

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd. Portland Oregon
Tuesday June 9 2015**

BUDGET WORK SESSION #16

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 10:10 a.m. with Vice-Chair Jules Bailey and Commissioners Loretta Smith Judy Shiprack and Diane McKeel.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour County Attorney and Lynda Grow Board Clerk.

[THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF THE CLOSED CAPTIONING OF THIS BROADCAST.]

BWS-16a FY 2016 Budget - Follow Up & Amendments. Presenter: Karyne Kieta Budget Director and Invited Guests.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS. WE HAVE BUDGET WORK SESSION 16. GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Kieta: GOOD MORNING MADAM CHAIR KARYNE KIETA BUDGET DIRECTOR AND I HAVE WITH ME MIKE JASPIN. I WOULD LIKE TO GO OVER THE AGENDA THIS MORNING BEFORE WE DIG INTO THE MATERIAL. THERE IS SOME METHOD TO THE ORDER OF THINGS THAT WE HAVE ON THE AGENDA TODAY. A MAJORITY OF TODAY'S SESSION IS GOING TO BE DEVOTED TO DEPARTMENT AND BOARD AMENDMENTS AND BUDGET NOTES WHICH WE'LL BE WALKING THROUGH. WE HAVE COMPLETED ALL OF THE DEPARTMENT PRESENTATIONS. WE HAVE TWO BRIEFINGS ON WEDNESDAY. YOU WILL HEAR ABOUT CYBER SECURITY AND PERS. YOU HAVE ALSO HEARD THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CIC AND THE CBACS AND TESTIMONY AT TWO OF OUR THREE PUBLIC WORK SESSIONS. PUBLIC HEARINGS TOMORROW IS THE LAST HEARING HERE IN THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BUILDING. TODAY WILL BE ONE OF THE FEW REMAINING SESSIONS TO PROPOSE REVIEW AND DELIBERATE ON AMENDMENTS. AND BUDGET NOTES. AFTER THE TWO FOLLOW-UP SESSIONS THAT WE HAVE SCHEDULED TOMORROW WE HAVE AN AFTERNOON IF WE NEED IT AND WE ALSO HAVE ANOTHER SESSION SCHEDULED FOR THE 16TH WHICH IS TUESDAY AND TWO DAYS BEFORE WE ADOPT THE BUDGET.

I WILL BE CHECKING IN WITH YOU TODAY AT THE END OF THE SESSION TO SEE IF YOU FEEL THAT WE NEED TO GO AHEAD AND HOLD THE WORK SESSION ON WEDNESDAY TOMORROW. JUST A REMINDER THERE ARE NO VOTES TODAY. IT IS MERELY AN OPPORTUNITY TO DELIBERATE AND GET YOUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED IN PREPARATION FOR OUR VOTE ON THE

16TH NEXT WEEK.

Ms. Kieta: BEFORE WE MOVE INTO THE WORK TODAY I WANT TO OUTLINE SOME OF THE RULES AND GUIDELINES THAT WE HAVE TO WORK WITHIN. OUR BRIGHTEST LINE FOR THE BUDGET PROCESS IS DICTATED BY OREGON BUDGET LAW AND THE MAIN THING IS THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET WHICH MEANS WE CANNOT SPEND ANY MORE MONEY THAN WE HAVE. A SECOND VERY IMPORTANT RULE IS THAT THE SUM OF THE AMENDMENTS ADOPTED CANNOT CHANGE IT BY MORE THAN 10% FROM THE APPROVED BUDGET. REALLY WHERE THE CHANGES ARE OCCURRING ARE IN THE GENERAL FUNNELED BUDGET AND OUR LIMIT THERE WOULD BE \$47 MILLION. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT WE'RE AT RISK OF GOING OVER THAT AMOUNT. WE ALSO HAVE THE BUDGET AND FINANCE POLICIES WHICH ARE THERE TO HELP GUIDE THE BOARD'S DECISIONS. WE HAVE SOME SPECIFIC POLICIES THAT ARE INTRICATELY LINKED TO THE BUDGET. THE FIRST ONE IS THAT WE HAVE A FINANCIAL FORECAST WHICH SETS THE AMOUNT OF AVAILABLE REVENUE AT THAT WE HAVE TO SPEND IN FISCAL YEAR 2016. WE HAVE COMPLETED THAT.

THE SECOND RULE IS BUDGETING OF GENERAL FUND RESERVES AND AS YOU ARE ALL AWARE WE ARE FULLY FUNDED IN OUR RESERVES. THE THIRD IS USING ONE-TIME ONLY FOR ONE-TIME ONLY PURPOSES AND DEDICATING 50% OF THE ONE-TIME ONLY FOR CAPITAL AND THE FOURTH GUIDING PRINCIPLE IS WE HAVE A POLICY ON THE FEDERAL STATE GRANT FOUNDATION REVENUES AND IMPLICIT IS THAT WE SPEND OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY FIRST AND SO WE'RE IN VERY GOOD SHAPE THERE. LASTLY THERE ARE MORE PRESCRIPTIVE RULES THAT WE MUST FOLLOW AND THAT IS THE PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTING LAWS COT COUNTY CODE AND ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND THERE ARE FUNDING RESTRICTIONS FOR REVENUES OTHER THAN THE GENERAL FUND SO WE'RE IN GOOD SHAPE.

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE INTO THE AMENDMENTS. WE'LL BEGIN WITH THE DEPARTMENTAL AMENDMENTS FIRST AND THEN MOVE TO THE BOARD AMENDMENTS. SO EACH YEAR WE MAKE CHANGES TO THE BUDGET AFTER IT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD BUT BEFORE IT'S ADOPTED AND THESE CHANGES ARE MADE THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENTS. AND THE BOARD WILL VOTE ON THESE AMENDMENTS DURING THE ADOPTION PROCESS. SO I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE YOU START WITH THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS. IT SAYS ATTACHMENT A AND IN YOUR HANDOUT IT'S THE LONG PACKET. THERE ARE FIVE CATEGORIES OF AMENDMENTS AND I WILL REVIEW THEM AND WE CAN TAKE ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE. WE ALSO HAVE DEPARTMENTS HERE IF YOU WANT TO DIVE INTO THOSE AND GET MORE SPECIFIC DETAILS THEY WILL BE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY OF THOSE QUESTIONS.

Ms. Kieta: I WOULD LIKE TO START FIRST WITH THE EASIEST AND WORK MY WAY UP AND I WANT TO DESCRIBE THE SPREADSHEET A BIT FOR FOLKS ACROSS THE TOP IN THE BLACK BAR YOU WILL SEE WE HAVE THE PROGRAM NUMBER THAT THE AMENDMENT AFFECTS. AND THEN THE PROGRAM NAME THE DEPARTMENTS INVOLVED IN IT. IF THERE IS A CHANGE TO OTHER FUNDS THE TOTAL CHANGE AMOUNT AND IF THERE ARE ANY FTES ADDED. WE ALSO TRIED TO INCLUDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION SO YOU KNOW WHAT IS ENCOMPASSED IN THE AMENDMENTS AND WE HAVE ASSIGNED IT AN AMENDMENT NUMBER FOR OUR TRACKING AND PROCESSING PURPOSES.

SO STARTING WITH THE TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS WHICH ARE THE AMENDMENTS FOLLOWING THE GREEN BAR AT THE TOP THERE. WE HAVE THREE TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS AND THESE ARE THE MOST BORING OF ALL THE AMENDMENTS. THEY ARE CONSIDERED HOUSECLEANING. AND TYPICALLY ONLY MAKE ADJUSTMENTS BETWEEN OBJECT CODES AHEY DON'T CHANGE THE BOTTOM LINE. WE DO HAVE ONE EXCEPTION TO THAT RULE AND I WILL BE TALKING ABOUT IT IN JUST A MINUTE. BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO JUST SO YOU CAN GET A FLAVOR OF THE TYPES OF AMENDMENTS THAT WE HAVE HERE I WOULD LIKE TO START AT THE TOP WITH THE COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION AND THE COMMUNITY HEALING INITIATIVE. THIS IS BETWEEN COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES AND THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE. DURING THE FORMATION OF CRAFTING THE CHAIR'S EXECUTIVE BUDGET THERE WAS A PROPOSAL TO TRANSFER CHAI TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE AND AS PART OF THAT TRANSACTION THERE IS 33000 OF TRAINING THAT REALLY BELONGED TO COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES AND SO THIS MOVE THAT 33000 BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE TO COMMUNITY COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES.

Ms. Kieta: IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS I WOULD MOVE TO THE SECOND AMENDMENT JUST TO SHOW YOU HOW BORING THESE ONES ARE. THIS CORRECTS A CODE SOMEONE TRANSPOSED A NUMBER AND SO THAT JUST CORRECTS THAT. THERE IS NO CHANGES TO THE BOTTOM LINE. THIS LAST AMENDMENT IS A SHARED AMENDMENT AND IT'S A PLACE-HOLDER AND WHAT HAPPENS IS THAT AFTER THE BOARD ADOPTS ALL OF THE AMENDMENTS THERE IS CHANGES TO THE INTERIM SERVICE FUNDS. THIS CREATES A PLACE HOLDER AND ALLOWS US TO BALANCE THE INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS AFTER WE KNOW WHAT WAS ADOPTED. THIS AMENDMENT ALSO CORRECTS THE FACILITIES' INTERNAL RATE ERROR FOR THE MULTNOMAH BUILDING AND THAT'S WHERE YOU SEE THE 41000 THERE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TURN TO PAGE TWO WE'RE MOVING ONTO THE STAFFING AMENDMENTS AND THERE ARE FOUR STAFFING AMENDMENTS. AND THESE ARE MOSTLY ABOUT MAKING SURE THAT WE HAVE THE CORRECT JOBS LISTED IN THE ADOPTED BUDGETS.

Ms. Kieta: SO IF WE START UP AT THE FIRST AMENDMENT THERE YOU SEE A NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTS. AND THIS PARTICULAR STAFFING AMENDMENT UPDATES 31 POSITIONS THAT THE BOARD HAS ALREADY APPROVED FOR RECLASSIFICATION IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 BUDGET BUT THAT APPROVAL CAME AFTER THE DEPARTMENT SUBMITTED THEIR REQUESTED BUDGET IN FEBRUARY. SO WE'RE MERELY UPDATING THE NUMBERS TO REFLECT AN ACTION THEY TOOK THIS YEAR. ON THE SECOND AMENDMENT THIS SPECIFICALLY REFERS TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT JOB CLASSIFICATIONS. AND THIS UPDATES 12 POSITIONS IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT THAT WERE RECLASSIFIED AND APPROVED BY CLASS COMP BUT THE BOARD HAS NOT APPROVED IT YET. THIS ALSO OCCURRED AFTER THE DEPARTMENT SUBMITTED THEIR BUDGET SO IT INCORPORATES THEY RECOMMENDED CHANGES INTO THE ADOPTED BUDGET. IF YOU MOVE TO THE THIRD AMENDMENT THE AUDITOR'S OFFICE IT IS THE EXACT SAME STORY AS THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. I JUST WANT TO MENTION THE NUMBERS THAT YOU SEE THERE THE SMALL NUMBERS JUST FLEX THE SALARY RELATED EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE CHANGE IN CLASSIFICATION FOR THE POSITIONS.

THE LAST AMENDMENT UNDER STAFFING AMENDMENT IS FOR FACILITIES' CAPITAL OPERATIONS COST. AND THIS AMENDMENT REVERTS TO PREVIOUSLY RECLASSIFIED POSITIONS BACK TO THE ORIGINAL CLASSIFICATION. WE'RE UNDER NEW MANAGEMENT AND THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO FIGURE OUT MORE WHAT THE DIRECTION THAT THEY WANTED TO MOVE IN. SO IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 3 OF YOUR PACKET WE'RE MOVING ONTO REVENUE AMENDMENTS AND THERE ARE EIGHT OF THESE AND TYPICALLY REVENUE AMENDMENTS INCORPORATE REVENUES NOT INCLUDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET. SO AN EXAMPLE OF A REVENUE AMENDMENT WOULD BE A GRANT THAT WAS AWARDED TO A DEPARTMENT IN APRIL WHICH WAS AFTER THE BUDGET WAS SUBMITTED AFTER THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WAS CLOSED DOWN AND THE PROGRAM OFFERS WERE TURNED IN SO IT WILL INCORPORATE THAT REVENUE INTO THE ADOPTED BUDGET. OR THIS ALSO UPDATES THE BEGINNING WORKING CAPITAL WHERE A DEPARTMENT MADE AN ESTIMATE BACK IN FEBRUARY AND WE HAVE BETTER INFORMATION NOW SO WE'RE JUST UPDATING THE NUMBER TO REFLECT BETTER INFORMATION.

SO I WOULD LIKE TO START OUT JUST TO WARM US UP WITH THE FIRST AMENDMENT ON THE SHEET. AND THIS IS THE AGING DISABILITY VETERAN SERVICES DIVISION ACCESS AND EARLY INTERVENTION SERVICES FOR COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES. THIS AMENDMENT RECOGNIZES AN ADDITIONAL \$2 MILLION FROM THE VETERAN'S ADMINISTRATION HEALTH SYSTEMS. AND WHAT THE MONEY WILL BE USED FOR IS TO ENROLL VETERANS WHO ARE AT RISK OF NURSING HOME PLACEMENT AND IT PROVIDES SOME RELIEF TO THE UNPAID CAREGIVERS. YOU WILL SEE A.57 OF FTE. THE NEXT AMENDMENT ADDS THE OTHER PORTION OF THAT POSITION SO THE

DEPARTMENT IS COMBINING TWO REVENUE SOURCES TO FULLY FUND ONE POSITION.

Ms. Kieta: BECAUSE OF THESE REVENUE AMENDMENTS THEY ARE NUMEROUS I AM GOING TO PICK HIGHLIGHTS ON EACH PAGE. UNLESS YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE ONTO PAGE 4. ON PAGE 4 IF YOU LOOK AT THE SECOND AMENDMENT THIS IS FOR MENTAL HEALTH RESIDENTIAL SERVICES FAMILY AND YOUTH ADDICTION TREATMENT CONTINUUM IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. THIS AMENDMENT ADDS \$1.9 MILLION TO THE STATE MENTAL HEALTH GRANT IN THE FEDERAL STATE FUND AND \$1.7 MILLION OF THAT IS PASS-THROUGH FUNDING TO THE LOCAL AGENCIES TO PROVIDE 24-HOUR TREATMENT SERVICES FOR INDIVIDUALS DIAGNOSED WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESSES. THIS ALSO ADDS TWO FTEs TO THE BUDGET. THE BOARD APPROVED THE TWO FTEs IN THIS FISCAL YEAR BUT AFTER THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WAS CREATED. IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE TO PAGE 5.

AND I WOULD LIKE TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE MIDDLE AMENDMENT. THIS IS AN ANNUAL AMENDMENT THAT RECOGNIZES DONATIONS MADE TO THE LIBRARY DISTRICT. AND FROM THE LIBRARY DISTRICT TO THE LIBRARY FUND AND WE TYPICALLY ADD THIS AMENDMENT LATE IN THE PROCESS. WE HAVE HAD THIS FOR QUITE A FEW YEARS AND THE REASON WE DO THIS IS TO REFLECT THE FOUNDATION'S DESIRE TO SUPPORT THE LIBRARY AND TO HIGHLIGHT WHAT THE DONATIONS ARE PAYING FOR. YOU WILL SEE A COMPANION AMENDMENT TO THIS WHEN WE GET TO THE LIBRARY DISTRICT AT THE END OF TODAY'S WORK SESSION TO MAKE THE CHANGES TO THEIR FUND. ALSO YOU WILL BE ACTING AS THE LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD. THAT AMENDMENT ADDS 3.25 FTEs.

THE LAST AMENDMENT ON THIS PAGE IS ANIMAL SERVICES AND ANIMAL CARE. THIS ESTIMATES \$45000 IN DONATIONS FOR THE KITTY TRIAGE PROJECT. YOU HAVE HEARD KIM PEOPLES TALKING ABOUT THE INDIGO CAMPAIGN WHICH WILL CLOSE ON JUNE 15 SO THIS IS IN ANTICIPATION OF RAISING THAT \$45000 IN THE BUDGET.

NEXT IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 6 WE'RE MOVING ONTO A NEW CATEGORY OF AMENDMENTS. THESE ARE CALLED CARRY-OVER AMENDMENTS. A CARRYOVER AMENDMENT IS A ONE-TIME ONLY RE-APPROPRIATION IN FISCAL YEAR 2016 OF AN EXPENDITURE THAT WAS AUTHORIZED IN FISCAL YEAR 2015. IT IS LIMITED TO THE GENERAL FUND. THIS IS A FAIRLY RESTRICTIVE TYPE OF AN AMENDMENT AND TYPICALLY WE ONLY USE THESE WHEN A DEPARTMENT HAS ENTERED INTO A PURCHASE ORDER FOR SOMETHING IN FISCAL YEAR 2015 AND THEY DO NOT ANTICIPATE RECEIVING IT WITHIN THIS FISCAL YEAR. IT ALLOWS US TO PAY FOR THAT ITEM OUT OF 2015. WE DO HAVE AN UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCE AND WE HAVE ALLOWED TWO EXCEPTIONS THIS YEAR. AND THAT'S DUE TO THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND

THE TROUTDALE POLICE DEPARTMENT CONSOLIDATION SO I WANT TO WALK THROUGH THESE AMENDMENTS ONE BY ONE SO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE.

Ms. Kieta: SO THE FIRST CARRYOVER AMENDMENT IS THE SHERIFF'S CONTRACT WITH THE TROUTDALE POLICE SERVICES. WHAT THIS DOES IS CARRIES OVER \$160000 FROM THE SHERIFF'S ENDING BALANCE TO PURCHASE EIGHT VEHICLES. AND SO THE SHERIFF MUST UNDERSPEND THAT AMOUNT AND WE WILL GO AHEAD AND BUY THE VEHICLES. THE SECOND EXCEPTION TO THE CARRYOVER IS ALSO WITH REGARD TO THE CONTRACT WITH THE TROUTDALE FOR POLICE SERVICES. WE ARE PROPOSING TO CARRY OVER \$228000 PAY FOR THE BUILDING LEASE IN TROUTDALE WHERE WE WILL BE PROVIDING SERVICES. AGAIN THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE MUST UNDERSPEND HIS BUDGET THIS YEAR IN ORDER FOR THAT MONEY TO BE CARRIED OVER.

THE LAST ITEM IS MORE OF THE TYPICAL ITEM THAT QUALIFIES FOR A CARRYOVER AMENDMENT AND THIS HAS TO DO WITH THE ELECTIONS BALLOT TALLY SYSTEM. WE AWARDED A CONTRACT FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR BUT WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COMPLETE IT PRIOR TO THE END OF THE YEAR AND SO THE DEPARTMENT HAS NOT SPENT ANY OF THOSE DOLLARS YET AND THEY ARE REQUESTING IT TO CARRY OVER SO THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND COMPLETE THAT CONTRACT AWARD AND GET THE UPGRADE TO THE TALLY SYSTEM.

THE LAST CATEGORY OF AMENDMENTS ARE CALLED PROGRAM AMENDMENTS AND THERE IS ONLY ONE THIS YEAR. IT'S A VERY EASY ONE. BUT TYPICALLY A PROGRAM AMENDMENT MAKES A POLICY-DRIVEN CHANGE IN THE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET THAT RESULTS IN A CHANGE OF FUNDING OR STAFFING LEVELS. THIS IS THE ACTUAL APPROVING OF THE CONTRACT WITH THE TROUTDALE POLICE SERVICES. THE BOARD HAS ALREADY APPROVED THAT IN APRIL. BUT IT WAS AFTER THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WAS COMPLETED AND SO THIS INCORPORATES THAT ACTION INTO THE FISCAL YEAR 2016 BUDGET. SO ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS? THAT CONCLUDES WHAT WE HAVE FOR THIS PARTICULAR SECTION.

Chair Kafoury: WE HAVE FOLKS FROM THE DEPARTMENT HERE IF PEOPLE HAVE QUESTIONS THAT ARE SOMEWHAT TECHNICAL IN NATURE. COMMISSIONER SMITH?

Commissioner Smith: THANK YOU. WITH THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS THEY WILL BE ABLE TO SUBMIT THESE ALL THE WAY UP UNTIL NEXT WEEK?

Ms. Kieta: NO. WE HAVE THE CUT-OFF AND THE DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ARE DONE. THIS IS ALL THAT YOU HAVE. WE WILL NOT SEE ANYTHING ELSE.

Commissioner Smith: TODAY IS THE CUT-OFF? I THINK WE CUT THAT OFF LAST WEEK. OK GREAT.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

Commissioner Bailey: THE KITTEN TRIAGE AMENDMENT WINS THE AWARD FOR THE MOST ADORABLE AMENDMENT HANDSDOWN.

Chair Kafoury: I HEAR THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF KITTIES SO EVERYONE SHOULD GO AND ADOPT THEM.

Ms. Kieta: ALL RIGHT VERY WELL. NEXT I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO ANOTHER ATTACHMENT BUT THIS IS BOARD AMENDMENTS AND IT'S ON THIS SHORT BLUE SHEET WHICH YOU ARE VERY VERY FAMILIAR WITH. THE ONLY CRITERION FOR THE BOARD AMENDMENT IS THAT IT IS PROPOSED BY A BOARD MEMBER AND TODAY THE PURPOSE OF THE SESSION REALLY IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS TO PRESENT THEIR PROPOSED AMENDMENTS IN MORE DETAIL AND FOR EVERYONE TO GET THEIR QUESTIONS ANSWERED. THIS IS ALSO AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE BOARD MEMBERS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION AS TO HOW THEY WOULD LIKE TO PAY FOR THE AMENDMENTS WHICH COULD EITHER BE FROM THE EXISTING POT OF REVENUE NEW REVENUE THAT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED IN THE \$5.6 MILLION OR BY OFFSETTING WITH REDUCTIONS. THE GOAL WE'RE TRYING TO GET IS TO GET THE INFORMATION OUT ON THE TABLE SO WE CAN SEE WHERE WE ARE AT AND THIS IS IN PREPARATION FOR ADOPTING THE BUDGET NEXT WEEK. MIKE HAS CREATED A DYNAMIC SPREADSHEET AND HE'S GOING TO HELP US TO TRACK ANY PROPOSED CHANGES ANY NEW AMENDMENTS THAT ARE COMING UP REAL-TIME SO WE CAN SEE WHERE WE'RE AT. WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IN TERMS OF GETTING THROUGH THIS PIECE OF IT IS TO DISCUSS EACH AMENDMENT ONE AT A TIME. WE CAN EITHER DO THIS BY DISTRICT OR JUST START AT THE TOP OF THE SHEET.

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR. THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS. I WOULD FIRST LIKE TO PULL PROGRAM OFFER 10031 THE EAST COUNTY CLEAN DIESEL SCHOOL BUS RETROFIT CURRENTLY INCLUDED AT \$ 500,000. IN AN EFFORT TO ALIGN THAT 500,000 MORE CLOSELY WITH SAFE TRANSPORTATION EFFORTS I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THAT THE MONEY BE ALLOCATED IN PART TO SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROJECTS INCLUDING \$120,000 FOR TWO RAPID FLASHING BEACONS INSTALLED PER THE SCHOOL ACTION PLANS AT CHERRY PARK KINGS BYWAY IN TROUTDALE AT 21ST. \$20,000 TO THE CROSSWALK ENHANCEMENTS AND \$10000 FOR PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS.

Commissioner McKeel: I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE CHAIR FOR CONSIDERING THESE COUNTY SCHOOL CHILDREN AND I THINK THAT WE CAN DO A LOT OF GOOD WITH THIS MONEY AND I KNOW FROM A SCHOOL DISTRICT PERSPECTIVE THAT THESE CHANGES ARE VERY TANGIBLE AND EFFECTIVE. IN AN EFFORT TO PULL SEVERAL AMENDMENTS TOGETHER I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ALLOCATE SOME OF THE REMAINING \$350,000 TO AMENDMENTS THAT I HAVE PREVIOUSLY PROPOSED THROUGHOUT OUR WORK SESSIONS AS A WAY TO HAVE THE BEST IMPACT ON OUR BUDGET AS POSSIBLE. THESE INCLUDE THE ROSEMOUNT COMMUNITY SAFETY INITIATIVE AT \$65,000 THAT I PROPOSED THE EAST COUNTY JUVENILE RECEPTION CENTER AT \$25,000 THAT I PROPOSED AND THE SUN PROGRAM EXPANSION AT NORTH GRESHAM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL FOR \$35,000 THAT I PROPOSED. THAT LEAVES OVER \$225,000 THAT CAN BE ALLOCATED IN ONE-TIME ONLY NEEDS TO MEET OUR NEEDS IN THIS BUDGET AS WELL. THANK YOU.

Commissioner Bailey: CHAIR. THANK YO. TO BEGIN WITH I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE CHAIR AND DCS FOR THEIR WILLINGNESS TO THINK THROUGH CREATIVE SOLUTIONS TO HOW WE FUND THE PROGRAM OFFER NUMBER 95001 THE FIRST PHASE OF THE BURNSIDE BRIDGE FEASIBILITY STUDY PROJECT. I WOULD LIKE TO WITHDRAW THAT AMENDMENT AND INSTEAD INCLUDE A BUDGET NOTE THAT WILL NOTE THAT I COMMISSIONER BAILEY WILL BE WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES ON STRUCTURING OF A FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR THE SEISMIC REHABILITATION OF THE BURNSIDE BRIDGE. WE WILL BE EXPLORING ALL AVENUES OF FUNDING AND PURSUING THE POSSIBILITY OF AN INTER-FUND LOAN OUT OF THE RISK FUND AND WILL REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD WITH A PLAN FOR DOING THAT BY NOVEMBER OF 2015. SO IT IS OUR INTENTION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS. AND WE THINK THAT THERE ARE SOME WAYS THAT WE CAN WORK TO GET THIS DONE SO I WILL WITHDRAW THAT. SECOND I WOULD LIKE TO AGAIN THANK THE CHAIR AND THE CHAIR'S MESSAGE THE CHAIR TALKS ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET SETS ASIDE \$1 MILLION IN CONTINGENCY FOR A BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CENTER WHICH IS A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT PROVIDED BY LEGACY HEALTH. I HAVE OFFERED AN AMENDMENT TO INCREASE THAT BY \$2 MILLION TO A TOTAL OF \$3 MILLION. AND I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT ENTIRE AMOUNT FROM CONTINGENCY AND PUT THE \$1.5 MILLION FROM THE BURNSIDE BRIDGE FEASIBILITY STUDY TOWARDS THAT THAT PROJECT TOWARDS THAT ADDITIONAL \$2 MILLION.

IN ADDITION I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IN LOOKING AT WAYS TO STREAMLINE THE SERVICES AND TO FOCUS ON SAFETY AND PREVENTION. THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS CURRENTLY IN THE PROCESS OF COVERING THE COST OF 60% OF TWO OF THE BEDS IN THE TRIAGE PILOT THROUGH MEDICAID TO BACK OUT \$240000 IN ONGOING MONEY FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE GENERAL FUND WOULD LIKE

TO USE THAT TO FUND THE AMENDMENT RELATED TO THE PARTNERSHIP WITH PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS AROUND THE HEALTHY TEAM RELATIONSHIP ACT AND CO-FUNDING OF A TEACHER ON SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT AT \$50000 AND THE EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE PUBLIC SAFETY THROUGH FUNDING OF THE WEST SIDE COMMUNITY RESOURCE OFFICER AND THE REMAINDER OF THAT AMOUNT TOWARDS A PORTION OF FUNDING THE PROGRAM OFFERS 60088 GUN DISPOSITION RESTRAINING ORDER VIOLATIONS AND I WANT TO THANK THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE FOR WORKING TO FIND THE REMAINDER OF THAT MONEY WITHIN THEIR BUDGET.

Chair Kafoury: DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER --

Ms. Kieta: MAY I ASK A CLARIFYING QUESTION ON THE PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY ROOM? IS THE TOTAL IS \$3 OR \$2.5 --

Commissioner Bailey: I'M SORRY THE TOTAL IS \$3 MILLION. SO THE \$1 MILLION IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET PLUS AN ADDITIONAL \$2 MILLION.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU AND YOU SAID YOU WANTED IT REMOVED FROM GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES?

Commissioner Bailey: TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. Ms. Kieta: HEALTH DEPARTMENT THANK YOU.

Commissioner Bailey: TO THE MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION.

Chair Kafoury: WHERE IS THAT DIVISION NOW? DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION KARYNE? JUST MAKING SURE THAT EVERYONE IS CAUGHT UP.

Commissioner Shiprack: I HAVE A QUESTION BUT I HOPE IT'S APPROPRIATE I CAN SAVE IT AND ASK IT LATER BUT I'M JUST CURIOUS ABOUT THE FUNDING MECHANISM THAT INVOLVES TAKING SAVINGS FOR MEDICAID REIMBURS LET'S SEE IF I'M SAYING THIS BACK ACCURATELY COMMISSIONER. TAKING MONEY FROM THE SAVINGS DUE TO MEDICAID REIMBURSEMENT AT THE CATSY FACILITY? IS THAT MONEY THAT HAS ELUDED ACCOUNTING INTO THE GENERAL FUND IN OTHER WAYS OR IS THAT -- I MEAN MY -- I'M JUST NOT CLEAR THAT IT IS AVAILABLE TO US TO CAPTURE MEDICAID SAVINGS FROM ONE POT AND ADD THEM INTO THE GENERAL FUND TO BE SPENT IN ANOTHER WAY. SO IT'S A TECHNICAL MAYBE FINANCIAL QUESTION. I'M SURE THAT YOU KNOW THE ANSWER COMMISSIONER.

Ms. Kieta: COMMISSIONER MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE DEPARTMENT AND WE HAVE JOANNA FULLER HERE BUT IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS PUT THEIR PENCIL TO PAPER AND THEY BELIEVE THAT A PORTION OF THAT CURRENT FUNDING COULD BE PAID FOR BY THE

MEDICAID DOLLARS INSTEAD OF GENERAL FUND AND SO BY DOING THAT IT FREEZE UP THE ONGOING GENERAL FUND.

Ms. Fuller: I'M HERE. SO COMMISSIONER IF I CAN ADD TO WHAT KARYNE SAID. SO THIS IS THE MEDICAID MONEY THAT COMES INTO THE INSURANCE ENTITY IN THE MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION THAT WE SEND OUT TO THE COMMUNITY FOR TREATMENT. AND WE'VE BEEN FUNDING THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT CENTER KIND OF OFF THE TOP SO IN A FORMULA RATHER THAN RATHER THAN A BILLING FOR EACH PERSON WHO IS IN THE BED BECAUSE WE NEED TO MAINTAIN THE FULL CAPACITY OF THE CENTER AND WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE CHANGE IN THE RATIO OF INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE BEING TREATED THERE BECAUSE OF THE GROWTH IN THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO ARE INSURED IN MEDICAID THE PROPORTIONS OF COUNTY GENERAL FUND VERSUS MEDICAID DOLLARS THAT ARE GOING TO THE CATSY WE BELIEVE THAT WE CAN READJUST NEXT YEAR. AND FREE UP SOME OF THE GENERAL FUND.

Commissioner Shiprack: SO THAT'S HELPFUL AND ALSO GENEROUS THAT THE SAVINGS THAT ARE REALIZED IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WOULD BE TRANSFERRED TO THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE. SO THAT'S AGAIN THAT WOULD --

Ms. Fuller: THAT WOULD BE YOUR CHOICE.

Commissioner Shiprack: I UNDERSTAND THE CATC IS ALIGNED WITH THE PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY ROOM FUNCTION AND WORKS AS THE PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY ROOM TOWARDS SAVING MONEY POTENTIALLY IN THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE DIRECTLY THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THESE TWO BUDGETS SEEM TO BE -- I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT IF AS A RESULT OF COMMISSIONER BAILEY'S INVESTMENT PROPOSAL IN THE PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY ROOM THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS ABLE TO CLOSE A DORMITORY INVERNESS JAIL THE SAVINGS WOULD BE OVERWHELMINGLY MORE THAN I THINK EVEN THE CATC SAVINGS IN MEDICAID DOLLARS. SO JUST I GUESS JUST A COMMENT AN OBSERVATION.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THOSE AMENDMENTS?

Commissioner Smith: YES MADAM CHAIR. I HAVE A COUPLE OF AMENDMENTS. I WOULD WANT TO AMEND THE PROMISE HBORHOOD WITH AN EXPENDITURE OF \$4.5 MILLION TO BRING THAT DOWN TO \$1.5 WITH THE TOTAL OVERALL BEING \$2 MILLION. AND THAT'S GOING TO DCHS TO UNITED WAY. SO WOULD THAT BE A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO MY EARLIER AMENDMENT? IT WOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED A NEW ONE.

Chair Kafoury: YOU CAN AMEND YOUR OWN AMENDMENTS I WOULD IMAGINE SINCE WE HAVE NOT VOTED YET.

Commissioner Smith: YES MA'AM. THE SECOND PIECE IS A PROPOSED NEW AMENDMENT THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION FOR INCLUSIVE START-UP INVESTMENT PROJECTS AND AS YOU KNOW THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HAS BEEN PRESENTED OVER THE PAST YEAR WITH STUDIES OUTLINING THE SIGNIFICANT INEQUALITIES THAT EXIST FOR COMMUNITIES OF COLOR AND MULTNOMAH COUNTY. EMPLOYMENT RATES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN THESE COMMUNITIES REMAINS STAGNANT POST-RECESSION DESPITE THE LOCAL SUCCESS IN THE TECH INDUSTRY MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND PORTLAND REMAINS CONSISTENT WITH THE NATIONAL DATA ON THE LACK OF GENDER AND RACIAL PARITY IN OUR INNOVATION ECONOMY. TO PROMOTE EMPLOYMENT GROWTH WHICH INCLUDES A FOCUS ON HEALTHY START-UP ECO-SYSTEM THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION PDC HELPED TO LAUNCH THE PORTLAND SEED FUND. HOWEVER THE IMPACT OF THIS FUND AND OTHER START-UP ACCELERATORS HAS NOT BEEN ADDRESSED BY GENDER OR BY RACIAL DISPARITIES.

A MORE INCLUSIVE APPROACH IS NEEDED TO DIRECTLY COMBAT INEQUALITIES IN IMPORTANT START-UP CAPITAL ECO-SYSTEM. THE PDC SEEKS TO LAUNCH AN EXCLUSIVE AND I GUESS INCLUSIVE START-UP FUND FOCUSED ON MENTORING AND ACCESS TO EARLY STAGE CAPITAL FOR PORTLAND-BASED FIRMS WITH THE DIVERSE FUNDING TEAMS. I AM SUBMITTING A BUDGET AMENDMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$500000 TO ESTABLISH AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR FUNDS TRANSFERRED TO PDC FROM ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDS. ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDING TO LAUNCH THIS INCLUSIVE START-UP FUND THIS COLLABORATIVE PROJECT IS A CRITICAL NEXT STEP TO DIVERSIFY PORTLAND'S START-UP TECH COMMUNITY. AND TO EXPAND SUPPORT FOR ENTREPRENEURS BY CLOSING THE EXISTING CAPITAL GAP FOR UNDER THE LOTTERY FUND.

Ms. Kieta: SO COMMISSIONER WE'LL NEED TO WORK WITH YOU AND TO PROVIDE YOU THAT LIST OF EXPENDITURES BECAUSE THE LOTTERY FUND DOLLARS ARE APPROPRIATED AT THIS TIME. SO WE WILL PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO YOU.

Commissioner Smith: OK THANK YOU. AND THAT IS IT.

Ms. Kieta: AT THIS TIME WHAT I THINK IF I CAN INTERRUPT MIKE'S TYPING IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO KIND OF DO A RECAP OF WHERE WE'RE AT TO DATE AND WHERE THE NUMBERS HAVE LANDED.

Mr. Jaspin: OK I WILL TRY MY BEST HERE. SO THIS TABLE OR THIS SPREADSHEET TAKES ATTACHMENT A AND SIMPLIFIES IT DOWN AND ON THE LEFT-HAND SIDE IS EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED AND THEN

TO THE RIGHT- HAND SIDE IS ESSENTIALLY HOW WE CURRENTLY HAVE IDENTIFIED HOW TO FUND IT. SO AT THE MOMENT THERE IS ABOUT \$2.9 MILLION RIGHT HERE OF ONGOING PROPOSALS. WE HAVE FUNDED THREE OF THOSE USING THE CATSY SHIFT WHICH ARE THE THREE FROM COMMISSIONER BAILEY. AND ON THE ONE-TIME ONLY SIDE THERE IS CURRENTLY ABOUT \$3.4 MILLION OF PROPOSED OFFERS FLOATING AROUND THERE. THERE HAVE BEEN SPECIFICALLY THE ONES RELATED TO -- THAT COMMISSIONER MCKEEL PROPOSED HOW TO FUND FROM THE BUS IS RECOVERED IN THEIR ENTIRETY AND THERE IS A BALANCE OF \$225000 LEFT FROM WITHDRAWING THE BUS THE DIESEL BUS REPLACEMENT FUNDING. AND AS A REMINDER THERE IS \$5.5 ALMOST 5.6 MILLION OF ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY THAT WE IDENTIFIED IN THE MAY FORECAST THAT WE HAVEN'T SPECIFICALLY LINKED TO ANY PROGRAM OFFERS AT THE MOMENT.

Commissioner Smith: HOW MUCH DO WE HAVE LEFT TO ALLOCATE?

Mr. Jaspin: YOU HAVE THE \$5.6 MILLION OF ONE-TIME ONLY THAT WE IDENTIFIED IN THE MAY FORECAST AND IT LOOKS LIKE THERE IS \$225000 ON TOP OF THAT FROM THE BUS AMENDMENT. AND ALL THOSE ARE ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDS.

Commissioner Shiprack: CAN I JUST MAKE A QUERY HERE? I HAVE TO REVEAL HOW EASY IT IS TO SNOW ME MIKE THANK YOU. IF WE WERE TO FOLLOW OUR OWN FISCAL POLICY TO THE EXTENT OF A HYPOTHETICAL AMENDMENT WHICH WOULD FUND THE PROPOSED ONE-TIME ONLY NEW EXPENDITURES OUT OF THE \$5 MILLION PLUS CHANGE \$5586418. WHERE WOULD THAT PUT US? CAN YOU ENTER THAT INTO YOUR SPREADSHEET PLEASE?

Mr. Jaspin: LET ME DO THAT.

Commissioner Smith: I THOUGHT THAT THAT WAS THE QUESTION THAT I WAS ASKING JUDY.

Commissioner Shiprack: YES I AM SURE THAT IT WAS.

Commissioner Smith: THEY DID NOT ANSWER IT. THEY SAID IT WAS \$5 MILLION.

Mr. Jaspin: IF YOU FUND IT ALL OF THE ONE-TIME ONLY YOU STILL HAVE \$2.5 MILLION PLUS THE \$225000 LEFT. WHICH COMES CLOSE TO FUNDING ALL THE ONGOING BUT THAT OF COURSE IS ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY.

Commissioner Shiprack: I GUESS THAT I PROPOSED THAT TO BECOME A PART OF OUR AMENDMENT PACKAGE WHICH IS THAT THE PROPOSED EXPENDITURES TO COVER THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE BUDGET THE REMAINING THAT HAVE NOT ALREADY BEEN ASSIGNED A FUNDING

SOURCE BE OUT OF ONE-TIME ONLY MONEY AS IDENTIFIED JUST NOW BY MIKE SO THERE IS NO ART TO THAT AMENDMENT AT ALL. BUT I THINK THAT IT FITS INTO THE SPREADSHEET. ONLY ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDED WITH ONE-TIME ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNTY'S FISCAL POLICY I THINK ALTHOUGH I AM NOT SEEING MARK CAMPBELL HERE. OH THERE IS MARK. IN CASE THAT WE HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FISCAL POLICY.

Chair Kafoury: SO YOU ARE CHANGING THESE ONGOING IN THE FIRST TWO ONE-TIME ONLY PROGRAM OFFERS?

Commissioner Shiprack: NO I AM NOT. I AM NOT DOING THAT AT ALL. I AM PROPOSING THAT WE FUND OUR ONE-TIME ONLY PROPOSED AMENDMENTS WITH ONE-TIME ONLY DOLLARS.

Chair Kafoury: THIS IS THE BOTTOM.

Commissioner Shiprack: IT'S DIFFICULT FOR ME TO TALK IN NUMBERS SO I AM DOING THE BEST THAT I CAN. BEAR WITH ME HERE.

Chair Kafoury: SO YOU ARE REFERRING TO THE BOTTOM SECTION.

Commissioner Shiprack: YES.

Ms. Kieta: SO I THINK THAT WE HAVE GOT THAT. SO AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO ASK IF THE COMMISSIONERS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ON ANY OF THE AMENDMENTS WE DO HAVE THE DEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVES HERE THAT COULD SPEAK TO ANY OF THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE.

Commissioner Smith: YES I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO NON D IS SOMEBODY FROM HERE FROM NON D ABOUT THE EAST COUNTY LIAISON?

Chair Kafoury: PROBABLY WOULD BE CLAUDIA BLACK.

Ms. Black: GOOD MORNING MADAM CHAIR I'M THE DIRECTION OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS OF THE COUNTY I WOULD BE HAPPY TO EXPLAIN THAT AND ACTUALLY RATHER THAN EAST COUNTY IT IS THE POSITION IS COMMUNITY LIAISON.

Commissioner Smith: IN THE BUDGET IT WAS EAST COUNTY LIAISON RIGHT?

Ms. Black: I THINK IT HAS BEEN AMENDED. MY UNDERSTANDING IT HAS BEEN AMENDED.

Commissioner Smith: WAS IT AMENDED? I DON'T SEE IT ON THE AMENDMENT. IT HASN'T BEEN AMENDED.

Chair Kafoury: REGARDLESS YOU CAN DESCRIBE IT.

Commissioner Smith: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE RIGHT PIECE. THE ONE YOU PUT IN THE GOVERNMENT RELATIONS THE NEW ONE FTE THAT'S THE ONE THAT I AM TALKING ABOUT THAT WAS BASICALLY ASSIGNED FOR THE PROMISED ZONE STUFF. THAT'S WHAT I UNDERSTAND. CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO ME FURTHER WHAT THIS PERSON WOULD BE DOING?

Ms. Black: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO. SO ESSENTIALLY IT DID GET STARTED WITH THE CONVERSATION AROUND THE PROMISE ZONE AND AS YOU MAY RECALL WHEN THE PROMISED ZONE APPLICATION FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAME OUT WE DID A VERY THOROUGH SEARCH OF THE ENTIRE COUNTY AND FOUND THAT THE ONLY AREA THAT FIT THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PROMISED ZONE WAS THE AREA OF ROCKWOOD REQUIRED 15000 RESIDENTS IN A CONTIGUOUS AREA WHERE THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL WAS 23% OR HIGHER I'M SORRY 32% OR HIGHER OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL AND THAT AREA WAS THE ONE THAT FIT. AND AS SHERI CAMPBELL OUR GRANTS COORDINATOR PULLED PEOPLE TOGETHER AND STARTED WORKING ON BECAME VERY CLEAR THAT AS SHE STEPPED OUT THERE WAS A REAL NEED FOR ONGOING SUPPORT THERE TO KIND OF MOVE THE MOMENTUM AND THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE MAYOR AND THE CHAIR TO CONTINUE WORK THERE AND COMMISSIONER MCKEEL AS PART OF THE INITIAL MEETING THERE AND IT WAS SOMETHING THAT THIS WAS A PART OF THE COUNTY THAT HAS THE HIGHEST POVERTY RATE THE HIGHEST UNEMPLOYMENT VERY HIGH CRIME RATE AND THE POSITION'S INITIAL WORK WOULD BE IN THAT AREA. THE REASON THAT WE TOOK OUT THE PIECE OR I THOUGHT THAT WE DID THAT THIS EAST COUNTY WAS BECAUSE THAT POSITION IS ALSO AVAILABLE TO OTHER DISTRICTS OF NEEDS ARISE AND THE PIECE OF IT IS WE HAVE A VERY SMALL DEPARTMENT OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS COMPARED WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND GOVERNMENTS OUR SIZE. I THINK THE CITY OF PORTLAND HAS NINE OR 11 FOLKS IN IT.

Commissioner Smith: IN GOVERNMENT RELATIONS?

Ms. Black: YES WE RUN LEAN AND MEAN HERE. WE HAVE CHRIS THE DEPUTY AND REESE WITH POLICY ANALYST WORK. SHERRY CAMPBELL THAT DOES THE GRANTS COORDINATION FOR THE COUNTY AND THEN HAVING ONE OTHER PERSON THERE THAT HELPS DURING THE LEGISLATIVE SESSION WHEN CHRIS AND I ARE TIED UP IN SALEM HAVING SOMEBODY TO DO SOME OF THE ON THE GROUND COORDINATION IS REALLY ESSENTIAL.

Commissioner Smith: SO WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE ROLE OF THIS LIAISON THEY ARE TO COME BACK TO YOU AND TO IDENTIFY THE

PROJECTS FOR YOU TO WORK ON OR FOR THE COMMISSIONERS TO WORK ON? IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THIS BELONGS IN THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT. THEY WOULD BE THE ONE DOING THE OUTREACH.

Ms. Black: I WOULD RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE. THIS SEEMS TO ME VERY MUCH IN LINE WITH THE ROLE AND MISSION OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS WHICH IS OUTREACH BEYOND JUST THE LEGISLATURE AND CONGRESS. IT'S TO THE COMMUNITIES THE CITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY AND YOU KNOW WITH THE COMMISSIONER MCKEEL HAS OFTEN POINTED OUT THE LACK OF YOU KNOW RESOURCES DIRECTED TO EAST COUNTY AND FELT LIKE THIS WAS YOU KNOW SOMETHING THAT WAS A REALLY IMPORTANT THING TO FOCUS ON AND I BELIEVE YOU MAY HAVE ALL RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE MAYOR AS WELL SAYING THAT THE MAYOR OF GRESHAM SHANE SAYING THAT THIS WAS A REALLY IMPORTANT POSITION FOR HIM AS WELL.

Commissioner Smith: THE OTHER QUESTION IS WOULDN'T THIS BE MORE APPROPRIATE COMING OUT OF CONSTITUENT SERVICES FOR LIKE COMMISSIONER MCKEEL WOULD THIS TYPE OF WORK IN OUTREACH WOULD NEED TO COME FROM THE DISTRICT 4 COMMISSIONER.

Ms. Black: WELL FROM MY PERSPECTIVE I WOULD SAY NO BECAUSE THIS WAY IT'S AVAILABLE TO OTHER COMMISSIONERS AS ISSUES ARISE. SO GOVERNMENT RELATIONS IT'S KIND COORDINATING ALL THOSE PIECES AND WORKING WITH THE COMMISSIONER AND I BELIEVE THAT BECCA HAS BEEN SCHEDULING MEETINGS EVERY OTHER WEEK WITH COMMISSIONER MCKEEL TO YOU KNOW TO GO HER INPUT AND TO WORK CLOSELY WITH THEIR OFFICE ON ISSUES OF CONCERN.

Commissioner Smith: A COUPLE THINGS THAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THAT REALLY HAD ME TURNING UPSIDE DOWN ABOUT THIS IS THAT BECCA IS ALREADY ON YOUR STAFF CURRENTLY. AND YOU ARE CURRENTLY PAYING HER BEFORE WE HAVE DONE THE BUDGET. SHE'S GOING TO BE THE ONE. SO I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. HOW DO WE DO THAT?

Ms. Black: OK. I WILL TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS AND YOU KNOW WILLINGLY AND NAIVELY OK. BECAUSE WHAT HAPPENED WHEN WE WERE DOING THE PROMISE ZONE APPLICATION AND IT BECAME VERY CLEAR THAT SHERRY CAMPBELL WHO WAS DOING THE GREATEST AMOUNT OF COORDINATION AROUND WRITING THE GRANT WAS GOING TO STEP OUT THEN YOU KNOW THERE WAS A COMMITMENT THAT WHETHER THE PROMISED ZONE WAS RECEIVED THAT THE WORK WOULD CONTINUE AND WITH SHERRY STEPPING OUT THERE WAS NO CLEAR PERSON TO STEP IN. AND YOU KNOW AS I MENTIONED HERE YESTERDAY. IN OUR CONVERSATION I SHOULD HAVE DONE A MUCH BETTER JOB FRANKLY OF TALKING TO ALL OF THE COMMISSIONERS. I DID TALK TO THE OFFICES BUT SHOULD HAVE REALLY WORKED THIS THROUGH MORE THOROUGHLY. BUT

WE HAD EXTRA MONEY IN OUR BUDGET PARTLY BECAUSE NANCY BENNETT'S POSITION WAS OPEN FOR A WHILE AND IT TOOK A WHILE.

Commissioner Smith: THIS IS NANCY BENNETT'S.

Ms. Black: RIGHT NANCY WAS GONE FROM THE FIRST OF JUNE I BELIEVE OR RIGHT AROUND THERE AND CHRIS STARTED I THINK THE BEGINNING OF SEPTEMBER SO WE HAD SOME ADDITIONAL FUNDS THAT WE COULD USE. IT FELT LIKE THAT WAS A REALLY IMPORTANT THING TO FILL IN THAT GAP.

Chair Kafoury: I REALLY HAVE A PROBLEM WITH US DISCUSSING AN EMPLOYEE BY NAME AT THIS POINT. I UNDERSTAND. CAN WE REFER TO THE POSITION? IT'S OK. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING A POSITION AND ALL THAT ENTAILS WITHOUT NAMING DIRECTLY AN EMPLOYEE OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY. THANKS.

Commissioner Smith: THIS IS WHAT AS WE GO FORWARD AND WE START ON A NEW BUDGET CYCLE AFTER THE 18TH I DON'T WANT DEPARTMENTS NOT JUST YOU TO GET IN THE HABIT OF HIRING FOLKS BEFORE WE ACTUALLY PUT THEM IN THE BUDGET OR POSITIONS BEFORE WE PUT IT INTO THE BUDGET. BECAUSE I'LL TELL YOU THE REASON WHY I AM SO RILED UP ABOUT THIS BECAUSE I WAS ORIGINALLY TOLD THAT THIS PARTICULAR PERSON WAS ON THE STRYE PAYROLL AND DOING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT STUFF SO IT IS SO VERY CONFUSING TO ME AND I CAN APPRECIATE YOU SAYING YOU SHOULD HAVE COME AROUND AND DONE YOU KNOW WALKED THROUGH ALL THE TRAPS BUT RIGHT NOW WHAT'S CONFUSING ME IS HOW THIS WHOLE THING HAPPENED AND THAT'S NOT THE PROCESS. IT WAS OUT OF ORDER. WHEN WE GET A NEW POSITION LIKE AN EAST COUNTY LIAISON WE SHOULD FILL IT AFTER WE GET THE MONEY. AND THIS IS NOT THE WAY TO DO BUSINESS. NOT THAT I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO DO THE WORK OUT THERE BECAUSE WE DO. A LOT OF THE POVERTY HAS MOVED OUT EAST AND WE NEED TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE DISPARITIES IN THE AREAS OUT THERE. THAT IS THE CHALLENGE IS REAL IN EAST COUNTY BUT WHAT WE'RE DOING WE DON'T WANT PEOPLE DOING THIS FLIP-FLOP BECAUSE I CAN'T DO IT IN MY OFFICE AND IT SHOULD NOT BE DONE IN THE DEPARTMENT.

Chair Kafoury: THIS IS FINE. YOU DON'T HAVE TO CONTINUE TO HAVE A BACK AND FORTH IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MEET WITH COMMISSIONER SMITH AFTER THAT WE DON'T NEED TO CONTINUE TO REHASH THIS. WE UNDERSTAND THAT COMMISSIONER SMITH HAS SOME PROBLEMS AND I KNOW THAT YOU HAVE TALKED WITH CLAUDIA BLACK ABOUT THIS BUT I THINK AT THIS TIME WE CAN PUT THIS CONVERSATION TO AN END PUBLICLY.

Commissioner Smith: NO NO. WHEN I GET FINISHED TALKING AND SAY WHAT I NEED TO SAY I WILL FINISH BUT THANK YOU MISS CLAUDIA BLACK.

Ms. Black: THANK YOU.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU CHAIR. I WAS GOING TO ASK THE SAME QUESTION DO THE COMMISSIONERS HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME. WE HAVE MADE REALLY GOOD WORK THROUGH THE BOARD AMENDMENTS. WE DO HAVE ANOTHER SESSION I BELIEVE ACCORDING TO MR. JASPIN'S SPREADSHEETS TOP FOUR ITEMS OF THE AMENDMENTS. WE HAVE NOT AT THIS TIME IDENTIFIED AN OFFSETTING SOURCE WHICH IS FINE. WE STILL HAVE ADDITIONAL TIME TO HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS. SO IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER --

Commissioner Shiprack: I HAVE A QUESTION MADAM CHAIR. JUST FOR -- JUST TO INFORM THE COGITATION OF THE NEXT FEW DAYS CAN YOU RUN THROUGH THE TOP LEVEL FISCAL POLICIES? OBVIOUSLY I'M INTERESTED IN THE ONE-TIME ONLY ONGOING FISCAL POLICY. BUT THERE IS ONE THAT I SHOULD HAVE BEEN PAYING CLOSER ATTENTION TO. I AM WONDERING IF THERE WAS ANY PUBLIC CONTRACTING IMPLICATION OF EITHER IN OUR FISCAL POLICY OR PROPOSED AMENDMENTS THAT WE SHOULD BE ATTENDING TO AT THIS STAGE OF OUR DELIBERATIONS. SO CAN YOU RECAP THOSE FOUR AND MAYBE EXPLAIN TO ME WHERE THE PUBLIC CONTRACTING LAW COMES INTO PLAY HERE?

Ms. Kieta: SO THE FOUR BUDGET AND FINANCIAL POLICIES THAT I MENTIONED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE SESSION IS THAT WHERE YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO RECAP?

Commissioner Shiprack: YES SORRY ABOUT THAT.

Ms. Kieta: THE FIRST ONE WAS HAVING A FINANCIAL FORECAST WHICH WE HAVE DONE IN TERMS OF INFORMING US HOW MUCH REVENUE IS AVAILABLE FOR 2016. THE SECOND ONE-ON-ONE-TIME ONLY DOLLARS AND SPENDING OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY FIRST AND HAVING A BALANCED BUDGET. I HAVE TO GO BACK.

Commissioner Shiprack: I GUESS SO I GUESS THERE WAS A REASON WHY I HAD NOT HEARD THAT OR IT WAS NOT JUST THAT I WAS NOT PAYING ATTENTION BUT I WOULD LIKE TO RECAP THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OUR COULD YOU DO THAT OR IS THERE SOMEONE ELSE HERE WHO COULD HELP THE BOARD HELP ME OUT WITH THAT POLICY INTERACTION.

Ms. Kieta: SO AT THIS POINT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK IF JENNY COULD HELP US OUT WITH THE PUBLIC CONTRACTING RULES.

Ms. Madkour: GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND COMMISSIS. JENNY MADKOUR. CAN YOU CLARIFY YOUR QUESTION?

Commissioner Shiprack: I AM INTERESTED IN WHAT THE PUBLIC CONTRACTING LAW HAS TO SAY ABOUT OUR BUDGET PROCESS AT THIS STAGE.

Ms. Madkour: SO ALL. PUBLIC CONTRACTING RULES AND OUR PROCUREMENT RULES APPLY TO ANY APPROPRIATION OR ANY SPENDING AFTER APPROPRIATION. SO THE BUDGET IS A POLICY DOCUMENT WHICH ESSENTIALLY LAYS OUT THE POLICY OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WHICH IS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THEN WE NEED TO FOLLOW ALL OF OUR NORMAL CONTRACTING RULES AND PROCUREMENT RULES TO ACTUALLY SPEND THE MONEY. SO FOR EXAMPLE IF THERE IS A PROCUREMENT PROCESS THAT WOULD REQUIRE THAT WE SEND OUT TO THE COMMUNITY REQUESTS REGARDING THE PROVISION OF SERVICES AND THEN THERE MAY BE EXEMPTIONS FROM THAT PROCESS RIGHT. SO EXAMPLES WOULD INCLUDE FULL SOURCE EXEMPTIONS OR TRANSFERS TO OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND THERE IS MANY DIFFERENT EXEMPTIONS SO WE HAVE TO LOOK AT EACH SPECIFIC EXAMPLE. BUT I THINK THAT THE MAJOR TAKE-HOME THAT I WOULD SEND IS THAT THIS IS A THE BUDGET IS A POLICY DOCUMENT AND WE STILL HAVE TO FOLLOW ALL THE RULES TO SPEND THE MONEY TO GET IT OUT INTO THE COMMUNITY OR OUT INTO OUR DEPARTMENTS FOR SERVICES.

Commissioner Shiprack: OK THAT'S HELPFUL. I THINK IT DOES THAT THERE ARE A COUPLE OF INTERSECTIONS HERE THAT I AM REALLY INTERESTED IN EXPLORING THAT IS ONE OF THEM AND THE OTHER ONE AND I AM CURIOUS IF THERE IS A LEGAL CONSTRUCT HERE OR IF IT IS A MULTNOMA TO ENHANCE THE ENTITIES.

Ms. Madkour: SO IN PAST PRACTICE WE HAVE HAD A GENERAL POLICY OF NOT CONTRIBUTING TO CAPITAL CAMPAIGNS AND OF USING MULTNOMAH COUNTY DOLLARS TO FUND SERVICES NOT NECESSARILY OTHER GOVERNMENT ENTITIES SO OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD AND I MIGHT SEEK ASSISTANCE FROM MY COLLEAGUES I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY SPECIFIC WRITTEN POLICY MORE OF A PRACTICE. WE DO HAVE OUR [INAUDIBLE] WHICH IS A FINANCIAL RULE THAT IS APPLICABLE TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THAT SAYS THAT WE DON'T MAKE DONATIONS. SO YOU KNOW DEPENDING ON THE SPECIFIC EXAMPLE WE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT IT CAREFULLY.

Commissioner Shiprack: OK THANK YOU.

Ms. Kieta: COMMISSIONER THE LAST POLICY THAT I DID NOT HAVE AT MY FINGERTIPS IN MY BRAIN WAS FUNDING RESERVESWHICH WE HAVE DONE.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU THAT'S GREAT.

Ms. Kieta: SO IF THERE ARE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS ON THE BOARD AMENDMENTS AT THIS POINT IN TIME I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE US --

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR I HAVE AN AMENDMENT. CAN WE MOVE THE EAST COUNTY LIAISON INTO CONTINGENCY UNTIL I FURTHER GET ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM GOVERNMENT RELATIONS?

Ms. Kieta: I DO WANT TO MAKE SURE IF WE MOVE THAT MONEY INTO CONTINGENCY THERE IS A POSITION THAT IS OCCUPIED AND THE FUNDING WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE FOR THAT POSITION AS OF JULY 1 UNTIL THE BOARD TOOK FURTHER ACTION.

Commissioner Smith: THIS WILL BE BEFORE THE ACTUAL ADOPTION ON THURSDAY.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU IS THERE ANHING ELSE BEFORE WE MOVE ONTO THE NEXT SECTION?

Commissioner Shiprack: I AM SORRY I HAVE GOT ANOTHER QUESTION. I WAS THINKING AT SOME POINT ON TODAY'S PROGRAM WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO THROUGH AS A BOARD OUR AMENDMENTS.

Ms. Kieta: INDEED COMMISSIONER I THINK THAT THAT'S WHAT WE WERE ATTEMPTING TO DO.

Commissioner Shiprack: GREAT I DON'T WANT TO CUT THAT OFF. I DON'T WANT TO COME TO THE END OF THAT OPPORTUNITY AND MISS IT.

Ms. Kieta: WE FOLLOWED THE VOLUNTEER PROCESS. IS THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THAT WE MISSED?

Commissioner Shiprack: ONLY THE OPPORTUNITY TO LISTEN TO AND ARTICULATE OUR POSITIONS ON THE AMENDMENTS THAT WE HAVE PROPOSED.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU. SO WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE NOW TO THE NEXT SHEET IS PROGRAM OFFERS FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION IT'S A VERY SHORT LIST. WE CREATED THIS SHEET JUST TO ENSURE THAT WHEN IT COMES TIME TO ADOPT THAT WE TAKE SEPARATE VOTES ON THESE TO ADDRESS THE DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST. AND AT T TIME WE HAVE ONLY COLLECTED TWO. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS THAT WE CAN ANSW SHEET?

NEXT THE NOTES AND YOU WILL SEE THAT ENTITLED AS ATTACHMENT C. AND AT THIS POINT IN TIME YOU WILL SEE THAT THIS PARTICULAR SHEET

HAS BEEN UPDATED A BIT DIFFERENT FROM WHAT YOU'VE BEEN USED TO SEEING. WITH EACH PROPOSED BUDGET NOTE WE'VE SUMMARIZED THE INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE COLLECTED FROM THE TEMPLATES WHICH IS NEW FOR THIS YEAR. AND WE HAVE HAD STAFF DEFINE THE WORK PRODUCT DEADLINE THE DEPARTMENTS INVOLVED AND THE ESTIMATED STAFF AND PREPARATION TIME AND YOU WILL SEE THAT THE STAFF TIME IS REALLY THE FOLKS' BEST ESTIMATE OF WHAT THEY THINK IT IS BASED ON THEIR CURRENT UNDERSTANDING AND YOU WILL SEE A VARIANCE DEPENDING UPON THERE ARE SOME OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS IN THE STAFF KIND OF DEPENDING IF YOU GO THIS WAY IT CAN TAKE THIS MUCH TIME AND IF YOU MOVE THIS WAY IT COULD TAKE THIS MUCH TIME SO THOSE ARE ESTIMATES MORE JUST TO GIVE YOU A SENSE OF ORDER OF MAGNITUDE THAN TO REALLY TELL YOU EXACTLY HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO TAKE. WOULD COMMISSIONERS LIKE TO REVIEW THESE ONE BY ONE OR WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO SUMMARIZE THEM FOR YOU? HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO MOVE THROUGH THESE PARTICULAR BUDGET NOTES?

Chair Kafoury: BOARD MEMBERS?

Commissioner Shiprack: STARTING THE TOP?

Ms. Kieta: YOU WOULD LIKE TO GO THROUGH THEM ONE BY ONE? WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE THE COMMISSIONER DISCUSS THE ITEM OR WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO PROVIDE A BRIEF SUMMARY?

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SMITH WOULD YOU LIKE TO DISCUSS YOUR BUDGET NOTES OR KARYNE --

Commissioner Smith: I HAVE ALREADY DISCUSSED THEM.

Chair Kafoury: GREAT SO MAYBE GIVE US A SHORT RECAP. THANK YOU.

Ms. Kieta: THE FIRST BUDGET NOTE IS THE WALNUT PARK HEALTH CLINIC FEASIBILITY STUDY PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER SMITH. AND IT'S REALLY REQUESTING THAT THE FACILITIES' DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ASSETS CONDUCT A FEASIBILITY STUDY ON THE USE OF THE WALNUT PARK HEALTH CLINIC AND THE STUDY SHOULD NOT EXCEED \$100000 AND THE DEPARTMENT WAS TO REALLOCATE RESOURCES WITHIN THE EXISTING APPROPRIATION TO FIND THE MONEY TO COVER THIS. THERE WAS A DEADLINE OF DECEMBER OF 2015 AND THE ESTIMATED STAFF TIME WAS ROUGHLY 150 TO 180 HOURS AND WE HAVE REPRESENTATIVES HERE WHO CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

Commissioner Smith: ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT I HAVE IS WILL THEY BE ABLE TO FUND THE \$100000?

Commissioner Smith: IS IT SHERRY? Ms. Kieta: YES.

Ms. Swackhamer: GOOD MORNING CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS. FOR THE RECORD I AM SHERRY SWACKHAMER THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY ASSETS. AND IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION COMMISSIONER SMITH YES WE WILL FUND THE \$100000. WE WILL BE LOOKING AT PROJECTS WITHIN OUR STRATEGIC PROJECT GROUP AND OUR CIP AND ANY OTHER PLACE BUT I FEEL THAT WE WILL FIND IT.

Commissioner Smith: SO WILL YOU HAVE TO CHANGE THE LINE ITEM IN FISCAL YEAR 2016 OR CAN YOU JUST USE THE EXISTING FUNDS?

Ms. Swackhamer: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT WE'LL NEED TO CHANGE ANYTHING.

Commissioner Smith: OK. THANK YOU.

Ms. Swackhamer: BUT WE'LL WORK WITH THE BUDGET OFFICE.

Ms. Kieta: THE NEXT BUDGET NOTE IS THE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR COUNTY-WIDE PROGRAMMING. THIS WAS ALSO PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER SMITH AND THE BUDGET NOTE IS REQUESTING AN ASSESSMENT OF THE COUNTY YOUTH DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR OUR FUTURE FUNDING TO OCCUR IN FISCAL YEAR 2016. THE WORK PRODUCT IS A REPORT AND BRIEFING BACK TO THE BOARD. A DEADLINE OF DECEMBER 1ST AND WE HAVE A NON DEPARTMENTAL LEAD WHICH IS THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OR SOMEONE FROM THE CHAIR'S OFFICE. AND THE ESTIMATED STAFF TIME ON PREPARING THIS INFORMATION IS ROUGHLY 25 TO 50 HOURS. IF YOU TURN TO PAGE 2 BUDGET NOTE NUMBER 3 THIS BUDGET NOTE WAS WITHDRAWN. AND IT WAS REPLACED. WERE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE NEW LANGUAGE ON THE BURNSIDE BRIDGE FEASIBILITY STUDY?

Chair Kafoury: I DON'T SEE ANY.

Ms. Kieta: BUDGET NOTE NUMBER FOUR THE PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY ROOM AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT IF WE HAD A FORMAL WITHDRAWAL OF THIS ONE BECAUSE IT'S NO LONGER THE MONEY IS NO LONGER BECONTINGENCY.

Commissioner Shiprack: CAN I JUST SAY THE MONEY WILL NO LONGER BE IN CONTINGENCY IF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT SUCCEEDS. IF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT WERE TO FAIL THERE WOULD STILL BE A MILLION DOLLARS IN CONTINGENCY PER THE CHAIR'S BUDGET.

Commissioner Shiprack: THIS IS FOR THE ADDITIONAL ONLY?

Ms. Kieta: SO THIS WAS ORIGINALLY IT WAS A PLATE HOLDER AMENDMENT BECAUSE THERE WAS \$1 MILLION SET ASIDE IN THE CHAIR'S EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND WHEN WE HAVE PLACE-HOLDER ITEMS AND CONTINGENCY

WE NEED A COMPANION BUDGET NOTE TO PROVIDE THE POLICY DIRECTION. SO THAT WAS BASED ON SOMETHING THAT'S NOW OLD. DID I ANSWER YOUR QUESTION COMMISSIONER?

Commissioner Shiprack: MOVING RIGHT ALONG.

Ms. Kieta: OK. BUDGET NOTE NO. 5 THE FOOD POLICY COORDINATOR APPROPRIATED BY COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK IS PLACING \$125000 IN GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY ONE-TIME ONLY FUNDING AND DIRECTING A NUMBER OF FOLKS TO PROPOSE A FOOD POLICY POSITION FOR THE BOARD'S APPROVAL BY AUGUST 15TH. WE'RE ESTIMATING 10 TO 15 HOURS OF TIME FOR THIS ITEM.

NUMBER SIX ON PAGE 3 WORKFORCE EC PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY ODE HAS REQUESTED TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD ON WORKFORCE EQUITY TRENDS INCLUDING EMPLOYMENT PROMISES AND OTHER TRENDS THAT MAY AFFECT THE COMPOSITION OF WORKERS. THE DEADLINE WAS DECEMBER 1ST. IT'S AN INFORMATIONAL BRIEFING TO THE BOARD ODE WITH THE LEAD I BELIEVE WAS THE SUPPORT THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY MANAGEMENT AND THIS HAS A VERY WIDE RANGE AND I THINK THAT WE WERE SEEKING ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION DEPENDING ON WHAT YOU WERE LOOKING FOR THE STAFF HOURS COULD VARY ON THIS ONE.

Commissioner Bailey: I THINK THAT WE HAVE WRITTEN SOMETHING UP TO SUBMIT FOR YOU FOR THAT SO IF WE HAVE NOT GOTTEN THAT TO YOU WE WILL.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU. THE LAST BUDGET NOTE IS THE COLUMBIA RIVER LEVY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT. IT IS A OF AN ORPHAN CHILD AT THIS POINT LOOKING FOR A HOME.

Commissioner Bailey: NOT AN ORPHAN SO MUCH. THAT IS SOMETHING THAT I CARE VERY DEEPLY ABOUT.

Ms. Kieta: SO COMMISSIONER BAILEY IS PROPOSING THIS AND COMMISSIONER WOULD YOU LIKE TO --

Commissioner Bailey: SURE. THE COLUMBIA RIVER LEVY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT BUDGET NOTE IS PART OF THE EFFORTS WE ARE DOING THAT THE CHAIR HAS ASKED THAT I BE PART OF THE GOVERNOR'S OREGON SOLUTIONS PROJECT ON LEVY REPLACEMENT IT'S AN IMPORTANT EFFORT THAT'S A COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE CITY THE COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICTS DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT COMPANIES AT THE PORT AND OTHER JURISDICTIONS. AT THIS POINT WE'RE IN THE PROCESS OF DETERMINING THE FINAL SCOPE OF THE STUDY WHAT IT WILL COST HOW THE COST-SHARING

WILL HAPPEN SO WE NEED MORE INFORMATION AT THIS POINT BEFORE PUTTING DIRECTLY INTO THE BUDGET BUT THIS BUDGET NOTE IDENTIFIES WHAT THE NEED FOR IT AND PARTICULARLY WITH REGARDS TO SAUVE ISLAND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT COMPANY WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY LOCATED WITHIN MY DISTRICT. SO WE WILL BE HOPEFULLY ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD ON THIS BUT THIS WILL ACCOMPANY THAT CONTINGENCY REQUEST.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU AND JUST TO CLARIFY I BELIEVE THAT BUDGET NOTES NO. 7 AND 8 ARE A RESULT OF THE POLICY THAT YOU MENTIONED EARLIER WHICH IS THAT IF THE DOLLARS ARE IN CONTINGENCY IN THE BUDGE THEY NEED A COMPANION BUDGET NOTE AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE DISCUSSING THEM.

Ms. Kieta: THAT'S CORRECT. THANK YOU. NO. 8 ON PAGE 4 IS THE LAST BUDGET NOTE AND EXACTLY FOR THAT REASON WE HAVE TWO PROGRAMS THE RUNWAY YOUTH AND THE SOCIAL EXPORT SERVICES FOR EDUCATIONAL SUCCESS REPLACING CONTINGENCY IN ANTICIPATION OF THE CONCLUSION OF THE STATE BUDGET PROCESS. WE HAD NEWS AT THE TIME AS WE WERE DEVELOPING THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET THAT THESE HAD A POTENTIAL TO BE PICKED UP BY THE STATE AND SO WE WERE WAITING TO GET NEWS OF THAT TO FIGURE OUT WHAT TO DO. SO IT'S A PLACE HOLDER THERE FOR THESE TWO POSITIONS. AT THIS TIME THERE ARE NO OTHER BUDGET NOTES UNLESS A COMMISSIONER WOULD LIKE TO ADD ANYTHING TO THIS LIST.

Ms. Kieta: WE ARE NOW ONTO NEXT STEPS BEFORE WE DO THE LIBRARY DISTRICT. ALMOST AT THE LIBRARY DISTRICT. WHAT I DID WANT TO DO BEFORE I TURNED THIS OVER TO BECKY TO TALK ABOUT THE LIBRARY DISTRICT AMENDMENT IS TO CHECK IN AGAIN OUR NEXT MEETING THAT WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK ABOUT AMENDMENTS AND BUDGET NOTES MORE IS TOMORROW AFTERNOON AND I WANTED TO SEE IF THE BOARD FELT THAT WE NEEDED TO HAVE THAT SESSION OR IF YOU WERE COMFORTABLE WITH THE REMAINING SESSION ON TUESDAY THE 16TH.

Commissioner Smith: SO WE HAVE A PERS UPDATE AT 10:30 AND A CYBER SECURITY AT 9:30 TOMORROW MORNING?

Ms. Kieta: THAT'S CORRECT. Commissioner Smith: OK.

Chair Kafoury: SO WOULD THE BOARD MEMBERS LIKE TO HAVE A BOARD ADDITIONAL BOARD TIME BRIEFING TOMORROW AFTERNOON OR MAYBE WE COULD DISCUSS THIS AND GET BACK. DO FOLKS HAVE A BURNING ISSUE TO TALK ABOUT TOMORROW AFTERNOON?

Commissioner Shiprack: JUST A CLARIFICATION. I AM WONDERING WHEN WE WILL TAKE THE TIME AS A BOARD TO REHASH OUR AMENDMENTS AND HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS AND ADVOCATE.

Ms. Kieta: THANK YOU COMMISSIONER. WE CAN DO THAT TOMORROW AFTERNOON OR WE ALSO HAVE TIME SET ASIDE FOR TUESDAY IF THAT'S NOT ENOUGH TIME WE COULD WORK ON FINDING A SPOT IN FOLKS' AGENDAS FOR POTENTIALLY WEDNESDAY BEFORE THE THURSDAY ADOPTION.

Commissioner Shiprack: I'M NOT NECESSARILY LOOKING FOR EXTRA TIME I JUST WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR THAT I THINK THAT THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE DELIBERATION AT THIS STAGE AND I AM LOOKING FORWARD TO IT. WE WILL DO IT TUESDAY.

Ms. Kieta: SO AT THIS POINT IN TIME I WOULD LIKE TO TURN THIS OVER TO BECKY AND ALLOW YOU FOLKS TO MAGICALLY BECOME THE LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD. AND BUDGET COMMITTEE.

BWS-16b Library District Budget Amendments and Follow Up. Presenter: Vailey Oehlke Library Director.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Cobb: GOOD MORNING BECKY COBB LIBRARY DEPUTY DIRECTOR AND AS KARYNE NOTED EARLIER YOU HAVE A REVENUE AMENDMENT FOR THE LIBRARY DISTRICT BEFORE YOU AND THIS ALLOWS US TO RECEIVE THE GRANT FROM THE LIBRARY FOUNDATION AND THE LIBRARY DISTRICT FUND. AND I JUST WANT TO RECOGNIZE THEIR CONTINUED SUPPORT FOR THE LIBRARY AND THE ENHANCEMENTS THAT THESE FUNDS PROVIDE. IT IS MO FOCUSED ON EARLY CHILDHOOD AND SCHOOL AGED PROGRAMS AND THERE IS ALSO A LARGE FUNDING PIECE FOR THE ROCKWOOD MAKE OR SPACE PROJECT IN THIS ALLOCATION. THIS HELPS THE LIBRARY EXPAND THE REACH AND THE IMPACT OF THE PROGRAM'S THAT THEY HELP TO SUPPORT. SO YOU WILL -THIS WILL BE PART OF WHAT YOU WILL BE LOOKING AT TO ADOPT WITH THE LIBRARY DISTRICT BUDGET NEXT WEEK.

Chair Kafoury: DOES ANYONE HAVE COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FOR BECKY? THANK YOU.

Ms. Kieta: CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHICH IS ALL THAT WE HAVE FOR YOU TODAY.

Chair Kafoury: I WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT THE BUDGET WORK SESSION 16B WAS THIS LITTLE SLICE THAT WE JUST HAD. GREAT. THANK YOU BECKY. ALL RIGHT THAT SOUNDS GREAT WE DON'T HAVE ANY BUDGET WORK SESSIONS THIS AFTERNOON SO WE WILL BE BACK HERE TOMORROW MORNING AT 10:00 A.M. AND ALSO TOMORROW EVENING IS OUR THIRD AND FINAL PUBLIC HEARING WHICH WILL BE RIGHT HERE IN THIS VERY ROOM AT 6:00 P.M.

ADJOURNMENT – 11:20 am

Chair Kafoury: SEEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS WE'RE ADJOURNED.

For access to the video and/or board packet materials please view at:
http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:

Lynda J. Grow Board Clerk and Marina Baker Assistant Board Clerk Board of County
Commissioners Multnomah County