

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON  
ORDINANCE NO. 887

An Ordinance adopting the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan, a portion of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan.

Multnomah County Ordains as follows:

Section I. Findings

(A) In October, 1995, the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners accepted the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan Scoping Report, prepared in July 1995 by Cogan Owens Cogan, which listed issues Multnomah County would address in the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan.

(B) The Chair of the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners subsequently appointed a Citizens' Advisory Committee of sixteen members to conduct public meetings and assist in the preparation of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan.

(C) The Citizens' Advisory Committee held monthly meetings from January, 1996 through January, 1997, and formulated draft policies and principles to be included within the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan.

(D) These draft principles and policies were presented at a public open house in March, 1997 within the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Community.

(E) The Multnomah County Planning Commission held a public hearing on the draft Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan on April 21, 1997. On June 2, 1997, the Planning Commission completed revisions to the Sauvie

1 Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan document and recommended its  
2 adoption by the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners.

3

4 (F) On May 20, 1997, the draft Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan  
5 was sent to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development for a  
6 45-day review period.

7

8 (G) On July 3, 1997, the Multnomah County Division of Transportation and Land  
9 Use Planning mailed notice of a public hearing on the Sauvie Island/Multnomah  
10 Channel Rural Area Plan to all property owners and other interested parties.

11

12 (H) On July 16, 1997, the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners conducted a  
13 public hearing on the first reading of Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area  
14 Plan.

15

16 (I) On August 7, 1997, the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners considered  
17 the second reading of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan.

18

19 (J) On August 28, 1997, the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners  
20 considered the third reading of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel rural area plan  
21 and continued the third reading to October 23, 1997.

22

23 (K) On October 23, 1997, the Multnomah County Board of Commissioners  
24 considered the continued third reading of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel  
25 rural area plan.

26

27 Section II. Amendment of Comprehensive Framework Plan

28

29 The Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan is hereby amended  
30 to include the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan, attached hereto as  
31 Exhibit "A", with the following amendments:

1 Page 7, second paragraph under **Background**, *Metro Regional Framework Plan*

2

3 Metro is in the process of preparing a ~~50-year~~ growth management plan for the  
4 Portland metropolitan area, entitled the 2040 Regional Framework Plan. The  
5 Regional Framework Plan will include a component that addresses protection of  
6 natural areas, parks, and streams. ~~As part of this 2040 plan, Metro has adopted a~~  
7 ~~Greenspaces Plan. This plan would preserve significant natural areas in and around~~  
8 ~~the Portland Metropolitan area, and develop a regional trail system among and~~  
9 ~~between them. Land along Multnomah Channel is designated as a significant natural~~  
10 ~~area, and purchase of additional open space lands in the vicinity of the existing~~  
11 ~~Burlington Bottoms property owned by the Bonneville Power Administration is~~  
12 ~~identified on the adopted map as a specific project which would implement the~~  
13 ~~Greenspaces Plan. The adopted map also shows a future regional trail along~~  
14 ~~Multnomah Channel from the Portland City Limits north to Burlington Bottoms,~~  
15 ~~then turning westward to head up the Tualatin Hills along the Burlington Northern~~  
16 ~~Cornelius Pass railroad alignment. In 1995, Metro received approval from Portland~~  
17 ~~area voters in the region for a bond issue to purchase and develop~~ protect regionally  
18 significant greenspaces and regional trails rail and greenway corridors. Following  
19 bond approval, an acquisition plan for the Multnomah Channel area was approved  
20 by Metro Council (June 1996) which identifies land acquisition priorities in the  
21 vicinity of the Burlington Bottoms wetlands. The bond measure also approved  
22 purchase of a railway corridor from near Multnomah Channel at the Portland city  
23 limits and over the Tualatin Hills along the Burlington Northern Cornelius Pass  
24 railroad alignment. That project is contingent upon the railroad company  
25 abandoning the railway section which has not yet occurred.

26

27 Page 11, Policy 10

28 POLICY 10: For the purposes of establishing a procedure for which Multnomah  
29 County will determine the status of existing moorage/marina use, the Multnomah  
30 County Comprehensive Framework Plan Policy 26 should be amended and rewritten  
31 so that moorages and marinas will only be permitted in to include the following:

- 1
- 2       ~~1. The area where houseboats are currently permitted by Policy 26, and;~~
- 3       ~~2. The existing Happy Rock, Sauvie Island, Parker, and Mayfair moorage~~
- 4       ~~sites.~~
- 5   1. That moorages and marinas will only be permitted within the boundaries
- 6   identified by Policy 26.
- 7
- 8   2. That the area occupied by Happy Rock Moorage, Sauvie Island Moorage, Parker
- 9   Moorage, and Mayfair Moorage be included within the area where houseboats
- 10   are currently permitted under Policy 26.
- 11
- 12   3. That the moorages within policy 26 and the existing Happy Rock, Sauvie Island,
- 13   Parker and Mayfair moorage sites are to be treated as permitted (permitting
- 14   continuation of the use and level of intensity in existence as of the Multnomah
- 15   County Moorage Report Listing of Floathouses and Watercraft as of July 1, 1997
- 16   produced by the Department of Assessment and Taxation and reconciled through
- 17   supplemental information provided by the moorage owner) if:
- 18       1) proof that permits, where applicable, from the Division of State Lands,
- 19       Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Environmental Quality, the
- 20       State Health Department and the appropriate fire authority were obtained
- 21       prior to July 1, 1997. Proof that permits from the Public Utility
- 22       Commission, the Oregon Department of Transportation, County Right of
- 23       Way, where applicable, have been applied for prior to December 1, 1997.
- 24       Those permits that were not issued prior to July 1, 1997 shall be
- 25       approved by either the Public Utility Commission, the Oregon
- 26       Department of Transportation or the County Right of Way prior to the
- 27       County issuance of a Willamette River Greenway Permit under this
- 28       policy. All permits must have been issued for the same density and the
- 29       same use requested in the Policy #10 process. If there are discrepancies
- 30       in the Army Corps of Engineers or Division of State Land Permits
- 31       between what the permit was issued for and the density/use the moorage

1        owner is having reviewed by the County as part of this process, the  
2        moorage owner shall provide current documentation from the agency that  
3        the proposed use/density is still consistent with the agency's  
4        requirements. The proof must then be given to the County Division of  
5        Transportation and Land Use Planning for review; and

6        2) Multnomah County approves a Willamette River Greenway permit for  
7        the moorage.

8  
9    4. That an inventory of each moorage identified in Section 3 above is to be  
10    undertaken within 120 days of the effective date of the adoption of the Sauvie  
11    Island Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan amending Policy 26. This inventory  
12    may be performed by the County, or prepared by each moorage and verified by  
13    the County. Through this inventory, the County will 1) determine the level of  
14    existing development to be considered as a permitted use (number of existing  
15    dwelling units as determined using the Multnomah County Moorage Report  
16    Listing of Floathouses and Watercraft as of July 1, 1997 and reconciled through  
17    supplemental information provided by the moorage owner); and 2) receive proof  
18    that the non-County permits have been obtained. Once a conclusion has been  
19    reached on the number of units/structures through a reconciliation process  
20    between the Transportation and Land Use Planning Division and the moorage  
21    owner, the moorage owner may then apply for a Willamette River Greenway  
22    Permit. If these three things are done and verified by the County, and the  
23    Willamette River Greenway permit is approved by Multnomah County, then the  
24    permitted use would be accepted.

25  
26    5. That if any moorage is subsequently in violation of any non-County permit, of  
27    County zoning codes enacted after the effective date of amended Policy 26 and  
28    implementing measures, then that moorage must meet all applicable zoning  
29    codes in effect at that time, which would include the provisions of the Special  
30    Planning Area once the zoning code revisions have been made and are in effect.

- 1 6. That if those moorages that are deemed permitted subsequently seek a  
 2 modification or alteration of their inventoried use, they must meet all applicable  
 3 zoning codes in effect at that time. Alteration or modification does not include a  
 4 reduction in the number of structures/dwellings within a moorage.  
 5
- 6 7. All moorages applying for a Willamette River Greenway Permit required  
 7 pursuant to Policy #10, shall be exempted from the Design Review Process and  
 8 criteria.  
 9
- 10 8. That this action does not set a precedent for acceptance of any unauthorized land  
 11 use in the jurisdiction of Multnomah County. That this action by the Board is  
 12 done in the context of the adoption and speedy, practical implementation of the  
 13 Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan.  
 14

15 Page 12, Policy 13  
 16

17 POLICY 13: Multnomah County should adopt procedures ~~requiring each to allow~~  
 18 existing moorage/marina to become a 'special plan area' under MCC 11.15.6600 at  
 19 the initiation of the ~~County property owner~~, to determine uses and densities allowed  
 20 for each moorage on the channel. The special plan area designation would be  
 21 ~~required at the time of citation for a zoning violation from Multnomah County, or~~  
 22 allowed when the property owner requests an expansion or alteration, or for any new  
marina/moorage developments. The provisions of the existing Conditional Use  
criteria would still be in place in addition to the new special planning area procedure.

23 Discussion: Each moorage/marina shall be allowed to enter into a special  
 24 plan area (an existing part of the Multnomah County Zoning Code, which  
 25 needs some minor amendments to fit the Multnomah Channel situation)  
 26 procedure with Multnomah County to adopt essentially a master plan or  
 27 comprehensive plan for each moorage-marina. As an attempt to take all  
 28 issues into consideration, special plans can determine by looking at each  
 29 existing or proposed moorage/marina on a case by case basis regarding the  
 30 density, service levels and legal status of the property. At the County's  
 31 initiation (no application fees), each individual marina/moorage could  
 receive a special plan area designation which would be an overlay  
 designation for each moorage and marina on the channel. The special plan  
area will help reduce duplication of efforts by considering the Willamette  
River Greenway concepts concurrently with other code criteria.

1 Page 12, Policy 14:

2 POLICY 14: The overall density for each existing moorage/marina that chooses to  
3 go through the special planning area process shall not exceed the existing levels as  
4 measured by factors such as area and length of docks and number of slips (existing  
5 as of in January 1, 1997 the Multnomah County Moorage Report Listing of  
6 Floathouses and Watercraft as of July 1, 1997 and reconciled through supplemental  
7 information provided by the moorage owner). The actual number of slips for each  
8 moorage/marina shall be determined at the time a special plan area is approved for  
9 the moorage/marina. The specific plan will look at such things as 'legally existing'  
10 issues, non-conforming status and carrying capacity of the land to determine the  
11 number of dwellings and other uses allowed in each marina/moorage.

12 Page 13, Policy 15 Criteria, **Water Environmental**, fourth criterion

13

14 Fish and Wildlife - Development which contributes to or does not have a significant  
15 detrimental impact to the fish and wildlife in the water.

16

17 Page 13, Policy 15 Criteria, **Land Environmental**, first criterion

18

19 Development in Wetland - Development which does not impact wetlands and the  
20 fish, wildlife, and other organisms dependent on the wetland habitat.

21

22 Page 14, Policy 15 Criteria, **Recreation**, new criterion added

23

24 Protect Public's Right to Access and Utilize Public Waterway - Development which  
25 promotes and does not infringe on public's ability to access the public waterway  
26 (Multnomah Channel) for recreational purposes.

27

28 Page 15, Policy 17

29

30 POLICY 17a: Multnomah County should promote responsible recreational uses in  
31 the channel by allowing public access or boat launches to occur as part of any  
32 redevelopment or development of public recreation facilities.

33

1 STRATEGY: Multnomah County shall implement this policy as part  
2 of the Special Plan Area process and the community service review  
3 process for public park development.  
4

5 Page 16, HOWELL PARK  
6

7 Howell Park, located on the east side of Sauvie Island Road north of the Sauvie  
8 Island bridge, consists of approximately ~~110~~ 93 acres. The Metro Council adopted a  
9 park master plan in April, 1997. ....  
10

11 Page 17, Policy 19  
12

13 POLICY 19: Encourage Metro to purchase additional greenspace lands on the west  
14 side of Multnomah Channel in order to expand and enhance the Burlington Bottoms  
15 wildlife area and allow for appropriate recreational uses.  
16

17 Page 17, Policy 20  
18

19 POLICY 20: Promote recreational activities within the rural plan area which are  
20 complementary to natural and environmental resources identified pursuant to Goal 5  
21 of the Statewide Planning Program and regionally significant natural areas adopted  
22 in the Metro Greenspaces Master Plan and lands approved in Metro's Acquisition  
23 Refinement Plan.  
24

25 Page 23, New Policy 27a.  
26

27 Policy 27a: Multnomah County shall adopt revisions to its zoning ordinance to  
28 specifically address cellular telephone tower facilities on Sauvie Island and in other  
29 rural unincorporated areas.  
30

31 Strategy: Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the work program



1 of the Division of Transportation and Land Use Planning.

2

3 Page 30, Policy 33

4

5 POLICY 33: Encourage property owners to control vegetation along Sauvie Island  
6 levees through methods that are least environmentally damaging as determined by  
7 the Sauvie Island Drainage District in coordination with the Oregon Department of  
8 Fish and Wildlife.

9

10 Page 30, Policy 38

11

12 POLICY 38: Take measures to protect Sauvie Island levees from bank erosion in a  
13 manner which protects fish and wildlife habitat and passage.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

1 Page 31, Strategy related to Policy 39

2

3 **STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by requesting the  
4 Division of State Lands, the State Marine Board, and the Army Corps of Engineers  
5 participate in preparing a joint program to remove hazardous debris from  
6 Multnomah Channel. Hazardous debris does not include smaller woody debris from  
7 downed trees and shrubs which is beneficial to fish and wildlife.

8

9 ADOPTED this 30th day of October, 1997, being the date of its fourth  
10 reading before the Board of County Commissioners of Multnomah County.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28


29

30

31




BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON

  
FOR Beverly Stein, Chair

REVIEWED:

THOMAS SPONSLER, COUNTY COUNSEL  
FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON

By   
Sandra N. Duffy, Chief Assistant Counsel



DIVISION OF TRANSPORTATION & LAND USE PLANNING

---

# **SAUVIE ISLAND/MULTNOMAH CHANNEL RURAL AREA PLAN**

**PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION**

**June 2, 1997**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION.....	2
OVERVIEW .....	3
SAUVIE ISLAND LAND USE .....	3
MULTNOMAH CHANNEL LAND AND WATER USE .....	7
RECREATION .....	15
TRANSPORTATION.....	17
PUBLIC FACILITIES .....	21
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY .....	23
NATURAL HAZARDS .....	27
NATURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES .....	31
SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDED POLICIES.....	37

### MAPS

SAUVIE ISLAND/MULTNOMAH CHANNEL RURAL AREA.....	Following Page 2
SAUVIE ISLAND ZONING DISTRICTS .....	Following Page 3
MULTNOMAH CHANNEL NORTHERN PORTION.....	Following Page 7
MULTNOMAH CHANNEL SOUTHERN PORTION.....	Following Page 8
SAUVIE ISLAND/MULTNOMAH CHANNEL PUBLIC LANDS.....	Following Page 14
SAUVIE ISLAND/MULTNOMAH CHANNEL ROADS & BIKEWAYS .....	Following Page 17
SAUVIE ISLAND/MULTNOMAH CHANNEL 100-YEAR FLOOD AREAS .....	Following Page 27

**COLUMBIA  
COUNTY**

**COLUMBIA RIVER**

**LUCY REEDER RD.**

**OAK ISLAND RD.**

**REEDER RD.**

**HIGHWAY 30**

**MULTNOMAH CHANNEL**

**CORNELIUS PASS RD.**

**SAUVIE ISLAND RD.**

**GILLIHAN LOOP RD.**

**WILLAMETTE RIVER**



— PLAN  
BOUNDARY

**SAUVIE ISLAND/  
MULTNOMAH CHANNEL  
RURAL AREA**



**COLUMBIA  
COUNTY**

Sturgeon  
Lake

COLUMBIA RIVER

Reeder Rd.

Sauvie Island Rd.

Oak Island Rd.

Highway 30

MULTNOMAH CHANNEL

Gillman Loop Rd

WILLAMETTE RIVER

**SAUVIE ISLAND/  
MULTNOMAH CHANNEL  
RURAL AREA PLAN**

**SAUVIE ISLAND ZONING DISTRICTS**

-  PROPERTY LINES
-  ROADS
-  WATER AREAS
-  MULTIPLE USE AGRICULTURE  
ZONED AREAS
-  EXCLUSIVE FARM USE  
ZONED AREAS

# INTRODUCTION

This document contains the Rural Area Plan for the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area. It is part of the overall Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan, and when adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, will constitute an official element of the plan.

This plan is a guide to decision making with regard to land use, capital improvements, and physical development (or lack thereof) of the community. It will be used by the County, other governmental agencies, developers and residents of the area.

This plan represents a commitment on the part of Multnomah County to see that the plan elements are carried out and implemented to the best of the County's financial and enforcement capabilities. It also represents a commitment on the part of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area community to support the accomplishment of the identified policies contained within this plan.

The elements of this plan reflect future trends and policies for the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area during the next 15 to 20 years. The plan can be changed only if it goes through the process of an official plan amendment.

The Rural Area Planning Program was initiated in 1993 by Multnomah County. With the annexation of urban unincorporated communities and the increasing land use issues faced in the rural areas of Multnomah County, the Board of Commissioners directed the creation of five rural area plans in order to address land use issues faced by these areas. The first rural area plan to be completed was the West Hills Rural Area Plan. The second rural area plan for the area East of Sandy River will soon be completed as well.

This plan is the third of the rural area plans to be completed. Work began on the Plan in April, 1995 with the initiation of a scoping process. This process included interviews with other governmental agencies, solicitation of written comment, and a public forum held at the Sauvie Island School in order to gain input on major issues facing the community. A Scoping Report summarizing this material was presented to the Multnomah County Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners in August, 1995.

After adoption of the Scoping Report, which identified major issues to be addressed in the plan, the Multnomah County Chair appointed the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan Citizen's Advisory Committee, consisting of fifteen members plus one Planning Commission ex-officio member, to work with Planning Division staff on preparation of this document. The Committee held monthly meetings between January 1996 and January 1997 to review all elements included within this document. The Committee's role was to review and comment upon materials prepared by Planning Division staff, make policy recommendations to the Multnomah County Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners, and provide a forum for additional public involvement in the preparation of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area Plan. In March 1997 Multnomah County hosted a public forum in order to present recommendations which came from the Citizen's Advisory Committee meetings, attended by over 100 people.

This document is organized by subject, with relevant policies and strategies grouped with a discussion of the subject. Almost every policy is followed by a strategy which indicates how Multnomah County will implement the relevant policy. Maps are also interspersed throughout the document, and are noted in the Table of Contents.

## **OVERVIEW**

The Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area includes those portions of Sauvie Island and the Multnomah Channel within Multnomah County. The Plan Area is bounded by U.S. Highway 30 on the west, Columbia County on the north, the Columbia River on the east, and the Willamette River and the city of Portland on the south. The area is dominated by agricultural uses and a wildlife refuge, with various water-related uses on and along Multnomah Channel, ranging from protected wetlands to marinas.

The rural area encompasses approximately 15,400 acres of land and several thousand additional acres of water. Approximately 11,800 of these acres are designated in the Comprehensive Framework Plan as Exclusive Farm Use, with the remainder designated as Multiple Use Agriculture. A population of about 1,300 is housed in approximately 650 dwelling units, 200 of which are houseboats or sailboats used as permanent residences.

The Plan Area lies to the north and west of the Portland Metropolitan Area's Urban Growth Boundary, with a direct common boundary only along the west side of Multnomah Channel where it bounds the City of Portland. Sauvie Island and Multnomah Channel provide a mixture of agricultural uses (due to the fine soils on the island protected by the levees of the Sauvie Island Drainage District), recreational uses (due to proximity to the Portland Metropolitan Area), and natural protected areas (primarily wetlands and water areas) which provide excellent wildlife habitat. This combination is unique to both Oregon and the entire nation. The island and channel area have been protected from creeping urbanization and unwanted regional urban-serving facilities by the vigilance of its residents and recreational users and the Oregon State and Multnomah County land use laws.

## **SAUVIE ISLAND LAND USE**

### *EXCLUSIVE FARM USE ZONING DISTRICT*

The Exclusive Farm Use Zoning District protects farm lands in Multnomah County, pursuant to Statewide Planning Program Goal 3 related to Farm Lands. This zoning district is applied to lands with primarily Class I-IV soils (US Dept. of Agriculture ratings which indicate that the soil is suitable for agricultural purposes). The Exclusive Farm Use district applies to lands capable of commercial agricultural production, though not necessarily currently farmed.

In 1993, the Legislature enacted changes to the Exclusive Farm Use district, which were subsequently codified in 1994 by the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission into Oregon Administrative Rules. These changes included a new provision for "high value farmlands," consisting of lands with Class I and II soils (the highest rated) and certain Class III



and IV soils in the Willamette River Valley. Within such high value farmlands, the new rules require a minimum farm income requirement of \$80,000 per year in order to justify a new dwelling. Additionally, provisions for exceptions which would allow some dwellings in Exclusive Farm Use areas do not apply to "high value farmlands."

The \$80,000 gross income requirement is intended to ensure that new farm dwellings on high-value farmlands are occupied by full-time farmers, not part-time or "hobby" farmers. This policy direction from the State of Oregon is, in many minds, not appropriate for Sauvie Island. Sauvie Island has many large farm parcels which could be divided into smaller farm parcels where the \$80,000 gross income requirement could be met by the production of high value, high impact crops such as berries. Given the fact that Sauvie Island is within commuting distance to the Portland Metropolitan Area, there is a high market demand for rural residences which could be met by the creation of smaller parcels growing high value, high impact crops. The result would be a loss of the current diverse character of Sauvie Island agriculture, to be replaced by a more mono-cultural agricultural character which has more environmental impacts

All of the Exclusive Farm Use-zoned areas on Sauvie Island are classified as "high value farmlands." The areas zoned Exclusive Farm Use consist of, according to the 1977 Soil Survey of Multnomah County prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, five different soil types. These are 1) Burlington Fine Sandy Loam, 2) Sauvie Silt Loam, 3) Sauvie Silt Clay Loam, 4) Moag Silty Clay Loam, and 5) Rafton Silt Loam. The first three of these soil types are rated as Class II for agricultural production, while the last two are rated as Class III. The Oregon Administrative Rules state that "the soil ... designation of a specific lot or parcel may be changed if the property owner submits a statement of agreement from the Soil Conservation Service that the soil class, soil rating or other soil designation should be adjusted based on new information." Additionally, the rules state that a long-time property owner (since 1993) may request that the State Department of Agriculture determine that a lot or parcel designated as high value farmland, "cannot practicably be managed for farm use, by itself or in conjunction with other land, due to extraordinary circumstances inherent in the land or its physical setting that do not apply generally to other land in the vicinity" and thus should be allowed a single-family dwelling. One problem with the data from the 1977 Soil Survey is that it apparently does not take into account a diversity of soil types caused by the stripping of soil for levee construction or placement of dredge material from flood control activities. Additionally, some "high value" soils are poorly drained and thus not as suitable for agriculture.

Therefore, Multnomah County's ability to allow additional non-farm uses in Sauvie Island's Exclusive Farm Use designated areas is extremely limited by state law. There are currently 12,300 acres on Sauvie Island zoned Exclusive Farm Use, with approximately 119 existing dwellings. The number of potential new dwellings is impossible to quantify, given the complicated nature of the state law, but is certainly extremely limited in number.

The only alternative to the Exclusive Farm Use designation allowed by Oregon Planning law is called an "exception" to Goal 3 (Agricultural Lands) of the Oregon Statewide Planning Program. In order to qualify as an area which should be excepted from the Exclusive Farm Use classification (and thus rezoned to Multiple Use Agriculture, or Rural Residential), one of two findings must be made:

1. The land subject to the exception is physically developed to the extent that it is no longer available for exclusive farm use, or
2. The land subject to the exception is irrevocably committed to non-farm uses because existing adjacent uses and other relevant factors make uses allowed by the applicable goal impracticable

(A third type of "exception" for a specific land use does not apply in this situation).

The Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) has adopted administrative rules which further clarify the "exceptions" process. The practical result of these rules are that it is very difficult to justify an exception to the Exclusive Farm Use designation. Any proposal from Multnomah County would be closely scrutinized by the LCDC, with potential for reversal. Multnomah County has a process for considering exceptions to Goal 3 (Agricultural Lands) of the Statewide Planning Process through a quasi-judicial plan amendment procedure outlined in the Multnomah County code.

Currently there is some flux in state law regarding exclusive farm use lands. Recent court decisions have called into question the LCDC's interpretation of 1993 changes in state law passed by the Oregon legislature. These legal questions are beyond the purview of this plan.

It should be noted that full protection of Sauvie Island agricultural lands will impact discussion not only of land use issues, but also of recreation and transportation issues, since increased use of the island by visitors has impacts on agricultural practices. One way of combining agriculture and recreation on the island occurs with the farm stands and U-pick farms on the island, which draw numerous visitors.

#### ***MULTIPLE USE AGRICULTURE***

The Multiple Use Agriculture (MUA) zoning district applies to lands for which an "exception" to Goal 3 (Farm Land) of the Oregon Statewide Planning Program has been justified. It applies to agricultural lands not suited to full-time commercial farming because of other factors and is intended to conserve these lands for part-time agricultural practices and other compatible rural development. It is applied to approximately 3,600 acres in the plan area, 2,400 acres of which are on Sauvie Island. This acreage includes the Sauvie Island Wildlife Refuge (excluding the water area of Sturgeon Lake) as well as lands on Sauvie Island which are divided into smaller lots and mostly developed with existing homes.

<b>MUA Zoned Area</b>	<b>Acreage</b>	<b>Existing Dwellings</b>	<b>Potential Additional Dwellings</b>
Sauvie Island Wildlife Refuge	1,700	28	10
Gillihan Road, North	75	12	6
Gillihan Road, Middle	65	7	3

Gillihan Road, South	270	28	11
Lucy Reeder Road	75	12	5
Sauvie Island Road - Reeder Road -Charlton Road	220	57*	13
West Side, Multnomah Channel	1,200	7*	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,605</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>69</b>

\* Not including floating residences

These lands have approximately 151 existing dwellings. While the minimum lot size for new subdivisions in this zoning district is 20 acres, most lots in this area are already less than 20 acres in size (and most are less than ten acres in size. No additional subdivisions are possible in Multiple Use Agriculture-zoned areas. While lots along the west side of Multnomah Channel are theoretically subdividable into 20 acre homesites, the existence of wetlands and floodplain areas on these lands would make such subdivisions very difficult to meet all necessary development standards. All of the potential additional dwellings lie on existing legal parcels of less than 20 acres in size.

#### *RURAL CENTER*

One three acre parcel immediately north of the Sauvie Island Bridge is zoned as Rural Center. It contains an existing store. Any change of commercial use would require a conditional use permit under the rules of the Rural Center zoning district. The area in the vicinity of the Sauvie Island School serves as a community and cultural center for Sauvie Island.

#### **Sauvie Island Land Use Policies**


**POLICY 1: Support measures which will ensure that Sauvie Island maintains and enhances its agricultural diversity on Exclusive Farm Use lands.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall use this policy as a guideline in reviewing proposed changes in Exclusive Farm Use statutes and administrative rules, and will review the appropriateness of the \$80,000 gross income level as a threshold for farm dwellings if state law allows consideration of different income standards.

**POLICY 2: Multnomah County shall promote the appropriate establishment of farm stands and u-pick facilities which will support the agricultural economy of Sauvie Island.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through review of the Multnomah County Zoning Ordinance Exclusive Farm Use and Multiple Use Agriculture zoning districts.

# COLUMBIA COUNTY



**POLICY 3: Include deed restrictions protecting surrounding agricultural practices as a requirement for dwelling approval in the Multiple Use Agriculture zoning district.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through amendments to the Multnomah County Zoning Ordinance Multiple Use Agriculture zoning district.

**POLICY 4: Encourage property owners to protect their lands as wildlife habitat through the use of tax deferral programs, and allow switching of tax deferral status from agriculture to open space-wildlife habitat without penalty.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall forward this policy as an informational item to the Oregon State Legislature and the Association of Oregon Counties.

## **MULTNOMAH CHANNEL LAND AND WATER USE**

### **Background**

#### *Metro Regional Framework Plan*


The Multnomah Channel area is outside of the Portland Metropolitan Area's Urban Growth Boundary. This boundary is set by Metro, the regional government for the Portland Metropolitan Area. Since this area is outside of the Urban Growth Boundary, it is supposed to remain rural in nature.

Metro is in the process of preparing a 50-year plan for the Portland area, entitled the 2040 Plan. As part of this 2040 plan, Metro has adopted a Greenspaces Plan. This plan would preserve significant natural areas in and around the Portland Metropolitan area, and develop a regional trail system among and between them. Land along Multnomah Channel is designated as a significant natural area, and purchase of additional open space lands in the vicinity of the existing Burlington Bottoms property owned by the Bonneville Power Administration is identified on the adopted map as a specific project which would implement the Greenspaces Plan. The adopted map also shows a future regional trail along Multnomah Channel from the Portland City Limits north to Burlington Bottoms, then turning westward to head up the Tualatin Hills along the Burlington Northern Cornelius Pass railroad alignment. In 1995, Metro received approval from Portland area voters for a bond issue to purchase and develop significant greenspaces and regional trails.

#### *Multnomah County Comprehensive Plan Policies Affecting Multnomah Channel*

### **POLICY 15 Willamette River Greenway**

The Comprehensive Framework Plan states that Multnomah County is to protect the natural scenic, historical, agricultural, economic, and recreational qualities of lands along the Willamette



River. It is also the County's policy to require special procedures for review of certain types of development allowed in the underlying base zone in order to ensure the minimum impact on the values identified within the various areas.

## **POLICY 26 Houseboats**

The Comprehensive Framework Plan states that Multnomah County's policy on houseboats is to assist in providing a broad range of housing options that conforms with:

Other County policies concerning off-site effects, air, water and noise quality, the Willamette River Greenway, natural resources, housing choice, housing location, capital improvements, traffic ways, transportation system development, utilities and facilities.

Any other applicable federal, state or local policies that regulate waterway area development.

Location criteria regarding the mean low water line, protection from siltation problems, protection from wind, wave action, icy conditions and other hazards, adequate land area to accommodate related facilities, ensuring proper maintenance of dikes, preservation of upland recreational, ecological or wildlife habitat values and exclusion from Exclusive Farm Use zoned uplands.

The current area included in Policy 26 of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Plan includes all of the existing moorages except for the Happy Rock site, the Mayfair Moorage, and the Sauvie Island Moorage. It should be noted that Policy 26 currently speaks to houseboats, not marinas which serve transient boaters.

## **Zoning Code Considerations**

### ***Multiple Use Agriculture***

The entire west (mainland) side of Multnomah Channel is zoned Multiple Use Agriculture or MUA-20. Also, lands on Sauvie Island on which the two Sauvie Island moorages lie are also zoned MUA-20. Houseboats and houseboat Moorages are listed as a Conditional Use in the Multiple Use Agriculture zoning district. Boat moorages, marinas, and boathouse moorages are considered as Community Service uses which also require a Conditional Use permit in the MUA-20 zoning district. Transient water uses, such as boating, are not regulated by the Multnomah County Zoning Ordinance.

In addition to the Conditional Use criteria of the Zoning Code, all proposed individual houseboats and houseboat moorages undergoing a conditional use permit must meet certain code criteria set forth in Section 11.15.7500 of the Zoning Ordinance. Currently the Waterfront Uses section defines Houseboats to mean "any floating structure designed as a dwelling for occupancy by one family and having only one cooking facility." This definition does not have flexibility to consider other types of living quarters and recreational boats such as boathouses, live-aboard boats, and combos. There is also an issue of consistency in definitions between County

Departments, Federal Agencies, Stage Agencies and Moorages themselves.

The Waterfront Use Zoning Criteria also determines the density allowed in houseboat moorages, which is currently not to exceed one houseboat for each 50 feet of waterfront frontage. There are also provisions for a reduction of the density below the maximum allowed if it can be demonstrated that the maximum density would place an undue burden on public services or would endanger an ecologically fragile natural resource or scenic area.

Boat moorages, marinas, and boathouse moorages are defined by the Zoning Ordinance as Community Service uses, and require approval of a conditional use permit. (Moorages for a single boat do not meet this definition and do not require a conditional use permit.) In order to approve a Community Service use, the approval authority must find that the proposed use is consistent with the character of the area, will not adversely affect natural resources, will not conflict with nearby farm or forest uses, will not require new public services, and will not create hazardous conditions.

#### *Exclusive Farm Use*

The remainder of the east (island) side of Multnomah Channel is zoned Exclusive Farm Use, or EFU. The EFU zoning district does not allow any houseboat moorages, marinas, or new private boat docks, as mandated by state law.

#### *Willamette River Greenway*

Another zoning section criteria that affects development along the Willamette River is the Willamette River Greenway overlay zoning designation, which is designed to protect, conserve, enhance, and maintain the natural, scenic, historical, agricultural, economic and recreational qualities of the lands along the Willamette. The criteria is designed to review proposed developments and make findings to maintain the maximum possible landscaped areas and open space, to provide reasonable public access, to direct developments away from the river, to preserve agricultural lands, to consider recreational needs, protect significant fish and wildlife habitats and natural and scenic areas and viewpoints and vistas, to maintain public safety and protection of public and private property, to enhance natural vegetation, to consider natural functions of flood plains and water areas, to protect significant wetlands, ecological, scientific, historical or archaeological areas and to minimize erosion potential. The ordinance also takes into account air and water quality and land resources.

It should be noted that maintenance and repair of existing flood control facilities is exempt from the Willamette River Greenway permit requirements.

#### **Multnomah Channel Land and Water Use Policies**

**POLICY 5: Assist METRO in development of a regional hiking, equestrian, and bicycle trail along Multnomah Channel south of Burlington Bottoms connecting to the Cornelius Pass rails-to-trails potential conversion, which runs in upland areas in the vicinity of**



**Highway 30 and the existing Burlington Northern Railroad, and minimizes impacts to existing waterfront uses.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall forward this policy to Metro, and shall review and consider permit issuance for any proposed trail use by Metro.

**POLICY 6: The County should participate in educational information and programs to better educate channel users on safety issues and required laws including no wake and buffer zones.**

**Discussion:** The lack of education regarding the laws, most importantly speed limits and water pollution, must be addressed by Multnomah County. The County should consider such things as signage, informational handouts at central locations as well as partnerships with such agencies as the State Marine Board.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall forward this issue on as a recommendation to the State Marine Board.

**POLICY 7: The County should recommend to the State Marine Board that all boaters be required to obtain licenses through the state prior to operating motorized marine craft over 25 horsepower including personal watercraft.**

**Discussion:** Boat operators are not required at this time to meet any guidelines or qualifications prior to operating watercraft. Multnomah County should value the importance of safe conditions in the channel and work through the Marine Board in establishing minimum criteria for boat operators. The amount of horsepower was chosen to include personal water craft and exclude canoes and very small boats.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County should forward this issue on as a recommendation to the State Marine Board.

**POLICY 8: (a) Multnomah County should make river patrol and enforcement of laws a higher priority to the Sheriff's Department.  
(b) Multnomah County should make enforcement of zoning laws in the channel a higher priority to the Transportation and Land Use Planning Department.**

**Discussion:** The lack of Sheriff's presence in the channel presents a problem with regard to law enforcement. The County should prioritize enforcing the existing laws in place in the channel and maintain a presence to enforce the laws. The County should also consider prioritizing zoning enforcement.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall consider these issues when allocating funding and budgeting proposals and integrate a performance program within the framework of a strategic plan to successfully carry out this policy.

**POLICY 9: Multnomah County should begin studying the noise impacts of-motorized watercraft in order to establish base levels of noise pollution in the channel.**

Discussion: With the increase in noise associated with personal watercraft, the residents of the channel and island would like the County to start documenting base noise levels in the event of increases due to increased channel traffic. With increased volume and traffic on the channel, an inventory of average noise levels is needed to gather information for future studies because channel and island residents are currently concerned with existing noise levels.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County should forward this issue on as a recommendation to the State Marine Board.

**POLICY 10: Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan Policy 26 should be amended and rewritten so that moorages and marinas will only be permitted in:**

1. The area where houseboats are currently permitted by Policy 26, and;
2. The existing Happy Rock, Sauvie Island, Parker, and Mayfair moorage sites.

Discussion: Policy 26 currently does not include the developed marina or moorage sites listed above, allowing them to continue as existing non-conforming uses with no ability to expand or reconfigure their sites. These uses are long-term substantial facilities which are an integral part of the Multnomah Channel environment. They should be allowed the same opportunities for change in land and water use afforded to the marinas and moorages which currently fall within the boundaries of Policy 26. This action, along with the inclusion of marinas into the Policy 26 framework, will convert Policy 26 into a statement of where marine related development is allowed on Multnomah Channel, vs. marine conservation areas outside of the Policy 26 boundaries.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy with an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan.

**POLICY 11: The County should develop and maintain a current inventory of all marinas and moorages.**

Discussion: Multnomah County needs an accurate account of all floating structures on the channel in order to accurately administer and enforce zoning laws.

**STRATEGY:** In order to accomplish this, the budget should reflect an increase in funding and allocation of resources.

**POLICY 12: The County zoning code should be consistent with the County assessor and the state regarding the definitions of houseboats, boathouses and combos. For purposes of density calculations, "houseboats" shall be defined as 1) any houseboat, and 2) any boathouse or combo which is used as a residence (occupied 7 or more days per month).**

Discussion: There has been a problem with regards to the numbers of units allowed and permitted under existing approvals depending on the definition of dwelling the County uses. There have also been recent revisions to the assessor's definitions that may be even more of a problem. The County Zoning Code decides whether a structure is a dwelling based on information regarding kitchen and restroom facilities. The County assessor makes the determination based on different information, as does the State of Oregon. The issue becomes a problem when the County Staff uses the assessor's information to determine the number of dwellings existing within a moorage/marina and consistency becomes an issue of real importance to the moorage owners.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall amend the Zoning Ordinance to include this definition.

**POLICY 13:** Multnomah County should adopt procedures requiring each existing moorage/marina to become a 'special plan area' under MCC 11.15.6600 at the initiation of the County to determine uses and densities allowed for each moorage on the channel. The special plan area designation would be required at the time of citation for a zoning violation from Multnomah County, or when the property owner requests an expansion or alteration, or for any new marina/moorage developments.

Discussion: Each moorage/marina shall be allowed to enter into a special plan area (an existing part of the Multnomah County Zoning Code, which needs some minor amendments to fit the Multnomah Channel situation) procedure with Multnomah County to adopt essentially a master plan or comprehensive plan for each moorage/marina. As an attempt to take all issues into consideration, special plans can determine by looking at each existing or proposed moorage/marina on a case by case basis regarding the density, service levels and legal status of the property. At the County's initiation (no application fees), each individual marina/moorage could receive a special plan area designation which would be an overlay designation for each moorage and marina on the channel.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by amending the Special Plan Area code of the Zoning Ordinance and by requiring any moorage or marina which is determined to be in violation of the zoning code or which proposes changes to an existing moorage to go through the Special Plan Area process.

**POLICY 14:** The overall density for each existing moorage/marina shall not exceed the existing levels as measured by factors such as area and length of docks and number of slips (existing as of January 1, 1997). The actual number of slips for each moorage/marina shall be determined at the time a special plan area is approved for the moorage/marina. The specific plan will look at such things as 'legally existing' issues, non-conforming status and carrying capacity of the land to determine the number of dwellings and other uses allowed in each marina/moorage.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy at the time each special plan area is adopted.

**POLICY 15: Development on Multnomah Channel within Special Plan Areas shall be judged upon the following criteria.**

**Water Environmental**

*River Bank Protection* - Development which protects the river bank from erosion caused by boat traffic.

*Water Quality* - Development which contributes to or does not significantly degrade water quality

*Septic tanks/Sewage* - Development which is more amenable to safe and sanitary sewage disposal, along with adequate upland facilities for disposal of sewage.

*Wildlife* - Development which contributes to or do not have a significant detrimental impact to the wildlife in the water.

**Land Environmental**

*Development in Wetland* - Development which does not impact wetlands.

*Traffic Increase* - Development which minimizes increases in traffic on moorage access roads, on railroad crossings, and onto Highway 30.

*Parking* - Development which minimizes the amount of parking area necessary.

*Ground Water Quality* - Development which minimizes impacts to ground water quality.

*Need for Restroom Facilities* - Development which minimizes the need for additional communal restroom facilities to serve the proposed uses.

*Land Wildlife* - Development which minimizes impacts to land wildlife.

*Necessary Utilities* - Development which requires fewer utilities to serve proposed uses.

*Floodplain Development* - Development which minimizes placement of permanent structures and uses in the floodplain.

*Accessory Structures* - Development which minimizes the need for accessory on-land structures to serve proposed uses.

**Aesthetic**

*Vegetation on Land* - Development which minimizes the loss of land vegetation.

*Visibility of Shore* - Development which minimizes changes to natural shoreline features.

*Massing and Scale* - Development which has a human scale or architectural quality to it.

*Diversity/Rural character* - Development which maintains the existing diversity and rural character of Multnomah Channel.

*Lighting* - Development which minimizes night lighting of uses.

*Vegetation/landscape on Water* - Development which minimizes its visibility from the Multnomah Channel waterway.

**Safety**

*Contribution to Channel Traffic* - Development which minimizes channel traffic.

*Residential Link* - Development with a permanent residence component which provides a human presence to both report emergencies and violations on Multnomah Channel.

*Fire Hazard* - Development which minimizes fire hazard.

*Emergency Services* - Development which minimizes the need for emergency services.

**Economic** - Development which provides economic value to Multnomah County in the form of assessment value and reduced need for public services.

**Recreation**

*Contribution to Public Recreation* - Development which contributes to public recreation opportunities on Multnomah Channel.

**Cumulative Impacts**

The cumulative impacts of the proposed development on the overall carrying capacity of Multnomah Channel shall be considered and minimized.

**The criteria listed shall be weighed and balanced by the hearing body considering each Special Plan Area so as to determine the most appropriate intensity and type of development allowed within each of these areas.**

**In reviewing each Special Plan Area, Multnomah County shall consult with other relevant local, state, and federal agencies, including but not limited to the following agencies:**

**Division of State Lands**

**Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife**

**Oregon Parks and Recreation Department**

**Oregon State Marine Board**

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**


**Metro Parks and Greenspaces**

Discussion: It is not intended that each proposed use strictly meet each of the criteria listed above, but rather the criteria be used by the hearing body to weigh the appropriateness of different potential uses. It is assumed that each site within the boundaries of Policy 26 is appropriate for some sort of marine related development unless a single criteria weighs so strongly upon the site that it precludes all or some of the potential marine development uses.

**STRATEGY:** These criteria shall be included in the general special plan area code and shall be used to review proposed uses in each specific plan area is adopted.

**POLICY 16: Implement code language within the special plan area criteria that incorporates the more specialized ideas in these policies. This concept should be carried out with input from citizens on the channel and should include guidelines regarding lighting, landscaping and architectural design within the special plan areas for development.**

Discussion: Currently the WRG guidelines have vague language in them that make enforcement of them inconsistent. The County should look at adopting a set of design guidelines that the Planning Section can use to help interpret the WRG guidelines. This will allow for consistency in interpretation of the existing guidelines. These guidelines should specifically address the guidelines on lighting, landscaping and architectural design. The Citizens' Advisory Committee was very concerned about maintaining the character of the area of the channel and avoiding urban type marinas and moorages in the area.



**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy as part of the Special Plan Area process.

**POLICY 17:** Multnomah County should promote responsible recreational uses in the channel by allowing public access or boat launches to occur as part of any redevelopment.

Discussion: The other policies in this plan shall not be construed to discourage public access to the water from the land or vice versa.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy as part of the Special Plan Area process.

## RECREATION

### *SAUVIE ISLAND WILDLIFE AREA*

The Sauvie Island Wildlife Area, owned by the State of Oregon and managed by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, comprises approximately 11,500 acres of land and inland water areas on Sauvie Island, slightly less than half of the Island's acreage. Approximately 1,500 of these acres lie in Multnomah County, the rest are in the Columbia County portion of the island. The State of Oregon acquired the Sauvie Island Wildlife Area in the 1940's as a waterfowl area. In 1974, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife prepared a Coordinated Resource Plan. In 1993, the Department prepared a new Management Plan for the Wildlife Area. The approved management plan seeks to broaden the focus of activities in the wildlife area from the primary purposes of habitat management for waterfowl and other game species to a more general focus on protecting wildlife habitat for all native species, including non-game species.

Visitor use of the Sauvie Island Wildlife Area has increased markedly in the past decade, reaching 750,000 visitor days according to the Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife (a visitor day is defined as a visit by one individual on one day, so that if one individual visited the refuge on 50 separate days, it would be counted as 50 visitor days) in 1991 and increasing further since then. The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife divides users into five categories, Fishing(20% of users), Hunting(2%), Viewing(10%), Beach (38%), and Other(30%). The goal of the Management Plan is to accommodate all user groups, but emphasize recreational activities which are wildlife-oriented (hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing) as opposed to uses which merely involve visiting the public use facilities within the area, such as camping and picnicking.

Included in the plan are specific objectives which would "Explore methods to control and regulate beach use, to improve the beach use for family oriented recreation area" and "Contact Columbia County to discuss the potential for a joint beach management program." The clothing optional beach is one of four located within the Wildlife Area, is heavily used, and is the subject of some controversy on both practical and moral grounds. Since the public beaches on Sauvie Island are entirely within Columbia County, Multnomah County has no jurisdiction over them. Traffic to and from the beach does impact Multnomah County roads and emergency service provision. For the past several years there has been controversy between users of the clothing optional beach and the occupants of an adjacent residences. However, there is no documented

evidence that the clothing optional beach in and of itself provides an undue strain on transportation or emergency service provision on Sauvie Island. Disputes between users of the beach and an adjacent residence are not within the jurisdiction of Multnomah County.

### *WAPATO STATE PARK*

Wapato State Park, located on the east side of Multnomah Channel on Sauvie Island, is managed by the Oregon State Parks Department. It is also known as the Virginia Lakes area, and is designated as a significant natural area and wetland in the Multnomah County inventory of significant natural and environmental resources. It contains Hadley's Landing on Multnomah Channel, a dock for transient boats. The park has nature trails which are accessed from Sauvie Island Road, and a picnic shelter. The Oregon State Parks Department has not adopted a management plan for the park, but is considering doing so. The Department began a draft management plan for the park several years ago, but did not complete it.

### *HOWELL PARK*

Howell Park, located on the east side of Sauvie Island Road north of the Sauvie Island bridge, consists of approximately 110 acres. It contains the Bybee-Howell House, a historic structure built in 1856. The site also contains Howell Lake, a significant wetland. The park is owned and managed by Metro Parks and Greenspaces. Metro is currently preparing a master plan for the park. Any changes to the park require land use approval from Multnomah County. The preliminary goals of the master plan are 1) increased facilities and use of the house as the focus of historical and archaeological information about Native American life and early Oregon events, and 2) increased use of the lake and wetland areas for wildlife viewing and educational activities. The current Exclusive Farm Use zoning on the park limits new park uses.

### *BURLINGTON BOTTOMS*

The Burlington Bottoms site (also known as the Rafton Tract) consists of approximately 400 acres located on the west side of Multnomah Channel. The site was purchased by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) in 1991 as mitigation for environmental impacts caused elsewhere. In 1994, the BPA completed a Management Plan and Environmental Assessment which recommended that the site be managed primarily for maintenance and enhancement of fish and wildlife habitat associated with the natural ecosystem on the site. The recommendation also states that "a low level of public access would be allowed under this alternative, with designated areas for trails and viewing blinds to provide for passive wildlife oriented recreation. Opportunities for research and environmental education would also be available under this alternative."

In 1995 Portland area voters approved a bond measure for Metro Parks and Greenspaces which allocated money for purchase of park and greenspace land throughout the Portland Metropolitan Area. The bond measure included, among its list of potential purchases, land in the vicinity of Burlington Bottoms and other lands along Multnomah Channel. Metro has identified land adjacent to and north of Burlington Bottoms as the primary target for acquisition. Of lesser priority, but still possible for purchase, is land on Sauvie Island adjacent to Wapato State Park.



## *WATERWAY RECREATIONAL USE*

Marine recreational activities on Multnomah Channel, the Willamette River, and the Columbia River, are the regulatory responsibility of the Oregon State Marine Board. In 1995, the State Marine Board adopted a Recreational Boating Management Plan for the Portland Metropolitan Waterways. The management plan focuses on four topics; education, law enforcement, facilities, and waterway management. Multnomah County shall rely upon the State Marine Board to determine the appropriate levels of recreational use on waterways adjacent to Sauvie Island.

## *OTHER RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES*

There are commercial activities on Sauvie Island which are primarily focused on attracting visitors to the island. These include the Pumpkin Patch and other produce stands and u-pick farms.

As discussed under transportation, numerous bicyclists use the island's roads for recreational cycling. Conflicts between recreational bicyclists and automobile traffic, both residents and visitors, has been a major Sauvie Island issue for some time.

### **Recreation Policies**

**POLICY 18: Encourage managers of the Sauvie Island Wildlife Area to post information signs regarding closures of areas to public use which explain why the area is being closed.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall forward this policy recommendation to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

**POLICY 19: Encourage Metro to purchase additional greenspace lands on the west side of Multnomah Channel in order to expand and enhance the Burlington Bottoms wildlife area.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall forward this policy recommendation to Metro.


**POLICY 20: Promote recreational activities within the rural plan area which are complementary to natural and environmental resources identified pursuant to Goal 5 of the Statewide Planning Program.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the current planning permitting process and the Special Plan Area process.

## **TRANSPORTATION**

### **Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan**

The Transportation System Policy of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan



includes policies for the following three categories: 1) Transportation System (33A), 2) Marine Transportation System (33B) and 3) Bikeways/Pedestrian System (33C). Multnomah County's policy is to provide a balanced transportation system that offers alternative transportation facilities to people and commerce.

The purpose of Policy 33A is to establish criteria for Multnomah County to use in evaluating alternative transportation proposals in order to achieve its objective of a balanced, safe and efficient system.

POLICY 33B ensures that Multnomah County takes appropriate action to provide for needed marine transportation system facilities in those areas of the Portland region within its jurisdiction. The system includes appropriate backup land for marine terminal and waterfront industrial facilities. This policy addresses the Columbia River shipping channel only and does not include Multnomah Channel.

Bikeways and pedestrian ways are an integral part of a balanced transportation system. Policy 33C currently focuses on implementing a bicycle system without addressing the pedestrian system. However, this policy will be amended in the near future to reflect the recently adopted Pedestrian Master Plan as well as the Bicycle Master Plan. Policy 33C directs facility planning and route implementation based on the Bicycle Network Map.

Policy 34 of the Comprehensive Framework plan directs Multnomah County to develop the existing traffic way system to maximize efficiency, and to consider the mobility of pedestrians by providing safe crossings. There are three types of roads in the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area. US 30 is classified as a Principal Arterial. Principal Arterials serve interstate, interregional, and regional traffic. Traffic volumes are high and access to adjacent land uses is limited.

Three roadways on Sauvie Island are classified in Policy 34 as Rural Collector roadways. They are Gillihan Rd, Reeder Rd and Sauvie Island Rd. Rural Collector roads distribute traffic over large areas and generally connect to urban streets or rural arterials. They also provide for necessary truck transport (agriculture, timber or minerals) out of rural areas.

All other roads in the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area are Rural Local roads. Local roads provide access to abutting land uses and are generally low traffic volume and low speed facilities.

All road access to Sauvie Island runs across the Sauvie Island bridge, which crosses Multnomah Channel near the south end of the island. It is a narrow two-lane facility with no capacity for major increases in traffic over existing levels.

#### Portland-Astoria (US Highway 30) Corridor Plan

An inventory of US 30 was conducted as part of the Corridor Plan by the Oregon Department of Transportation. The segment of US 30 from the Portland City Limits to the Multnomah County line is a four-lane highway with high speeds and volumes. Traffic volumes range from 10,000 -

50,000 average daily traffic (ADT) with peak summer traffic increasing 10-30 % above ADT.

US 30 is a designated Statewide Bicycle Route. Bicycle travel is expected to increase for both commuter and recreational travel. The Plan recommends that, at a minimum, five-foot paved shoulders be provided to accommodate bicycle use along the entire corridor length. Additional pavement is needed in some areas to meet the five-foot shoulder width. Other recommendations include:

- Provide connections to local bicycle (and hiking) systems where feasible, and
- Provide bicycle crossings across US 30 where appropriate and feasible.

Pedestrians are allowed to use the shoulders on US 30, but pedestrian activity is expected to be concentrated in the urban areas.

#### Multnomah County Bicycle Master Plan

The Bicycle Master Plan, adopted in 1990, was developed with assistance from a County wide Bicycle Planning Task Force and a Sauvie Island Bicycle Planning Task Force. Two objectives with related policies and implementation strategies are identified in the Bicycle Master Plan.

- 1) Develop and maintain an extensive network of bicycle transportation facilities that provide safe, efficient and enjoyable bicycle travel.
- 2) Increase bicyclist and motorist knowledge and awareness so as to resolve hazards and conflicts of bicycling, and reduce the occurrence of bicycle related accidents.

Included in the Bicycle Master Plan is a Bikeway Plan Map. The map identifies roadways that will provide a bikeway facility when the roadway is constructed to current standards. There are two bikeways identified on the map for the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel area: US 30 and Sauvie Island Rd from US 30 to Ferry Rd. Paved shoulders exist on US 30 providing a space for bicyclists to ride. Paved shoulders, the standard bikeway for Rural Collectors, do not exist on Sauvie Island Rd.

Reconstructing Sauvie Island Rd to improve safety for bicyclists and motorists has been estimated to cost over \$1 million. To add paved shoulders, the dike would need to be widened. Currently, there is no funding available or identified. Extensive coordination is required for this project with the Corps of Engineers, Sauvie Island Drainage District and Multnomah County.

The Bicycle Master Plan recommends establishing a Bicycle Citizen Advisory Committee to address current and future bicycling problems and opportunities.

#### Multnomah County Pedestrian Master Plan

The purpose of the Pedestrian Master Plan is to establish a framework for developing a safe and convenient urban and rural pedestrian system on Multnomah County roads. County standards for pedestrian facilities on rural roads include 4-foot gravel or 8-foot paved shoulders. On

Sauvie Island roads, paved shoulders are very limited. There are currently no plans to widen the shoulders on Gillihan Rd., Reeder Rd. or Sauvie Island Rd. Other pedestrian facilities that may need to be provided on the island include pedestrian crossings at the school or at other destinations that attract pedestrians.

Shoulders exist on US 30 and may be used by pedestrians. The Oregon Bike and Pedestrian Plan states that where shoulders are expected to be used by bicyclists and pedestrians, shoulders should be 1.8m (6 ft) or wider. Shoulders on rural roads are shared with bicyclists.

The Pedestrian Master Plan recommends establishing a Pedestrian Citizen Advisory Committee to assist the County in identifying and resolving specific pedestrian issues, problems and opportunities.

### Street Standards

County standards for Rural Collector roadways include two 12-foot travel lanes and two 8-foot paved shoulders. Gillihan Rd, Reeder Rd and Sauvie Island Rd are not currently constructed to the County standards for Rural Collector roads. While right-of-way is owned to accommodate these standards, there are no plans to reconstruct the roadways. Widening the paved surface would require extensive fill to widen the dike to accommodate an additional 16 feet for paved shoulders.

### Transportation Policies

**POLICY 21: Recommend that the Multnomah County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee has significant Sauvie Island representation.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the appointment process for the Committee.

**POLICY 22: Have the Multnomah County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizen Advisory Committee study and recommend to the Board of Commissioners short-term and long-term solutions to safely accommodate bicyclists, pedestrians, and motor vehicles on Sauvie Island including on-road bikeways, separated multi-use paths, and funding options.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the work program of the Bicycle & Pedestrian Citizen Advisory Committee and the Transportation and Land Use Planning Division budget.

**POLICY 23: Update Policy 33B Marine Transportation System in the Comprehensive Framework Plan.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the budgeting process for the Division of Transportation and Land Use Planning.

**POLICY 24: Oppose placement of regional roadways in the Sauvie Island/Multnomah**

**Channel Rural Area, should such roadways be under consideration by any regional transportation authority in the future.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall use this policy in discussions and recommendations regarding regional roadways.

**POLICY 25: Review rural roadway standards to determine if 8-foot paved shoulder widths can be reduced to preserve the rural character of roads.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the budgeting process for the Division of Transportation and Land Use Planning.

**POLICY 26: Participate in a cooperative effort with the Sauvie Island Drainage District and the Army Corps of Engineers to study the dikes upon which public roads run including funding for dike improvements.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by working with the Drainage District and Corps of Engineers to devise and then implement a process for studying the dikes with roads on them protecting Sauvie Island.

## **PUBLIC FACILITIES**

### *Schools*

The Sauvie Island School District's boundaries encompass all of Sauvie Island. The District has one school, located at 14445 NW Charlton Road, which serves Kindergarten through Eighth Grade students. High School students attend school outside of the district. The school was rebuilt after a fire in 1980, and is a modern facility.

The Oregon Education Act of 1991 requires school districts which do not have a high school program to provide one or merge into a school district which does. The issue of the Sauvie Island School District's fate has been very controversial.

The mainland side of Multnomah Channel is divided into two school districts. The far northern portion of this area, adjacent to Columbia County, is within the Scappoose School District (this area consists of only 200 acres, and has several existing residences and the approved but not yet occupied Rivers Bend Marina). Students attend Grant Watch Elementary School for grades K-3, Peterson Elementary School for Grades 4-6, Scappoose Middle School for grades 7-8, and Scappoose High School for Grades 9-12. The district is currently conducting a survey of existing facilities, with the expectation that growth in the Scappoose city area of Columbia County will result in increased enrollment at the district's schools. However, there are no current capacity or facility problems identified in the District.

The remainder of the mainland side of Multnomah Channel is within the Portland School District. Skyline Elementary School, located near Cornelius Pass, serves the West Hills and

Multnomah Channel. Multnomah Channel is within the attendance boundaries of West Sylvan Junior High School, located to the south, and Lincoln High School, located adjacent to downtown Portland. All three of these Portland district schools are operating well below capacity of the school sites.

### *WATER SERVICE*

A portion of the mainland side of Multnomah Channel is served by the Burlington Water District. The Burlington Water District receives its water supply from the City of Portland, via a pipeline along Highway 30. The District is bound by its bylaws to provide water service to any parcel within the district, however, the existing water distribution system is barely adequate to serve existing development and has little or no capacity to handle expanded water use.

The remainder of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel plan area is not served by any water district, and relies on groundwater for its supply. Currently, proposed development must show an adequate water supply quantity prior to approval of building permits. Permits requiring discretionary review are conditioned so as to require proof of an adequate water supply quantity prior to building permit issuance so that an applicant is not subject to the expense of drilling a well prior to approval of the conditional use. However, the County has no standards as to the quantity or source of the adequate water supply. Quality requirements are pursuant to Oregon Department of Environmental Quality standards for potable drinking water.

### *SEWAGE DISPOSAL*

All existing development within the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area is served by private on-site sewage disposal systems. No public sewers are planned or contemplated for the area, due to its rural nature. Approval for proposed private sewage disposal systems is the responsibility of the City of Portland Building Bureau, which implements standards set forth by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. A number of different methods for on-site disposal of sewage effluent are available for consideration.

### *POLICE PROTECTION*

Police protection for Sauvie Island and Multnomah Channel is provided by the Multnomah County Sheriff. The Sheriff's office is located at 122nd St. and Glisan St. in the Mid-County area. Currently the entire West Hills and Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Areas are served by one patrolling officer at a time.

### *FIRE PROTECTION & EMERGENCY SERVICES*

The Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area is served by three different fire and emergency services providers -- Multnomah County Rural Fire District # 30, Scappoose Fire District, and Portland City Fire Bureau.

The Multnomah County Rural Fire District #30 serves Sauvie Island from a station on Charlton Road. It's fire-fighting and emergency response force consists of 25 volunteers. The District's

staff will be occupying a new eight bay fire station in the near future. The District has a limited agreement with Portland for use of a fire boat for marine fires. The District's fire and emergency response force serve not only Sauvie Island residents, but also the 1.5 million visitors per year who visit Sauvie Island. This puts an additional strain on the District's resources above that put upon most rural fire protection forces.

The Scappoose Fire District serves the northern portion of the mainland side of Multnomah Channel, south to Burlington. The District has three fire stations, one of which is located on Cleetwood Drive near Morgan Road in the West Hills. The District has 50 volunteers and two paid personnel. Equipment includes five engines with a combined capacity of 5,750 gallons, one 3,200 gallon water tender, two rescue units, two ambulances, three wild land fire fighting units with a combined capacity of 1,500 gallons, and one command vehicle. The District is concerned that fire safety standards for access roadways and fire suppression in the marinas and moorages along Multnomah Channel be properly met.

The Burlington Water District provides fire protection services to land within its boundaries. Currently it contracts with the City of Portland to provide fire and emergency services. The Portland Fire Bureau services the Burlington area from Station # 22, located in St. Johns, with a response time to the area of 15-20 minutes. Due to the lengthy response time the district receives a low level of current services.

### **Public Facility Policy**

**POLICY 27: Study methods by which the Sauvie Island Rural Fire Protection District can be reimbursed for providing fire and emergency medical services to island visitors.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by reviewing any revenue or funding proposal from the Sauvie Island Rural Fire Protection District.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

### ***AIR QUALITY***

The Burlington Bottoms Wildlife Mitigation Project (December 1994) states that:

"The existing air quality in the Burlington Bottoms area is considered good to excellent, and air quality measurements fall within National Ambient Air Quality standards. The Department of Environmental Quality is responsible for air quality management in the State of Oregon."

However, the Department of Environmental quality has no staff to enforce its air quality standards as regards individual sites and uses.

Industrial facilities in the City of Portland lie to the east of Sauvie Island, across the Willamette River (Examples include Oregon Steel and Columbia Grain). These facilities have potential air quality and noise issues upon Sauvie Island associated with them which cannot be addressed



without coordination between Multnomah County, the City of Portland, and the Port of Portland.

Problems with odors and dust from individual facilities can be considered nuisances. Multnomah County Code Section 7.20 et. seq. defines and prohibits nuisances. Nuisances prohibited include such things as odorous ponds of stagnant water, animal carcasses which are not disposed of, explosive or radioactive substances, abandoned vehicles, and vegetative obstructions of good sight distance at intersections. Odors from industrial activities are not listed as nuisances under this code section. This ordinance does not apply to the City of Portland.

The Angell Brothers quarry may cause dust problems for nearby moorages along Multnomah Channel. Multnomah County must address such problems when considering additional conditional use permit approvals for the quarry. The quarry operator is responsible for mitigating dust impacts within the impact area of the quarry, defined as being 1,200 feet from the quarry property. This impact area includes several moorages along Multnomah Channel.

### *NOISE*

Multnomah County's noise ordinance (Section 7.30 et. seq. of the County Code) regulates the generation of excessive noise within the unincorporated areas of Multnomah County. The ordinance defines "sound producing device" to be regulated as 1) loudspeakers, 2) various electronic equipment, 3) musical instruments, 4) sirens & bells, 5) vehicle engine noise not in the right-of-way, 6) vehicle tires, 7) domestic tools during night hours, and 8) heat, air conditioning, and refrigeration units.

The County's noise ordinance does not include regulation of noise from organized athletic or other group activities on property generally suited for these purposes, noise caused by emergency work and equipment, noise regulated by federal law, such as railroad and aircraft operations, noise caused by bona fide use of emergency warning devices and alarm systems, sounds caused by permitted blasting activities between 9:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. Monday through Friday, and sounds caused by industrial, agricultural, or construction workers during their normal operations. The noise ordinance sets limits for sounds as measured in decibels (dbA). The ordinance is to be enforced by issuance of citations and, if necessary, by impoundment of the device producing the offending noise.

Aircraft noise from planes arriving and departing Portland International Airport and from over-flying national guard planes is cited by many Sauvie Island and Multnomah Channel residents as an annoyance. However, Multnomah County has no authority to regulate aircraft for noise impacts.

### *WATER QUALITY*

The January 1993 Sauvie Island Wildlife Area Management Plan published by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife states:

"Water quality is generally not recognized as a problem to fish production on the Wildlife Area, but some lakes dry up during the summer and the stranded fish become a food

source to other wildlife. The water quality for fish will be met by the plan goals and objectives for water quality and by holding the water levels up where possible with existing water control structures."

The Management Plan further outlines objectives to:

"1) Manage Sturgeon Lake and its tributaries to protect, maintain and enhance water quality, comply with state water quality standards to support the designated beneficial uses such as human contact recreation, wildlife, fisheries (OAR 340-41), and to meet the requirements of the Clean Lakes Program (watershed management plan to control nutrient and bacteria sources into Sturgeon Lake)"

The ODF&W plan proposes tasks such as 1) defining and assessing the non-point source runoff problems in and around the lake that may contribute to water quality degradation, 2) seeking funding to continue water quality monitoring of closed lakes and Sturgeon Lake and its tributaries to document sources and seasonal patterns in water quality, 3) developing and implementing a grazing plan to control and/or eliminate grazing near the shoreline riparian areas to prevent animal access to the water, compacting of soils, erosion, and waste inputs into the lake, 4) protecting and encouraging riparian vegetation and emergent vegetation around the lake to provide stabilization of soils, and nutrient filters to the lake, 5) exploring opportunities to conduct selected dredging to increase depth, flows and flushing and circulation action in Sturgeon Lake, and to minimize temperature increases, 6) providing adequate sanitation facilities to prevent human wastes from entering lakes, 7) controlling boating activity and speeds to minimize shoreline erosion due to wave action and 8) conducting a shoreline inventory that may include vegetation, erosion, soil compaction.

The 1985 Atlas of Oregon Lakes identified Sturgeon Lake as a: "Large, shallow mud-bottomed lake located on Sauvie Island. Water quality problems include siltation and very high turbidity; the lake also experiences algae blooms and high bacterial counts. Hydraulic modifications over the years have exacerbated the sedimentation problem. Recommendations for rehabilitation include re-opening Dairy Creek, thereby re-establishing natural flushing from the Columbia River. Funding for this proposal has been difficult to obtain."

The 1992 federal Clean Vessel Act prohibits discharge of sewage from marine toilets on all freshwater lakes and reservoirs. Boaters must use Coast Guard-approved marine sanitation devices on the Columbia, Willamette and Snake Rivers and on navigable portions of coastal waters.

Marinas and moorages along Multnomah Channel have four basic sewage-producing types of boats or floating structures; 1) floating homes, 2) boathouses, which are primarily for interior boat storage, but may have a small living unit within the structure for "weekend" use, 3) live-aboard boats, and 4) transient boats, which may dock at a facility during the day.

Currently, the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, the State Marine Board, and the Division of State Lands are discussing appropriate sewage disposal regulations for new and existing marinas and moorages. The Department of Environmental Quality is proposing that all

marinas and moorages provide a "hard" connection to city sewer or a private sewage disposal system for all floating homes and boathouses that are plumbed for sewer (even if they are not connected to a water system). New marinas and moorages are also required to have a "hard" connection for each "live-aboard" boat slip. The major discussion point remaining regards "live-aboard" boat slips in existing moorages. Alternatives include requiring "hard" connections at the time of any Division of State Lands lease renewal, or instead requiring easy access to a portable "pump out" facility, along with proof of its actual use. The Department of Environmental Quality has no authority to require sewage disposal facilities be available for "transient" boats -- it is considered the responsibility of the boat owner to safely and legally dispose of his or her sewage. However, it is within the authority of Multnomah County to require pump out facilities be made available for "transient" boats at marinas and moorages which provide service to such boats.

A final type of marine use in Multnomah Channel is the illegal houseboat or anchored live-aboard boat, which dumps its sewage directly into the channel in violation of the Clean Vessel act. Several such illegal "squatter" houseboats and anchored live-aboard boats exist in Multnomah Channel, and their existence is a chronic problem.

The West Hills Reconciliation Report, a subset of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan, discusses potential impacts from the Angell Brothers quarry upon the water quality of Multnomah Channel. The quarry operator has worked with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality to control the quality of quarry runoff, and will not be allowed to mine in the main drainage of the North Angell Brothers Creek, which empties into Burlington Bottoms. The reconciliation report allows some mining in a subsidiary drainage, but the quarry operator must divert all runoff from this area away from the North Angell Brothers Creek watershed.

### **Environmental Quality Policies**

**POLICY 28: Coordinate promulgation and enforcement of air quality, water quality, lighting, and noise pollution issues with the City of Portland and the Port of Portland.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through developing a program of advocacy for protection of rural area environmental quality issues as part of the long-range planning and budgeting process

**POLICY 29: Provide for safe and easy collection and disposal of sewage from marine uses in Multnomah Channel.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the Special Plan Area review process for each marina and moorage. Marinas and moorages shall be required to meet, at minimum, state standards for sewage collection and disposal from various types of marine uses. They shall be required to provide connections to sewage disposal facilities for all floating homes and boathouses which are plumbed. Live-aboard boat slips must be provided with an on-site mechanism for disposal of sewage, either through connections at each slip or through the availability of on-site alternative pump out facilities which are

reasonably safe from accidental spillage. Marinas and moorages which serve "transient" boats to have reasonable geographic access to an on-site method of sewage disposal in order to service such boats.

**POLICY 30: Coordinate with the Division of State Lands to remove floating structures which are illegally sited and do not meet County zoning standards.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by requesting the Division of State Lands to prepare a joint program for removal of illegal floating structures.

**POLICY 31: Recommend that any fill generated as a result of dredging activities in the Columbia River be located on Sauvie Island only under the following conditions:**

- To assist in flood control
- Not on designated wetlands
- Not on high value farmland unless placement of such fill improves a farm's soils or productivity
- In areas where it will not negatively impact wildlife habitat


**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy when reviewing any federal dredging projects proposed for the Columbia River.

## **NATURAL HAZARDS**

### *FLOODING*

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) requires local communities to maintain and enforce minimum floodplain management standards in order to be eligible to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. FEMA accepted floodplain maps compiled by Multnomah County in 1980. The areas subject to inundation by a 100-year flood (expected to occur on average once every 100 years) include lands on Sauvie Island outside of the dikes maintained by the Sauvie Island Drainage District and virtually the entire area between Multnomah Channel and the Burlington Northern's Astoria rail line. The area behind the dikes on Sauvie Island (with minor exceptions) is subject to inundation by a 500-year flood (expected to occur on average once every 500 years). In addition, FEMA maps contain the following note regarding the area protected by levees: "This area protected from the 100-year flood by levee, dike, or other structures subject to possible failure or overtopping during larger floods." The only exceptions to this proviso on Sauvie Island are isolated high spots along Lucy Reeder Road, along Sauvie Island Road north of Reeder Road, in the vicinity of Sauvie Island School, and around the Bybee-Howell House.

The Sauvie Island Drainage District provides flood protection for the majority of Sauvie Island. The District was recently reconstituted as a private corporation in order to allow it to continue its assessment practices, which are based upon both the amount of acreage owned and the land elevation of each property (the lower the elevation, the more need for drainage facilities and the



higher the assessment). The District operates a system of drainage-ways which feed into two main arteries, the Gilbert River in the central portion of the island and the A-1 canal on the eastern end of the island. These two drainage arteries flow northwesterly to the pumping plant, located in Columbia County at the end of Sauvie Island Road, where four pumps send the water over the levee in Multnomah Channel at a maximum rate of 750,000 gallons per minute.

Additional feeder drainage ditches are owned and maintained by individual property owners and feed into the District's system. The District also maintains the system of levees and dikes which girdle the Multnomah Channel shoreline from the island's southern tip to the pumping plant site and the Willamette-Columbia shoreline from the island's southern tip to a point north of the intersection of Reeder and Gillihan Roads. A cross-island levee connects the northern ends of these two levees to encircle the area protected from flooding. Since most of Sauvie Island is at or below the elevation of the adjacent Columbia and Willamette Rivers, the operations of the Drainage District are vital to sustaining Sauvie Island's population and economy.

The District has identified the following problems it faces in accomplishing its mission:

1. The levees surrounding the island are subject to bank erosion due mainly to the wakes produced by wake-producing watercraft. The power of the wake depends upon the type of boat and the speed of the boat. This is a particular problem on the Multnomah Channel side of the island. Solutions include revetment of the levees, an expensive proposition, reducing boat speeds on surrounding waterways, or placement of intervening materials, from log booms to marinas, to absorb the wake's impact prior to its reaching the levee.
2. The levees are subject to seepage, especially during periods of high water as occurred in 1996.
3. One of the District's four pumps cannot operate when water levels are high, thus reducing the ability to pump out water when it is needed most.
4. The district's drainage ways are sometimes used by trespassing boaters, who have the potential to damage facilities. Since the drainage ways are easements provided to the District, such trespassing actually occurs on the private property of the individual owners.
5. The district's drainage ways are often clogged by vegetative matter during warmer periods of the year. This reduces the drainage capacity of the system.
6. When property owners allow vegetation to grow unchecked on the levees, this vegetation provides habitat for animals such as rodents which burrow and undermine the levees.
7. The district is generally concerned about the potential conflict between proper drainage facilities for Sauvie Island and the maintenance and enhancement of natural wetland areas.
8. The lowest levees on Sauvie Island are those which carry a roadbed atop them. The district is concerned about further compaction of these levees by vehicle traffic.

Outside of the Sauvie Island Drainage District, lands are generally unprotected from the

consequences of major flooding. Not only are most of the land areas subject to inundation from a 100-year flood, floods of 1996 have left significant amount of debris in the waters of Multnomah Channel. This debris constitutes a hazard to both marine vessels and floating structures along the channel.

### *GROUNDWATER LEVELS*

In Multnomah County a high ground water table is defined as groundwater between 0 and 24 inches below the surface. Areas with period high groundwater levels include parts of Sauvie Island. Groundwater is a significant factor in determining the suitability of an area for development. High groundwater tables can cause septic tank malfunction, basement flooding and can affect surface drainage.

### *SEISMIC HAZARDS*

Seismic monitoring stations were installed in the Portland area in 1980. The Portland area has a complex tectonic structure which includes faults that may be associated with past earthquake activity. The Portland Hills lineament, located in the Tualatin Mountains above Highway 30, was most likely responsible for a 1962 earthquake which measured 5.2 on the Richter scale. The approximate location of the epicenter was at Holbrook, near Highway 30, Logie Trail Road, and Multnomah Channel.

The U.S. Geological Survey and the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries are currently producing maps delineating the regional geology and potential for ground motion in the Portland Metropolitan Area. However, none of the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel rural area has yet been mapped, as the concentration to date has been on mapping for urban and future urban areas. Multnomah County has no mitigation program for seismic hazards at this time due to lack of information. Most likely, any mitigation program will be implemented through the enforcement of revised building codes which strengthen structures against seismic activities.

### *DISASTER PREPAREDNESS*

The floods of 1996 showed the need for emergency communications and evacuation plans during natural disasters such as flooding, or other potential disasters such as earthquakes or wildfire. Among the needs the flooding demonstrated are: method of notice for evacuation, method of distributing emergency information to Sauvie Island residents, and the need for coordination between Multnomah County, the Sauvie Island Drainage District and the Sauvie Island Fire Protection District. Another expressed need is a flood monitoring station for the reach of the Willamette and Columbia between Portland and St. Helens.

### **Hazards Policies**

**POLICY 32: Make protection from flood waters the highest priority among competing uses on Sauvie Island.**

**STRATEGY:** Through use of County ordinances, assist the Sauvie Island Drainage District in maintaining flood control facilities which protect the island.

**POLICY 33: Encourage property owners to control vegetation along Sauvie Island levees through methods that are least environmentally damaging as determined by the Sauvie Island Drainage District.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement these two policies by amending the County nuisance ordinance and through the budgeting process.

**POLICY 34: Post signs prohibiting trespass on drainage waterways where they intersect with public roads.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy through the work program of the Division of Transportation and Land Use Planning.

**POLICY 35: Consider methods of alleviating the compaction effects of roadways on levees through relocation of such roadways or reconstruction of such roadways with additional fill under them to raise the levees.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by working with the Drainage District and Corps of Engineers to devise and then implement a process for studying the dikes with roads on them protecting Sauvie Island.

**POLICY 36: Support the Sauvie Island Drainage district in its efforts to control vegetation growth in the district's drainage canals.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by responding to requests for assistance from the Sauvie Island Drainage District.

**POLICY 37: Assist the Sauvie Island Drainage District in reviewing and changing assessment practices order to encourage fair assessment of all properties on Sauvie Island which benefit from the activities of the district.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by responding to requests for assistance from the Sauvie Island Drainage District.

**POLICY 38: Take measures to protect Sauvie Island levees from bank erosion.**

**STRATEGY:** Encourage the Division of State Lands to promote the use of boom sticks and other materials which can absorb wakes for those portions of the Multnomah Channel and the Columbia and Willamette River shorelines where erosion is occurring and which do not have marinas or moorages in place.

**POLICY 39: Coordinate with federal and state agencies to remove hazardous debris from Multnomah Channel by preparing and implementing a program to remove such debris as**



a hazard to navigation and floating structures.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by requesting the Division of State Lands, the State Marine Board, and the Army Corps of Engineers participate in preparing a joint program to remove hazardous debris from Multnomah Channel.

**POLICY 40:** Assist the Sauvie Island Fire Protection District in formulating emergency communication and evacuation plans for Sauvie Island.

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by responding to requests for assistance from the Sauvie Island Fire Protection District.

## NATURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

Multnomah County has conducted two levels of analysis for significant natural and environmental resources on Sauvie Island and Multnomah Channel. The first, done at the time of the initial adoption of the Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan in 1980, identified several large-scale significant resource sites and historic and archaeological sites. The second, done in 1990, identified significant wetlands.

### *LARGE-SCALE SIGNIFICANT RESOURCE SITES*

Sturgeon Lake -- this site of approximately 3,000 acres encompasses that portion of the State Wildlife Refuge boundaries in Multnomah County as well as some adjacent private lands along Reeder Road north of its confluence with Gillihan Road. The site is designated as sensitive waterfowl habitat by the Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife. Additionally, this area was found to have significant natural areas, water areas, wetlands, and groundwater resources, all categories for protection under Goal 5 of the Oregon Statewide Planning Program. Multnomah County protected these natural and environmental resources by placing the Significant Environmental Concern (SEC) Zoning Overlay on the site. This overlay requires review of all non-agricultural development in order to minimize or eliminate impacts to wildlife habitat, wetlands, water areas, and groundwater resources.

West Side of Multnomah Channel -- this site is bounded by Highway 30 on the west. It includes open space, fish and wildlife habitat, natural areas, water areas, wetlands, and groundwater resources which are significant. Multnomah County protected these natural and environmental resources by placing the Willamette River Greenway (WRG) Zoning Overlay on the site. This overlay requires review of all non-agricultural development in order to minimize or eliminate impacts to open space, fish & wildlife habitat, natural areas, wetlands, water areas, and groundwater resources.

Howell Lake and Virginia Lakes -- these two sites are found to be significant as open space, fish and wildlife habitat, natural areas, water areas, wetlands, and groundwater resources. Howell Lake is located on the Bybee-Howell County Park (now owned by METRO). Virginia Lakes (now known as the Wapato State Park) are located on the east side of Multnomah Channel, west

of Sauvie Island Road north of its intersection with Reeder Road. Multnomah County protected these natural and environmental resources by placing the Willamette River Greenway (WRG) Zoning Overlay on the sites. This overlay requires review of all non-agricultural development in order to minimize or eliminate impacts to open space, fish & wildlife habitat, natural areas, wetlands, water areas, and groundwater resources.

### *HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL SITES*

Bybee-Howell House -- This Greek Revival styled home was constructed in 1856, and is the oldest structure in rural Multnomah County. It is part of the Bybee-Howell County Park (now administered by METRO). The Oregon Historical Society has completely restored the house and it is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. It is considered protected because of its listing and its location within a public park.

Native American Archaeological Sites -- The area around the confluence of the Willamette and Columbia Rivers was a well-known and favored location for Native American settlements from perhaps 3,500 years ago up through the early 1800's. Sauvie Island has several known village sites which were mapped by the Lewis and Clark expedition, as well as the Sunken Village site, located on Multnomah Channel near the southern end of the island. Information about these sites is not made known to the general public, due to the potential for abuse and concern for the private property rights of affected landowners.

### *WETLANDS*

There are several definitions of wetland areas. The one used by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for their National Wetland Inventory reads:

"Lands transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface or the land is covered by shallow water. For purposes of this classification, wetlands must have one or more of the following three attributes: 1) at least periodically, the land supports predominantly hydrophytes, 2) the substrate is predominantly undrained hydric soil, and 3) the substrate is non-soil and LS saturated with water or covered by shallow water at some time during the growing season of each year."

Most of Multnomah County is covered by the National Wetland Inventory (NWI) at a scale of 1"=2,000' on U.S. Geological Survey base maps. The NWI maps and enlargement of the NWI overlays on property maps of 1"=1,000' and 1"=600' are on record in the Planning Division map files.

The federal and state regulatory agencies use a slightly more restrictive definition for a wetland:

"Wetlands - Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions."

Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas."

This definition, used by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Oregon Division of State Lands, is also the one chosen by the county for use in the county's inventory and regulation of uses affecting wetlands.

As part of the State Goal 5 process, Multnomah County undertook a wetlands and riparian areas inventory during the spring and summer of 1988. Areas surveyed included Sauvie Island and Multnomah Channel.

Riparian areas adjacent to the wetlands and water areas were also evaluated and mapped as part of the inventory because of the inter-relationship they have for wildlife habitat.

The consultant's final report produced the following significant wetland and riparian areas for Sauvie Island and Multnomah Channel, along with each area's wildlife assessment rating, which measures its value as wildlife habitat (More detailed discussion of the wildlife habitat value of each site can be found in the original report):

1. Virginia Lakes (Score: 79-81 Points) -- now known as Wapato Access Greenway.

The Virginia Lakes area is approximately 280 acres, bordered on the south by Multnomah Channel and Sauvie Island Road to the north. It is a complex of six different vegetative community types.

Most of Virginia Lakes is owned and managed by the State of Oregon as a state park. The site is protected by the Willamette River Greenway Overlay Zone, which prevents all non-agricultural disruptions of the significant wetland area.

2. Rafton Tract (Score: 74 Points)

Rafton Tract (Burlington Bottoms) is located west of Sauvie Island, on the west side of Multnomah Channel. The site is a mosaic of riparian forest, emergent wetland, marshes and sloughs and grass/sedge meadows. Once a high quality wetland and wildlife habitat site, due to its species and structural diversity, the area's value has been greatly diminished by intensive cattle grazing.

In 1993 the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) purchased most of the Rafton-Burlington Bottoms site as mitigation for impacts to wetlands elsewhere in the Northwest. It is anticipated that the BPA will transfer ownership of its holdings to METRO. The BPA, in coordination with the Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife, produced an analysis of existing conditions on this land in 1994.

In 1995, Portland area voters approved a bond issue for METRO Parks and Greenspaces. This bond issue authorized METRO to purchase lands to the north of the BPA holdings in Burlington Bottoms for protection as open space and wetlands preservation. The Burlington Bottoms area has potential as a wildlife viewing area which could relieve the

pressure of such recreational uses on the Sauvie Island Wildlife Refuge. The site is protected by the Willamette River Greenway Overlay Zone, which prevents all non-agricultural disruptions of the significant wetland area.

### 3. Sturgeon Lake (Score: 71-73 Points)

Sturgeon Lake is a maze of floodplain lakes influenced by the Columbia River. Inflow and outflow of this shallow-bottomed lake is through the Gilbert River. The lake area is 2,928 acres with an elevation of eight feet and occupies the middle of Sauvie Island. Water levels are determined by Willamette Valley and Columbia River tidal influences. The lake complex receives a lot of human use: bird watching, hiking, canoeing, fishing and seasonal hunting on some portions of the lake. Much of the land surrounding Sturgeon Lake is owned by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and is managed as a refuge, primarily for water fowl. The oak woodlands of Oak Island border Sturgeon Lake to the west with agricultural land to the south.

Sturgeon Lake and the surrounding lands are zoned with the Significant Environmental Concern (SEC) overlay zone. This zone prevents all non-agricultural/forest disruptions of the significant wetland areas.

### 4. Multnomah Channel (Score: 65 Points)

Multnomah Channel, located on the west side of Sauvie Island, flows north from the Willamette to the Columbia River. The Channel is approximately seven miles long. The degree of slope and type and width of riparian vegetation varies along the channel. The greatest wildlife habitat function of Multnomah Channel is as a travel corridor. The water and adjacent riparian vegetation provide habitat for waterfowl, heron, cormorants and kingfishers. Human use of the channel is high, including several boat moorages, log rafts, day boaters and fishers.

Multnomah Channel is zoned with the Willamette River Greenway (WRG) zoning overlay district. This zone prevents all non agricultural/forest disruptions of significant wetland areas, and requires review of all development proposals for their impact upon such wetlands and wildlife habitat.

### 5. Dairy Creek, Gilbert River and Misc. Drainage ways (Score: 56 Points)

The riparian strips along the water features are predominantly black cottonwood and Oregon Ash dominated with alder, willow, cherry, hawthorn and big leaf maple. The wildlife habitat value of these riparian strips on Sauvie Island vary depending upon the width of the riparian strip and the adjacent land uses.

These waterways are mostly privately owned. The Gilbert River serves as the main drainage way for the Sauvie Island Drainage District's system. Both of these streams are zoned with the SEC overlay zone which protects the wetlands associated with them from non-agricultural development. "Related drainage ways" are not protected with the SEC

overlay zone, because they are of relatively insignificant value as wetland wildlife habitat.

6. Sand Lake (Score: 49 Points)

Sand Lake is a small isolated lake on Sauvie Island surrounded by agricultural land and houses. The land around Sand Lake is privately owned. Residents pump water in and out of the lake and have also treated the lake with chemicals to eradicate algal blooms. These activities effect the wildlife habitat value and use of the lake. Sand Lake is zoned with the SEC overlay zone, which prevents non-agricultural disruptions of the significant wetland areas.

7. Howell Lake (Score: 47 Points)

Howell Lake and the adjacent wetland are located north of the Bybee Howell House. The lake is primarily open-water with about 5% of the surface area covered with emergent aquatic vegetation. Adjacent land use is agricultural. The lake receives limited human use by bird watchers and visitors to the Bybee Howell House. Most of the wetland areas are part of the Bybee-Howell Park, administered by METRO. METRO is currently preparing a master plan for the park. The site is zoned with the Willamette River Greenway (WRG) zoning overlay district, which prevents all non- agricultural and non-forest disruptions of significant wetland areas.

8. Small lake near Wagonwheel Hole Lake (Score: 47 Points)

This small linear lake is densely vegetated with willow, black cottonwood and ash on one side and steep banks with red canary grass on the other. The impacts of diking, roads and fences limit the wildlife use of this site.

The site is privately owned. The SEC overlay zone which has been placed on the site prevents all non-agricultural disruptions of the significant wetland area.

9. Agricultural Ditches and Sloughs on Sauvie Island (Score: 37-40 Points)

The majority of the waterways bisect agricultural lands. The steep banks and dense mat of vegetation limit access to and from the water for some wildlife species. Water quality may be affected by chemical runoff from adjacent agricultural fields. Water levels in these ditches fluctuate seasonally.

These ditches and sloughs are privately owned. Some of the ditches are maintained by the Sauvie Island Drainage District, while the rest are the responsibility of individual property owners. These sites are not protected by the SEC overlay zone because of their small, fragmented nature, and the fact that they are all zoned for rural uses. Most are zoned Exclusive Farm Use, and any non-agricultural use must be approved through a conditional use permit process. Such a process would serve to protect significant wetlands from development or degradation.

10. Wagonwheel Hole Lake (Score: 37 Points)

This is a small body of open water at the northern limit of the county on Sauvie Island. The banks have been severely disturbed and are eroding. Human use, primarily fishing, is heavy. The site is mainly important due to its location between Sturgeon Lake and wetlands and Multnomah Channel to the west. Significant wetlands on this site are protected from non-agricultural disruptions by the SEC zoning overlay.

**Natural and Environmental Resources Policies**

**POLICY 41: Explore and encourage opportunities to conduct selected dredging to increase depth, flows, flushing, and circulation action in Sturgeon Lake.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by forwarding it to the Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife.

**POLICY 42: Make recommendations and participate in the planning for Howell Park with METRO.**

**STRATEGY:** Multnomah County shall implement this policy by participating in and reviewing the Howell Park Master Plan.

## **Summary of Staff recommended Policies**

### **Sauvie Island Land Use Policies**

**POLICY 1:** Support measures which will ensure that Sauvie Island maintains and enhances its agricultural diversity on Exclusive Farm Use lands.

**POLICY 2:** Multnomah County shall promote the appropriate establishment of farm stands and u-pick facilities which will support the agricultural economy of Sauvie Island.

**POLICY 3:** Include deed restrictions protecting surrounding agricultural practices as a requirement for dwelling approval in the Multiple Use Agriculture zoning district.

**POLICY 4:** Encourage property owners to protect their lands as wildlife habitat through the use of tax deferral programs, and allow switching of tax deferral status from agriculture to open space-wildlife habitat without penalty.

### **MULTNOMAH CHANNEL LAND AND WATER USE**

**POLICY 5:** Assist METRO in development of a regional hiking, equestrian, and bicycle trail along Multnomah Channel south of Burlington Bottoms connecting to the Cornelius Pass rails-to-trails potential conversion, which runs in upland areas in the vicinity of Highway 30 and the existing Burlington Northern Railroad, and minimizes impacts to existing waterfront uses.

**POLICY 6:** The County should participate in educational information and programs to better educate channel users on safety issues and required laws including no wake and buffer zones.

**POLICY 7:** The County should recommend to the State Marine Board that all boaters be required to obtain licenses through the state prior to operating motorized marine craft over 25 horsepower including personal watercraft.

**POLICY 8:** (a) Multnomah County should make river patrol and enforcement of laws a higher priority to the Sheriff's Department.  
(b) Multnomah County should make enforcement of zoning laws in the channel a higher priority to the Transportation and Land Use Planning Department.

**POLICY 9:** Multnomah County should begin studying the noise impacts of-motorized watercraft in order to establish base levels of noise pollution in the channel.

**POLICY 10:** Multnomah County Comprehensive Framework Plan Policy 26 should be amended and rewritten so that moorages and marinas will only be permitted in:

1. The area where houseboats are currently permitted by Policy 26, and;

**2. The existing Happy Rock, Sauvie Island, Parker, and Mayfair moorage sites.**

**POLICY 11:** The County should develop and maintain a current inventory of all marinas and moorages.

**POLICY 12:** The County zoning code should be consistent with the County assessor and the state regarding the definitions of houseboats, boathouses and combos. For purposes of density calculations, "houseboats" shall be defined as 1) any houseboat, and 2) any boathouse or combo which is used as a residence (occupied 7 or more days per month).

**POLICY 13:** Multnomah County should adopt procedures requiring each existing moorage/marina to become a 'special plan area' under MCC 11.15.6600 at the initiation of the County to determine uses and densities allowed for each moorage on the channel. The special plan area designation would be required at the time of citation for a zoning violation from Multnomah County, or when the property owner requests an expansion or alteration, or for any new marina/moorage developments.

**POLICY 14:** The overall density for each existing moorage/marina shall not exceed the existing levels as measured by factors such as area and length of docks and number of slips (existing as of January 1, 1997). The actual number of slips for each moorage/marina shall be determined at the time a special plan area is approved for the moorage/marina. The specific plan will look at such things as 'legally existing' issues, non-conforming status and carrying capacity of the land to determine the number of dwellings and other uses allowed in each marina/moorage.

**POLICY 15:** Development on Multnomah Channel within Special Plan Areas shall be judged upon the following criteria.

**Water Environmental**

*River Bank Protection* - Development which protects the river bank from erosion caused by boat traffic.

*Water Quality* - Development which contributes to or does not significantly degrade water quality

*Septic tanks/Sewage* - Development which is more amenable to safe and sanitary sewage disposal, along with adequate upland facilities for disposal of sewage.

*Wildlife* - Development which contributes to or do not have a significant detrimental impact to the wildlife in the water.

**Land Environmental**

*Development in Wetland* - Development which does not impact wetlands.

*Traffic Increase* - Development which minimizes increases in traffic on moorage access roads, on railroad crossings, and onto Highway 30.

*Parking* - Development which minimizes the amount of parking area necessary.

*Ground Water Quality* - Development which minimizes impacts to ground water quality.

*Need for Restroom Facilities* - Development which minimizes the need for additional communal restroom facilities to serve the proposed uses.

*Land Wildlife* - Development which minimizes impacts to land wildlife.



*Necessary Utilities* - Development which requires fewer utilities to serve proposed uses.

*Floodplain Development* - Development which minimizes placement of permanent structures and uses in the floodplain.

*Accessory Structures* - Development which minimizes the need for accessory on-land structures to serve proposed uses.

#### **Aesthetic**

*Vegetation on Land* - Development which minimizes the loss of land vegetation.

*Visibility of Shore* - Development which minimizes changes to natural shoreline features.

*Massing and Scale* - Development which has a human scale or architectural quality to it.

*Diversity/Rural character* - Development which maintains the existing diversity and rural character of Multnomah Channel.

*Lighting* - Development which minimizes night lighting of uses.

*Vegetation/landscape on Water* - Development which minimizes its visibility from the Multnomah Channel waterway.

#### **Safety**

*Contribution to Channel Traffic* - Development which minimizes channel traffic.

*Residential Link* - Development with a permanent residence component which provides a human presence to both report emergencies and violations on Multnomah Channel.

*Fire Hazard* - Development which minimizes fire hazard.

*Emergency Services* - Development which minimizes the need for emergency services.

**Economic** - Development which provides economic value to Multnomah County in the form of assessment value and reduced need for public services.

#### **Recreation**

*Contribution to Public Recreation* - Development which contributes to public recreation opportunities on Multnomah Channel.

#### **Cumulative Impacts**

The cumulative impacts of the proposed development on the overall carrying capacity of Multnomah Channel shall be considered and minimized.

**The criteria listed shall be weighed and balanced by the hearing body considering each Special Plan Area so as to determine the most appropriate intensity and type of development allowed within each of these areas.**

**In reviewing each Special Plan Area, Multnomah County shall consult with other relevant local, state, and federal agencies, including but not limited to the following agencies:**

**Division of State Lands**

**Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife**

**Oregon Parks and Recreation Department**

**Oregon State Marine Board**

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

**Metro Parks and Greenspaces**

**POLICY 16: Implement code language within the special plan area criteria that incorporates the more specialized ideas in these policies. This concept should be carried out with input from citizens on the channel and should include guidelines regarding**

**lighting, landscaping and architectural design within the special plan areas for development.**

**POLICY 17: Multnomah County should promote responsible recreational uses in the channel by allowing public access or boat launches to occur as part of any redevelopment.**

Discussion: The other policies in this plan shall not be construed to discourage public access to the water from the land or vice versa.

## **RECREATION**

**POLICY 18: Encourage managers of the Sauvie Island Wildlife Area to post information signs regarding closures of areas to public use which explain why the area is being closed.**

**POLICY 19: Encourage Metro to purchase additional greenspace lands on the west side of Multnomah Channel in order to expand and enhance the Burlington Bottoms wildlife area.**

**POLICY 20: Promote recreational activities within the rural plan area which are complementary to natural and environmental resources identified pursuant to Goal 5 of the Statewide Planning Program.**

## **TRANSPORTATION**

**POLICY 21: Recommend that the Multnomah County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee has significant Sauvie Island representation.**

**POLICY 22: Have the Multnomah County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizen Advisory Committee study and recommend to the Board of Commissioners short-term and long-term solutions to safely accommodate bicyclists, pedestrians, and motor vehicles on Sauvie Island including on-road bikeways, separated multi-use paths, and funding options.**

**POLICY 23: Update Policy 33B Marine Transportation System in the Comprehensive Framework Plan.**

**POLICY 24: Oppose placement of regional roadways in the Sauvie Island/Multnomah Channel Rural Area, should such roadways be under consideration by any regional transportation authority in the future.**

**POLICY 25: Review rural roadway standards to determine if 8-foot paved shoulder widths can be reduced to preserve the rural character of roads.**

**POLICY 26: Participate in a cooperative effort with the Sauvie Island Drainage District and the Army Corps of Engineers to study the dikes upon which public roads run including funding for dike improvements.**

## **PUBLIC FACILITIES**

**POLICY 27:** Study methods by which the Sauvie Island Rural Fire Protection District can be reimbursed for providing fire and emergency medical services to island visitors.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**POLICY 28:** Coordinate promulgation and enforcement of air quality, water quality, lighting, and noise pollution issues with the City of Portland and the Port of Portland.

**POLICY 29:** Provide for safe and easy collection and disposal of sewage from marine uses in Multnomah Channel.

**POLICY 30:** Coordinate with the Division of State Lands to remove floating structures which are illegally sited and do not meet County zoning standards.

**POLICY 31:** Recommend that any fill generated as a result of dredging activities in the Columbia River be located on Sauvie Island only under the following conditions:

- To assist in flood control
- Not on designated wetlands
- Not on high value farmland unless placement of such fill improves a farm's soils or productivity
- In areas where it will not negatively impact wildlife habitat

## **NATURAL HAZARDS**

**POLICY 32:** Make protection from flood waters the highest priority among competing uses on Sauvie Island.

**POLICY 33:** Encourage property owners to control vegetation along Sauvie Island levees through methods that are least environmentally damaging as determined by the Sauvie Island Drainage District.

**POLICY 34:** Post signs prohibiting trespass on drainage waterways where they intersect with public roads.

**POLICY 35:** Consider methods of alleviating the compaction effects of roadways on levees through relocation of such roadways or reconstruction of such roadways with additional fill under them to raise the levees.

**POLICY 36:** Support the Sauvie Island Drainage district in its efforts to control vegetation growth in the district's drainage canals.

**POLICY 37:** Assist the Sauvie Island Drainage District in reviewing and changing assessment practices order to encourage fair assessment of all properties on Sauvie Island

which benefit from the activities of the district.

**POLICY 38: Take measures to protect Sauvie Island levees from bank erosion.**

**POLICY 39: Coordinate with federal and state agencies to remove hazardous debris from Multnomah Channel by preparing and implementing a program to remove such debris as a hazard to navigation and floating structures.**

**POLICY 40: Assist the Sauvie Island Fire Protection District in formulating emergency communication and evacuation plans for Sauvie Island.**

#### **NATURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES**

**POLICY 41: Explore and encourage opportunities to conduct selected dredging to increase depth, flows, flushing, and circulation action in Sturgeon Lake.**

**POLICY 42: Make recommendations and participate in the planning for Howell Park with METRO.**