORIGINAL PLAN, FOR FOUR FLOORS. WE'RE TAKING A LOOK, IT'LL BE THREE, POSSIBLY FLOOR. WE WANT TO CREATE SOME COMMUNITY SPACE FOR MEETINGS WITH THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS AND OFFER THAT SPACE TO OTHERS IN THE COMMUNITY. 85% OF THE PEOPLE WE SUPPORT ARE IN THIS COUNTY AND LIVE IN THE AREA. SO WE REALLY WANT TO BE PART OF THAT NEIGHBORHOOD COMMUNITY.

>> IT'S EXCITING, IT'S FUN TO SEE AN ORGANIZATION LIKE COMMUNITY VISIONS TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THAT PROPERTY AND REALLY ENHANCE SERVICES TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, SO THANKS FOR THE WORK.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO ADD, ONE REASON I LIKE THIS A LOT, TOO, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, WE ARE SEEING THE GENTRIFICATION OF THE INNER CITY AREA. NONPROFITS ARE BEING FORCED OUT OF OFFICE SPACE, THE PRICES ARE SKYROCKETING. THIS PROVIDES A CENTRALIZED LOCATION AND THERE IS A PLAN TO KEEP THOSE RATES DOWN SO THEY CAN BE CLOSE TO THE COMMUNITY THEY SERVE. TO ME, THAT'S EXCITING.

>> ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? I BELIEVE WE DO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> BOARD CLERK: YES, WE HAVE ONE, MR. LIGHTNING, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME FORWARD.

>>> YES. MY COMPANY IS THINK LIGHTNING COMPANY, AND MY NAME IS LIGHTNING. ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT I ALWAYS HAVE IS ON THE BROWNFIELDS. NOW, I UNDERSTAND THIS HAS HAD SOME DEQ PROBLEMS. I UNDERSTAND THAT WOULD PROBABLY FALL INTO THE BROWNFIELD CLASSIFICATION. NOW, IT APPEARS FROM TESTIMONY THAT THIS PROPERTY HAS ALREADY BEEN CLEANED UP AT A CERTAIN COST. WAS THIS PROPERTY EVER PUT UP FOR AUCTION? IS THERE AN APPRAISAL DONE ON THE PROPERTY? WHERE I HAVE SOME CONCERNS HERE, ADDRESSING EVEN TO THE PORTLAND HOUSING BUREAU ON LOANS, A LOT OF NONPROFITS ARE RECEIVING SOME OF THE LARGEST LOANS THROUGHOUT THIS CITY. WE HAVE AN ISSUE TO MAKE SURE THESE LOANS ARE REPAYED. NOW, ON THIS PROPERTY I WOULD LIKE TO SEE, IF WE'RE DONATING THE PROPERTY, OBVIOUSLY IT'S GOING THROUGH A LOSS OF TAX REVENUE A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF COSTS, PROBABLY ON THE DEQ CLEANUP, THOSE ARE ALL COSTS TO THE PUBLIC. NOW, FROM MY POSITION, I WANT TO MINIMIZE THOSE COSTS TO THE PUBLIC. THAT'S REALLY WHAT MY PURPOSE IS. WHAT I'D LIKE TO SEE ON THESE TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS IS THAT WHEN THEY ARE DONATED TO A NONPROFIT, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IN THE FUTURE, THOUGH, IF THESE PROPERTIES ARE EVER SOLD OR USED FOR ANY OTHER USE, I WOULD LIKE AN APPRAISAL DONE ON THE PROPERTY UPON THE TRANSFER TO RECOUP THAT MONEY IN THE FUTURE, TO PUT IN THERE SOME TYPE OF A DUE ON SALE AMOUNT TO BE DISBURSED TO COVER THOSE COSTS THAT THE PUBLIC ARE LOSING. THAT'S MY POSITION, I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PUBLIC DOES NOT CONTINUE TO LOSE THE TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY ON THESE TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS. I THINK THERE ARE WAYS THAT THESE CAN BE STRUCTURED TO MINIMIZE THE LOSSES, THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY ADDITIONAL BOARD COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

>> ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES]

>> OPPOSED? THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

>> R-6, BOARD BRIEFING ON FINDINGS OF ROBERT WOOD JOHNSON WASHINGTON STATE ALCOHOL PRIVATIZATION STUDY.

>>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING, CHAIR MADRIGAL AND COMMISSIONERS, I'M JULIE MAHER, DIRECTOR OF EVALUATION SERVICES, OF AN APPLIED PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH AND EVALUATION UNIT. WE'RE PART OF BOTH MULTNOMAH COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND THE PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION. I'M HERE WITH MY COLLEAGUE, DR. JULIA DILLEY, WHO'S GOING TO BE PRESENTING THESE RESULTS TODAY.

>> SO WHEN WE CAME A FEW MONTHS AGO TO ASK FOR YOUR SUPPORT TO SEEK A GRANT TO STUDY THE IMPACTS OF MARIJUANA LEGALIZATION IN WASHINGTON STATE, AT THE SAME TIME YOU ALL ASKED FOR A BRIEFING ABOUT THE INTERIM FINDINGS FROM OUR STUDY LOOKING AT THE IMPACT OF ALCOHOL PRIVATIZATION IN WASHINGTON STATE. THE REASON THESE RESULTS ARE VERY IMPORTANT FOR OREGON FOLKS TO UNDERSTAND, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ALCOHOL RELATED POLICY DISCUSSIONS AND INITIATIVES BEING CONSIDERED, SO WE REALLY WANT PEOPLE IN OREGON TO KNOW WHAT TYPES OF FACTORS TO CONSIDER WHEN YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT POLICY CHANGE, AND POTENTIALLY WHAT TO EXPECT IN THE EVENT OF A POLICY CHANGE AROUND ALCOHOL. THESE ARE THE INTERIM RESULTS OF OUR FINDINGS. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE GREAT SUPPORT WE'RE GETTING FROM A VARIETY OF PARTNERS INCLUDING THE ROBERT WOOD JOHNSON FOUNDATION WHICH IS PROVIDING THE SUPPORT FOR THIS STUDY. A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND: THE LAW CHANGED IN WASHINGTON STATE AS A RESULT OF A VOTER INITIATIVE IN NOVEMBER OF 2011, AND IN JUNE OF 2012 THE STATE WENT FROM BEING AN ALCOHOL CONTROL SYSTEM, WHERE THE GOVERNMENT OPERATED STORES WHERE THE ONLY PLACE YOU COULD BUY LIQUOR OR HARD SPIRITS IN THE STATE, TO PRIVATIZATION WHERE YOU COULD BUY SPIRITS OR HARD LIQUOR FROM GROCERY STORES, DEPARTMENT STORES AND ALL KINDS OF OTHER PLACES. BECAUSE OF THIS CHANGE WASHINGTON STATE ACTUALLY MOVED AWAY FROM BEST

PRACTICES FOR ALCOHOL PREVENTION TOWARD A MORE LIBERALIZED SYSTEM. WE'RE LOOKING AT THE BENEFITS THAT WERE PROMISED BY THE INITIATIVE, AND THOSE INCLUDED MORE REVENUE FOR THE STATE AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONS, MORE CONVENIENCE FOR CUSTOMERS. AND THEN THERE WERE ALSO SOME DISCUSSIONS IN POLICY DEBATE ABOUT GETTING GOVERNMENT OUT OF THE LIQUOR BUSINESS, WHICH WE DON'T HAVE A WAY TO MEASURE, BUT I WANTED TO POINT OUT THIS WAS SOME OF THE DISCUSSION HAPPENS AT THE TIME OF THE POLICY CHANGES, SIMILAR TO WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW IN OREGON. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS THAT HAPPENED DURING THE POLICY DISCUSSION WERE RESTRICTIONS TO PROTECT YOUTH, BUT ALSO THERE WERE NO CONSIDERATIONS AT THE TIME FOR PUBLIC HEALTH OR SOCIAL IMPACTS RESULTING POTENTIALLY FROM THE CHANGE IN ALCOHOL POLICY. THIS IS WHERE WE'RE HOPING TO FILL THAT GAP FOR THE STATE OF OREGON.

[CLOSED CAPTIONING TRANSCRIBER SWITCH]

>>> THE PRICE IS THE PRICE THAT'S POSTED ON THE SHELF AND THERE'S NOTHING -- IT'S A CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT. THESE ARE PICTURES, EXCEPT FOR THE AD, WE HAVE ALCOHOL, SPIRITS, HARD LIQUOR ON THE SHELVES IN GROCERY ENVIRONMENTS, INCLUDING DIFFERENT TYPES OF PACKAGING THAN HAVE HAPPENED BEFORE SO YOU CAN SEE THE LITTLE LIKE A LITTLE POP WITH A TINY BOTTLE OF LIQUOR ATTACHED TO IT, THAT DIDN'T OCCUR PREVIOUSLY IN STATE-CONTROLLED LIQUOR STORES. THERE'S MORE PARTY ACCESSORIES BEING MARKETING LIKE THE PING-PONG BALLS WHICH I'LL SAY I WAS OLD ENOUGH WHERE I WAS LIKE WHAT'S THE SUDDEN PUBLIC INTEREST IN PING-PONG BUT THEN I REALIZED THEY'RE PARTY GAMES. WE SEE OTHER LITTLE THINGS, THERE'S A PICTURE OF MY SON STANDING IN THE LIQUOR AISLE, THE LITTLE COLORFUL PAPER UMBRELLAS, THIS IS NEXT TO THE BAKERY SECTION WHERE WE WERE GOING TO GET A BIRTHDAY CAKE.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: SO WHAT IS THE CONNECTION WITH PING-PONG BALLS? [LAUGHTER]

>> DIFFERENT MAYBE DRINKING GAMES. BUT WHERE PEOPLE BOUNCE A PING-PONG BALL AND -- IT'S BEEN LONG. IF IT GOES INTO THE CUP, YOU DON'T HAVE TO DRINK OR I DON'T KNOW.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: I'M OBVIOUSLY WAY TOO OLD TO KNOW ABOUT THAT SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> I DIDN'T GET IT, EITHER.

>> SO THIS IS CHANGING THE CONTEXT IN WHICH ALCOHOL IS BEING SOLD IN

WASHINGTON STATE. HOW HAS THAT CHANGED THE REGULATORY AND DISTRIBUTION ENVIRONMENT? SOME OF THE IMMEDIATE IMPACTS WE'VE SEEN ONE TO TWO YEARS AFTER, WE WENT FROM 328 PLACES IN THE STATE TO MORE THAN 1,400 PLACES IN THE STATE TO BUY HARD LIQUOR. THE MAXIMUM HOURS OF SALE IN ANY STORE INCREASED FROM 73 TO 140. THE STATE-CONTROLLED STORES HAD LIMITED NUMBERS OF HOURS. NOW, WASHINGTON STATE YOU CAN BUY HARD LIQUOR IN A STORE THAT SELLS IT AS LONG AS THEY'RE OPEN, EXCEPT BETWEEN 2:00 AND 6:00 A.M. SEVEN DAYS A WEEK. DEFINITELY INCREASED THE AVAILABILITY IN TERMS OF BOTH PLACE AND TIME. THE RESOURCES FOR ENFORCEMENT IN WASHINGTON STATE ARE SIMILAR. THEY DIDN'T CHANGE THE NUMBER OF LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD OFFICERS AVAILABLE TO REGULATE THE ENVIRONMENT. THE GOOD NEWS WAS THAT WE DIDN'T SEE CHANGES IN COMPLIANCE RATES SO THIS IS WHERE THOSE OFFICERS GO IN WITH MINORS UNDERCOVER AND ATTEMPT TO PURCHASE SPIRITS AND THE COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW REMAINED AT ABOUT 90% OR MORE THAN THAT EVEN AFTER PRIVATIZATION. SO THAT WAS VERY GOOD NEWS. IN TERMS OF THE NEXT STEP WHICH MIGHT BE CHANGES IN CONSUMPTION OR PREDICTORS OF CONSUMPTION AMONG PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON STATE, A FEW DIFFERENT THINGS WE HAVE TO SHARE. FOR YOUTH, WE MEASURE ALCOHOL USE WITH SCHOOL-BASED SURVEYS AND THOSE SURVEYS ARE GIVEN EVERY TWO YEARS IN SCHOOLS ACROSS THE STATE. IN WASHINGTON STATE THE MOST RECENT SURVEY DATA WERE COLLECTED FIVE MONTHS AFTER THE CHANGE IN LAW SO THAT'S PRETTY RECENT, YOU KNOW, JUST AFTER THE CHANGE IN WHERE LIQUOR WAS BEING SOLD IN THE STATE. THE GOOD NEWS WAS AT THAT TIME, WE DIDN'T SEE ANY NEGATIVE CHANGES IN ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION AMONG YOUTH. WE WERE RIDING A HISTORICAL TREND AND THE SAME NATIONAL TREND WHICH HAS BEEN DECLINING ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION AMONG YOUTH. WE WERE HAPPY THAT THAT DIDN'T CHANGE IN THE SHORT TERM. WE DID SEE SLIGHT INCREASES IN THE DAYS OF ALCOHOL DRINKING IN HIGH SCHOOL BOYS. WHAT WE DID SEE WHICH MAY BE PREDICTORS OF CHANGING BELIEFS AND BEHAVIORS IS WE SAW RELATIVE TO HISTORICAL TRENDS IN WASHINGTON AND THE NATION, WE SAW FEWER YOUTH BELIEVING THAT ALCOHOL IS WRONG FOR THEMSELVES. FEWER YOUTH PERCEIVING ANTIALCOHOL BELIEFS. FEWER YOUTH PERCEIVING BELIEFS AMONG THEIR PARENTS AND HOW THEIR PARENTS FELT ABOUT YOUTH DRINKING. KIDS DIDN'T REPORT ANY CHANGES IN HOW THE GENERAL COMMUNITY PERCEIVED YOUTH DRINKING BUT THEY WERE REPORTING THESE NEGATIVE CHANGES IN THEIR IMMEDIATE PEER AND FAMILY GROUPS. WE ALSO SAW MORE HIGH SCHOOL YOUTH SAYING THAT ALCOHOL IS VERY EASY TO GET IF THEY WANT SOME AND WE SAW ONE GOOD THING MAYBE WAS THAT MORE YOUTH WERE SAYING THAT DRINKING ALCOHOL EVERY DAY IS RISKY BUT MOST YOUTH DON'T DRINK ALCOHOL EVERY DAY SO THEY CAN VIEW DAILY DRINKING AS RISKY BUT NOT VIEW PARTY DRINKING AS RISKY. AMONG ADULTS, AGAIN WE ONLY HAD INFORMATION FOR THE SEVEN MONTHS AFTER

THE CHANGE IN LAW. BUT AT THAT TIME, WE SAW A SMALL BUT SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN OVERALL DRINKING. THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY A BAD THING BECAUSE ADULTS CAN DRINK IN MODERATION WITH NO ILL EFFECTS. SOMETHING THAT WE SEE. WHAT WE DID SEE WAS AMONG MEN, WHAT'S THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DRINKS ON ONE OCCASION, A SMALL BUT SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF MAXIMUM DRINKS THAT MEN WERE DRINKING, REPORTED DRINKING IN THE PAST MONTH. IN TERMS OF SPIRITS SALES, SO IN THE 16 MONTHS AFTER THE CHANGE IN LAW AND I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE WE'RE RELYING ON EXISTING DATA SYSTEMS THEY TEND TO BE DIFFERENT LENGTHS OF TIME THAT WE HAVE DATA AVAILABLE. SO THIS IS FOR 16 MONTHS AFTER THE CHANGE IN LAW, WE SAW MORE THAN A MILLION EXTRA LITERS OF SPIRITS SOLD IN THE STATE. THAT'S IN COMPARISON TO HISTORICAL TRENDS ACCOUNTING FOR POPULATION CHANGE, ALSO ACCOUNTING FOR SEASONALITY. IT ALSO ACCOUNTS FOR CHANGES IN ECONOMY. SO THERE IS MORE RELATIVE TO WHAT WOULD BE EXPECT, THERE'S MORE SPIRITS OUT AND BEING SOLD IN WASHINGTON STATE. WE BELIEVE ALSO THIS IS A PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL UNDERESTIMATE BECAUSE DUE TO THE WAY THE LAW WAS CHANGED, THE STATE PREVIOUSLY HAD SUPPLIED ALL THE SPIRITS TO THE MILITARY COMMISSARY BASES FOR SALE IN THE MILITARY SYSTEM. BUT BECAUSE OF THE WAY THE CHANGE IN LAW HAPPENED, THE MILITARY IS PURCHASING ALCOHOL FROM TEXAS. SO IF YOU ADD IN WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN EXPECTED THAT THEY WOULD BUY BEFORE THEY WENT TO TEXAS, THAT SHOULD ADD NEARLY A MILLION. WE HAVE ALMOST 2 MILLION EXTRA LITERS --

>> I HAVE A QUESTION AGAIN. WHY DID THE MILITARY GO TO TEXAS?

>> I BELIEVE IT'S CHEAPER.

>> I'M NOT DEAF. I BELIEVE IT IS, TOO. I THINK IT'S CHEAPER AT OLCC STORES. I'M UPSET THAT THE MILITARY DIDN'T GO ACROSS THE BORDER TO OREGON.

>> SO NOW THINKING ABOUT YOU KNOW ALL THESE CHANGES UP TO SO FAR ARE NOT GREAT IN TERMS OF BEING CHARACTERIZED AS BENEFITS OR COSTS. WHAT ARE WE SEEING IN TERMS OF BENEFITS AND COSTS TO THE PUBLIC? SO FIRST IN TERMS OF REVENUE, THERE IS MORE REVENUE BEING PLAYED. I WANT TO SAY THE RATE OF TAX DIDN'T CHANGE BUT JUST BECAUSE THERE IS MORE BEING SOLD THERE IS MORE REVENUE BEING GENERATED, AS WELL. \$30.9 MILLION IN EXTRA SALES THROUGH GROCERY STORES OR WHERE PEOPLE ARE BUYING IT TO TAKE IT HOME AND DRINK. THIS IS 16 MONTHS AFTER PRIVATIZATION. WE ALSO SAW A LOSS OF \$6.9 MILLION IN REVENUE FOR ON PREMISE SALES, RESTAURANTS AND BARS. SO MORE SALES FOR HOME CONSUMPTION, LESS FOR RESTAURANT AND BAR CONSUMPTION. IN TERMS OF FEE REVENUE, THE FEE STRUCTURE DID CHANGE BUT IT WASHES OUT IN TERMS OF HOW THAT PLAYS OUT. SO THE

OVERALL NET GAIN IN SPIRITS REVENUE IS ABOUT \$24 MILLION IN THAT 16 MONTHS AFTER PRIVATIZATION. NOW IN TERMS OF COSTS, AND AGAIN, THIS IS JUST INTERIM FINDINGS FROM OUR STUDY, I'M FOCUSING ON A COUPLE OF THINGS. THE FIRST INFORMATION WE'RE ABLE TO SUMMARIZE IS ALCOHOL-RELATED EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISITS, FOR TREATMENT FOR ALCOHOL INTOXICATION, IT MIGHT ALSO MEAN INJURIES WHERE SOMEWHERE IN THE CHART NOTES, THE PEOPLE TREATING IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM NOTE THAT THE PERSON IS INTOXICATED SO MAYBE THAT CONTRIBUTED TO, YOU KNOW, A FALL OR OTHER KIND OF INJURY, FOR EXAMPLE, SO IT DIDN'T NECESSARILY MEAN IT'S CAUSED EXACTLY BY ALCOHOL BUT IT WAS A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR. WE HAVE TWO DIFFERENT DATA SYSTEMS. ONE IS INFORMATION FROM ALL THE EMERGENCY ROOMS IN KING COUNTY WASHINGTON, WHICH IS THE COUNTY THAT HAS SEATTLE, WASHINGTON. SECOND SOURCE OF INFORMATION IS MEDICAID. EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISITS FOR MEDICAID CLIENTS IN WASHINGTON STATE, STATEWIDE, WE'RE SPECIFICALLY FOCUSING ON THE MINORS BECAUSE THE ADULT MEDICAID POPULATION IS SORT OF IN FLUX FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS. SO WHAT WE DID SEE WAS A STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISITS FOR MINORS, AND THEN FOR MEN AND WOMEN OVER 40. WE DIDN'T SEE ANY INCREASE OR CHANGE IN THE 21 TO 39-YEAR-OLD GROUP. SO THAT IS POTENTIALLY INTERESTING, ONLY AMONG THE YOUNGEST GROUP AND THEN THE OLDER GROUP. WHAT THIS WAS TRANSLATES INTO IS THAT IN KING COUNTY ALONE WHICH HAS A THIRD OF THE STATE'S POPULATION, THIS TRANSLATES TO AN ESTIMATED 5,500 EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISITS IN THAT 16 MONTHS AFTER PRIVATIZATION, A 50% INCREASE OVER WHAT WOULD BE EXPECTED. NEXT, IN TERMS OF ALCOHOL THEFTS, WE DON'T HAVE A GREAT SYSTEMIC WAY TO QUANTIFY THE AMOUNT OF ALCOHOL THEFT THAT IS OCCURRING IN WASHINGTON STATE BUT WE USED FOUR DIFFERENT SOURCES OF INFORMATION TO TRY TO PUZZLE THIS TOGETHER. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE SEEN STORIES IN THE MEDIA BUT THIS HAS BEEN A VERY BIG ISSUE IN WASHINGTON STATE THAT WE ARE WORKING ON WAYS TO GET A BETTER HANDLE ON QUANTIFYING. BUT WHAT WE DID DO WAS SEVERAL THINGS, WE LOOKED AT NEWS MEDIA STORIES, A FORMAL ANALYSIS OF NEWS MEDIA STORIES, WE LOOKED AT POLICE REPORTS, WE DID A STAKEHOLDER SURVEY, AND THEN WE DID HAVE ONE HIGH SCHOOL OR ACTUALLY SCHOOL DISTRICT THAT WAS DOING A NORM SURVEY AND WE WERE ABLE TO PIGGYBACK ON THAT. SOME OF THE THINGS WE FOUND FROM PUZZLING TOGETHER THESE DIFFERENT SOURCES OF INFORMATION IS THAT MULTIPLE SOURCES ARE REPORTING DRAMATIC INCREASES FROM A VERY LOW THEFT RATE TO GREAT THEFT OF SPIRITS FROM THE PRIVATIZED SYSTEM. IT'S EASY TO STEAL, STORES AREN'T DOING AS MUCH THEY COULD TO PREVENT IT, MULTIPLE STORIES IN THE NEWS AND THE POLICE REPORTS OF ORGANIZED THEFT RINGS WHERE PEOPLE ARE SYSTEMATICALLY GOING AND STEALING SPIRITS AND RESELLING THEM. THERE WAS ONE REPORT FROM CLARK COUNTY OF A TEEN THAT HAD A

FACEBOOK PAGE WHERE HE WOULD TAKE YOUR ORDER AND STEAL YOUR ORDER AND BRING IT TO YOU HIMSELF. STORES APPEAR TO BE AVOIDING INTERVENTION LEGITIMATELY BECAUSE THERE'S A DANGER FOR STAFF SO THEY DON'T WANT STAFF TO BE INTERVENING IN A THEFT SITUATION SO SOME STORES ARE TAKING THE APPROACH THAT IF THEY GET TO THE DOOR, IT'S ALREADY GONE.

>> MATCH, A QUICK QUESTION. SO ARE THEY REPORTING THAT TO THEIR INSURANCE?

>> I DON'T KNOW THAT. I BELIEVE THEY'RE NOT REPORTING IT TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENTS. THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION. SO OUR CONCLUSION IS WE CAN'T REALLY QUANTIFY IT. I WILL TELL YOU THAT FROM THE NEWS STORIES ALONE, THE NEWS STORIES THAT REPORTED THE VALUE OF THEFT, A QUARTER MILLION DOLLARS REPORTED IN THEFT BUT THERE WERE NEW FUSE STORIES ACTUALLY REPORTED THE VALUE OF THE THEFT THAT THEY WERE REPORTING ON SO I'M SURE THAT'S A VERY SMALL SLICE OF THE PIE. SO OUR CONCLUSION IS THAT THEFTS ARE A SUBSTANTIAL PROBLEM. WE DON'T KNOW HOW BIG IT IS BUT IT'S TRANSLATING INTO MORE SPIRITS ON THE STREETS AND ALSO LOST REVENUE FOR THE STATE. ONE LAST TOPIC I WANTED TO COVER BECAUSE THERE HAS BEEN NEWS COVERAGE OF DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE AND ALCOHOL-RELATED FATAL CRASHES. THERE HAVE BEEN SOME MEDIA STORIES WHERE FOLKS LOOKED AT THE NUMBERS OF THESE EVENTS PRE-PRIVATIZATION AND MORE RECENTLY. I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT WASHINGTON STATE HAS BEEN EXPERIENCING HISTORICALLY IN RECENT, MULTIPLE RECENT YEARS WE'VE BEEN DECLINING IN BOTH OF THESE THINGS. THE NATION HAS BEEN DECLINING, THE RESULT OF A LOT OF GOOD WORK AND WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO LOOK AT THIS BUT IT'S MORE COMPLICATED THAN LOOKING AT THERE WERE THIS MANY HERE AND THERE. WASHINGTON STATE SPECIFICALLY HAS EXPERIENCED SOME DECLINE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT CAPACITY SO THAT MAY MEAN THEY'RE LESS ABLE TO DO PATROLS. WE'VE BEEN DOING SOME POLICY CHANGES TO TRY TO DO A BETTER JOB OF ENFORCING DUI CONSEQUENCES, INCLUDING MORE USE OF IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICES WHICH SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE IS HAVING A DECLINING IMPACT ON DUI AND MORE THINGS. SO MY CONCLUSION SO FAR IS THAT DUI CRASHES AND IMPACTS IS INCONCLUSIVE SO FAR. I WANTED TO CONTRAST THAT INTO WHAT YOU MIGHT HAVE SEEN IN THE MEDIA. SO SORT OF THIS IS WHAT WE KNOW SO FAR. WE'RE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STUDY SO THERE'S MORE TO COME BUT I KNOW FOLKS ARE ASKING, IS PRIVATIZATION GOOD OR BAD AS YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT IT IN OREGON. RIGHT NOW, THERE'S STILL A LOT TO BE DETERMINED YET BUT I WOULD SAY IN TERMS OF BENEFITS THERE'S DEFINITELY MONEY TO BE GAINED. IN TERMS OF COSTS, I CAN'T THINK OF A REASON WHY IF A SIMILAR POLICY CHANGE IS ENACTED IN OREGON WHY YOU WOULDN'T EXPECT TO SEE SIMILAR CONSEQUENCES IN

TERMS OF EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT VISITS AND THEFT. SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WHAT OREGON PEOPLE AND CITIZENS AND POLICY MAKERS NEED TO KNOW IS THAT THE IMPACTS WILL BE ASSOCIATED WITH HOW THE LAW CHANGES SPECIFICALLY. SO EVERYONE SHOULD PAY ATTENTION TO HOW ARE THE LAWS SPECIFICALLY BEING PROPOSED TO CHANGE IN TERMS OF AVAILABILITY. SO WHERE ARE THE STORES GOING TO BE, HOW LONG ARE THEY GOING TO BE OPEN, WHAT IS THE ACCESS GOING TO BE LIKE TO THE PRODUCTS WITHIN THE STORES, HOW IS THE PRICE GOING TO CHANGE, WHAT ARE THE ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS, SPECIFICALLY I THINK SHOP LIFTING IS WASHINGTON STATE'S -- MANY OF US WISH WE WOULD HAVE SPENT A LOT MORE TIME THINKING ABOUT SHOP LIFTING ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS BEFOREHAND. AND THEN ADVERTISING ALSO, INCLUDING MARKETING OF THESE OTHER ACCESSORIES OR OTHER MARKETING THAT MIGHT OCCUR. SO JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE'RE CONTINUING TO STUDY THROUGH 2015. WE HAVE MORE DATA THAT WE EXPECT TO BE ANALYZING THROUGHOUT THIS YEAR, INCLUDING A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER HEALTH DATA AND ALSO ALCOHOL TREATMENT AND WE'LL HAVE UPDATED RESULTS ON YOUTH AND ADULT ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Chair Madrigal: ANY QUESTIONS? NO? OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. VERY INFORMATIVE.

>> R7, NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUBMIT A GRANT APPLICATION OF UP TO \$250,000 TO THE HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION FACILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> APPROVAL OF R-7.

>> MORNING CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. I'M MARC HARRIS. WITH ME TODAY IS CHRISTY WARD, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S PRIMARY CARE DIRECTOR. WE'RE HERE TODAY TO ASK YOUR AUTHORIZATION TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR UP TO \$250,000 TO THE HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION PATIENT-CENTERED MEDICAL HOME FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS GRANT PROGRAM. THE PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM IS FOR EXISTING HEALTH CENTER PROGRAM GRANTEE OR HEALTH CENTERS TO SUBMIT ONE TIME CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS. THE PROJECTS SHOULD FOCUS ON HOW YOU CAN IMPROVE YOUR FACILITY TO IMPROVE YOUR PATIENT CENTERED MEDICAL HOME SERVICES. WHAT WE'RE CAPACITYING TO DO -- REQUESTING TO DO IS SOME RENOVATIONS TO THE

ROCKWOOD HEALTH CENTER TO ADD A FOURTH PROVIDER TEAM AT ROCKWOOD. THE RENOVATIONS WILL ENTAIL REMODELING SOME RESTROOM SPACE TO TURN IT INTO THREE OFFICES AND A RESTROOM SO THAT WE CAN MOVE OUR CURRENT BEHAVIORAL HEALTH STAFF OUT OF EXAM ROOMS INTO THOSE OFFICES AND THUS OPEN UP THE EXAM ROOMS FOR A FOURTH PROVIDER TEAM. WE'LL ALSO PURCHASE ALL EQUIPMENT FOR THE SIX EXAM ROOMS THE PROVIDER TEAM WILL USE AS WELL AS A PHARMACY PILL DISPENSER AND SOME WAITING ROOM CHAIRS IN ORDER TO DEAL WITH THE INCREASED CLIENT VOLUME THAT WE EXPECT WITH THE FOURTH PROVIDER TEAM AND WE'LL BE ABLE TO PURCHASE COMPUTER MONITORS FOR BOTH CLINICAL AND NONCLINICAL SETTINGS WITHIN ROCKWOOD SO THAT ALL STAFF CAN USE THE NEW EPIC PLATFORM THAT'S GETTING READY TO ROLL OUT. AND THAT'S PRETTY MUCH THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT. THE BUDGET, I CAME IN A LITTLE BIT BELOW \$250,000. IT'S \$228,013. AND WE WORKED CLOSELY WITH FACILITIES AND AN ARCHITECT TO MAKE SURE EVERYTHING'S GOING TO COME IN WITHIN COST AND WHATNOT AND CHRISTY'S GOING TO TALK ABOUT ACCESS.

>> JUST TO ADD, YOU KNOW, MEDICAID EXPANSION HAS CERTAINLY BROUGHT A NEW INFLUX OF PATIENTS INTO OUR SYSTEM AND WHAT WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO DO IN EACH OF OUR SITES IS DETERMINE HOW BEST WE CAN UTILIZE OUR CURRENT SPACE. AND WE RECOGNIZE PARTICULARLY ON THE EAST SIDE THAT THERE'S A HIGH DEMAND AND NEED FOR ADDITIONAL SERVICES. AND SO THIS IS OUR WAY TO BE ABLE TO -- WE DON'T HAVE ACCESS WITHIN OUR CURRENT TEAM OR WE HAVE LIMITED ACCESS WITHIN OUR CURRENT TEAMS AND BY ADDING, WE CAN SERVE ABOUT 2,000 ADDITIONAL PATIENTS AT THIS ONE SITE. WE'RE OPEN TO ANY QUESTIONS.

Commissioner Smith: I HAVE A QUESTION. IF WE DON'T GET THE GRANT, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO TO HELP BUILD CAPACITY OUT THERE?

>> WELL, I THINK THAT WHAT WE WILL HAVE TO DO IS LOOK AT THE POSSIBILITY OF EXPANDING OUR HOURS SO THAT WE CAN BETTER BE ABLE TO UTILIZE OUR EXAM ROOMS IN EXTENDED HOURS. BUT IT DOESN'T BRING A HUGE INFLUX OF NEW PATIENTS IN. SO IT WILL BE A CHALLENGE.

Vice-Chair McKeel: I HAVE -- THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE TODAY AND APPLYING FOR THIS GRANT AND I REMEMBER WHEN I VISITED THE ROCKWOOD CLINIC THAT THERE WAS THE ONE WING THAT HAD NOTHING. I MEAN, THAT WAS BEFORE EXPANSION. ARE YOU SAYING THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH HAS MOVED --

>> INTO PART OF THAT WING AND THE THIRD PROVIDER TEAM TAKES UP ONE PART AND THE OTHER PART IS WHERE THE OTHER TEAM IS. THEY WOULD BE

MOVING INTO THE NEW OFFICES AND THAT WOULD BE WHERE THE FOURTH PROVIDER TEAM WOULD BE.

>> Vice-Chair McKeel: OKAY.

>> AS PART OF, YOU KNOW, CREATING A REALLY COMPREHENSIVE MEDICAL HOME, WE'VE ADDED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH STAFF THAT ARE INTEGRATED WITH THE TEAM AND THERE AREN'T OFFICE SPACES AVAILABLE BEYOND WHAT THEY'RE CURRENTLY USING WHICH WAS REALLY INTENDED TO BE EXAM ROOMS BUT THERE ISN'T ANY OTHER SPACE TO PUT THEM IN. IT'S BECOME A BIT OF A CHALLENGE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Commissioner Smith: HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT?

>> WE ON A NONAGGRESSIVE TIMELINE ESTIMATED 14 MONTHS, IT WOULD BE SET TO START IN SEPTEMBER 1st AND IT WOULD BE FINISHED BY THE END OF OCTOBER 2015. SO A LITTLE BIT OVER A CALENDAR YEAR.

>> Commissioner Smith: SO IT WILL TAKE A YEAR --

>> ABOUT A YEAR, 14 MONTHS, YEAH.

Commissioner Smith: OKAY.

>> MOST OF THE RENOVATIONS COULD HAPPEN WITHOUT IMPACTING ACCESS TO THE OTHER PROVIDER TEAMS.

Commissioner Smith: OKAY, GREAT.

Chair Madrigal: ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE, OPPOSED? THE NOTICE OF INTENT IS APPROVED. THANK YOU. GOOD LUCK.

Board Clerk: R8 BUDGET MODIFICATION DCHS14-35, INCREASING THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES DIVISION, FEDERAL STATE FUND APPROPRIATION BY \$147,864.

Chair Madrigal: MAY I HAVE A MOTION?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

Chair Madrigal: APPROVAL OF R-8. GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Clarke: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR MADRIGAL. I'M EBONY CLARKE, THE PROGRAM MANAGER FOR DIRECT CLINICAL SERVICES WITHIN THE MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS SERVICES DIVISION. ACCOMPANYING ME TODAY IS CHRISTY WARD AND MARC HARRIS FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. AND I'M HERE TODAY TO REQUEST APPROVAL FOR BUDGET MODIFICATION NUMBER 1435 TO ADD \$147,864 OF NEW FUNDING TO OUR FISCAL YEAR '14 BUDGET. THE MULTNOMAH HEALTH DEPARTMENT WAS AWARDED EXPANSION FUNDS FROM THE STATE OF OREGON SCHOOL BASED HEALTH CENTER PROGRAM THROUGH THE OREGON STATE MENTAL HEALTH INVESTMENT GRANT TO EXPAND COMMUNAL HEALTH SERVICES IN THE SCHOOL-BASED HEALTH CENTERS. THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE AWARD WAS \$403,000 AND AS PREVIOUSLY STATED WE'RE ASKING TO ADD \$147,000 AND SOME CHANGE TO FISCAL YEAR 2014 AND THE REMAINING \$255,136 WOULD BE ADDED TO SUPPORT CAPACITY IN FISCAL YEAR 15 AND IS CURRENTLY IN THE FISCAL YEAR 15 BUDGET.

THE GOAL OF THE GRANT IS TO INCREASE MENTAL HEALTH CAPACITY IN THE CLINICS TO INCREASE CAPACITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES TO DO SCREENINGS, TREATMENT, INTERVENTIONS AND REFERRALS. THESE FUNDS WOULD BE TRANSFERRED FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO DCHS AND WOULD BE REFLECTED IN PROGRAM OFFER NUMBER 25075A. THIS FUNDING WOULD ALLOW US TO SEE AND MANAGE ABOUT 160 NEW REFERRALS, UP TO 175 OVER AN 18-MONTH PERIOD. AND IT IS OUR ESTIMATE THAT PROBABLY ABOUT 30 TO 50% OF THOSE REFERRALS WOULD RESULT IN ACTUAL ENROLLMENT AND WOULD RECEIVE ACTUAL TREATMENT SERVICES FROM OUR MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS IN THIS PROGRAM. THESE FUNDS WOULD SPECIFICALLY ADD 1.78 FTE OF LIMITED-DURATION FTE. SO WE WOULD BE LOOKING TO ADD AN ADDITIONAL 0.2 FTE OF OUR CHILD PSYCHIATRIST TIME TO PROVIDE CONSULTATION AND TRAINING TO SCHOOL-BASED HEALTH CENTER STAFF. WE WOULD ALSO BE LOOKING TO ADD 0.83 FTE TO PROVIDE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES TO OUR SPANISH-SPEAKING STUDENTS, SPECIFICALLY IN THE CLINICS WITHIN DAVID DOUGLAS, ROOSEVELT AND MADISON AND THE REMAINING FTE WOULD ALLOW US TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, SPECIFICALLY IN THE CLINICS AT JEFFERSON, DAVID DOUGLAS AND PARKROSE.

THIS IS ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDING. THERE ARE NO MATCH REQUIREMENTS. OUR OUTCOMES WILL BE MEASURED THROUGH THE NUMBER OF FTE THAT ARE HIRED AND WILL BE TRACKED AND MEASURED THROUGH OUR MENTAL HEALTH ENCOUNTERS, SUCH AS THE SCREENINGS, NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS, AND THE NUMBER OF KIDDOS THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY

PROVIDING CASE COORDINATION AND SERVICES TO. AND I JUST WANT TO CLOSE BY SAYING THAT WE'RE REAL EXCITED ABOUT THIS, AS IT HELPS US SUPPORT OUR EFFORTS AROUND INTEGRATED CARE. IT ALLOWS US TO PROVIDE LINGUISTICALLY APPROPRIATE SERVICES TO OUR SPANISH-SPEAKING YOUTH AND STUDENTS AND IT HELPS US SUPPORT THE SCHOOLS AND BEING ABLE TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THEIR HIGH-RISK POPULATIONS AND EFFORTS TO INCREASE RETENTION AND GRADUATION.

Chair Madrigal: THANK YOU. WONDERFUL. ANY QUESTIONS?

Commissioner Smith: I HAVE A QUESTION. SO THIS IS NEW MONEY? SO CURRENTLY, IT'S ALREADY IN OUR FISCAL YEAR 14 BUDGET, WE GOT \$400,000 BUT WE NEED TO ADD ADDITIONAL 147?

>> THIS IS NEW MONEY. AND WE'RE ASKING TO ADD \$147,000 TO FISCAL YEAR 14 AND THE REMAINING \$255,000 AND CHANGE WILL BE REFLECTED IN FISCAL YEAR 15 AND IS ALREADY IN THE FISCAL YEAR 15'S BUDGET BUT WE'RE JUST NEEDING TO GET APPROVAL FOR FISCAL YEAR 14.

Commissioner Smith: SO THIS IS NOT FORMULA MONEY?

>> I'M SORRY?

Commissioner Smith: THIS IS NOT FORMULA MONEY? WE'RE PUTTING IT TO THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR? I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE KNEW TO DO THAT IF WE JUST GOT THE GRANT.

>> IT'S AN 18-MONTH PROJECT PERIOD SO IT WOULD BE PARTIAL, THE PROJECT PERIOD'S PARTIALLY IN THIS FISCAL YEAR AND THE REMAINING 12 MONTHS WOULD BE IN FISCAL YEAR 15.

Commissioner Smith: OKAY. BUT THAT'S -- YOU SAID THIS IS NEW MONEY. WHEN DO WE GET THE MONEY?

>> WE JUST RECEIVED -- THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT RECEIVED THE AWARD I WANT TO SAY WITHIN THE LAST 60 DAYS.

>> THE APPLICATION WENT IN DECEMBER. WE PRESENTED TO YOU ABOUT IT IN DECEMBER.

>> Commissioner Smith: WE HAD A PRETTY GOOD IDEA WE WERE GOING TO GET THE MONEY?

>> WE THOUGHT WE HAD A PRETTY GOOD CHANCE.

>> Commissioner Smith: THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, HOW YOU PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR 15 IF WE HADN'T GOTTEN IT YET.

>> WE HEARD WE RECEIVED THE MONEY A WHILE AGO BUT IT BECAME OFFICIAL THROUGH THE CONTRACTING PROCESS AND WHATNOT RECENTLY I GUESS WOULD BE THE EXPLANATION FOR THAT.

>> Commissioner Smith: THANK YOU.

>> Chair Madrigal: ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

>> I HAVE A QUESTION. YOU'VE SAID THAT IT COULD PROVIDE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR 160 TO 175 ADDITIONAL STUDENTS. ARE YOU ANTICIPATING THAT MANY MORE REFERRALS?

>> WE'RE ANTICIPATING AT MINIMUM AN ADDITIONAL 160 REFERRALS. AND IN GENERAL, ABOUT 30 TO 50% OF REFERRALS ACTUALLY RESULT IN ACTUAL ENROLLMENT.

>> Vice-Chair McKeel: THAT WOULD BE REFERRALS.

>> RIGHT, RIGHT. I WOULD SAY WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IS WE WOULD PROCESS THOSE ADDITIONAL REFERRALS AND SO WE WOULD PROBABLY PROVIDE A LEVEL OF CONSULTATION, BASIC CASE MANAGEMENT, SOME BASIC CRISIS SUPPORT. WE WOULD PROBABLY TRIAGE SOME OF THOSE REFERRALS OUT TO THE COMMUNITY AND THEN ACTUALLY BRING ON A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF THOSE STUDENTS ONTO OUR ACTUAL CASE LOAD.

>> Vice-Chair McKeel: OKAY. AND THEN I ALSO SEE IT SAYS AFTER THE GRANT FUNDS ARE EXHAUSTED, PROGRAM WILL RETURN TO PRIOR SERVICE LEVELS AND THAT ALWAYS CONCERNS ME A LITTLE BIT WHEN WE INCREASE, AND THEN WE HAVE TO BACK OFF. SO I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS AROUND THAT OR AT LEAST I WOULD SAY IT WOULD BE MY HOPE THAT PART OF THIS EVALUATION THAT'S GOING ON WOULD BE LOOKING AT IF WE DO NEED THOSE RESOURCES, WHERE DO WE GET THOSE RESOURCES ONCE THAT PROGRAM HAS ENDED, THIS GRANT FUND HAS ENDED?

Chair Madrigal: ANYTHING ELSE?

>> A QUICK QUESTION. KIND OF FOLLOWING UP ON COMMISSIONER McKEEL'S QUESTION, ARE THESE SCHOOLS IDENTIFIED BECAUSE THERE'S A PENT-UP DEMAND AND NOT ENOUGH SERVICES?

>> YES. SO THERE WAS A COUPLE OF AREAS OF HOW WE PRIORITIZE. SO

RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE A RANGE OF 0.4 ALL THE WAY UP TO 0.8 FTE OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE DIFFERENT -- WITHIN THE DIFFERENT CLINICS AND OUR GOAL IS TO TRY TO PROVIDE A GENERAL LEVEL OF AT LEAST 0.8 FTE ALL THE WAY UP, SO THAT THEN WE CAN HAVE FULL-TIME MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES DAILY WITHIN THE CLINICS SO THAT WAS ONE OF OUR PRIORITIES, AND THEN WITHIN THAT, WE ALSO WERE LOOKING AT THE CULTURAL AND THE LINGUISTIC NEEDS AND THAT'S HOW WE WERE ABLE TO DETERMINE THE SCHOOLS THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM THE SPANISH-SPEAKING SERVICES, THROUGH THE INCREASE.

>> THANKS.

Chair Madrigal: OKAY. WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT? MR. JOHNSON, WOULD YOU COME FORWARD?

Mr. Johnson: GOOD MORNING, CHARLES JOHNSON FOR THE RECORD. I'M PLEASED TO SEE YOU SAVED THE BEST FOR LAST. THIS IS THE KIND OF COOL PROGRAM I FORGOT TO CIRCLE YES. BUT I WAS VERY GLAD TO HEAR COMMISSIONER McKEEL TALK ABOUT THE ABILITY TO CONTINUE THIS LEVEL OF SERVICE AFTER THE CURRENT BUDGET CYCLE SO I HOPE THAT THEY HAVE SOME NOTES THAT REMIND THEM TO INCREASE THEIR BUDGET ASK AND STRUGGLE FOR THOSE FUNDS WHEN THEY COME BECAUSE AS WE KNOW MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES IS CHALLENGING FOR ALL AGE GROUPS IN THIS COUNTY AND IT WAS GREAT TO HEAR THERE ARE SOME IN-SCHOOL EFFORTS TO HELP YOUTH GO TO COMPLETION. I WILL GO ON TO SAY THAT BACK WHEN ISSUE R-1 WAS UP AND I FOUND OUT THERE WAS NO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE COURTHOUSE AND IT WAS A LITTLE BIT UNCLEAR AS TO WHEN THE PUBLIC IS GOING TO GET INVOLVED IN THAT PROCESS, I HAD TO GO OUTSIDE AND TALK TO MR. ERIC ZIMMERMAN TO HELP WITH MY ANXIETY ABOUT THAT ISSUE IN THE LIGHT OF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT \$140 FOR MENTAL HEALTH WHEN WE STILL REALLY HAVEN'T FIGURED OUT HOW TO PROCESS THE BIG MISTAKE THAT THE COUNTY VOTERS AND THE COUNTY COMMISSION SHARED WITH THE JAIL. I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH THAT IMPACTS THE COUNTY BUDGET LATELY BUT THAT WAS ON MY MIND A LOT WHEN WE TALK ABOUT ONLY HAVING \$140,000 INCREASE OF MONEY COMING FROM THE FEDS TO HELP TEEN MENTAL HEALTH. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT \$15 MILLION WHICH SMITH IS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHERE IS IT GOING TO COME FROM TO DO A NEW COURTHOUSE? AND SO I APPRECIATE THAT THE COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES STAFF WORKING WITH CHILDREN WAS ABLE TO FIND \$140,000 IN FEDERAL MONEY TO KEEP KIDS IN SCHOOL AND I HOPE THAT EVERY DEPARTMENT IS DOING THAT WORK SO THERE WILL BE ADEQUATE MENTAL HEALTHCARE FOR EVERYONE. THANK YOU.

Chair Madrigal: THANK YOU. ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE, OPPOSED? THE BUDGET MODIFICATION IS APPROVED. NOW IS THE TIME WE HAVE FOR BOARD

COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS. DOES ANYONE HAVE AN ITEM TO DISCUSS TODAY? OKAY. HEARING NONE, THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THIS MEETING IS ADJOURNED. [GAVEL]

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 11:33 a.m.

This transcript was prepared by LNS Captioning and edited by the Board Clerk's office. For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:

http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:

Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County