

**Transcript of the Board of Commissioners  
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100  
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon  
Thursday, July 7, 2016**

**REGULAR MEETING**

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m. with Vice-Chair Loretta Smith and Commissioner Diane McKeel present. Commissioner Judy Shiprack arrived at 9:49 a.m.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Lynda Grow, Board Clerk.

*[ALL CAPS TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF CAPTIONING THIS PROGRAM. ]*

Chair Kafoury: WELCOME TO THE REGULAR MEETING.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

C. 1 REAPPOINTMENT OF DEBORAH KAFOURY TO THE PORTLAND CHILDREN'S LEVY ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Chair Kafoury: MAY I HAVE A MOTION ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR? COMMISSIONER SMITH MOVES AND COMMISSIONER MCKEEL SECONDS. ALL IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES]. THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS APPROVED.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Opportunity for Public Comment on non-agenda matters. Testimony limited to three minutes per person. This is a time for the Board to hear public testimony, not for Board deliberation.

Board Clerk: WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME, PLEASE COME FORWARD. [READS NAMES]

MR. LIGHTNING: I REPRESENT LIGHTNING FREEDOM WATCHDOG PDX. A BIG CONCERN IS ON THAT PORTLAND HARBOR SUPER FUND CLEAN-UP. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT I ASK SOME OF THE EPA REPRESENTATIVES IS THAT WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT THE RIVER ITSELF AND WE'RE TALKING, AGAIN, THIS CLEAN-UP, AS EVERYBODY KNOWS, IT'S IN THE RIVER, NOT NECESSARILY IN THE BANK. A SMALL AMOUNT ON THE BANK. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I POSE TO THEM IS WHEN YOU'RE TALKING SUBMIREASABLE LAND, WHO OWNS THAT? DO THE PROPERTY OWNERS OWN THAT LAND? NOW, AN INTERESTING SITUATION HERE IS THAT IF YOU OWN LAND THAT HAS CONTAMINATED SEDIMENT ON YOUR PROPERTY, YOU MAY BE ABLE TO

POINT AT A LOT OF OTHER PEOPLE TO TRY TO GET TO CLEAN THAT UP. BUT ULTIMATELY, AT THE END OF THE DAY, YOU, AS THE PERSON THAT OWNS THAT LAND, TO ME, IS AT THE TOP OF THE LIST ON WHO'S RESPONSIBLE, NUMBER ONE, TO CLEAN THAT LAND UP, FIRST, AND THEN GO AFTER THE PEOPLE THAT YOU SUSPECT OF POLLUTING YOUR LAND. NOW, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, SUBMERSIBLE LAND IS OWNED BY THE STATE. I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING FROM GOVERNOR BROWN ON THIS SITUATION, ON HOW MUCH THEY'RE WILLING TO PAY. BECAUSE IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY OWN THE LAND. IF YOU JUST BOUGHT THAT PROPERTY, RIVERFRONT PROPERTY WITHIN THAT TEN-MILE RADIUS BUT YOU HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE POLLUTION ON THAT LAND IN FRONT OF YOUR PROPERTY, I POSE THE QUESTION TO EPA AND SAID, HOW DO YOU THINK YOU'RE GOING TO GET THAT PROPERTY OWNER, WHICH IS CALLED THE ALLOCATION GROUP, TO PAY ANY MONEY? THEIR RESPONSE WAS, WE HAVE OUR WAYS. AND I SAID, WELL, HOW MUCH MONEY DO YOU THINK YOU'RE GOING TO GET THE CITY OF PORTLAND TO PAY? THEY SAID, WELL, WHAT HAVE THEY SAID TO YOU? I SAID, THEY HAVEN'T SAID ANYTHING TO ME. THEY SMILED AND SAID THEY DON'T THINK THEY WILL. JOHN IS SUING THE CITY OF PORTLAND FOR USING UTILITY MONEY OF \$50-PLUS MILLION. JOHN DELORENZO WILL WIN IN COURT AND THEY WILL HAVE TO PAY BACK \$50 MILLION. WHEN THIS GETS SET ON TOP OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS, THIS IS GOING TO GET VERY INTERESTING AT THE END OF THE DAY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.

Ms. Eng: HI, MULTNOMAH COMMISSIONERS, MY NAME IS MARY. I WANT TO APOLOGIZE FOR MY PREVIOUS APPEARANCE. I SUFFERED AN ASSAULT FROM A PATIENT THAT HAD BEEN RELEASED INTO MY CARE, DESPITE HIS HOMICIDAL AND SUICIDAL INCLINATIONS, I VIEW THIS AS A THREE-YEAR MALPRACTICE SO I DON'T BELIEVE I NEEDED TO SUFFER A HOMICIDAL ASSAULT AND I HAVE A PAPER TRAIL TO ESTABLISH THAT THAT ASSAULT DIDN'T NEED TO HAPPEN AND THAT SERVICES NEEDED TO ENGAGE IN WORK. I ALSO WANT TO START SHOWING YOU BRUISES INFLICTED ON ME BY A MAN CALLED TOD INGSTRUM. I WAS SO SORE ON JUNE 29TH, I COULDN'T SLEEP ON EITHER SIDE AND THE INJURIES THAT CHARLEY HALES, THE INITIATOR OF THIS ASSAULT KNEW ABOUT WAS THAT MY NECK WAS CRUSHED IN THIS POSITION. MY TRACHEA IS DISLOCATED TO THE RIGHT. AND, THE BRUISING AND TEARING OF MY NECK MUSCLES HAS MADE IT DIFFICULT TO SWALLOW FOR ABOUT THE FIRST SIX DAYS I WAS ON SOFT LIQUID SOFT LIQUIDS. AND SO, THIS DIDN'T NEED TO HAPPEN. AFTER I BROKE FREE FROM THAT, AS MY NECK BONES BEGAN TO CRUNCH, I SCREAMED. BENJAMIN RELEASED THE PRESSURE AND I WAS ABLE TO RUN TO A WINDOW. HE PUT HIS STRONG ARM OF HIS VERY STRONG LUMBERJACK ARMS. MY RIBS WERE CRUSHED. I HAD BRUISING UNDER MY BREAST. I HAVE BRUISED CARTILAGE. I HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT THERE IS A FRACTURE FRACTURE. IT IS UNCOMFORTABLE TO TALK TO YOU

TODAY, SWALLOW, LIE DOWN. SO WHEN CHARLIE HALES DECIDES MY LONE VETS DOES NOT NEED TO BE [INDISCERNIBLE], I'M HELPING TO CONVINCING LONE VET, LET'S LEAVE THE CHAMBER. AND SO, I VIEW CHARLIE'S BEHAVIOR AS SENILE AND UNFORTUNATE. YOU KNOW MY MOM'S BEEN A NURSE FOR 50 YEARS. MY DAD'S A SOCIAL WORKER. I'M NOT GOING TO LET A MAN BE LEFT BEHIND AND BRUTALIZED, LIKE WITH THE MARSHMAN. I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT ADA RIGHTS WERE NOT FOLLOWED. I WAS BRUTALIZED. I HAD PAIN COMPLIANCE CRUSHING, I WAS RIPPED. I WAS BRUISED. I AM VERY FORTUNATE THAT I TRAINED AS A PARALEGAL. MY CONSTITUTIONAL --

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, MARY.

Ms. Eng: THE DA NEEDS TO DROP THE CHARGES, MULTNOMAH COUNTY, YOU GUYS, MS. SMITH, MS. KAFOURY, MAKE THEM DROP THE CHARGES. I CAN'T AFFORD TO BE THE SCAPEGOAT. I'M A CHOKING SURVIVOR. I WAS CHOKED BY MY RAPIST IN 2002.

Chair Kafoury: MARY, I'M SORRY ABOUT YOUR INJURIES.

Ms. Eng: WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO ABOUT IT? ARE YOU GOING TO LET CHARLIE HALES RELENTLESSLY CHARGE ME

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU FOR COMING TODAY. THERE ARE PEOPLE WAITING TO TESTIFY. JOE WALSH, YOU'RE UP.

Mr. Walsh: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION. MY NAME IS JOE WALSH, I REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS FOR JUSTICE. AS MARY RELATED TO YOU, AND AS SOME OF YOU KNOW, FOUR ACTIVISTS WERE ARRESTED AT CITY HALL LAST WEEK. WE WERE TAKEN TO JAIL AND THE GOOD NEWS, FOR YOU, IS THAT THE COUNTY SHERIFF'S, AT LEAST FOR ME, THIS IS ANECDOTAL, TREATED ME WITH RESPECT AND TREATED ME WELL. I CAN'T SAY THAT ABOUT THE PORTLAND POLICE. I THINK THEY WERE JERKS. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT OVER A YEAR AGO IS ARE WE MAKING A BUCK ON THE BACKS OF OUR PRISONERS IN JAIL. I CAME BEFORE YOU AND I SAID, LOOK, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SYSTEMS INSIDE THE JAIL THAT IS CAUSING PEOPLE TO PAY FEES. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THEY DO IS, THEY TAKE YOUR CASH AND THEY GIVE YOU A CREDIT CARD. AND THEN THEY GIVE YOU THIS NOTICE THAT YOU HAVE FIVE DAYS TO USE IT OR THEY WILL START USING THE FEES. WELL, FORTUNATELY FOR ME, A FRIEND WAS CLOSE-BY SO I HANDED OFF MOST OF MY CASH. IF I DIDN'T USE IT WITHIN FIVE DAYS, THEY WOULD HAVE ATE UP THE \$7. BECAUSE THERE'S ONE FEE THAT'S OVER \$5, AND ONE OVER \$3. THEY WOULD HAVE WIPED OUT THE \$7. QUESTION I HAVE IS, WHY ARE WE, AS PROGRESSIVES, MAKING BUCKS OFF THE BACKS OF THE MOST VULNERABLE PEOPLE IN OUR SYSTEM? NOW, WHEN YOU'RE IN JAIL, YOU CAN'T WALK AROUND WITH CASH. THEY MAKE MONEY ON THE ACCOUNTS

AND THE SHERIFF -- SHERIFFS THEN PROMISED ME A YEAR AGO THAT HE WOULD TAKE THOSE DAMN MACHINES OUT AND LET PEOPLE VISIT FACE-TO-FACE. THERE'S THE MACHINES WHEN I COME OUT OF JAIL. THERE'S THE MACHINES. DEHUMANIZING AND A LOT OF THESE PEOPLE ARE WAITING FOR TRIAL. LIKE THE FOUR OF US, WE'RE JUST WAITING FOR TRIAL. WE'RE NOT CRIMINALS YET. BUT YOU'RE MAKING A BUCK ON OUR BACKS. I'M ASKING YOU THIS, MADAM, TO ASK THE ORDER TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH THE COUNTY MAKES OFF THE BACKS OF THE PEOPLE IN JAIL. ON EVERY ISSUE, WHETHER IT'S A CREDIT CARD, IT'S THE MACHINES, IT'S THE ACCOUNT, IT'S THE PROPERTY. AND BY THE WAY, WHEN THEY TAKE YOUR PROPERTY AND THEY PUT IT ON [INDISCERNIBLE], IT TOOK ME THREE HOURS TO GET IT BACK. THREE HOURS. THAT'S HOW WE TREAT PEOPLE THAT GO TO JAIL. AND THAT'S THE BEST ONE. NOT THE WORST. SO, I'M ASKING YOU, DO SOMETHING.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, JOE.

DON'T JUST SHOVE IT OFF. I KNOW YOU CAN'T ORDER THEM. YOU GOT THEIR BUDGET. WHEN THEY COME TO YOU, SAY NO. NO. [INDISCERNIBLE] THANK YOU

Chair Kafoury: MR. PHILLIPS, YOU'RE UP.

I'M PAUL PHILLIPS. THE LAST TIME I WAS ABLE TO GET HERE WAS JUNE 26. THE SUBJECT WAS SPECIFIED WAS FRAIL. THE REASON I'M ADDRESSING THAT IS ANYONE CAN BECOME FRAIL, SUCH AS ROBERT DEMPSEY. HE WAS THE MAN WHO SHOT HIMSELF IN THE BACK, ACCORDING TO POLICE REPORTS, THAT IS.

ROBERT DEMPSEY.

ANYWAYS, WHILE I WAS DISAPPOINTED IN HEARING THAT A 74-YEAR-OLD VETERAN -- I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT BRANCH OF SERVICE JOE WAS IN. BUT I'D SPECIFIED THAT 100 MILLION PEOPLE WHAT THEY CALL IN CURABLE DISEASES AND JOE HAS DIABETES AND I SPECIFIED THAT. THE REASON I SAID THAT ABOUT JOE IS APPARENTLY HE GOT ROUGHED UP OR BEAT UP. I DON'T KNOW THE WHOLE END OF THE STORY, BUT JOE'S FRAIL, BUT MAYBE I COULD EVEN TRY TO BEAT UP JOE IF I WANTED TO, THAT IS. AS WEAK -- THE REASON WHY I'M SAYING THAT IS I HAD TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL THREE TIMES BY AMBULANCE IN A WEEK'S PERIOD AND THERE'S WORSE THINGS THAN EVEN BEING FRAIL, WHICH I HAVE A DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENT TODAY FOR THAT. JOE, I'M SORRY THAT YOU GOT BEAT UP. ARE YOU INJURED AND PISSED OFF NOW? OR, MAYBE YOU HAVEN'T BEEN INJURED ENOUGH, HUH?

I'M KEEPING MY SENSE OF HUMOR.

YEAH. ROBERT DEMPSEY MIGHT BE INJURED AND PISSED OFF, TOO, FOR SHOOTING HIMSELF IN THE BACK.

[INDISCERNIBLE]

YEAH. WELL, NOW -- NO, THERE'S SOME DISPUTE ABOUT THAT.

WHAT ABOUT THE --

Chair Kafoury: YOU GUYS, IT'S MR. PHILLIP'S TURN TO TALK. PLEASE CONTINUE.

THEY WEREN'T DISTURBING ME AT ALL. AND, THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP.

WHAT ABOUT MARSHMAN, THE MAN WHO CHOKED [INDISCERNIBLE]

I HADN'T HEARD ABOUT THAT. 1/3 OF THE NATION, 100 MILLION PEOPLE HAVE INCURABLE DISEASES AND SUNDAY, FOR THE THIRD TIME WHEN I WENT TO THE HOSPITAL, THEY ASKED ME, THEY SAID, ARE YOU FEELING BETTER THAN YOU WERE? I SAID, NO, YOU CAN PUT THAT OUT OF YOUR HEAD AND I FOUND OUT LATER, MY BLOOD PRESSURE WAS 175 AND MY POTASSIUM LEVEL WAS, I THINK, THREE -- THANKS.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. [INDISCERNIBLE] HARRINGTON, PLEASE COME FORWARD. SUCILIA, LAWRENCE AND MILA, PLEASE COME FORWARD. THANK YOU.

YOU CAN SPEAK IN ANY ORDER YOU CHOOSE.

Chair Kafoury: WHOEVER WANTS TO GET STARTED, CAN START.

HI, GOOD MORNING, CHAIR KAFOURY, COMMISSIONER SMITH, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL. I WORK FOR A COALITION OF DEVELOPMENT GROUPS. WE'RE HERE TO SPEAK TO YOU ABOUT THE SITUATION OF THE SIX MOBILE HOME COMMUNITIES ALONG NORTHEAST KILLINGS WORTH. THANK YOU, COMMISSIONER SMITH, FOR COMING TO VISIT THE PARK RECENTLY. I WANTED TO TELL YOU SOME OF THE RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AT THE PARK AND THEN GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO HEAR FROM SOME OF THE RESIDENTS. IN JANUARY, RESIDENTS BEGAN HEARING RUMORS THAT THEIR MOBILE HOME COMMUNITY WAS FOR SALE. AND, THIS WAS CONFIRMED IN FEBRUARY BY THE OWNER OF THE PARK AND THAT SALE, WE LEARNED UPON CONNECTING WITH LEGAL AID SERVICES OF OREGON, WAS BEING MADE IN AN ILLEGAL WAY BECAUSE THERE'S A STATE LAW THAT'S BEEN IN PLACE FOR TWO YEARS THAT REQUIRES THE OWNER OF A MOBILE HOME PARK TO GIVE ADVANCED NOTICE TO THE RESIDENTS BEFORE SELLING. THAT DID NOT HAPPEN IN THIS CASE. AND SO THE RESIDENTS BEGAN MEETING AND

ORGANIZING AND WORKING WITH LAWYERS FROM LEGAL AID SERVICES OF OREGON TO TAKE LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE OWNER OF THE PARK. AT THE SAME TIME, THEY'VE BEEN WORKING WITH CASA OF OREGON, A NONPROFIT THAT HAS HELPED COMMUNITIES REVERT TO OWNERSHIP. THEY HAVE WORKED TO MAKE A COUNTEROFFER TO BUY THE PARK, AS A COOPERATIVE, TO KEEP IT OPEN AS AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING COOPERATIVE. WE RECEIVED THE EXCELLENT NEWS IN EARLY JUNE THAT THE OWNER HAS AGREED TO SELL THE PARK TO THE RESIDENTS THROUGH CASA OF OREGON AS AN INTERMEDIARY. AND IF THIS IS SUCCESSFUL, IT WOULD BE THE FIRST RESIDENT-OWNED AFFORDABLE HOUSING COOPERATIVE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY, WHICH WOULD BE AN INCREDIBLE PRECEDENT TO SET FOR THE OTHER 70-PLUS MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMMUNITIES IN THE COUNTY. THIS IS ALSO JUST AN INSPIRING VICTORY, GIVEN THAT IN JANUARY, IT LOOKED LIKE THE 30 FAMILIES WERE HEADED FOR LOSING THEIR HOMES. MANY OF THE FAMILIES WERE LIKELY TO BE HOMELESS. NOW IN SIX MONTHS, THEY'RE IN A SITUATION TO OWN THEIR OWN LAND AND HAVE SUSTAINABILITY. CASA OF OREGON HAS UNTIL THE END OF SEPTEMBER TO DO DUE DILIGENCE AND THERE'S A FUNDING GAP THAT MUST BE FILLED AND WE'RE EAGER TO WORK WITH THE COUNTY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR TIME. MY NAME IS CECILIA AND I'VE LIVED IN THE PARK FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS. I'M AN ARTIST AND GOT LAID OFF. I BOUGHT A TRAILER, AN AIR STREAM, KIND OF. IT ALLOWED ME TO MOVE INTO THIS SITUATION. IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR ME TO GO ANYWHERE ELSE. MY TRAILER'S A VINTAGE COLLECTIBLE AND A LOT OF PARKS WON'T TAKE THEM. SO FOR ME TO GO FROM WHERE I AM NOW TO SOMEWHERE -- A PLACE ACROSS THE STREET FROM US JUST RECENTLY WAS REDONE AND IT'S \$1,500, \$1,800. WE'RE AT 45TH AND KILLINGSWORTH. I'M 63 YEARS OLD, I DON'T WANT TO LIVE IN SOMEONE'S. I'M INDEPENDENT, I'M WORKING. I'M A WORKING NANNY. I'M THE WORKING POOR. I THINK ONE OF THE GOOD THINGS ABOUT OUR TRAILER PARK IS IT'S A DIVERSE GROUP OF PEOPLE. DIFFERENT AGES, BACKGROUNDS, RELIGIONS AND RACES AND WE'VE MANAGED TO MAKE A LIFE HERE AND WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF CHOICES IF THIS IS TAKEN AWAY FROM US. I FEEL LIKE MOBILE HOME PARKS CAN BECOME REAL MODELS OF COMMUNITIES. THEY'RE LITTLE NEIGHBORHOODS I YOU KNOW EACH OTHER AND A VERY GOOD FOUNDATION IF WE CAN BRING THEM UP IN STANDARDS AND MAKE PEOPLE RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR PART IN IT. YOU KNOW, MAKE THEM FEEL PROUD -- PROUD FEELINGS. NOW THAT'S OUT OF OUR CONTROL. WE'D LIKE TO RAISE THE STANDARD OF OUR LIVING SITUATION AND I THINK HAVING MONEY COME AND CASA WORKING WITH US, THE CHURCH HAS WORKED WITH US AND LEGAL AID HAS WORKED WITH US. I HEARD A THINK ON NPR TALKING ABOUT, YOU KNOW, MOBILE HOME PARKS, MANUFACTURED HOME PARKS

MIGHT BE THE NEXT THING PEOPLE CAN AFFORD. SO, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE KEEP IN MIND THAT THERE IS A NICHE OF PEOPLE, SOME WORKING, SOME NOT. WHEN I HEARD THIS NEWS FOR SALES, MAYBE LEAVING PORTLAND. I CAN'T AFFORD THE RENT. SO THIS IS A HUGE ISSUE, NOT JUST FOR US BUT FOR THE CITY. YOU KNOW, AS A WORKING ARTIST, SAME THING. I CAN'T STAY IF I CAN'T AFFORD TO LIVE IN MY HOUSE. THANK GOD I OWNED MY OWN TRAILER. IT WAS MY ARTIST STUDIO. WE WANT TO EXPAND THE OPPORTUNITY FOR LOWER INCOME PEOPLE IN THIS CITY AND KEEP US HERE AND HELP US INVEST IN OUR OWN FUTURE AND OUR OWN HOMES.

THANK YOU FOR HAVING US. MY NAME IS RHONDA. I'M ONE OF THE RESIDENTS AND I'M A SINGLE MOTHER OF TWO CHILDREN. MY NAME IS RHONDA, I'M A RESIDENT WITH TWO CHILDREN. I'M A SINGLE MOTHER. I DON'T GET ANY HELP WITH MY KIDS SO WE CAME TO [INDISCERNIBLE] ABOUT A YEAR AGO AND IT WAS A LAST-RESORT PLACE FOR AN EMERGENCY MOVE AND THEY LET US IN, JUST IN SPUR OF THE MOMENT AND NOW I'M TRYING TO KEEP THEM STABLE AND COMING TO [INDISCERNIBLE] WAS A QUESTION BECAUSE I WAS NERVOUS ABOUT, IT'S A MOBILE HOME, I DON'T KNOW HOW IT'S GOING TO BE. IT TOOK FOR MY CHILD TO TELL ME THAT IF THEY DON'T MIND LIVING THERE, WHY SHOULD I? SO FOR MY CHILD TO COME TO ME AND SAY THAT HAD TO MAKE ME HUMBLE MYSELF. WE HAVE JUST BEEN TRYING TO MAINTAIN AND TRY TO MAKE IT BETTER. [CRYING] WE WANT PEOPLE TO LOOK AT IT AND NOT SEE THE NEGATIVES IN THE PAST. WE WANT TO MAKE IT INTO SOMETHING ELSE THAT PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO COME TO AND LIKE TO COME SEE. WE WANT TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHOW YOU THAT WE CAN DO THIS. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

MORNING. MY NAME'S LAWRENCE [INDISCERNIBLE] I'M THE LONGEST-LONGEST-LIVED PERSON AT THE PARK. I MOVED THERE IN 1987. I'M A UNION MAN, RETIRED UNION MAN. I'M A MARINE CORPS VETERAN AND I'VE WORKED ALL MY LIFE. AT THE TIME I MOVED IN THERE, I WAS PRETTY MUCH ON THE STREET, FOLLOWING A BAD DIVERSE. A COUNTRY SONG THERE, I GOT THE TRUCK. I MOVED IN AND AT THAT TIME, IT WAS LIKE MOVING INTO A COMMUNITY. THERE WAS OPEN ARMS, WELCOMING. PEOPLE UNDERSTOOD WHERE YOU WERE COMING FROM AND THEY HELPED YOU. THE WHOLE COMMUNITY WAS THAT WAY. THEY BABYSIT THE KIDS IN THE AFTERNOON. THEY MADE SURE THEY GOT TO SCHOOL. THEY WALKED THEM TO SCHOOL WHEN IT WAS NECESSARY. THEY HELPED THEM WITH THEIR HOMEWORK. I PERSONALLY READ THE BOOKS THAT MY MOTHER READ TO ME. OVER THE YEARS, THEY KIND OF SLIPPED BY AND NOW I'VE GOT KIDS THAT HAD KIDS, BRINGING THEIR GRANDKIDS BACK UP TO VISIT. IT'S BEEN THAT LONG. NOT ONE OF OUR KIDS EVER ENDED UP IN JAIL OR IN TROUBLE. THEY ALL GREW UP RIGHT. THEN THE PEOPLE THAT WERE THERE, THE CORE STRUCTURE,

THEY STARTED DYING OFF OR MOVED INTO RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES. AND JUST ONE UNIT BY ANOTHER, KIND OF SLIPPED DOWN AND THERE WAS NO LONGER -- THE SENSE OF COMMUNITY WERE DYING OUT. THE PEOPLE THAT BOUGHT THE PLACE, WERE RUNNING IT DOWN, RUNNING IT LIKE A SLUM LORD. NOBODY TURNED BACK IN TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE TRAILER PARK AND THINGS WENT FROM BAD TO WORSE. I WAS PLANNING ON MOVING OUT. [INDISCERNIBLE] PEOPLE HERE THAT ACTUALLY THOUGHT THEY COULD GET THIS COMMUNITY BACK. THE MORE I LISTEN TO THEM, THE MORE I THOUGHT THAT, YEAH, THIS IS POSSIBLE. THE PEOPLE THAT ARE HERE, THEY'RE CLIMBING UP OUT OF THE MUD. SCRATCHING AND KICKING JUST TO GET A ROOF OVER THEIR HEAD. ONE LADY SAID AT ANOTHER MEETING, THEY NEVER OWNED ANYTHING AND NEVER HAD A SENSE OF OWNING ANYTHING. THE TRAILER THEY GOT, FALLING DOWN, RATTY, BUT IT WAS THEIRS. YOU KNOW, YOU WORK THAT HARD TO PULL YOURSELF UP THAT FAR AND YOU FIND YOURSELF BUILDING ON A BED OF SAND. YOU GOT NOTHING. SOMEBODY WANTS TO TAKE IT AWAY, ALL YOUR WORK IS NOTHING. IT MEANS NOTHING TO THEM. THE ONLY THING IT MEANS TO THEM IS THE INCREASE IN THE PROPERTY VALUE. SO, IF THE BOARD THAT WE FORMED CAN TAKE OVER THAT COMMUNITY AND BUILD IT BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY THAT IT WAS, THE 15 CHILDREN LED CHILDREN WE HAVE LIVING THERE WILL HAVE THE SAME OPPORTUNITY THAT THE CHILDREN HAD LIVING THERE BEFORE. THE DIFFERENCE OF A KID GOING TO ONE SCHOOL, A WHOLE YEAR, TWO YEARS, THREE YEARS, WHERE THEY BUILD A RAPPORT, THAT'S THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN GET IT. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET IT PUTTING THEM IN SHELTERS. IT'S A GOOD, VIABLE CHANCE.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, SIR. THANKS FOR COMING THIS MORNING. WHO ELSE IS UP?

MAKE SURE TO STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD WHEN YOU START.

GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. MY NAME IS [INDISCERNIBLE]. AND I'VE LIVED IN THE [INDISCERNIBLE] NEIGHBORHOOD FOR 11 YEARS. I'M MARRIED AND I HAVE FIVE CHILDREN. I LIVE IN ANOTHER MOBILE HOME PARK, THE ARBOR. THERE ARE OVER 100 FAMILIES THERE. AND THE MAJORITY OF THOSE HAVE SMALL CHILDREN. I'M HERE BECAUSE, LIKE MANY FAMILIES IN PORTLAND, I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT RAISING RENTS. I LIVE IN THE ARBOR MOBILE HOMES. AND I'M WORRIED BECAUSE THE PARK HAS BEEN SOLD. AND IT'S A PLACE I'VE LIVED FOR SO LONG. AND I DON'T KNOW WHERE ELSE I COULD GO TO LIVE WITH MY FAMILY BECAUSE THE RENTS ARE SO EXPENSIVE. EVEN WHERE I LIVE NOW, THERE'S NO LAW TO PROHIBIT RENTS FROM BEING RAISED. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, WE HAVE EIGHT MONTHS WITHOUT FUNCTIONAL STREET LIGHTS IN OUR MOBILE HOME PARK. AND, IS THERE IS LAW THAT REQUIRES THEM TO PUT LIGHTS ON THE STREETS? IN THE CASE OF THE OWNERS DECIDED TO SELL, WE WOULD BE LEFT WITH NOTHING FOR OUR HOUSE. FOR ME, MY HOUSE IS EVERYTHING. IT'S THE ROOF OVER MY

FAMILY'S HEAD. I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU ALL TO THINK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT US, THE LOW-INCOME FAMILIES. THAT CANNOT AFFORD TO PAY VERY MUCH. FOR US, IT IS THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE TO HAVE OUR HOME. IT GIVES US SECURITY AND TRANQUILITY. TO HAVE THE SECURITY OF HAVING A PLACE THAT WE DON'T HAVE TO FEAR WE WILL LOSE. AND WITHOUT THE FEAR OF HAVING TO PAY AN EXTREMELY HIGH RENT. IT'S EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO FIND AN AFFORDABLE PLACE THAT YOU CAN LIVE. AND ESPECIALLY FOR LARGE FAMILIES. AND, SO I'M HERE REPRESENTING THE 100 FAMILIES THAT MY PARK.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR COMING.

I'M GAB [INDISCERNIBLE] AND I'M AT SAINT CHARLES CHURCH. A FEW WEEKS AGO, THE CITY OF PORTLAND SET ASIDE MONEY FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, WITH THE COMMITMENT THAT PART OF THAT MONEY WOULD GO TO THE [INDISCERNIBLE] MOBILE HOME PARK TO PURCHASE THEIR PROPERTY FOR COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP AND TO INSURE IT CONTINUES ON. THIS WAS THE RESULT OF LIVING [INDISCERNIBLE] SAINT CHARLES, RESIDENTS GETTING TOGETHER AND ORGANIZING DOZENS OF CHURCHES IN NORTHEAST PORTLAND, ALONG WITH GROUPS LIKE JOBS WITH JUSTICE, METROPOLITAN ALLIANCE FOR THE COMMON GOOD AND NORTHEAST INTERFACE ALLIANCE. ALL OF WHICH HAVE PLEDGED THEIR SOLIDARITY AND THEIR DEDICATION TO HELPING THE RESIDENTS PURCHASE THE PARKS AND THEN FIXING THEM UP TO THE PLACE WHERE THE RESIDENTS WOULD LIKE THEM TO BE. THE ACTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL IS COURAGEOUS, BUT IT IS NOT ENOUGH. SO I'M HERE TODAY ON BEHALF OF SAINT CHARLES CHURCH TO ASK THE COUNTY TO HELP IN ANY WAY THEY CAN WITH OUR MOVEMENT OF CONVERTING THE TRAILER PARKS TO RESIDENT-OWNED LOW-INCOMING HOUSING COLLECTIVES. AS A FAITH GROUP, WE'RE CALLED TO PROTECT THE VULNERABLE IN OUR SOCIETY. OUR NEIGHBORHOOD IS FULL OF ALL DIFFERENT SORTS OF PEOPLE, REFUGEES, UNDOCUMENTED PEOPLE. IT HAS BEEN -- IT HAS BEEN A LANDING ZONE FOR PEOPLE WITHOUT ANYWHERE ELSE TO GO. THESE PEOPLE AND THEIR CHILDREN DESERVE THE STABILITY OF HOUSING. SECOND, WE ARE CALLED AS A FAITH COMMUNITY TO STAND AGAINST INJUSTICE. THE DISPLACEMENT CRISIS IN PORTLAND IS CREATING A SITUATION WHERE HOUSING IS RESERVED FOR PEOPLE ONLY WITH HIGH INCOMES. 2,000 YEARS LATER, WE BELIEVE IT IS TIME TO PUT AN END TO THE FACT THAT HOUSING SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR PEOPLE WITH MONEY. FOR 100 YEARS, SAINT CHARLES HAS BEEN HELPING TO PROVIDE SAFETY AND STABILITY TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. MASS DISPLACEMENT PLANS TO RIP THAT A PART. COMMUNITIES ARE BEING SEPARATED, FAMILIES ISOLATED AS SOME MOVE FURTHER EAST AND SOME MOVE TO VANCOUVER AND SOME CAN'T LIVE ANYWHERE AND THEY BECOME HOMELESS. IT THREATENS THE SAFETY AND STABILITY OF OUR COMMUNITY. IF THE COUNTY DOES NOT ACT, THE CRISIS WILL CONTINUE. WE CALL ON YOU TO BE SUPPORTIVE OF THE BOLDNESS AND THE CREATIVITY THAT IS

HAPPENING IN NORTHEAST PORTLAND WITH LOW-INCOME HOUSING. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

WE HAVE ONE MORE, MADAM CHAIR. CHARLES JOHNSON, PLEASE COME FORWARD. FORWARD.

GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS. FOR THE RECORD, MY NAME'S CHARLES JOHNSON AND WHAT -- MANY THINGS WE COULD TALK ABOUT. THE FACT THAT SOME OF THE FIRST TESTIFIERS SPOKE ABOUT PUBLIC RESOURCES BEING USED TO HAUL FOUR CITIZENS OUT OF CITY HALL, UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE CITY'S PORTLAND POLICE, THOSE PEOPLE BECOME A COUNTY EXPENSE ALSO BECAUSE THEY GO TWO BLOCKS AWAY TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY DETENTION CENTER, WHERE I BELIEVE GENERAL FUND REVENUES FOR THIS COUNTY HAVE TO BE EXPENDED ON BOOKING PEOPLE. A FEW MONTHS AGO, IT CAME UP THAT CHAIRWOMAN KAFOURY WAS ADVOCATING FOR BED REDUCTION BECAUSE WE COME TO REALIZE THAT THERE IS A PRISON INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX AND EXPENSES OF UNNECESSARY AND PREMATURE INCARCERATION ARE, IN SOME WAYS, UNDERMINING THE VERY QUALITY OF LIFE THERE. THEY'RE CONTRIBUTING TO THE WEALTH GAP. PEOPLE ARE GETTING STUCK BECAUSE OF TIME IN JAIL. THEY CAN ONLY GET THE LOWEST WAGE, LEAST CONSISTENT WORK SO I HOPE THAT CHAIRWOMAN KAFOURY AND THE REST OF YOU WILL EVEN REDOUBLE YOUR EFFORTS TO THINK MORE CONSTRUCTIVELY AND CREATIVELY ABOUT POLICING RESOURCES AND INCARCERATION RESOURCES REALLY A GOOD INVESTMENT? WHEN WE HAVE PEOPLE DOING PHYSICAL VIOLENCE TO EACH OTHER, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE WAY OF JUSTICE. BUT, IT'S SORT OF A BLACK HOLE FOR OUR PEOPLE, EXCEPT FOR THE IMMEDIATE FAMILY MEMBERS. WE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE IN COUNTY JAIL. LIKE LOS ANGELES, THERE ARE TENS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE IN THE JAIL SYSTEM. NOTHING GOOD IS HAPPENING FOR THEM SO I HOPE YOU'RE ON TRACK TO DO SOMETHING THERE. THE OTHER THING WE NEED TO BE VERY MINDFUL OF, WHEN THIS EXCELLENT PRESENTATION FROM [INDISCERNIBLE] COMING UP IS A PHRASE THAT YOU'VE BEEN UNCOMFORTABLE WITH, RENT CONTROL OR RENT STABILIZATION. IT'S ALSO BASIC JUSTICE BECAUSE REALLY WHAT'S BEEN HAPPENING IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR A LONG TIME IS THAT SPECULATIVE PROPERTY OWNERS HAVE BEEN GETTING RICH. SLUM LORDS HAVE BEEN BANKING HUGE AMOUNTS OF MONEY AND THEY'RE IN A POSITION TO MANIPULATE THE CONVERSATION THAT RENT CONTROL IS FOR LAZY PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE PROPER LIFE SKILLS. NO, RENT CONTROL DOES THE SAME THING AS THE CHILDREN'S LEVY, IT STABILIZES HOMES SO THAT CHILDREN CAN GO ON TO BE BETTER-PREPARED FOR PRODUCTIVE, WELL-ENGAGED LIVES. SO, PLEASE KEEP IN MIND WHETHER IT'S CALLED

RENT CONTROL OR NOT, YOU NEED TO THINK ABOUT HOW MUCH MONEY HAS BEEN TAKEN BY PREDATORY LANDLORDS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

**REGULAR AGENDA**

**R. 1 RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FAC-1 PROJECT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND AUTHORIZING DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS FOR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT HEADQUARTERS PROJECT (HDHQ). PRESENTERS: DOUG OBLETZ, SHIELS OBLETZ JOHNSEN; GENE SANDOVAL, ZGF ARCHITECTS; BRETT TAUTE, FACILITIES & PROPERTY MANAGEMENT (15 MIN)**

Chair Kafoury: MAY I HAVE A MOTION?

MOVED.

SECONDED.

\_\_\_ MOVED AND \_\_\_ SECONDS, APPROVAL OF R. 1.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING.

MR. TAUTE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. BRETT TAUTE, WITH DOUG OBLETZ OF SHIELS OBLETZ JOHNSEN. WE ARE PRESENTED THE HEALTH HEADQUARTERS PROJECT AND THE CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION EFFECTIVELY REQUESTING -- WITH THIS RESOLUTION, REQUESTING APPROVAL OF THE WORK THAT'S BEEN COMPLETED THROUGH THE SCHEMATIC AND REQUESTING APPROVAL TO MOVE INTO CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS AND IT'S ESTIMATED AT \$7. 7 MILLION. WITH THAT, WE HAVE THE PRESENTATION THAT WE SHARED WITH THE BOARD LAST TUESDAY. WE WILL BRIEFLY GO THROUGH THAT AND THEN CERTAINLY ARE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. WITH THE PROJECT, THE PROJECT GOALS REMAIN THE SAME AS THEY HAVE THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT, PROVIDING A SAFE, ACCESSIBLE, SUSTAINABLE FACILITY FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY, FOR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO CONTINUE TO DELIVER THE CRITICAL SERVICES IT DOES TO THE COMMUNITY. WE HAVE GOALS ON SUSTAINABILITY AND WORKFORCE INVOLVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION. PARDON ME. WE ARE CERTAINLY SUPPORTING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IN THEIR CONTINUED GOAL OF A HEALTHY COMMUNITY AND HEALTHY PEOPLE IN A HEALTHY COMMUNITY. SORRY, I'M HAVING A LITTLE BIT OF TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY HERE. I'LL GO FROM MY NOTES HERE. AS WELL, WE ARE ALSO CONTINUING OUR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT THAT WE HAVE THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF THIS PROJECT. WE CONTINUE TO WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS, PORTLAND HOUSING BUREAU, WHO CURRENTLY OWNS THE LAND. THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, WHO IS PROVIDING \$36.

4 MILLION IN TIFF FUNDING. AND OUR INVOLVEMENT WITH OUR NEIGHBORHOOD INVOLVEMENT COMMUNITY, AND OTHERS THAT WILL BE -- OUR IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORS, AS WELL AS COMMUNITY PARTNERS AND CONTINUE TO ENGAGE WITH THEM THROUGHOUT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS AND SHARE WITH THEM THE PROCESS. WE HELD A MEETING WITH THEM ON JUNE 24 AND SHARED THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE PROJECT WITH THEM, AT THAT TIME. THE DESIGN STATUS IS THAT WE ARE AT THE CONCLUSION OF DESIGN DEVELOPMENT AND WE HAVE ALSO SUBMITTED OUR DESIGN REVIEW APPLICATION WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND, WAITING FOR THEM TO ACCEPT THAT APPLICATION AND ASSIGN A HEARING DATE. WE'RE HOPING FOR THAT TO TAKE PLACE IN LATE AUGUST. THE PROGRAM FOR THE BUILDING, IT'S A NINE-STORY, 159,000 SQUARE FOOT FACILITY AND MEETING THE PROGRAMMING REQUIREMENTS AND THOSE IDENTIFIED TO MOVE INTO THOSE FROM LINCOLN. IT'S WELCOMING, IT HAS A GROUND FLOOR ACTIVATION. THROUGH OUR INTEGRATED DESIGN WITH THE EXTERIOR AND MECHANICAL SYSTEMS, WE'RE ABLE TO GAIN GREAT EFFICIENCIES AND WE HAVE A GREEN ROOF AND PUBLIC ART INTO THE FACILITY. WE ARE -- THE DESIGN IS AN EVOLUTION. AS YOU CAN SEE IN THIS IMAGE -- THERE ARE LATERALS IN THIS PRESENTATION THAT UNFORTUNATELY I CAN'T GET TO AT THIS POINT. SO, SORRY ABOUT THAT. BUT IT IS A POSITIONED ON THE -- THE PROJECT SITE IS ON THE EASTERLY SITE OF BLOCK U, WHICH IS ADJACENT TO THE GRAYHOUND BUS STATION, BUD CLARK COMMONS FACILITIES AND UNION STATION. THIS IS A SNAPSHOT OF THE PROJECT DESIGN AT THIS POINT. I'LL TURN IT OVER TO DOUG AND HE'LL SHARE THE PROJECT ESTIMATE.

MR. OBLETZ: GOOD MORNING. DOUG OBLETZ, WITH SHIELDS OBLETZ JOHNSEN. WE HAVE COMPLETED OUR 50% DESIGN DEVELOPMENT COST ESTIMATION. OUR GENERAL CONTRACTOR HAS GONE OUT IN THE MARKETPLACE AND WORKED ON BUDGET PRICES. WE'RE AT \$92 MILLION ALL-IN ESTIMATE FOR THE PROJECT, WHICH IS WITHIN THE RANGE OF \$85 MILLION TO \$95 MILLION THE BOARD APPROVED. IT INCLUDES \$12.1 MILLION IN CONTINGENCY IES CONTINGENCIES, WHICH IS 13.6%, WHICH WE FEEL IS A COMFORTABLE PLACE TO BE. THERE ARE SOME OUTSTANDING RISKS THAT ARE IN FRONT OF US THAT WE'RE IN THE PROCESS OF MITIGATING. CLEARLY, THE DESIGN REVIEW PROCESS IS ONE UNKNOWN AT THIS POINT, AS IS THE DATE FOR SUBMITTING THE BUILDING PERMIT AND RECEIVING THE BUILDING PERMIT TO START CONSTRUCTION. THE BIGGEST RISK OUT THERE RIGHT NOW IS ESCALATION IN THE MARKETPLACE. THERE IS A LOT OF ACTIVITY, AS YOU WELL KNOW BY THE NUMBER OF CRANES IN THE AIR IN PORTLAND AND THIS IS DRIVING UP CONSTRUCTION COST. IT IS RUNNING 1% TO 1.5% PER QUARTER. WE'RE WORKING CLOSELY WITH OUR TRADE PARTNERS TO LOCK IN COSTS AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE AND LOOKING FOR EARLY PROCUREMENT FOR ELEVATORS AND STEEL. AND OUR GENERAL CONTRACTOR'S BEEN VERY PROACTIVE. WE ALSO HAVE DISCUSSED WITH THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY, OUR DESIRE TO IDENTIFIED TO

PROCEED WITH AN EARLY CONSTRUCTION. WE'RE NOT READY TO COMMIT TO THAT YET BECAUSE OF SOME OF THE UNCERTAINTIES WITH THE DESIGN AND REVIEW PROCESS. WE'LL BE WORKING HARD TO IDENTIFY WHETHER THAT EARLY WORK PACKAGE CAN PROCEED AND IF SO, WE'LL BE BACK WITH YOU IN EARLY FALL. OUR MILESTONE SCHEDULE, THINGS ARE PROCEEDING IN PACE. WE ARE CURRENTLY PROJECTING COMING BACK IN EARLY 2017 FOR APPROVAL TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION. WE MAY BE BACK AS EARLY AS A OCTOBER FOR THE EARLY WORK PACKAGE. THAT'S WHERE WE STAND ON BUDGET AND SCHEDULE.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

MR. TAUTE: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

NOT A QUESTION, BUT JUST A COMMENT. I JUST WANT TO THANK AND PUBLICLY ACKNOWLEDGE OUR PROJECT TEAM FOR THEIR WORK ON THIS PROJECT AND THEY REALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO US, AS COMMISSIONERS. INFORMATION, THE INFORMATION WE NEED AND -- AND THE PROCESS THAT WE'VE USED AND -- SO, REALLY, REALLY APPRECIATE THAT. AND ALSO, JUST TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE DUE DILIGENCE THAT THEY HAVE AROUND THESE ISSUES, THE DESIGN REVIEW IS A QUESTION I HAVE ALL THE TIME. IT DOES HAVE A POTENTIAL FOR HOLDING US UP AND THEY ARE REALLY ON IT AND I'M VERY CONFIDENT THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD, AS WE SHOULD. AS WE WOULD LIKE TO. ANYWAY, I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK. AND, WE REALLY VERY MUCH APPRECIATE IT. OR, I APPRECIATE IT.

THIS IS EXCITING. THIS BOARD HAS BEEN REALLY AGGRESSIVE IN ITS BUILDING PROGRAM. WE CAME IN AT A TIME WHEN SOME OF THE CAPITOL ASSETS THE COUNTY MAINTAINS WERE IN HORRIBLE CONDITION AND I THINK THAT THE BUILDING THAT WE'RE REPLACING HERE WAS KIND OF EXHIBIT A. I DO ALSO HAVE A COMMENT ABOUT MITIGATING RISK AND THE ROLE OF GOVERNMENT AND POLICY AND HOW WE WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS ACROSS THE RIVER TO MAKE SURE THAT THE GOVERNMENT IN THIS COMMUNITY WORKS EFFECTIVELY AND EFFICIENTLY AND ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE -- OF THE PRESENTATIONS THAT IMMEDIATELY PROCEEDED YOU WITH AFFORDABLE HOUSING, ANOTHER ISSUE THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO THE CITY. DOUG, YOU'RE TERRIBLE AT RETIREMENT. [LAUGHTER] IT'S REALLY OBVIOUS TO ALL OF US. [LAUGHTER] BUT, AT AN ESCALATING COST RISK OF 1% TO 1.5% A QUARTER OF \$92 MILLION, CAN YOU HELP ME OUT? I MAJORED IN ENGLISH LITERATURE WITH A MINOR IN FRENCH SO IT'S HARD FOR ME TO GET THE DECIMALS RIGHT. THAT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE IT COULD BE \$92 MILLION PER QUARTER. IS THAT WHAT IT IS? IS 1% OF \$92 MILLION? TO SOME OF US LITTLE PEOPLE, THAT'S REAL MONEY

AND THAT'S REAL RISK TO THIS PROJECT, THAT IS WITHIN THE CONTROL OF OUR COLLEAGUES ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE RIVER. AND I'M -- I MEAN, I HAVE THE LUXURY OF HEARING THIS PRESENTATION AND I ALSO, I HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF JUGGLING ISSUES. AND WE JUST HEARD ONE OF THEM AND WE HAVE BEEN FOCUSED ON THE NEED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THAT WE COULD POSSIBLY -- OVER A THREE-MONTH DELAY IN DESIGN REVIEW -- BE WATCHING \$92,000 OF PUBLIC MONEY EVAPORATE. I MEAN, I'M ONLY POINTING THIS OUT -- FIRST, I'M GOING TO BE REALLY EMBARRASSED IF IT'S REALLY \$9, 000.

YOUR MATH IS FINE, BUT I WOULD MAKE ONE CORRECTION. THE ESCALATION DOESN'T APPLY ACROSS THE ENTIRE PROJECT BUDGET. IT APPLIES TO CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE HARD CONSTRUCTION COSTS, WHICH IS A PORTION OF THE \$92 MILLION, BUT NOT THE ENTIRE COST. I THINK EVEN WITHIN THAT, THE HARD CONSTRUCTION COST, THERE ARE CERTAIN ASPECTS. LET'S TAKE STEEL AND DRY WALL, TWO AREAS WHERE THERE ARE A RUN-UP IN PRICE. THOSE ARE A SMALL PERCENTAGE OF THE \$92 MILLION COST. THERE IS ESCALATION AND RISK THERE BUT IT DOES NOT APPLY TO THE ENTIRE \$92 MILLION. WE HAVE BEEN VERY, VERY CONSERVATIVE IN OUR APPLICATION OF CONTINGENCY. AGAIN, AS I MENTIONED, WE'RE CONFIDENT ABOUT THESE CONTINGENCIES. AND WE WOULDN'T USE THOSE FOR OTHER PURPOSES AT THIS TIME. WHEN YOU BUILD A PROJECT, THERE IS A TENDENCY TO SAY, LET'S USE CONTINGENCY MONEY TO ADD TO THE PROJECT. WE'RE NOT RECOMMENDING THAT, AT THIS POINT.

I APPRECIATE THAT. I DO APPRECIATE THAT YOU HAVE TAKEN THAT OFF THE TABLE, AS A POSSIBLE RESOLUTION OF THIS PARTICULAR RISK ISSUE, EVEN AT HALF OR A QUARTER, I THINK THAT THE POINT I'M TRYING TO MAKE IS THAT DELAYS IN DESIGN REVIEW CONSTITUTE REAL EXPENSES, NOT JUST TO THIS PROJECT, NOT JUST TO THIS PROJECT THAT I CARE ABOUT THAT IS DIRECTLY TAXPAYER-FUNDED, BUT TO OTHER PROJECTS THAT HAVE THE ABILITY, PERHAPS, TO PUT THOSE COSTS ON TOP OF WHAT THEY'RE ULTIMATELY GOING TO CHARGE THE CONSUMER AT THE END OF THE DAY. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT WE REMIND THE CITY, AT EVERY POSSIBLE TURNING POINT ALONG THIS ROAD, THAT WE'RE REALLY IN THIS TOGETHER. AND, THAT AT SOME POINT, PERHAPS THE MATH OF ALL OF THE PROJECTS THAT ARE IN THE QUEUE FOR A DESIGN REVIEW SUPPORTS THE CITY'S ABILITY TO BEEF UP THAT DEPARTMENT SO THAT THESE -- THESE QUEUES CAN MOVE MORE RAPIDLY THROUGH THE SYSTEM. I AGREE, THERE'S A LOT OF CRANES OUT THERE AND THERE'S A LOT OF RISK AND A LOT OF ENTREPRENEURS ARE TAKING. WE ARE THE GOVERNMENT, WE DON'T DO THAT. THERE'S A LOT OF CONSTRUCTION RISK OUT THERE. SO, I'M AVAILABLE TO GIVE THIS SPEECH TO PEOPLE WHO HAVE MORE ABILITY TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE BUT I HOPE THAT THE DESIGN REVIEW PROCESS GOES QUICKLY AND EFFICIENTLY.

UNDERSTOOD, THANK YOU.

I HAVE A QUESTION. WHEN WILL WE KNOW IF WE'RE GOING TO DO THOSE EARLY WORK PACKAGES?

SO, THE PROJECT DESIGN IS PROCEEDING ALONG THE PATH TOWARDS AN EARLY WORK PACKAGE. WE'RE DESIGNING THE PROJECT AS IF WE'RE GOING TO DO IT. THE REAL QUESTION IS, HOW IT PLAYS INTO THE OVERALL SCHEDULE. SO, IF DESIGN REVIEW GOES WELL, FOR INSTANCE, AND WE BELIEVE WE CAN GET THE PERMITS ISSUED ON A TIMELY BASIS, WE WILL COME BACK HERE TO THE BOARD IN EARLY FALL WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO PROCEED WITH THE FOUNDATION AND EXCAVATION. IT APPEARS TO BE OCTOBER.

THANK YOU.

WE'VE HAD A LOT OF HIGH-PROFILE PUBLIC HEALTH INSTANCES THIS YEAR AND THAT'S HIGHLIGHTED THE NEED FOR A CURRENT UP-TO-DATE FACILITY SO I'M EXCITED WE'RE GETTING CLOSER AND CLOSER. SO, THANKS, EVERYONE, FOR ALL THE HARD WORK YOU'VE PUT INTO THIS. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE FAC-1 IS ADOPTED.

YOU GET TO TAKE OFF YOUR TIE NOW, DOUG. [LAUGHTER]

Chair Kafoury: HE'S GOT HIS BICYCLE RIDING OUTFIT ON UNDERNEATH IT, I'M SURE.

**R. 2 BUDGET MODIFICATION NON-D-12-17: TRANSFERRING \$1. 7 MILLION FROM DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY MANAGEMENT TO THE GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY. SPONSOR: COMMISSIONER LORETTA SMITH, D-2. PRESENTER: JIMMY BROWN, CHIEF OF STAFF, D-2 (10 MIN)**

BUDGET MODIFICATION NON-D-12-17: TRANSFERRING \$1. 7 MILLION FROM DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY MANAGEMENT TO THE GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY. .

Commissioner McKeel: SO MOVED.

Vice-Chair Smith: SECOND.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVED, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R. 2.

Vice-Chair Smith: I WILL BEGIN -- THE ITEM WE HAVE BEFORE US IS ASKING THE BOARD TO APPROVE TAKING THE \$1. 7 MILLION, WHICH WAS

PREVIOUSLY CONNECTED TO THE NORTH PORTLAND AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, META CONTRACT, RETAINED BY THE COUNTY AND PLACING IT INTO CONTINGENCY. I'M BRINGING THIS BEFORE THE BOARD BECAUSE IT CONCERNS HOW MUCH WE NEED TO DELIBERATE OVER AND SUPPORT OPPORTUNITIES TO HOUSE SENIORS, VETERANS AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. AS WE CONSIDER THE WAYS WE CAN DO THAT, AT THE COUNTY, I WANT TO CONTINUE OUR EFFORTS TO SERVE EVERYONE IN THE COMMUNITY AND CONFRONT THE MOUNTING OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING DISPLACEMENT ISSUES IN OUR REGION. WE ALSO NEED TO FOCUS OUR ENERGY ON FINDING WAYS WE CAN HELP RESIDENTS STAY IN THEIR HOMES, WHETHER THEY'RE REGULAR HOMES OR NON-TRADITIONAL HOMES, SUCH AS MOBILE PARKS. THIS IS NOT A BUDGET MODIFICATION THAT HAS SPECIFICS. IT'S JUST SETTING THE MONEY ASIDE TO WORK ON SOME ISSUES LIKE THE FOLKS WHO WERE TALKING ABOUT CASA THIS MORNING.

Chair Kafoury: IS ANYBODY COMING UP TO TALK ABOUT THIS? ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

I JUST -- I MEAN, JUST FROM A BUDGET PERSPECTIVE, WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF THIS MOVE?

THE IMPACT OF THIS PARTICULAR MOVE, WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO LEVERAGE CITY DOLLARS WITH COUNTY DOLLARS TO ASSIST FOLKS WHO ARE AT RISK OF DISPLACEMENT IN NORTHEAST PORTLAND. AND MOBILE HOME PARKS, PARTICULARLY IN THE KILLINGSWORTH, 42ND AREA. THERE ARE VERY FEW OPPORTUNITIES FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. MANY OF THESE RESIDENTS, THEY'RE REQUIRED TO PAY \$460 IN TERMS OF SPACE RENT. MANY OF THOSE FOLKS DON'T PAY THAT. THIS IS THEIR ONLY WAY TO HAVE A SLICE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN NORTHEAST PORTLAND, PARTICULARLY IN INNER NORTHEAST PORTLAND. SO THE GOAL IS TO ASSIST CASA AND THE CULLY NEIGHBORHOOD TO PRODUCE SOMETHING THAT THEY CAN HAVE SOME LIVABLE UNITS IN A MOBILE HOME PARK.

THANKS, COMMISSIONER. THIS IS, KIND OF, TO ME, THE CRUX. THAT WAS REALLY FASCINATING, THE PRESENTATION WE HAD THIS MORNING AND I'M VERY INTERESTING IN THE DYNAMICS OF A MOBILE HOME PARK SALE. WE ALSO HAVE MOBILE HOME PARKS IN SOUTHEAST PORTLAND. IN DISTRICT 3, THERE ARE SOME. WE HAVE POOR PEOPLE OUT THERE, AS WELL. I HAVE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT THE APPRAISED VALUE IS OF --

Vice-Chair Smith: THIS IS NOT A PROJECT. THIS IS PUTTING THE MONEY INTO CONTINGENCY SO WE CAN GET THE SPECIFICS SO WE CAN COME IN FRONT OF THE BOARD AND YOU CAN ASK ALL THE QUESTIONS YOU NEED TO ASK. IT'S NOT FINISHED YET. ONE OF THE REASONS WE DIDN'T HAVE THE INFORMATION IS BECAUSE THE CITY OF PORTLAND HAD NOT PASSED THEIR EXCISE TAX ON CONSTRUCTION. WE HAVE TO HAVE MORE MEETINGS. IT

MAY BE LATE IN THE SUMMER, BUT WE WILL KEEP YOUR OFFICES ABREAST OF WHAT'S GOING ON. THIS IS JUST TO SET THE MONEY ASIDE FOR THOSE KINDS OF OPPORTUNITIES.

SO THAT'S THE REASON WE'RE DOING THIS NOW?

COMM. SMITH: YES, MA'AM.

IF WE DIDN'T DO THIS NOW, THE MONEY WOULD BE WHAT?

Vice-Chair Smith: IT WENT BACK TO GENERAL FUND. WE WERE EXPECTING TO SPEND THE \$1. 8 MILLION AND \$3. 2 MILLION ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROJECTS SO WE DIDN'T SPEND THAT MONEY LAST YEAR. SO, SINCE WE HADN'T SPENT THE MONEY, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WANTED TO DO IS FIGURE OUT HOW WE COULD ACTUALLY USE THIS TOWARD -- 125 PLACEMENTS? THERE'S, LIKE, 65 PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO BE DISPLACED. I'LL HAVE SOME SPECIFICS. THIS IS NOT A PARTICULAR PROJECT. IF WE DON'T DO ANYTHING, THE MONEY GOES BACK TO THE GENERAL FUND.

WELL, I GUESS THAT'S SORT OF THE CRUX FOR ME IS WHETHER THIS IS RELATED TO A PARTICULAR PROJECT OR WHETHER THIS IS NOT RELATED TO A PARTICULAR PROJECT. MY QUESTION IS, WHAT DIFFERENCE ARE WE MAKING, TODAY, BY MOVING?

Vice-Chair Smith: WE'RE SAYING THAT WE DON'T WANT TO USE THESE DOLLARS FOR OTHER PROJECTS. THEY'RE GOING TO BE SET ASIDE FOR THIS KIND OF OPPORTUNITY THAT THE RESIDENTS TALKED ABOUT THIS MORNING AND WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT IF WE COULD BE HELPFUL, THAT WE HAD SOME OF THOSE DOLLARS TO BE HELPFUL IN ADDITION TO THE DOLLARS FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND.

I GUESS -- AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT IN MY EXPERIENCE, WHEN THIS BOARD SPENDS \$1. 7 MILLION, USUALLY THE BOARD DISCUSSES IT AND WE VOTE.

Vice-Chair Smith: OH, WE WILL. THIS IS NOT TO DO THE PROJECT. THE PROJECT IS TO SET IT ASIDE SO WE CAN HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE ABLE TO USE THOSE RESOURCES AND IF THEY'RE NOT PUT INTO ANOTHER PROJECT -- I WILL UPDATE YOU AS SOON AS WE GET THE INFORMATION. I DON'T HAVE ANYMORE INFORMATION BECAUSE THERE IS NO MORE INFORMATION. THEY KIND OF -- WE DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO TALK TO THEM, BUT THEY TOLD YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT THEIR PLANS WERE. WE DON'T HAVE ANY SPECIFICS. THIS IS JUST MOVING IT INTO CONTINGENCY. IF THE BOARD WANTS TO USE THIS MONEY FOR SOMETHING ELSE, IF CAN

CERTAINLY BE TAKEN OUT OF CONTINGENCY AND USED FOR SOMETHING ELSE.

I GUESS I HAVE SOME TECHNICAL QUESTIONS FOR THE BUDGET OFFICE, THEN, ABOUT WHETHER -- WE'RE TAKING AN ACTION TODAY THAT MUST HAVE SOME CONSEQUENCE.

Vice-Chair Smith: WELL, THE CONSEQUENCE IS THAT WE DIDN'T USE THE MONEY LAST YEAR, AS EXPECTED. AND IN THE SAME FASHION, I WANT TO BE ABLE TO ACTUALLY HELP TO SUPPORT AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND THIS WILL PUT APPROXIMATELY 60 UNITS IN PLACE. IT IS APPLES TO APPLES.

COULD YOU GIVE US A LITTLE BIT MORE GUIDANCE ON THE ACTUAL ACTION THAT WE'RE TAKING TODAY?

GOOD MORNING, CHRISTIAN ELKIN, BUDGET OFFICE. IT WOULD MERELY BEING TRANSFERRING IT TO THE GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY. ONE THAT HAPPENS, IT WOULD REQUIRE THREE VOTES TO MOVE IT BACK OUT OF CONTINGENCY TO BE SPENT ON ANYTHING THAT THE BOARD WANTS TO SPEND IT ON. SO WHAT I DON'T HAVE SPECIFICS ON IS CURRENTLY THE MONEY IS PROGRAMMED IN DCM FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING, SO I THINK THE QUESTION THAT YOU MIGHT BE ASKING IS, WHAT IMPACT DOES THAT HAVE ON THE CURRENT PROGRAMMING FOR THE \$5 MILLION?

Vice-Chair Smith: IT WAS WROTE OVER, CHRISTIAN.

CORRECT

Vice-Chair Smith: WE DON'T HAVE ANY PROJECTS ASSIGNED TO THAT \$5 MILLION. WE WERE TOLD THERE WERE TWO PROJECTS WE WERE GOING TO PUT THAT \$ 5 MILLION AND IT NEVER HAPPENED. SO, THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO PUT SOME UNITS AND GIVE SOME PEOPLE OPPORTUNITIES NOT TO BE HOMELESS AND PUT OUT ON THE STREETS IN AN AFFORDABLE WAY. IF YOU WANT TO USE IT FOR SOMETHING ELSE, YOU CAN TAKE IT OUT FOR CONTINGENCY AND USE IT FOR WHAT YOU THINK YOU WANT TO USE IT FOR. WE'LL GIVE YOU MORE DETAILS IN THE COMING WEEKS.

I DON'T THINK ANYONE WANTS TO USE THE MONEY FOR ANYTHING OTHER THAN AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I THINK THE QUESTION IS, WHERE IT IS RIGHT NOW, IN DCM, IT COULD BE USED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING SO BY MOVING IT TO GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY, DOES THAT MAKE IT LESS CLEAR THAT IT GOES TOWARD AFFORDABLE HOUSING? MORE CLEAR? DOES IT MAKE MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE?

I THINK THE TECHNICALITY IS IT WOULD REQUIRE THREE VOTES TO MOVE IT BACK OUT. WHERE IT SITS IN DCM RIGHT NOW, THERE'S MORE FLEXIBILITY FOR IMMEDIATE PROGRAMMING, IF THAT WERE THE WISHES AND NEEDS OF THE BOARD. THAT \$5 MILLION SET ASIDE WAS ALWAYS MEANT TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND HAVE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HOW THAT MONEY WAS GOING TO BE SPENT. IT CREATES A TECHNICAL BARRIER WHERE THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH ANOTHER ACTION AND REAPPROPRIATE THE MONEY TO A DEPARTMENT TO THEN BE SPENT ON WHATEVER THE GOALS OF THE BOARD ARE.

THIS IS AN IMPORTANT DISCUSSION, I'M GLAD WE'RE HAVING IT.

COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK IS TALKING. PLEASE DON'T INTERRUPT.

COMM. SHIPRACK: I'M GOING TO GET THIS OUT, ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. MY -- SO, I THINK THAT ONE OF THE MAJOR PRODUCTS OF THIS LAST YEAR IS THE PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND THE CREATION BY MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PORTLAND OF A WORKING GROUP, AN OFFICIALLY SANCTIONED TO ADDRESS HOUSING AFFORDABILITY. SO I THINK THERE'S A DYNAMIC THAT OPERATES HERE, THAT WE DON'T TALK ABOUT A LOT. BUT IT'S A VERY POWERFUL DYNAMIC, SUPPOSED TO BE A DYNAMIC, THE PUBLIC COMES AND THEY ASK US FOR SPECIFIC THINGS THAT ARE RELATED TO SPECIFIC NEEDS THEY HAVE. GENERALLY, THEY ARE VERY ARTICULATE AND THEIR NEEDS ARE VERY CLEAR AND CAN BE MADE VERY CLEAR. THE CONCERN THAT I HAVE IS THAT THAT IS A CLARITY OF A SPECIFIC NEED WITHIN A COMMUNITY OF 750,000 PEOPLE WHO HAVE A VARIETY OF NEEDS AND AS WE KNOW, A LOT OF THEM CURRENTLY HAVE HOUSING NEEDS. WHICH IS WHY WE FORMED THIS STRUCTURE TO DEAL WITH HOUSING NEEDS. SO, I HAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT WHAT WE'RE DOING IS TAKING \$1.7 MILLION AWAY FROM THAT STRUCTURE AND PLACING IT HERE ON THE TABLE, WHERE WE NEED TO EXAMINE OUR PROCESS, WITH REGARD TO HOW THAT MONEY'S GOING TO BE DISTRIBUTED. SO IT'S EITHER GOING TO BE DISTRIBUTED ACCORDING TO THE PROCESS WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON A YEAR TO SET UP AND MARK [INDISCERNIBLE] IS GUIDING A GROUP OF CITIZENS AND EXPERTS AND KNOWLEDGE OF BOTH SUBSTANTIVE PEOPLE THROUGH A PRIORITIZATION PROCESS OF HOW DO WE DEAL WITH HOMELESSNESS OR DO WE PUT IT IN A POLITICAL WAY WHERE IT'S SUBJECT TO THE POLITICS OF THE BOARD AND THE POLITICS OF D-2, D-3. I HAVE CONSTITUENCY OF D-4. I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, KIND OF TO MY WAY OF SEEING IT, THIS DOES HAVE AN IMPACT. THIS DOES TAKE FUNDING OUT OF -- OUT OF THE STRUCTURE THAT WE CREATED AND WE PUT A LOT MORE THAN THIS INTO THIS STRUCTURE, AND IT PLACES IT INTO A MUCH MORE DISCRETIONARY, MUCH MORE, YOU KNOW, VISIBLE PROCESS AND A MUCH MORE POLITICAL PROCESS OF DISTRIBUTION. SORRY, IT SAYS NOT BUDGET OFFICE CONCLUSIONS I'M COMING TO. I BELIEVE THAT IS A PART OF WHAT WE ARE BEING ASKED TO DO.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I'D LOVE TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK MARK [INDISCERNIBLE] ABOUT WHY IT DIDN'T GET SPENT. IF IT DOESN'T GET SPENT, IT'S PUT BACK INTO THE GENERAL FUND. IT WASN'T SPENT AND REALLOCATED TO DCM AND I'D LOVE TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH MARISSA TO FIND OUT WHY WE DIDN'T PUT OUR DOLLARS INTO [INDISCERNIBLE] WE CAN HAVE THAT CONVERSATION IF YOU WANT TO. ALL I'M SAYING IS, I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE FOLKS, THAT THEIR NEEDS ARE ADDRESSED AND IF WE HAVE THE POSSIBILITY -- WE MAY NOT NEED TO USE \$1. 7 MILLION. BUT I HEAR THEM LOUD AND CLEAR. YES, I AM THE VOICE OF DISTRICT 2. WHEN MY CONSTITUENTS COME AND TALK TO ME, I'M GOING TO BRING IT FORWARD. WE CAN TAKE THE VOTE AND IF YOU FEEL LIKE IT'S NOT WHERE IT NEEDS TO BE -- WE'RE NOT VOTING ON A PARTICULAR PROJECT. WE'RE VOTING TO PUT IT IN TO CONTINGENCY.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR, IN THIS VOTE, THAT WE ARE NOT VOTING ON A PARTICULAR PROJECT AND I DON'T WANT TO GET ANYBODY IN THE PUBLIC'S HOPES UP THAT BY PUTTING THIS MONEY INTO A DIFFERENT POT, THAT IT MEANS ANYTHING. I DON'T KNOW WHY -- I THINK WE'RE PUTTING MORE RESTRICTIONS ON THESE DOLLARS BECAUSE NOW WE'VE LEARNED THAT BY MOVING IT -- TO MOVE IT OUT OF CONTINGENCY, IT WILL TAKE A VOTE OF THREE.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I TOTALLY AGREE WITH YOU. I'M NOT PROMISING ANYTHING. WE'RE GOING TO DISPLACE A GROUP OF FOLKS WHO ARE PAYING \$460

Chair Kafoury: THAT'S NOT WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NOW.

Vice-Chair Smith: WE WANT TO MOVE IT TO CONTINGENCY. IF IT DOESN'T COME TO FRUITION, WE PUT THE MONEY BACK OVER TO DCM. THERE ARE SOME SPECIFIC NEEDS THAT I THINK ALL OF YOU HAVE BEEN BRIEFS ON FOR THE LAST FOUR WEEKS. THIS HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE LAST TIME WE TALKED TO YOU ABOUT THIS. IF AND WHEN -- IT WILL BE A WHEN -- WE COME BACK TO YOU, WE WILL SEND IT TO THE CHIEF'S MEETINGS, WE WILL WALK THE FLOORS AND GIVE YOU AN UPDATE. I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE MONEY TO DO IT WITH IF WE DECIDE TO GO FURTHER. I AGREE WITH YOU CHAIR, THIS IS NOT PUTTING IT FOR A PARTICULAR PROJECT, IT IS JUST PUTTING IT INTO CONTINGENCY.

Chair Kafoury: I DON'T THINK THIS VOTE IS DOING -- MAKING IT SAFER TO GO TO A DIFFERENT PROJECT OR NOT. WHERE IT IS DEDICATED TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN DCM, IT'S EQUALLY ACCESSIBLE TO US, AS A BOARD. SO I'M NOT SURE WHY WE'RE DOING THIS. I THINK IT'S A NONSENSICAL VOTE, TO BE HONEST. I DON'T THINK IT IS MAKING ANY DIFFERENCE EXCEPT MAKING IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR US TO TAKE IT OUT

BECAUSE WE HAVE TO HAVE A THREE-PERSON VOTE AT THE END. I ALSO WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IT'S GOING TO GO FOR.

Vice-Chair Smith: [INDISCERNIBLE]

Chair Kafoury: PLEASE DON'T INTERRUPT ME. I DON'T INTERRUPT YOU WHEN YOU'RE TALKING

Vice-Chair Smith: SOMETIMES YOU DO.

Chair Kafoury: I WILL VOTE YES, DUE TO COURTESY OF MY COLLEAGUE BUT I THINK IT'S NOT A NECESSARY VOTE.

Vice-Chair Smith: I STILL HAVE A CONVERSATION TO ADD TO THIS, BEFORE YOU TAKE THE VOTE. THIS IS NOT ANYTHING DIFFERENT FROM WHAT YOU DID TO PUT THE MONEY OVER FOR THE SHELTER SYSTEM. NOW THERE CAN'T BE A DOUBLE STANDARD FOR YOU, ME, DIANE, AND JUDY. IF YOU WANT FAIRNESS, YOU HAVE TO BE FAIR.

Chair Kafoury: AGAIN, I THINK THIS IS NOT GETTING AT FAIRNESS. IT'S NOT A VOTE WE'VE TAKEN BEFORE.

Vice-Chair Smith: IT'S TOTALLY A VOTE WE'VE TAKEN BEFORE.

COMM. SHIPRACK: I WANT TO EXPLAIN MY NO VOTE. I'D BE HAPPY TO RECONSIDER THIS AT SOME POINT BUT I'M NOT WILLING TO MAKE A VOTE BASED ON THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY WE'VE HEARD TODAY AND I UNDERSTAND THIS MONEY WILL STAY PERKED UNTIL WE GET FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC PROJECT OR INDEED, WHAT THE GENERAL CONDITION OF MANUFACTURED HOME -- HOME PARKS ARE THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

Vice-Chair Smith: SO, MADAM CHAIR, LET ME GIVE YOU A HISTORY LESSON NOW. WE TOOK A VOTE TO PUT \$5. 6 MILLION INTO A POT TO SERVE SHELTERS. WE DID NOT KNOW WHERE THOSE DOLLARS SPECIFICALLY WERE GOING TO GO AND THEN WE TOOK A SECOND VOTE TO ACTUALLY PUT MONEY INTO A TOPLESS BAR IN EAST COUNTY. THIS IS NOTHING DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WE DID EARLIER. SO I'M NOT SURE WHY THERE'S THIS BIG -- TO DO ABOUT THIS -- AGAIN, YOU GUYS, I'M GOING TO BRIEF YOU ABOUT THIS AS SOON AS I KNOW. I'M GOING TO TELL YOU, I'M NOT GOING TO HANG ON TO THE INFORMATION. I URGE YOU TO SUPPORT THIS. DISTRICT 2 HAS NOT HAD ANY DOLLARS OR DEVELOPMENT DOLLARS AS IT RELATES TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING. YOU ALL HAD A WHOLE YEAR FOR THAT \$5 MILLION. YOU SAID IT WOULD GOING TO GO TOWARD AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I WOULD LOVE TO FIND OUT WHY WE DIDN'T SPEND IT. AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, THIS IS LEFTOVER MONEY. SO, LET'S TAKE THE VOTE.

Chair Kafoury: I APPRECIATE THAT QUOTE/UNQUOTE HISTORY LESSON, BUT I'M GOING TO VOTE NO.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. ALL THOSE AGAINST? THE MEASURE DOES NOT PASS. R. 3, LINDA? .

**R. 3 AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF TORT CLAIM FOR ASHLEY IRWIN. PRESENTER: SUSAN M. DUNAWAY, SENIOR ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY (5 MIN)**

Chair Kafoury: APPROVAL OF R. 3. GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SEEKING APPROVAL OF A SETTLEMENT FOR A TORT CLAIM BROUGHT TO US BY ASHLEY IRWIN. WE SPOKE WITH YOU ABOUT THIS ONE BEFORE. THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT IS \$35,000.

Chair Kafoury: I WANT TO BE CLEAR, THIS IS NOT THE ONE WE VOTED ON LAST WEEK?

NO, IT'S JUST A COINCIDENCE.

Chair Kafoury: ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE SETTLEMENT IS ADOPTED. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

**BOARD COMMENT –AM**

BC. 1 OPPORTUNITY AS TIME ALLOWS, FOR THE COMMISSIONERS TO PROVIDE COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS.

Chair Kafoury: NOW WE'VE COME TO NON-AGENDA ITEMS?

Commissioner McKeel: OREGON STATE PARKS IS HAVING A NUMBER OF EVENTS OUT IN THE GORGE THIS SUMMER AND THERE'S LET'S GO CAMPING. THEY'RE GOING TO TALK TO PEOPLE ABOUT GOING CAMPING, WHAT YOU TAKE WHEN YOU GO CAMPING, WHAT IT MEANS WHEN YOU'RE OUT THERE. THEY ALSO HAVE KAYAK LESSONS THAT ROOSTER ROCK STATE PARK. I THINK IT'S FOR 8 AND OLDER. SO, IT'S FOR CHILDREN, AS WELL AS ADULTS. THERE'S SONG CIRCLE. YOU CAN JOIN IN FOR A SONG CIRCLE AT VISTA HOUSE. AND ALSO, THERE'S CLASSICAL PIANO CONCERT UP AT VISTA HOUSE, AT CROWN POINT. SO ALL OF THESE EVENTS -- AND THERE'S MORE - - ALL OF THEM ARE ON THE WEBSITE. GO TO OREGON STATE PARKS AND LOOK UP THE EVENTS. MOST OF THEM ARE FREE, I BELIEVE AND SO SOME FUN, SUMMER ACTIVITIES

Chair Kafoury: CAN YOU TAKE THE GORGE SPECIAL COMMUTER?

Commissioner McKeel: YES.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? I WANT TO REMIND FOLKS THAT THERE IS A COMMUNITY MEETING TOGETHER AT 6:30 AT THE HANSON BUILDING OUT ON 122ND AND GLEASON, SO PLEASE COME IF YOU'RE INTERESTING IN LEARNING MORE ABOUT THAT. SEEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE ARE ADJOURNED

**ADJOURNMENT – 10:50 AM**

[CAPTIONS PROVIDED BY LNS CAPTIONING AND MAY INCLUDE INACCURATE WORDS OR PHRASES DUE TO SOUND QUALITY, OTHER TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND/OR SOFTWARE ERRORS.]

For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at: [http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=3](http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3)

Submitted by:

Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and  
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk  
Board of County Commissioners  
Multnomah County, Oregon