



MULTNOMAH COUNTY AGENDA PLACEMENT REQUEST BUDGET MODIFICATION

(Revised: 5/24/13)

Board Clerk Use Only

Meeting Date: 2/6/14
Agenda Item #: R.2
Est. Start Time: 9:35 am
Date Submitted: 1/22/14

Agenda Title: **Budget Reallocation of \$400,000 from FY 2014 Capital Funds for the Willamette Boathouse Project # FPM-14-03**

Note: if Contingency, use that form. If item other than a BudMod, please use different APR. : Title should not be more than 2 lines but sufficient to describe the action requested.

Requested Meeting Date: February 6, 2014 **Time Needed:** 10 mins.
Department: County Assets **Division:** Facilities
Contact(s): John Lindenthal, Jason Gates
Phone: 503-988-4213 **Ext.** X84213 **I/O Address:** Bldg. 274/1
Presenter Name(s) & Title(s): John Lindenthal, Sam Lasko, Jason Gates

General Information

1. What action are you requesting from the Board?

Request approval of a budget reallocation of FY 2014 Capital funds in the amount of \$400,000 for the Willamette Boathouse Project CP08.13.20 – FPM-14-03.

2. Please provide sufficient background information for the Board and the public to understand this issue. Please note which Program Offer this action affects and how it impacts the results.

This is a summary of the cost increase developed from the project budget of \$275,000 for the boathouse construction to the current \$675,000. This was considered an informal procurement and now is a formal bid for construction. Also attached the cost breakdown from original to current LRS cost estimate.

When the original proposal for the new boathouse was established the sheriff was moving to a location that had shore based office space and restrooms, etc. Therefore, the original scope of work was for a new boathouse shell. They were going to use the office and restrooms/lockers inside the main building. Negotiations for the new location were unsuccessful.

The original scope of work for a boathouse shell was estimated at \$179,000.

There are several items that were not in the original scope of work, they include but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

1. Mechanical system - Original was base board heat. No A/C. A ductless system is more efficient and eco friendly but is more expensive than a standard mechanical system with ducts. A ducted system would also increase the size of the boathouse to accommodate the mech. system. Original allowance \$8,500. Revised \$45,000.
2. Fiberglass windows with mirrored glass - original scope of work had vinyl windows, with no mirrored glass. A long term investment better insulated to outside river conditions and increased security. Original allowance \$14,000. Revised \$24,000
3. Toilet and locker rooms – not in original scope of work. Revised \$42,000 – Meet ADA and also added an Ejector pump in case the boathouse is ever moved to a location that sewer/water needs to be pumped. Boathouse would be more self-sufficient to move to different location if ever needed.
4. Casework – increased quality level of the casework items which makes sense for longevity and marine conditions. What is currently proposed can be reduced in quality, but not recommended. Original allowance: \$4,000+/- Revised \$7,200+/-.
5. The quality of the metal roof and siding was not defined/qualified in the original bid. A higher quality level is proposed. Original allowance \$18,000. Revised \$38,000
6. Flotation originally proposed to be Douglas fir logs. Styrofoam floats are more durable, better stability and longer life span. Original allowance \$75,000. Revised \$125,000.
7. LED and Security lighting - Security flood lights and LED around the perimeter of the boathouse. LED lighting is more energy efficient, longer life and requires less maintenance. Original allowance \$3200. Revised \$7800.
8. Certain code requirements were not in original scope of work. For instance, occupancy sensors are in the current documents (code requirement), the original did not have this. Also, increases in base costs increases the general conditions which include insurance, overhead, etc.

Please keep in mind that construction of the boathouse with the current new proposal would make the Willamette Boathouse self sufficient and can be moored at a location that has no utilities (power, sewer, water, phone or IT) for a period of time. This is in case of a emergency or catastrophe situation. The dive team also utilizes the Willamette boathouse.

The budget adjustment will allow for a quality boathouse with office space to be constructed that will meet long term needs of the Sheriff's office and provide it with the flexibility to relocate it at a future date.

Program offer is #78006 – Facilities Capital Improvement Fund. The overall program offer is unchanged. The impact is at the individual project budget level.

3. Explain the fiscal impact (current year and ongoing)

The impact is to the individual project budget(s) and will not affect the overall FY2014 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) budget.

Approval of this budget reallocation will result in the following FY2014 Capital budget adjustments:

1. Reallocate \$400,000 from the Future Capital Projects line item (CP08.14.2507) to the Willamette Boathouse Project (CP08.13.20). These funds were a part of the FY2014 carry-over funds in the Capital program plan. This budget reallocation will bring the project budget total to \$675,000.

4. Explain any legal and/or policy issues involved.

None.

5. Explain any citizen and/or other government participation that has or will take place.

None.

Budget Modification

If the request is a Budget Modification, please answer all of the following in detail:

- **What revenue is being changed and why? If the revenue is from a federal source, please list the Catalog of Federal Assistance Number (CFDA).**

N/A

- **What budgets are increased/decreased?**

No overall Capital Improvement Program budgets are being changed. The changes are only at the project level within the Capital Improvement Program budget.

- **What do the changes accomplish?**

Approval of this budget reallocation will correct the individual project budget deficiency.

- **Do any personnel actions result from this budget modification? Explain.**

No.

- **If a grant, is 100% of the central and department indirect recovered? If not, please explain why.**

N/A

- **Is the revenue one-time-only in nature? Will the function be ongoing? What plans are in place to identify a sufficient ongoing funding stream?**

N/A

- If a grant, what period does the grant cover? When the grant expires, what are funding plans? Are there any particular stipulations required by the grant (i.e. cash match, in kind match, reporting requirements etc)?

N/A

NOTE: Attach a Budget Modification Expense & Revenues Worksheet and/or a Budget Modification Personnel Worksheet.

Required Signatures

Facilities Director: Michael Bowers \s\ _____ **Date:** 1/21/14

Budget Director: Karyne Kieta \s\ _____ **Date:** 1/14/14

Chief Financial Officer: Mark Campbell \s\ _____ **Date:** 1/15/2014

Countywide HR: N/A _____ **Date:** _____

Note: Please submit electronically. Insert names of your approvers followed by /s/ - we no longer use actual signatures. Please date each signature. Use "n/a" when signature not applicable."