

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners  
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100  
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon  
Tuesday, December 16, 2014**

**REGULAR MEETING**

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 10:06 a.m. with Commissioners Jules Bailey, Loretta Smith and Judy Shiprack present. Vice-Chair Diane McKeel was excused.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk.

[ALL CAPS TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF CAPTIONING THIS PROGRAM.]

**B.1 Briefing on Central Courthouse Project - FAC-1 Preliminary Planning Proposal, Preferred Site, Cost Estimates & Funding Strategy. Presenters: Presenters: Henry Alaman, Interim Facilities & Property Management Manager; JD Deschamps, Central Courthouse Project Manager; Mike Day, DAY CPM Services/Owner's Representative; and Ken Elliott, Asst. County Attorney**

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS BRIEFING ON CENTRAL COURTHOUSE.

Mr. Alaman: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY. I'M EXTREMELY EXCITED TO BE HERE. FOR THE RECORD I'M HENRY ALAMAN, THE INTERIM DIRECTOR OF FACILITIES AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT. AND TODAY WE'RE GOING TO PROVIDE YOU WITH A BOARD BRIEFING. BUT BEFORE WE GET STARTED ON THE AGENDA, I'D LIKE TO GIVE MY COLLEAGUES AN OPPORTUNITY TO INTRODUCE THEMSELVES.

Mr. Deschamps: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS, I'M J.D. DESCHAMPS, THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE COURTHOUSE.

Mr. Day: MIKE DAY WITH DAY CPM SERVICES, WE'RE YOUR OWNER REPRESENTATIVES WITH THE COUNTY.

Mr. Campbell: MARK CAMPBELL, THE COUNTY'S CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER.

Mr. Alaman: WE'RE GOING TO COVER THE OBJECTIVE OF OUR PRESENTATION. WE'LL OVERVIEW THE PROJECT, WE'LL ALSO GIVE YOU A SITE SELECTION STATUS UPDATE, WE'LL REVIEW THE COST ESTIMATES AND THE FINANCIAL STRATEGY, GO OVER THE SCHEDULE AND THEN WE'VE LEFT ROOM FOR TIME FOR QUESTIONS. SO THE OBJECTIVES OF TODAY ARE TO

UPDATE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON THE PROGRESS TO DATE ON THE PROJECT.

Mr. Day: WE'RE GOING TO DESCRIBE OUR PLANNED SCHEDULE, REVIEW THE ESTIMATED COSTS AND OUR FINANCIAL STRATEGY. WE'LL DEFINE THE NEXT STEPS FOR EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND BOARD APPROVALS AND WE'RE PREPARING THE BOARD TO APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE FAC 1, WHICH IF YOU RECALL, THE FAC 1 IS OUR MULTNOMAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS FOR APPROVING CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT EXCEED A MILLION DOLLARS. WE'LL -- THAT FAC 1 WILL INCLUDE OUR PRELIMINARY SITE, PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATES, FINANCIAL STRATEGY, AND WE'LL ALSO BE LOOKING FOR APPROVAL TO SUBMIT TO THE COUNTY FUNDING APPLICATION TO THE OREGON JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT AND DAS. SO J.D. IS GOING TO PROVIDE US WITH BACKGROUND AND UPDATE ON THE STATUS.

Mr. Deschamps: THANK YOU, HENRY. THE COURTHOUSE IS MORE THAN 100 YEARS OLD. WHAT WAS REALLY INTERESTING ABOUT THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE THAT WAS BUILT IN TWO STAGES, THE FRONTAGE ON SOUTHWEST 4th AVENUE WAS BUILT FIRST, AND THEN THE EXISTING COURTHOUSE WAS BEHIND IT AND THAT WAS DEMOLISHED. AND THE REST OF THE BUILDING WAS WRAPPED AROUND IT. IN THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE, THERE ARE ACTUALLY TWO CIRCULAR STAIRWAYS THAT DATE TO THE ORIGINAL COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'M OFTEN ASKED ABOUT IS WHAT'S THE PLAN WITH THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE. THERE HAVE BEEN NO DECISIONS AT ALL MADE ON THE PLANS FOR THAT, AND WE WILL BE WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS TO DETERMINE THE FUTURE OF THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE.

ONE OF THE BIGGEST PROBLEMS WITH THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE IS THE SEISMIC RISK. AS THE BOARD IS WELL AWARE, WE LIVE IN A SEISMIC ZONE. THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE WAS NOT BUILT TO THE 21st CENTURY STANDARDS. IT WAS BUILT USING HOLLOW CORE BRICKS, WHICH DON'T HAVE ANY RESISTANCE FOR A SEISMIC EVENT. SO THEY ARE ONE OF THE WORST WAYS TO BUILD A BUILDING. THEY USE THAT IN THE PAST BECAUSE IT WAS A MEANS TO PROTECT IT FROM FIRE. BUT FROM AN EARTHQUAKE, IT DOESN'T DO SO WELL. IN PAST STUDIES, WE HAVE LOOKED AT THE COURTHOUSE, IT WILL LIKELY COLLAPSE IN A MAJOR EARTHQUAKE, AND IT WILL HAVE MAJOR DAMAGE IN A MINOR EARTHQUAKE. AND THAT COULD LEAVE THE COURTHOUSE UNOPERATIONAL FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME OR MAY NOT EVEN BE ABLE TO BE REPAIRED.

IN NAPA VALLEY A COUPLE MONTHS AGO THERE WAS A MINOR 6.0 EARTHQUAKE, AND THIS SLIDE SHOWS WHAT HAPPENED TO THE COURTHOUSE IN NAPA VALLEY. IT DATES TO THE 1850s AND THEY ARE UNDERGOING TESTING AND LOOKING AT THE STRUCTURE RIGHT NOW TO

SEE IF THE BUILDING CAN BE REPAIRED BUT IT'S STILL NOT OPEN TO BE USED AS A COURTHOUSE. THERE WAS A SLIDE IN THE PRESENTATION OF SHOWING, YEAH, THE BLACK ONE, WHICH WAS A VIDEO SHOWING THE BUILDING, A HOLLOW CORE BUILDING IN AN EARTHQUAKE WHICH WAS PRESENTED, BUT I COULDN'T GET IT TO WORK SO I MOVED ON.

Mr. Deschamps: PROJECT GOALS. ANY PROJECT OF ANY SIZE NEEDS TO HAVE A DEFINED SET OF GOALS. WHAT ARE WE TRYING TO SOLVE? WHAT IS THE COMMUNITY NEED? SO THE COMMUNITY NEEDS A NEW COURTHOUSE, IT NEEDS TO BE BUILT TO CURRENT STANDARDS AND IT NEEDS TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE DEFICIENCIES WE HAVE IN THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE. THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE DOESN'T HAVE A SALLY PORT SO WE CAN'T SAFELY TRANSPORT PRISONERS IN AND OUT OF THE BUILDING. SOME OF THE COURTROOMS WERE OFFICES, AND THEY WERE TURNED INTO COURTROOMS. A NUMBER OF THE HISTORIC COURTROOMS WERE ALSO DIVIDED IN TWO, SO THE BUILDING HAS DONE ITS BEST, BUT IT'S BEEN CHALLENGED AND MODIFIED TO GET TO WHERE IT IS TODAY.

THERE HAVE BEEN MANY MILESTONES MADE. WITH ANY PROJECT OF THIS TYPE, YOU START WITH FIGURING OUT HOW BIG THE BUILDING NEEDS TO BE. SO WE HIRED THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS AND THEY PREPARED A PROGRAMMING ANALYSIS. AND ONCE WE ALSO GOT THE PARTNERSHIP WITH THE STATE, THAT GAVE THE PROJECT A LOT OF POSITIVE MOMENTUM TO KEEP GOING. ON A PROJECT OF THIS SIZE, WE NEEDED TO SUPPLEMENT STAFF WITH OUR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. SO WE'VE ADDED DAY CPM AS OUR OWNERS REP TO THE TEAM. ONCE THE PROGRAMMING DOCUMENTS WERE COMPLETED IN JULY, WE TURNED OUR ATTENTION TO LOOKING FOR A SITE WHICH WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT. AND WE ALSO WORKED ON IN THE LAST COUPLE MONTHS A REFERENCE OR CONCEPT DESIGN. WHAT THE CONCEPT DESIGN WAS LOOKING AT WAS THE ADJACENCY OF DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS. SO IN A 21st CENTURY COURTHOUSE, WHAT FUNCTIONS HAVE THE MOST VISITORS, THEREFORE SHOULD BE ON THE LOWER FLOORS, AND WHAT FUNCTIONS NEED TO BE ADJACENT TO EACH OTHER?

SO WE WORKED ON THAT OVER THE LAST MONTH. THE FAC ONE PROCESS, WHICH HENRY TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT, IT'S FAC ONE, IT'S FACILITIES, THAT'S WHERE THE FAC PORTION COMES, FROM IT'S AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE SO WE GET THE BOARD TO REVIEW THE MULTIPLE STEPS WE HAVE ON A PROJECT. WE ARE TALKING TODAY TO THE BOARD ABOUT THE FIRST STEP WHICH TALKS ABOUT THE PROJECT GOALS, THE REQUIREMENTS, SCOPE, COST ESTIMATES, AND CAPITAL FUNDING WHICH MARK WILL BE DISCUSSING LATER. SO WE WILL BE RETURNING TO THE BOARD ON THURSDAY FOR APPROVAL OF OUR FAC ONE SUBMITTAL. I'D LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO MIKE DAY.

Mr. Day: THANKS, J.D. GOOD MORNING AND THIS IS AN EXCITING DAY, AND THERE'S -- THIS IS THE CULMINATION OF A LOT OF HARD WORK, DONE BY A LARGE TEAM OF FOLKS. AND REALLY TAKING A FRESH LOOK AT THE DOWNTOWN AREA, AND THE LARGER PERIPHERY AREAS OF THE PORTLAND COMMUNITY. TO GO THROUGH A STRONG DUE DILIGENCE PROCESS TO FIND THE OPTIMAL SITE AND A GOOD LOCATION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE NEXT STEPS OF THE PROJECT. SO THIS REALLY, THIS MAP UP HERE REALLY REPRESENTS TO YOU KIND OF THE BOUNDARIES THAT WERE ESTABLISHED AS PART OF THAT SITE CRITERIA WITH THE WEST BOUNDARY BEING 405 AND THE NORTH END BEING THE PEARL DISTRICT AND LLOYD AREA, THE EAST BOUNDARY, THAT FRINGE AREA BETWEEN THE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL AREAS ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE RIVER, AND DOWN TO THE SOUTH WATERFRONT.

THE CONTEXT AND THE FRAMEWORK OF THE AREAS THAT WERE UNDER CONSIDERATION AS PART OF THE SITE SOLICITATION PROCESS REPRESENTED BY THIS MAP. THE TEAM THAT WAS ASSEMBLED LAST SPRING, AS YOU MAY RECALL, WE WENT THROUGH A RIGOROUS PROCESS OF DEVELOPING AND WITH THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONER APPROVAL, A SITE SOLICITATION PROCESS THAT WENT OUT TO PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE COMMUNITY IN EARLY JULY WITH SOLICITATIONS WE RECEIVED BACK IN LATE SEPTEMBER. LEADING UP TO THAT, THERE WAS A TEAM OF PROFESSIONALS THAT WERE PUT TOGETHER TO AGAIN LOOKING AT THE BLANK CANVAS APPROACH, TO REALLY DEVELOP A STRONG TECHNICAL CRITERIA TO EVALUATE AND SCORE THE INDIVIDUAL SITES BASED ON A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT CRITERIA THAT RANGED FROM PROXIMITY TO THE DOWNTOWN CORE, TO TRANSPORTATION, AND A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT THINGS RELATED TO PUBLIC SERVICES.

AND SO THERE'S A VERY ROBUST PROCESS THAT WE WENT THROUGH, AND A VERY TRANSPARENT PROCESS THAT INVOLVED MULTIPLE STAKEHOLDER GROUPS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE PROPERLY VETTED HOW WE WERE GOING TO SCORE THE SITES AND GO THROUGH A VERY OBJECTIVE PROCESS. THAT REALLY LED US TO RECEIVING PROPOSALS THIS FALL AND IN LATE SEPTEMBER WE HAD 11 SITES THAT CAME OUT OF THAT SOLICITATION PROCESS. AND WENT THROUGH A VERY STRONG, KIND OF ROBUST PROCESS TO NARROW THAT DOWN TO FOUR SITES, THREE OF WHICH WERE IN THE DOWNTOWN CORE AREA, AND THEN ONE WHICH WAS ON THE EAST SIDE UP IN THE LLOYD DISTRICT. THE CROSS-SECTION OF PROFESSIONALS RANGED FROM COUNTY EMPLOYEES, TO OUR TECHNICAL STAFF, AS WELL AS LAND USE PROFESSIONALS THAT WERE BROUGHT IN SPECIFICALLY AS PART OF THIS REVIEW PROCESS.

NOW WE WANT TO MOVE TO THE NEXT STEP, WHAT'S BEEN IN THE PUBLIC EYE AND WHAT WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT WITH YOU TODAY AS FAR AS OUR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HOW WE MOVE FORWARD WITH A PREFERRED

SITE AND AN ALTERNATE SITE. SO YOU CAN SEE WE HAVE OUR PREFERRED SITE, WHICH IS A VERY FAMILIAR SITE TO THE COUNTY, THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE HEAD SITE. IT IS SPECIFICALLY THE SOUTH BLOCK OF THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE HEAD SITE, A FOCAL POINT WHEN YOU THINK OF THE GATEWAY INTO THE DOWNTOWN CORE AS YOU COME FROM THE EAST SIDE ACROSS THE RIVER, A LANDMARK COMPONENT VISUALLY REPRESENTING THAT KIND OF WELCOMING INTO THE COMMUNITY. SO THIS REALLY REPRESENTS THE BLOCK WHICH IS THE SOUTH BLOCK, AND IT DOES HAVE AN EXISTING BUILDINGS THAT ARE LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST PORTION OF THAT, AND JUST TO PROVIDE SOME CONTEXT HERE AS PART OF THE WHOLE SITE SOLICITATION PROCESS, WE LOOK AT A NUMBER OF CRITERIA, ONE OF THEM IS HOW DOES THE SITE ACTUALLY FIT WITH OUR PROGRAM?

Mr. Day: SO WE GO THROUGH AS PART OF THIS REFERENCE DESIGN PROCESS THAT J.D. REFERENCED, DOES IT ACTUALLY FIT? AND DOES IT FIT AND IS IT OPTIMAL AND HOW DO YOU WORK THROUGH THE PROGRAM ELEMENTS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE SPECIFIC SITE? SO WE WENT THROUGH A VERY RIGOROUS PROCESS TO GO THROUGH A VET CAN TO MAKE SURE WE DON'T -- CERTAINLY WANT TO PRESENT A SITE WE DON'T HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THAT COULD -- WOULDN'T BE DEVELOPABLE. SO THAT WAS PART OF THAT PROCESS THAT ULTIMATELY HAS LED TO AND HAS STEERED US TO MAKING THIS AS OUR TOP RECOMMENDATION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE NEXT PHASE OF DUE DILIGENCE OF REALLY GOING THROUGH THE DETAILS OF VERIFYING AND VETTING AND COMING BACK TO YOU IN THE SPRING WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO MOVE FORWARD.

SO THERE IS KIND OF A PHASE TWO DUE DILIGENCE WE'LL GO THROUGH WITH YOUR APPROVAL OF THIS RECOMMENDATION. THE SECOND SITE IS THE PARKING LOT THAT'S ADJACENT TO THE KOIN TOWER BETWEEN THE KOIN TOWER AND THE MARRIOTT HOTEL. IT IS A SURFACE PARKING LOT. THERE'S AN INTERESTING NUANCE IN THAT THERE IS A PARKING STRUCTURE GARAGE ELEMENT THAT GOES THROUGH THE SOUTH PORTION OF THE SITE YOU CAN SEE HERE WITH MY HIGHLIGHTER, I KNOW IT'S HARD TO SEE THAT, BUT THIS SOUTH END OF THE SITE HAS A RAMP ENTRANCE TO THE ADJACENT KOIN CENTER PARKING STRUCTURE. SO AGAIN, WITH EVERY SITE THERE'S GOING TO BE UNIQUE CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES THAT THE PLANNING TEAM HAS TO WORK THROUGH FROM A -- HOW YOU DEAL WITH LAND USE, THE DEVELOPMENT, HOW ALL THE PARTS AND PIECES WORK TOGETHER WITH THE ADJACENCIES OF THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO THE LOWER FLOORS.

THAT'S REALLY THE TWO SITES, AND MOVING ON TO OUR RECOMMENDATION FOR ACTIONS FOR THE BOARD RESOLUTION ON THURSDAY IS TO SELECT THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE HEAD AS THE PREFERRED SITE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH OUR NEXT STEPS OF DUE DILIGENCE, WITH THE OPTION OF THE KOIN SURFACE PARKING SO WE HAVE

REALLY TWO SITES THAT ARE IN PLAY, BUT ONE THAT IS THE PRIMARY FOCAL POINT.

Mr. Day: OUR NEXT STEPS, AND I THINK I ALLUDED TO THIS, IS THE FINAL DUE DILIGENCE WE GO THROUGH FROM A LAND USE PLANNING STANDPOINT WITH GEO TECHNICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL, HAZARDOUS MATERIAL REVIEW, AND JUST MAKING SURE THAT WE LEAVE NO STONE UNTURNED AS WE GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS. ALONG WITH OUR COLLABORATION WITH OUR STATE PARTNERS, WITH DAS AND THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT. BOTH SITES HAVE GOOD STRONG SUPPORT FROM OUR STAKEHOLDER GROUPS, AND SO WE FEEL VERY CONFIDENT THAT EITHER SITE WILL SERVE THE COMMUNITY VERY WELL.

Chair Kafoury: I HAVE A QUICK QUESTION. HOW LONG DO YOU ANTICIPATE THE DUE DILIGENCE PHASE ON THIS?

Mr. Day: WE ANTICIPATE THIS TO BE A FAIRLY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, BUT WE WOULD BE COMING BACK TO YOU SOMETIME IN THE EARLY SPRING WITH OUR FINAL RECOMMENDATION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PREFERRED SITE. SO THAT WOULD BE LATE MARCH TIME FRAME OR 60- TO 90-DAY PERIOD.

Commissioner Shiprack: WHAT ARE THE ACTIVITIES THAT YOU'RE PLANNING ON CONDUCTING DURING THIS 90-DAY PERIOD?

Mr. Day: I THINK THERE ARE A FEW ACTIVITIES THAT THERE'S HISTORICAL DATA ON ALREADY, AND SOME OF IT'S CONFIRMATION IN TERMS OF THE PHASE ONE AND PHASE TWO ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS. JUST MAKING SURE THAT THOSE ARE FULLY VETTED. LOOKING AT THE GEO TECHNICAL DATA THAT WE HAVE A VERY GOOD MAPPING ALREADY OF THE AREA, AND MAKING SURE THAT IF WE DO NEED TO DO ADDITIONAL TEST BORINGS, WE HAVEN'T DETERMINED WHETHER WE WILL NEED TO DO THAT, BUT IF WE DO NEED TO DO THAT, HAVING THE TIME TO DO THAT ADDITIONAL GEOTECHNICAL DUE DILIGENCE, REALLY THESE ARE THINGS THAT WOULD BE A NORMAL PART OF A LAND USE PROCESS AS WE GO INTO THE NEXT PHASE OF PLANNING WHERE WE GO THROUGH OUR RFP SOLICITATION.

SO HAVING GOOD DATA AS BACKUP DOCUMENTS IN EXHIBITS THAT ARE AS CURRENT AND UP TO DATE IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF THAT PROCESS. THERE'S AN HISTORIC CONTEXT PIECE TOO, SO WE HAVE AN HISTORIC PRESERVATIONIST THAT'S PART OF OUR TEAM THAT WILL BE A PART OF THAT DUE DILIGENCE TO MAKE SURE THAT ANY OF THOSE BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS THAT WE NEED, EVEN THOUGH WE'RE NOT WITH THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE HEAD SITE, WE'RE NOT PLANNING ON DISRUPTING THE JEFFERSON STATION BUILDING OR MODIFYING THAT BUILDING, BUT HAVING THAT HISTORICAL CONTEXT IS A PART OF WHAT WE WOULD NORMALLY GO

THROUGH. OTHER THINGS LIKE LOOKING AT WATER TABLE, HOW YOU DEAL WITH THOSE TYPES OF THINGS, SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS, THOSE ARE ALWAYS THE BIG RISK AREAS WHENEVER YOU GO IN THROUGH ANY KIND OF A LAND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. IT'S WHAT YOU DON'T SEE BELOW GRADE. AND THEN I THINK INVOLVEMENT WITH COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT, OUR OPEN HOUSE ENGAGEMENT, BUT ALSO OUR INVOLVEMENT WITH THE EXISTING PROPERTY OWNERS THAT POTENTIALLY ARE GOING TO BE AFFECTED BY THIS DEVELOPMENT. I DID GO TOO FAR?

Commissioner Shiprack: MAYBE. I'M JUST HOPING YOU WILL BE BACK TO US PROMPTLY WITHIN THIS 90-DAYTIME FRAME AND THAT THIS WON'T GO ON FOREVER, AND THAT THE DECISION POINTS WILL BE SOLID CONSTRUCTION-BASED DECISION POINTS, BECAUSE OUR INTEREST IS BUILDING A COURTHOUSE.

Mr. Day: YES. ABSOLUTELY, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK. THIS IS GOING TO BE A VERY EXPEDITED, NOT A PROTRACTED PROCESS. AND THE TEAM HAS ESSENTIALLY ASSEMBLED AND READY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT WITH THE BOARD RESOLUTION ON THURSDAY.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR REPORT. IN TERMS OF LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY WITH THE PREFERRED SITE, THE DESIGN, AND THE BUILDING, AND ITS PROXIMITY TO THE FREEWAY, WHAT ARE SOME OF THE CHALLENGES THAT YOU FORESEE IN TERMS OF BUILDING AT THE PREFERRED SITE?

Mr. Day: YOU KNOW, I THINK WITH ANY DOWNTOWN SITE, WHETHER IT'S THIS SITE OR THE KOIN CENTER PARKING LOT SITE, OR OTHER DOWNTOWN SITES THAT YOU'RE DEVELOPING, YOU HAVE THE CONSTRAINTS OF, YOU DON'T HAVE A LARGER SITE WHERE YOU HAVE LAY DOWN AND STAGING AREAS. SO THAT'S REALLY ONE OF THE CONSTRAINTS THAT YOU DO HAVE FOR ANY KIND OF DOWNTOWN CONSTRUCTION WHERE YOU'RE GOING VERTICAL AND YOU'RE LIMITED BY THE BOUNDARIES OF REALLY THE ADJACENT ROADS TO THE EAST-WEST, NORTH, AND SOUTH.

Commissioner Smith: A COUPLE OF THE CONCERNS I HAVE IS, IT'S JUST RIGHT AT THE RAMP, IN TERMS OF HOW ARE WE GOING TO GET FOLKS IN AND OUT OF DOWNTOWN FROM THAT AREA?

Mr. Day: THAT'S AN EXCELLENT QUESTION. AS FAR AS THE EAST AND WESTBOUND OFF THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE, THOSE RAMPS WILL NOT BE AFFECTED BY THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. SO THERE WILL BE SOME MINOR DEMOLITION THAT WILL COME UP TO AND BE NEAR THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE HEAD AT THAT EXISTING RAMP THAT COMES OFF, BUT THOSE ARE ALL THINGS THAT CAN BE DEALT WITH IN TERMS OF THE MEANS AND

METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, BUT WILL ALLOW FOR THAT. BECAUSE IT'S A HIGH-TRAFFIC --

Commissioner Smith: IT IS A HIGH-TRAFFIC AREA, AND I AM NOT A DEVELOPER BY NO MEANS, BUT I DO KNOW YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE SOME SORT OF STAGING AREA, AND I'M REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT WHERE THAT STAGING AREA WILL BE, AND HOW THAT WILL CLOG UP THE TRAFFIC CONGESTION GOING IN AND OUT OF DOWNTOWN. THE SECOND PIECE IN TERMS OF DESIGN AND BUILD, I NOTICE THAT YOU HAVE TWO BLUE SHADED AREAS FOR THE PREFERRED SITE. COULD YOU KIND OF EXPLAIN TO ME WHY WE HAVE TWO AREAS THAT WE'RE GOING TO BUILD ON FOR THE PREFERRED SITE?

Mr. Day: SURE. I THINK THE SHADING OF THE SOUTH BLOCK ALONG WITH THE TRIANGULAR PORTION OF THE NORTH BLOCK, I THINK WAS JUST TO REPRESENT THAT THAT IS KIND OF THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA THAT WAS LOOKED AT. THE FOCUS FROM A PLANNING PERSPECTIVE AND A TEST FIT OF THE PROGRAM HAS REALLY BEEN ON THE SOUTH BLOCK, COMMISSIONER SMITH. GOING BACK TO YOUR QUESTION ON STAGING AND OPPORTUNITIES TO LOOK AT ADJACENT PROPERTIES FOR STAGING, THAT MIGHT BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR AN AREA WHERE YOU COULD PROVIDE A STAGING, A LAY-DOWN AREA FOR THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. AND THOSE ARE ALL REALLY GOOD QUESTIONS --

Commissioner Smith: LET'S GO BACK TO THIS, BECAUSE THERE'S A REASON WHY WE HAVE THAT SECOND SITE, BECAUSE CURRENTLY THE CURRENT ZONING DOESN'T ALLOW US TO BUILD TO THE HEIGHT THAT WE WOULD NEED TO BUILD, NOT TO USE THAT SITE. CORRECT?

Mr. Day: THE NORTH SITE UNDER ITS CURRENT ZONING --

Commissioner Smith: UNDER ITS CURRENT ZONING. OK. SO UNDER CURRENT ZONING WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TWO BUILDINGS, ONE ON ONE SIDE OF THE FREEWAY AND THE OTHER. CORRECT?

Mr. Day: UNDER THE CURRENT ZONING FOR THE 2035 PLAN IS ADOPTED, THERE IS THE POTENTIAL THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE TO LOOK AT DEVELOPING THAT NORTH AREA. WE DON'T ANTICIPATE THAT WILL BE AN ISSUE, HOWEVER, WITH THE 2035 MASTER PLAN WITH THE CITY BEING ADOPTED, THE SOUTH BLOCK WILL BE INCREASED FROM 200 TO 325 --

Commissioner Smith: THAT PARTICULAR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN IS NOT GOING TO BE PASSED FOR TWO YEARS.

Mr. Day: WE ANTICIPATE THAT WILL BE PASSED IN MID- TO LATE 2016.



Commissioner Smith: OK. SO FOR ME, JUST FOR ME, I CAN TELL YOU THAT I RECEIVED SEVERAL TELEPHONE CALLS FROM MY CONSTITUENTS, AND I HAVE TO GO BY WHAT I SEE TODAY. NOT WHAT I BELIEVE A LOCAL GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO DO TWO YEARS FROM NOW. SO I'M TRYING TO GET A SENSE OF HOW THAT WILL IMPACT WHAT WE'LL DO AT THE BRIDGE HEAD SITE, AND I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT SOME OF THE CHALLENGES, BECAUSE IF THE ZONING DOESN'T CHANGE AS WE KNOW IT, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE TWO SITES. THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET A SENSE OF.

Mr. Day: OK. THAT IS ALWAYS A POSSIBILITY THAT THAT PARTICULAR -- THE NORTH BLOCK COULD BE UNDER CONSIDERATION IF THE ZONING, FOR EXAMPLE, AS YOU SAY, DOES NOT CHANGE AND THE CITY --

Commissioner Smith: I'M TRYING TO DO A SIDE BY SIDE COMPARISON. IF WE LOOK AT THE OTHER SITE, THE OTHER CHALLENGE I SEE IS THAT THERE'S THIS COVERED PARKING PIECE. CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO ME WHAT THAT WOULD DO, THE SECOND SITE?

Mr. Day: SURE. THAT PARTICULAR SITE, THE COVERED PARKING, IS BASICALLY A CONCRETE STRUCTURE THAT PROVIDES A RAMP INTO THE ADJACENT PARKING STRUCTURE THAT'S BELOW GRADE TO THE WEST OF THIS PARKING LOT. IT ALSO HAS A TRUCK LOADING DOCK ACCESS COMPONENT THAT HAS A TRUCK ELEVATOR AS PART OF IT. SO IN TERMS OF THAT SITE, BUILDING UP AND AROUND THAT SITE IS PART OF WHAT WE'VE DONE AS FAR AS THE TEST FIT FOR HOW YOU WOULD BUILD AROUND THAT PARTICULAR INFRASTRUCTURE.

Commissioner Smith: SO I'M ASKING THE SAME QUESTION THAT I ASKED FOR THE FIRST PREFERRED SITE, WHAT KIND OF CHALLENGES WILL WE HAVE FOR THAT SECOND SITE IN TERMS OF BUILDING AND DESIGNING ON THAT SITE KNOWING THAT WE HAVE THAT RAMP AND THE UNDERGROUND? WHAT KIND OF CHALLENGES DO YOU EXPECT WE'LL HAVE FOR THAT?

Mr. Day: THERE'S GOING TO BE STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES THAT I THINK ANY SITE YOU DEAL WITH IN A DOWNTOWN CORE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE THOSE STRUCTURAL INTERFACES OF HOW YOU BUILD A NEW STRUCTURE AROUND AN EXISTING STRUCTURE. THERE HAS BEEN A FAIR AMOUNT OF PLANNING THAT'S ACTUALLY GONE INTO THAT PREVIOUSLY BY THE CURRENT PROPERTY OWNER FOR HOW THAT PARTICULAR PROPERTY WOULD BE DEVELOPED FROM A HIGH-RISE MIXED USE OFFICE DEVELOPMENT STANDPOINT.

Commissioner Smith: SO FOR THE CURRENT ZONING ON THAT PARTICULAR SITE, WE DON'T NEED ANY ZONING CHANGES TO BUILD THERE?

Mr. Day: THE CURRENT ZONING, WHICH IS ALSO AT 200 FEET, BUT IS PLANNING TO BE ADOPTED AND UPDATED AS PART OF THE 2035 PLAN, THE CURRENT ZONING IS 200 FEET AT THAT PARTICULAR SITE AS WELL.

Commissioner Smith: OK. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS?

Commissioner Bailey: CAN YOU GO BACK AND TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT SEPARATED IN TERMS OF CRITERIA THE PREFERRED SITE FROM THE ALTERNATE SITE?

Mr. Day: SURE. I THINK THE SITES ACTUALLY AS FAR AS THERE WERE THREE DOWNTOWN SITES THAT WERE LOOKED AT, THOSE TWO SITES SPECIFICALLY, THEY SCORED VERY SIMILARLY FROM A TRANSPORTATION PROXIMITY TO DOWNTOWN. THERE WERE -- THE LOGISTICS OF CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLEXITY OF CONSTRUCTION WITH DOWNTOWN CONSTRUCTION, THEY SCORED VERY SIMILARLY WITH RESPECT TO THAT TOO BECAUSE YOU HAVE DIFFERENT NUANCES, BUT DIFFERENT CHALLENGES WITH EACH SITE FROM THAT STANDPOINT. THE ZONING, BECAUSE OF THE CURRENT ZONING WITH THE CITY AND THE FACT THAT PLAN HAS NOT BEEN ADOPTED YET, THOSE WERE CERTAINLY FACTORED INTO THE SCORING AND DISCOUNTED FOR THOSE SITES. I THINK ONE OF THE CERTAINLY A SEPARATING FACTOR, ONE BEING THAT THE COUNTY OWNS AND CONTROLS THE CURRENT HAWTHORNE BRIDGE HEAD SITE, CERTAINLY WAS A FACTOR THAT IS A DIFFERENTIATOR VERSUS THE PRIVATELY OWNED SITE THAT IS HELD UNDER AN OPTION AS PART OF THIS PROCESS.

Commissioner Bailey: HOW IMPORTANT WAS POTENTIAL FOR UNDERGROUND ACCESS TO THE MCDC?

Mr. Day: THAT WAS A FACTOR AS FAR AS JUSTICE CENTER RELATIONSHIP TO THE JUSTICE CENTER AND THE FACT THAT THERE IS A FUTURE EASEMENT OR AN EASEMENT THAT'S IN PLACE THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR FUTURE TUNNEL CONNECTION TO THE SITE THAT IS THE RECOMMENDED SITE THAT. WAS DEFINITELY A POSITIVE AS FAR AS THE OPERATIONAL ADJACENCY TO THE JUSTICE CENTER AND THAT RELATIONSHIP OF HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO BUILD AN UNDERGROUND TUNNEL TO MAKE THAT PHYSICAL CONNECTION SO YOU'VE GOT A SECURED ACCESS BETWEEN THE TWO BUILDINGS.

Commissioner Bailey: AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT AT ONE POINT THE PROPERTY ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE PREFERRED SITE WAS UNDER CONSIDERATION, THAT PARKING GARAGE STRUCTURE. WHAT WERE THE CHALLENGES THERE?

Mr. Day: THAT WAS ONE OF THE OTHER THREE SITES, AS YOU SAY, AND I THINK PART OF THAT WAS THE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CITY AND NOT THAT THAT WAS A CHALLENGE, BUT THE, WHAT DO YOU DO WITH ALL THE FUNCTIONS THAT ARE CURRENTLY IN THE EXISTING SMART PARK PARKING STRUCTURE, AND WHEN YOU REMOVE THAT BUILDING AND REPLACE THAT WITH A COURTHOUSE, WHERE DO ALL THOSE FUNCTIONS GO AND HOW ARE THOSE OFF SITED TO A DIFFERENT LOCATION? SO THERE WAS A CONSIDERABLE COST COMPONENT THAT CAME WITH DEMOLISHING OF AN EXISTING PARKING STRUCTURE, AND HAVING TO REBUILD A NEW PARKING STRUCTURE AT SOME OTHER LOCATION IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA THAT WASN'T REALLY DEFINED AT THIS POINT.

Commissioner Bailey: HOW MANY MEETINGS DID YOU HAVE WITH THE OWNERS OF THAT ADJACENT PROPERTY THAT HOUSES VERITABLE QUANDARY?

Mr. Day: THERE WERE TWO MEETINGS THAT TOOK PLACE WITH THE VERITABLE QUANDARY AND SOME OF THE EARLIER RENDERINGS WE'VE DEVELOPED AS PART OF THE TEST FIT OF THE SITE WERE SHARED WITH THAT OWNER.

Commissioner Bailey: IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS, AND IN YOUR MAPPING OF THE POTENTIAL FOR THE NEW COURTHOUSE, DOES THE POTENTIAL DESIGN IMPACT THE STRUCTURE OF THAT PROPERTY OR ITS ADJACENT PATIO?

Mr. Day: VERY GOOD QUESTION. THE POTENTIAL DESIGN, AGAIN, I THINK WE ARE -- KEEP IN MIND WE'RE IN THE EARLY PLANNING STAGES, SO EVERYTHING HAS BEEN VERY DIAGRAMATIC USING 3D MODELING AND SOME OF THOSE TOOLS WE HAVE. BUT IN THE TEST FIT, WE HAVE ALLOWED FOR AND PRESERVED THE VERITABLE QUANDARY ALONG WITH THE PATIO AREA AS PART OF THAT DEVELOPMENT. SO THEY DO FIT TOGETHER, AND THERE'S A NESTING IF YOU WILL OF HOW THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO WORKS. THERE WOULD BE A TWO-STORY ATRIUM EFFECT IF YOU WILL THAT WOULD ESSENTIALLY THE MASSING OF THAT WOULD BE ABOVE THE PATIO AREA. AND THE VERITABLE QUANDARY, SO THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR THE VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION TO TAKE PLACE POTENTIALLY, AND AGAIN, THESE ARE EARLY STUDIES THAT WE'VE DONE, BUT ONCE WE GET OUR PLANNING TEAM ON BOARD WITH OUR ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS WE WOULD GO THROUGH AND VALIDATE THAT AND HAVE FURTHER ENGAGEMENT WITH THOSE OWNERS OF THE ADJACENT BUILDINGS.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION. I WANT TO GO BACK TO THE PREFERRED SITE. ALL THINGS BEING EQUAL, WE OWN THE COUNTY LAND AT THE BRIDGE HEAD. LET'S SAY WE OWN THE PROPERTY FOR THE SECONDARY SITE. WHICH ONE IS THE PREFERRABLE IN TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO BUILD AND BUILD AROUND THE COMMUNITY?

Mr. Day: WHICH ONE IS PREFERRED TO BE BUILT? THAT'S A VERY INTERESTING -- [APPLAUSE] IT'S AN INTERESTING QUESTION THAT WOULD I REALLY HAVE TO GET BACK TO YOU ON. BECAUSE IT'S A MUCH MORE DETAILED QUESTION THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO DISCUSS IN MORE DETAIL.

Commissioner Smith: THANK YOU.

Mr. Deschamps: ARE WE READY TO MOVE ON TO FINANCE?

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS TO THIS PIECE?

Mr. Campbell: OK. THANK YOU, MIKE. WHEN I WAS PUTTING MY PART OF THIS TOGETHER, I WENT THROUGH SOME OF THE OLDER STUDIES THAT HAVE BEEN DONE ON THE COURTHOUSE, AND I PULLED THIS QUOTE OUT FROM ONE THAT WAS DONE IN 2002. AND I THINK WE ALL KNOW THAT THIS IS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY WE'RE PURSUING THIS PROJECT TODAY, IS THE COURTHOUSE REACHED ITS USEFUL LIFE AND IT'S FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE. IN ADDITION TO THE SEISMIC ISSUES THAT J.D. RAISED EARLIER. WHEN THE DECISION WAS MADE TO BUILD NEW RATHER THAN RENOVATE THE EXISTING COURTHOUSE THAT KIND OF HELPED US TO INFORM HOW WE WOULD APPROACH THE FINANCING FOR THIS, AND SO THERE'S THREE THINGS I THINK ARE KEY AS WE SIT HERE TODAY.

ONE IS THAT THE -- THERE ARE STATE MATCHING FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THIS PROJECT THAT THE LEGISLATURE IN PAST SENATE BILL 5606 WHICH PROVIDES FOR MATCHING FUNDS FOR COUNTY COURTHOUSE PROJECTS. THIS BOARD HAS APPROVED REVISIONS TO OUR EXISTING FINANCIAL AND BUDGET POLICIES OVER THE PAST COUPLE YEARS THAT HAVE GIVEN US GREATER FLEXIBILITY AND DIRECTED SOME OF THE ONE-TIME-ONLY SOURCES OF REVENUE, AND HAS HELPED EXPAND OUR CAPACITY. AND FINALLY, THE COST OF CAPITAL REMAINS HISTORICALLY LOW. RECENT TRIPLE A BOND RATED BOND OFFERINGS IN THE STATE OF OREGON HAVE AVERAGED BETWEEN 3.2 AND 3.5%, AND THE CITY OF PORTLAND RECENTLY SOLD A 20-YEAR WATER BOND ISSUE AND CAME IN RIGHT AROUND 3.1%. IN TERMS OF WHERE WE'VE BEEN IN THE PAST, THAT'S STILL REALLY LOW RATES, AND I EXPECT THAT FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE. AND ON THOSE CONSIDERATIONS HELPED INFORM OUR STRATEGY AROUND THIS PROJECT.

AND AFTER MONTHS OF ANALYZING THE PROGRAMMING NEEDS AND LOOKING AT WHAT THE COSTS TO BUILD THIS PROJECT AND THESE TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION FUTURE COSTS BECAUSE WE'RE NOT INTENDING TO START CONSTRUCTION UNTIL 2016, EARLY 2017, WE HAVE A PROJECT THAT'S ESTIMATED TO COST BETWEEN 250 AND 260 MILLION. AS I MENTIONED, THE STATE HAS PROVIDED MATCHING FUNDS THROUGH SENATE BILL 5506, AND

THOSE CAN BE FOR UP TO 50% OF THE PROJECT. THE STATE MATCH WILL COME IN THE FORM OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROCEEDS THAT THE STATE INTENDS TO SELL OVER THE NEXT THREE BIENNIUMS. WE HAVE SECURED \$15 MILLION THAT WAS APPROVED IN THE 2013-2015 BIENNIUM AND THAT RECENTLY AS OF LAST WEEK THE E-BOARD VOTED TO AUTHORIZE APPROPRIATIONS FOR THAT BOND SALE.

Mr. Campbell: WE HAVE ANOTHER 17.4 MILLION THAT HAS BEEN INCORPORATED WITHIN THE CHIEF JUSTICES' BUDGET REQUEST FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2015 TO '17 BIENNIUM, AND WE ANTICIPATE THE BALANCE WOULD BE PROVIDED IN THE 2017-2019 BIENNIUM. SO THAT WOULD ACCOUNT FOR HALF OF THE PROJECT COSTS, SOUP TO 130 MILLION WOULD BE FINANCED BY THE STATE, AND THOSE SALES ARE TIMED BASED ON OUR ESTIMATED CASH FLOWS TO THE PROJECT AND VARIOUS PROJECT MILESTONES. THAT'S WHY IT'S BEING DONE IN THE MANNER THAT IS SUGGESTED. SO FOR THE COUNTY'S PIECE OF THIS, WHAT WE HAVE GOT IS WE'VE GOT SOME EXISTING AND SOME EARMARKED FUNDS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THIS PROJECT. OVER THE PAST COUPLE YEARS, THE BOARD HAS AUTHORIZED ABOUT 3.2 MILLION OF GENERAL FUND DOLLARS TO GO INTO THIS PROJECT. WE'VE SPENT ABOUT 1.3 MILLION TO DATE, SO THERE'S APPROXIMATELY 2 MILLION REMAINING THERE. BY RESOLUTION, THE BOARD HAS EARMARKED THE PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF THE WEST END OF THE MORRISON BRIDGE PROPERTY, AND THAT IS EXPECTED TO CLOSE IN EARLY 2016 AND THE PROCEEDS WILL BE 10.4 MILLION DOLLARS.

LAST YEAR THE BOARD APPROVED A CHANGE IN THE FINANCIAL POLICY AROUND THE USE OF ONE-TIME-ONLY FUNDING. AS YOU'LL RECALL, THAT POLICY CHANGE SUGGESTS THAT HALF OF ANY ONE-TIME-ONLY FUNDS IDENTIFIED BY THE BUDGET OFFICE WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR FACILITIES CAPITAL PROJECTS. AS YOU HEARD IN THE FORECAST, I BELIEVE IT WAS JUST TWO WEEKS AGO, THE BUDGET OFFICE IS ESTIMATING THERE WILL BE \$25 MILLION AVAILABLE FOR ONE-TIME-ONLY FUNDING, SO THERE'S \$12.5 MILLION THAT CAN BE DEDICATED TO THIS PROJECT AND OTHER FACILITIES CAPITAL. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO USE 9 MILLION THAT WAS GRANTED TO THE COUNTY FROM THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. WE'VE HAD THIS MONEY IN THE BANK NOW FOR ABOUT FOUR, FIVE YEARS I WANT TO SAY, AND IT WAS INTENDED TO MOVE THE RAMPS FOR THE HAWTHORNE BRIDGE, THOUGH WE BELIEVE THERE IS POTENTIAL TO USE IT IF THAT SITE IS CHOSEN AS A SITE FOR THE COURTHOUSE.

AND FINALLY, WE WOULD DO A COUNTY BOND SALE AND I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE TIME THIS TO COINCIDE WITH WHEN WE'RE ABOUT TO BEGIN CONSTRUCTION. AND A COUPLE OF FACTORS IN OUR FAVOR RELATED TO THIS, THE COUNTY ENJOYS A FAVORABLE BOND RATING. WE WERE UPGRADED ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF AGO TO TRIPLE A BY MOODY'S

INVESTOR SERVICES. IT'S THE HIGHEST BOND RATING THAT CAN BE OBTAINED. WE CURRENTLY HAVE A VERY LOW DEBT BURDEN, I'LL GO OVER THAT ON THE NEXT SLIDE. WE'RE ONLY USING ROUGHLY 20% OF OUR EXISTING CAPACITY TODAY. AND MOST OF THAT DEBT MATURES BY FISCAL YEAR 2020, AND I'VE SHOWED THAT YOU CHART BEFORE WHERE WE HAVE THIS GLIDE PATH DOWN FOR THE DEBT SERVICE. SO AS WITH THE STATE, THE STRUCTURE OF OUR BONDS WILL MATCH WHAT OUR CURRENT ESTIMATED CASH FLOWS ARE. AND AGAIN, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THE APPLICATION OF FUTURE ONE-TIME-ONLY REVENUES THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR FACILITIES CAPITAL CAN BE USED TO OFFSET THE AMOUNT OF BORROWING THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO OTHERWISE TAKE OUT.

Mr. Campbell: THIS NEXT SLIDE SHOWS WHAT I WAS DESCRIBE BALL GAME OUR DEBT BURDEN. AND WHAT WE HAVE HERE, THESE ARE THE THREE ISSUES, THESE ARE FULL FAITH IN CREDIT, NOT SUBJECT TO VOTER APPROVAL. AND THESE ARE THE THREE DEAD ISSUES WE HAVE THERE CURRENTLY SUBJECT TO THE POLICY. AS YOU CAN SEE, WE ARE SPENDING JUST A LITTLE BIT LESS THAN \$7 MILLION IN DEBT SERVICE FOR THOSE PROJECTS AND ALSO AS YOU CAN SEE THE LARGEST SINGLE ONE OF THESE, THE SERIES 2014 FULL FAITH IN CREDIT MATURES IN FISCAL YEAR 2020. SO AS OF TODAY, THE -- I SHOULD BACK UP, THE POLICY THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED AROUND THE FULL PHASE IN CREDIT DEBT SAYS WE CAN HAVE DEBT SERVICE THAT EQUALS UP TO 5% OF GENERAL FUND REVENUES. AND WE'RE CURRENTLY AT 1.6% OF GENERAL FUND REVENUES. I DID A CALCULATION OF WHAT THAT CAPACITY LOOKS LIKE BASED ON THE FORECAST FOR NEXT YEAR, AND WE'RE ESTIMATING THAT THERE'S \$426 MILLION OF GENERAL FUND REVENUE THAT THIS POLICY WOULD BE APPLIED TO, WE HAVE THE -- WE HAVE THEREFORE ABOUT 21.3 MILLION WE COULD PUT INTO DEBT SERVICE. AND WE'RE CURRENTLY USING ABOUT SEVEN. SO WE HAVE \$14 MILLION OF ADDITIONAL DEBT SERVICE CAPACITY, AND BASED ON MY CALCULATION ABOUT WHAT WE COULD BORROW TODAY, AND THIS TAKES INTO ACCOUNT 20-YEAR TRIPLE A RATED MEASURE SALE, WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT ADDITIONAL CAPACITY OF BETWEEN 200 AND \$215 MILLION AS OF JULY 1 NEXT YEAR.

Chair Kafoury: WE WOULD, HOWEVER, HAVE TO PAY THAT BACK.

Mr. Campbell: THAT IS CORRECT.

Chair Kafoury: LET'S NOT FORGET THE IMPORTANT PART.

Mr. Campbell: BUT THIS CHART SHOWS A WAY THAT THAT COULD POTENTIALLY BE STRUCTURED AND THIS IS NOT NECESSARILY HOW I WOULD RECOMMEND TO DO THIS --

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE FOR FOLKS IN TVLAND THAT THEY DON'T THINK WE'RE SITTING ON \$200 MILLION.

Mr. Campbell: POINT WELL TAKEN. THE CHART HIGHLIGHTS OUR EXISTING DEBT DROPS TO \$2 MILLION IN FISCAL YEAR '20-21. WHAT I'VE DONE HERE IS I'VE ASSUMED WE PICK UP THE COURTHOUSE PROJECT IN THAT YEAR, AND THAT WHAT WE WOULD DO IS SOMETHING LIKE A DEFERRED PAYMENT STRUCTURE, WHERE WE ISSUE THE BONDS AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION, BUT WE ACTUALLY DON'T MAKE ANY PAYMENTS ON THEM UNTIL SOMETIME IN 2021.

Chair Kafoury: SO THE -- THIS DEBT SERVICE OF 5.8 TO 6.9 IS OUR HALF?

Mr. Campbell: CORRECT. THAT IS OUR HALF.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

Mr. Campbell: AND SO SINCE MIKE HAS THIS NEAT LITTLE POINTER HERE, THE AREA THAT'S IN THE CIRCLE UP THERE REPRESENTS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT WE'RE PAYING NOW AND WHAT WE'LL SAVE BY THE END OF 20-21. WHAT THE STRATEGY WOULD BE IS THAT WE WOULD TRY TO KIND OF CORRAL THAT REVENUE THAT'S CURRENTLY BEING USED FOR DEBT SERVICE AND WE WOULD BASICALLY REPLACE THIS PROJECT FOR THAT. AND THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNT WOULD BE BETWEEN 1.5 AND \$2 MILLION THAT WE WOULD NEED TO PICK UP.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION. MARK, THE 200 TO 215 MILLION, WOULD THAT GET US TO THE CEILING OF OUR DEBT CAPACITY?

Mr. Campbell: YES. THAT WOULD BE BASED ON THE CURRENT BUDGET ESTIMATES, YES.

Commissioner Smith: SO IF WE REACHED 215, WE COULDN'T DO ANY ADDITIONAL PROJECTS ONCE WE REACHED THE 215 DEBT CEILING.

Mr. Campbell: SO THE INTERESTING THING ABOUT OUR POLICY IS THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED A POLICY WHICH IS MUCH MORE CONSERVATIVE THAN THE STATE. WE HAVE A STATUTORY DEBT LIMIT, WHICH IS MUCH HIGHER THAN THIS. SO IF THE BOARD WERE TO WANT TO CHANGE THE POLICY, FOR EXAMPLE, THE STATUTES SAY --

Commissioner Smith: UNDER CURRENT POLICY --

Mr. Campbell: UNDER CURRENT POLICY --

Commissioner Smith: WE COULD ONLY GO UP TO 215, SO IF WE USE THE 215 TO DEVELOP THE COURTHOUSE, WE WOULD BE AT OUR LIMIT, WE COULDN'T DO ANY OTHER PROJECTS OR ANYTHING ELSE.

Mr. Campbell: I'M ASSUMING THAT WE WOULD ONLY USE ABOUT 125 MILLION --

Commissioner Smith: I'M SAYING IN TERMS OF WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW AND WHAT WE WOULD HAVE TO DO, 215 GETS US TO OUR LIMIT.

Mr. Campbell: THAT'S CORRECT.

Commissioner Smith: OK.

Mr. Campbell: I DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING ELSE, IF THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? COMMISSIONER BAILEY?

Commissioner Bailey: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. GOING BACK NOT SO MUCH ON THE FINANCIAL PORTION, BUT IN GENERAL, I APPRECIATE THE TECHNICAL WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO GETTING THIS FIGURED OUT. AND WE DO DESPERATELY NEED A NEW COURTHOUSE FOR A LOT OF REASONS. NOT THE LEAST OF WHICH IS JUST THE LIFE AND SAFETY OF THOSE IN THE CURRENT ONE. I, HOWEVER, ALSO FEEL THAT THE OUTREACH AND THE WORK WITH THOSE THAT WILL BE IMPACTED BY IT HAS NOT HAPPENED IN THE WAY THAT IT NEEDS TO HAPPEN. AND WE AS PUBLIC OFFICIALS NOW HAVE THE DUTY TO WEIGH COMPETING INTERESTS. AND I DON'T FEEL THAT I'VE RECEIVED THROUGH THIS PROCESS ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT I'VE NEEDED TO MAKE A FULLY INFORMED DECISION. SO FOR THE RECORD, I WILL BE CONTINUING TO HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS AND ASKING FOR INFORMATION OVER THE NEXT COUPLE DAYS, AND WEIGHING THOSE THINGS CAREFULLY. BUT I DO THINK IN THE FUTURE THOSE -- THAT KIND OF OUTREACH NEEDS TO HAPPEN. AND THOSE KIND OF CONVERSATIONS NEED TO HAPPEN, AND I'M NOT FULLY THERE YET. [APPLAUSE]

Chair Kafoury: I'M SORRY, WE NEED TO KEEP THE DECORUM IN THE ROOM DOWN, PLEASE, SO WE CAN CONTINUE OUR BRIEFING THIS MORNING. CAN YOU GIVE -- DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK?

Commissioner Shiprack: LET'S GO AHEAD TO THE TIME LINE.

Chair Kafoury: FUTURE BOARD ACTIONS REQUIRED.

Mr. Alaman: FUTURE BOARD ACTIONS. WE ARE GOING TO BE COMING TO THE BOARD FOR A RECOMMENDATION ON THE PROJECT DELIVERY METHOD, SO THE PROCUREMENT, AND THEN ALSO DOING A RECOMMENDATION FOR A FINAL SITE SELECTION. THERE WILL BE ADDITIONAL FAC ONE APPROVALS,



SO THERE WILL BE A PROJECT PROPOSAL, A PROJECT PLAN, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION, EACH TIME WE COME BACK TO THE BOARD, THAT INFORMATION WILL BE MORE AND MORE REFINED. JUST KEEP IN MIND THAT THIS THURSDAY IS REALLY JUST A VERY FIRST STEP ON PLANNING. AND SO ALL WE'RE SAYING IS, LET US TAKE IT TO THE NEXT STAGE, WHICH IS PROJECT PLANNING. THE MASTER TIME LINE, THE SCHEDULE LOOKS LIKE THIS. SO EARLY 2015, FINAL SITE SELECTION. WE'LL ISSUE THE RFP FOR BOTH THE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND THE CONTRACTOR. OUR TARGET IS TO BEGIN CONSTRUCTION IN 2016. AND THERE WILL BE THREE YEARS OF CONSTRUCTION AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A NEW COURTHOUSE IN 2020. WHICH IS GOING TO BE VERY EXCITING.

Commissioner Shiprack: AND THAT'S WORTH A ROUND OF APPLAUSE, THAT WE GET A NEW COURTHOUSE. AND I WANT TO THANK ALL OF THE LAWYERS AND JOURNALISTS AND BUSINESS PEOPLE IN THE CROWD FOR THEIR SUPPORT.

Mr. Alaman: ABSOLUTELY.

Chair Kafoury: I HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS. THANK YOU. SO THE VOTE THURSDAY IS TO MOVE FORWARD AND APPROVE THE PREFERRED SITE AND THEN THE BACKUP SITE. AND THEN WE'LL HAVE A RECOMMENDATION ON FINAL -- WE'LL HAVE A FINAL SITE SELECTION AFTER WE FINISHED OUR DUE DILIGENCE. DO WE HAVE A BALLPARK TIME LINE FOR WHEN THAT WOULD OCCUR?

Mr. Alaman: ONCE WE DO THE DUE DILIGENCE AND ALL THE OTHER GEOTECHNICAL, AND THEN, AGAIN, JUST LIKE COMMISSIONER BAILEY MENTIONED, THERE WILL BE OUTREACH, AND REALLY COMING TO THE BOARD WITH, YOU'VE GIVEN US PERMISSION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH PLANNING THIS, IS THE INFORMATION THAT WE'VE UNCOVERED, AND PRESENT TO YOU AN UPDATE ON WHAT WE FOUND. AT THAT POINT YOU'LL BE INFORMED OF HERE ARE THE CHALLENGES, HERE ARE THE CONTINGENCY PLANS WE'VE PUT IN PLACE. SO THAT WE CAN DETERMINE WHAT THE NEXT STEPS ARE MOVING FORWARD.

Chair Kafoury: WHEN DO WE IMAGINE THAT WILL TAKE PLACE?

Mr. Day: THAT WOULD BE EARLY SPRING.

Chair Kafoury: AFTER -- OBVIOUSLY AFTER 90 DAYS.

Mr. Day: I THINK WE'RE LOOKING AT A 60- TO 90-DAY PERIOD. PUTTING THAT INTO A CALENDAR WOULD BE TOWARD THE END OF MARCH.

Chair Kafoury: HOW ABOUT THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PROJECT DELIVERY METHOD? THAT'S THE DECISION BETWEEN THE CMGC OR DESIGN BUILD.

Mr. Day: WE'D LIKE TO COME BACK TO YOU WITH THAT RECOMMENDATION THE END OF JANUARY.

Chair Kafoury: SO THAT'S FIRST.

Mr. Day: M-HMM. AND THAT WILL THEN ALLOW US TO MOVE FORWARD WITH PREPARING THE DOCUMENTS FOR THE RFP PROCESS, WHICH TAKES SIX TO EIGHT MONTHS.

Chair Kafoury: FOR THE ARCHITECT AND ENGINEERING?

Mr. Day: TO GO THROUGH THAT PROCUREMENT PROCESS TO GET OUR ARCHITECT AND ENGINEERING TEAM AND CONTRACTOR ON BOARD, YUP.

Mr. Alaman: OTHER QUESTIONS?

Commissioner Shiprack: I REALLY WANT TO THANK YOU, AND I WANT TO SAY I'M DELIGHTED TO SEE THE COMMUNITY IS ATTENDING. BECAUSE THIS IS A REALLY IMPORTANT PROJECT. AND BECAUSE ONE OF THE THINGS WE'VE LEARNED DURING THE 40 YEARS WE'VE BEEN ATTEMPTING TO BUILD A COURTHOUSE, AND IT HAS BEEN 40 YEARS, 40 YEARS OF STUDYING AND 40 YEARS OF ATTEMPTING AND FAILING AND BASICALLY THE AREAS THAT ARE MOST DIFFICULT IN THIS COMMUNITY WE'VE DISCUSSED DURING THIS MEETING, AND THEY ARE SITE LOCATION, AND FINANCING. HAVE DEFEATED EVERY OTHER BOARD BEFORE US. AND JUST TO KEEP THAT IN MIND, IF THIS WERE EASY, IT WOULD HAVE HAPPENED 40 YEARS AGO. ALSO TO KEEP IN MIND THAT THIS IS THE BUILDING THAT REPRESENTS THE VALUE THAT THIS COMMUNITY PLACES ON ALL OF THE COMMUNITY VALUES AND ACTIVITIES THAT GO ON WITHIN A COURTHOUSE.

1,500 TO 3,000 PEOPLE A DAY GO IN AND OUT OF THIS BUILDING, JURORS WHO ARE COMPELLED TO BE THERE. COMPELLED BY THE COURT TO ATTEND. WITNESSES WHO ARE COMPELLED TO BE THERE. AND THIS IS WHERE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL TRIALS TAKE PLACE. WE HAVE MADE A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF OUTREACH, AND I WANT TO BRING COMMISSIONER BAILEY IN ON THIS, AND ALSO THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE ATTENDING TODAY. THERE'S BEEN A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF OUTREACH TO THE MULTNOMAH BAR ASSOCIATION, TO CITIZEN COMMITTEES WHO HAVE GATHERED TO HELP US SOLVE THESE REALLY DIFFICULT ISSUES AS WE SEE TODAY FROM THE INTEREST OF SITE AND FINANCE. SO WE HAVE BENEFITED FROM A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF COMMUNITY

INTEREST IN REPRESENTING THAT THIS COMMUNITY VALUES WHAT GOES ON IN A COURTHOUSE. AND AS HAS ALREADY BEEN POINTED OUT, WE'VE GOT WORK TO DO. WE'RE NOT THERE YET. BUT WE DO HAVE, AND ALSO THIS IS KIND OF AN ANSWER I THINK TO ONE OF COMMISSIONER SMITH'S QUESTIONS, WE DO HAVE A PREFERRED SITE. IT'S THE SITE THAT'S COME FORWARD, THERE IS NO SITE THAT'S GOING TO BE ADVANCED.

Commissioner Shiprack: I JUST WANT TO ASSURE EVERYONE OF THIS, EVERYONE WHO IS LISTENING TO THIS AND EVERYBODY WHO IS HERE TODAY. THERE IS NO PERFECT SITE. PORTLAND IS A GROWN-UP CITY. THERE ARE VERY FEW SITES IN THE DOWNTOWN CORE WHICH IS THE PREFERRED SITE FOR JUDGES, AND ATTORNEYS, AND FOR THE BUSINESS INTERESTS THAT CONDUCT BUSINESS IN THE CURRENT COURTHOUSE. AND WE HAVE WORKED VERY HARD TO COME UP WITH THIS PREFERRED SITE. SO I WANT TO THANK ALL THE PRESENTERS TODAY. I LOOK FORWARD TO THE NEXT 90 DAYS, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISHING WITH THE PARTNERSHIP OF THIS COMMUNITY ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISHING THIS TASK WHICH HAS BEEN 40 YEARS PLUS IN THE MAKING, WHICH IS TO CONSTRUCT A SAFE, FUNCTIONAL COURTHOUSE FOR THE PEOPLE OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND THE STATE OF OREGON TO DO THEIR BUSINESS IN.

Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION. AND JUST A COMMENT. WE DON'T HAVE A PREFERRED SITE YET. WE HAVEN'T VOTED YET. THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN ON THURSDAY, WE'VE BEEN GIVEN RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OUR FACILITIES DEPARTMENT ON WHAT THEIR PREFERRED SITE IS, BUT THE LAST WORD ON THIS IS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. AND WE HAVEN'T TAKEN THAT VOTE YET. BUT THE QUESTION THAT I WANT TO ASK YOU IS, ON THE PREFERRED SITE, WHAT WAS CITED THERE IN THE PAST? WHAT WAS ON THAT LOT? PRIOR TO?

Mr. Alaman: IT'S ACTUALLY GOT QUITE A RICH HISTORY. AND J.D. HAS ALL THIS HISTORY, BUT I KNOW THERE WAS LIKE A CANDY STORE THERE, AND VARIOUS OTHER THINGS. J.D., DO YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THAT?

Mr. Deschamps: THERE WAS A CANDY FACTORY ON THE SITE UNTIL 1926, A HOTEL ALSO THERE ON AS WELL, SO WE LOOKED AT THE JEFFERSON STATION HISTORIC RECORDS AND THERE'S SOME PHOTOS FROM THE 1920s THAT SHOW THE BUILDINGS THAT WERE AROUND THE SITE. SO IT HAS HAD A VARIED HISTORY AND BEEN BUILT UPON.

Commissioner Smith: SO THERE WAS A FACTORY ON THAT SITE. SO THERE COULD CONCEIVABLY BE SOME SORT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS WITH THAT PARTICULAR LAND?

Mr. Deschamps: THAT IS TRUE. AND THAT'S WHY WE'LL BE DOING A PHASE ONE AND PHASE TWO ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT. WE ALREADY HAVE DATA FROM I THINK 1999, SO WE'LL BE FOLLOWING UP ON THAT.

Commissioner Smith: OK. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. SEEING NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, WE HAVE AN UPCOMING BOARD BRIEFING ON BUDGET NOTE NUMBER 13, MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAM. BEFORE WE LEAVE I WANT TO SAY WE WILL BE HAVING A VOTE ON THURSDAY HERE, 9:30 A.M. AT THAT POINT WE'LL BE TAKING PUBLIC TESTIMONY. FOR THOSE WHO CAME TODAY WHO DID NOT HAVE A CHANCE, BECAUSE WE DON'T DO PUBLIC COMMENT ON BOARD BRIEFINGS, WE'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY ON THURSDAY FOR COMMENTS. THANK YOU. AND PLEASE BE QUIET AS YOU'RE LEAVING BECAUSE WE HAVE ANOTHER HOUR-LONG BOARD BRIEFING ON THE MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAMS.

Chair Kafoury: WE'RE TRYING TO CONDUCT A BOARD BRIEFING. IF YOU COULD TAKE YOUR CONVERSATIONS OUTSIDE OF THE DOUBLE DOORS. THANK YOU SO MUCH. GOOD MORNING.

**B.2 Board Briefing on FY2015 Budget Note BN #13 - Mental Health Pilot Programs Update. Presenters: Nancy Griffith, Director, Corrections Health, Health Dept.; Ginger Martin, Deputy Director, Dept. of Community Justice; David Hidalgo, Director, MHASD, Dept. of County Human Services; and, Invited Others.**

Ms. Martin: I'M GOING TO START US OFF BY -- WE ARE HERE TO PRESENT TO YOU A BRIEFING ON THE MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAM. AND I WILL INTRODUCE OUR PANEL AND THEN MAKE A FEW OPENING REMARKS BEFORE WE GET INTO THE DETAIL OF EACH OF THE PILOTS. SO TO MY LEFT IS NANCY GRIFFITH, SHE'S THE DIRECTOR OF CORRECTIONS HEALTH WITHIN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. I AM GINGER MARTIN, DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR COMMUNITY JUSTICE. THIS IS DAVID HIDALGO, WHO IS THE DIRECTOR OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES AT THE DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES. AND IN THE AUDIENCE, NEAL ROTMAN A. SENIOR MANAGER WITH COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM. AND YOU ALL KNOW NANCY JACKSON.

Chair Kafoury: MY FIRST QUESTION IS WHY IS DAVID LAST NAME ALWAYS DIFFICULT TO PRONOUNCE? I ALWAYS HAVE TO LOOK AT IT.

Ms. Martin: I PROBABLY SAID IT WRONG.

Mr. Hidalgo: YOU DIDN'T. A LOT OF CONSONANTS TOGETHER. IT'S FUNNY, THERE IS A CONSISTENT PATTERN OF MISPRONUNCIATION WHICH MUST

HAVE SOME PHONETIC MEANING, BUT IT'S HIDALGO IS WHAT PEOPLE NORMALLY SAY.

Chair Kafoury: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT.

Mr. Hidalgo: IT'S CONSISTENT, THOUGH.

Chair Kafoury: WE'LL HAVE A BOARD BRIEFING ON THAT NEXT TIME.

Ms. Martin: THE GOALS OF THE MENTAL HEALTH PILOTS WERE TO IMPROVE THE COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS WHO ARE UNSTABLE IN THE COMMUNITY AND HAVE INFREQUENT CONTACT WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CONTACT WITH THE JAIL AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. AND ALSO TO REDUCE THE USE OF JAIL ARE IN PLACE OF MENTAL HEALTH STABILIZATION PROGRAMS IN THE COMMUNITY. SO WE IN THESE PILOTS BUILD ON PROGRAMS THAT WERE IN PLACE ALREADY, ADDRESSING THIS SAME GROUP OF FOLKS, PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS WITH CONTACT WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

Ms. Martin: WE DO MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENT AND SOME TREATMENT IN JAIL, WE HAD A MENTAL HEALTH COURT AND STILL HAVE WHO -- THAT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DIVERTING PEOPLE FROM THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AND FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE ACTUALLY SENTENCED TO PROBATION OR PAROLE WE HAVE A MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER UNIT IN PLACE AS WELL. AND A NUMBER OF OTHER APPROACHES. BUT WE STILL IDENTIFIED SOME GAPS IN OUR COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE. THERE WASN'T ENOUGH RESOURCE FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, WHICH ESSENTIALLY IS HOUSING WRAPPED WITH SERVICES. WE WEREN'T DOING AS GOOD A JOB AS WE NEED TO DO IN GETTING FOLKS IDENTIFIED AND ENGAGED IN TREATMENT SERVICES.

SO THE THREE SPECIFICALLY THE THREE PILOTS ARE CORRECTIONS HEALTH, THE JUSTICE TRIAGE, AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING. THE CHAIR AND THE BOARD APPROVE THESE THREE MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAMS THROUGH A \$1.4 MILLION INVESTMENT IN THE 2015 BUDGET. WE APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT IN THIS WORK, AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPAND OUR WORK, AND NOW WE'D LIKE TO GO INTO A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL IN EACH OF THE PROGRAMS. WE'RE GOING TO SHARE WITH YOU SOME EARLY LESSONS LEARNED, BECAUSE EACH OF THESE PILOTS ARE VERY NEW IN THEIR IMPLEMENTATION, SO WE'RE SORT OF LEARNING AS WE GO. NANCY IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE FIRST.

Ms. Griffith: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT, THE CORRECTIONS HEALTH MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT IN THIS ASSESSMENT. IS THE PILOT PROGRAM OF \$385,000, IT INCREASED OUR MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT STAFF IN THE JAIL. IT ALLOWS US TO HAVE THE FOUR MENTAL HEALTH

CONSULTANTS MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, TWO TO FOUR ON SWING SHIFT, AND THEN GRAVEYARD COVERAGE, WHICH WAS A BIG -- IS A BIG BONUS TO HAVE GRAVEYARD COVERAGE. SO LET ME DO A LITTLE BIT OF CATCH-UP FROM THE LAST TIME WE REPORTED. OUR GOALS WERE TO REDUCE THE TIME THAT PEOPLE WERE ON SUICIDE WATCH BY -- AS SEEN BY THE MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT AND REALLY BEING ABLE TO REDUCE THOSE WHAT I CALL FALSE POSITIVES, PEOPLE WHO CAME IN WHO REALLY WEREN'T SUICIDAL BUT MAYBE ACTED IN A WAY THAT WAS MORE SELF-HARM BUT GOT ON SUICIDE WATCH. SO THAT WAS ONE OF OUR GOALS.

WE ALSO WANTED TO HAVE PEOPLE SEEN ON SUICIDE WATCH ON CONSECUTIVE SHIFTS TO MINIMIZE THE LENGTH OF TIME THEY WERE ON, AND WE WANTED THE ABILITY TO INTERVENE FOR PEOPLE THAT WERE ON, TO GET THEM SERVICES TO GET THEM OFF QUICKER. THE LIMITATIONS WERE THAT WOULDN'T PREVENT ANY ACT OF SELF-HARM, BECAUSE THOSE ARE GOING TO OCCUR, WE WANTED TO INTERVENE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE AND HOPE TO REDUCE THOSE BUT THAT WOULDN'T DO THAT. AND THEN ALSO JUST AS A REMINDER THE SUICIDE RATE IS VERY HIGH IN THE JAIL, IT'S THREE TIMES AS HIGH IN THE JAIL AS IT IS IN THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

Ms. Griffith: SO WHAT DID WE FIND? WHAT WE DID WAS, WE HAVE SEEN 223 PEOPLE TOTAL ON SUICIDE WATCH SINCE THE ADDITIONAL STAFF BROUGHT ON BOARD, AND THAT IS SINCE THE END OF SEPTEMBER. THAT GIVES YOU KIND OF A -- SINCE SEPTEMBER 29th, THAT GIVES YOU A VOLUME OF THE PEOPLE THAT WE ACTUALLY SEE ON SUICIDE WATCH, WHICH IS SIGNIFICANT. WE BELIEVE WE'VE HAD AT LEAST 20 PEOPLE ASSESSED AND PREVENTED FROM GOING ON SUICIDE WATCH WHO BEFORE WOULD HAVE BEEN ON WATCH FOR TWO SHIFTS, AND THESE ARE PEOPLE WHO OFTEN COME IN TOWARDS 8:00 OR 9:00 AT NIGHT THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN ON OUR MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS BEFORE THE PILOTED, WOULD HAVE LEFT, SO THE PERSON WOULD HAVE STAYED ON THAT SHIFT AND THEN THE EVENING, THE NIGHT SHIFT AND THEN THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN SEEN IN DAY SHIFT.

SO WE'VE PREVENTED THOSE PEOPLE FROM EVEN GOING ON SUICIDE WATCH. ON AN AVERAGE WE'VE HAD A REDUCTION OF ABOUT TWO ACTIVE SUICIDE WATCHES PER SHIFT. AND THEN LET ME TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHO WE'VE SEEN. WE'VE BEEN KEEPING DATA TO ABOUT WHO WE SEE ON WATCH TO GET A PICTURE OF WHAT WE CAN DO BETTER. SO 58% OF THE PEOPLE WHO GO ON WATCH HAVE A MENTAL HEALTH DIAGNOSIS OR A HISTORY. OF THAT, THE VETERANS, WE'RE ONLY SEEING ABOUT 2% OF VETERANS THAT GO ON WATCH, WHICH I THINK IS SOMETHING THAT WE'RE GOING TO EXPLORE MORE, BECAUSE WE SEE THAT AS LOW, AND WE REALLY WANT TO BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY VETERANS AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE AND TRY TO INTERVENE.

THE TWO STATISTICS THAT ARE HIGHER, THE FIRST ONE IS THAT 19% OF THE PEOPLE ARE UNDER 25 YEARS OF AGE. WHICH I THINK IS SURPRISING TO US. AND ONE OF THE THINGS I THINK THERE'S A COUPLE REASONS THAT WE'RE EXPLORING. ONE OF WHICH IS THAT THIS MAY BE FOLKS' FIRST ARREST AND THEY'RE SCARED ABOUT JAIL, I ALSO THINK IT'S AROUND TIME OF PEOPLE'S FIRST PSYCHOTIC BREAK. SO GIVEN THAT OPPORTUNITY, WE'RE REALLY PUTTING A LOT OF EMPHASIS ON THAT POPULATION, BECAUSE WE REALLY LIKE TO DETER THAT POPULATION INTO THE MENTAL HEALTH FIELD VERSUS THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE FIELD. SO THERE'S LOTS OF OPPORTUNITIES FOR BETTER CARE DURING THAT -- FOR THOSE FOLKS. ONLY 13% OF THEM APPEARED ACTIVELY PSYCHOTIC. 15% WERE ON A SERIOUS CHARGE, A MEASURE 11 CHARGE, PEOPLE KNEW THEY WERE GOING TO BE SEEING A LOT OF TIME AND WERE VERY DESPERATE. ABOUT 19% OF THEM WERE CURRENTLY IN CRISIS, AND THE OTHER BIG CATEGORY FOLKS THAT WE SAW IS THAT ABOUT 25% -- 20 OF THEM -- PERCENT OF THEM IDENTIFIED HAVING SOME HISTORY OF TRAUMA OR PTSD. SO THAT GIVES YOU ANOTHER BIG PICTURE OF WHO WE'RE SEEING IN THE JAIL.

Ms. Griffith: SO VERY TRAUMATIZED FOLKS, PEOPLE WHO ARE ALSO WILLING TO TALK ABOUT THAT TRAUMA. AND 17% APPEARED LIKE THEY WERE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND DRUGS. SO WE WANT, TO HAVING THE ABILITY TO HAVE THIS EXTRA STAFF HAS ALLOWED US TO MEET THE GOALS OF THE ORIGINAL PROGRAM, WHICH WAS TO REDUCE THE SUICIDE WATCHES, BUT IT REALLY HAS GIVEN US ALSO OPPORTUNITIES TO CARE FOR THE FOLKS THAT ARE IN THE JAIL. REALLY ALLOWED THEM TO BE SEEN, THE WAY THEY SHOULD BE SEEN AND REALLY INTERVENE IN ADDITION TO REALLY PROVIDE SOME TRANSITIONAL PLANNING FOR THEM, BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT WE'RE SHORT-TERM AND FOR MANY OF THESE PEOPLE AS YOU CAN SEE, THEY HAVE SOME REALLY LONG-TERM ISSUES TO ADDRESS. AGAIN, WE'RE VERY APPRECIATIVE OF THE FUNDING AND SUPPORT THAT GAVE US.

Mr. Hidalgo: SO GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS, DAVID HIDALGO, FOR THE RECORD. I AM THE INTRODUCTION FOR THIS SECTION OF OUR MENTAL HEALTH TRIAGE. NEAL WILL GIVE THE EXPERT PROGRAM DETAILS. BUT I WANT TO REMIND EVERYBODY FIRST OF WHAT THE CATC IS. THE CATC IS CURRENTLY FUNDED BY THE COUNTY THROUGH COUNTY GENERAL FUND, MEDICAID FUNDS AS WELL, THAT WAS A PROGRAM THAT WAS SET UP IDENTIFIED IN SEVERAL REPORTS PUBLIC SAFETY REPORTS AS WELL AS MENTAL HEALTH REPORT OVER THE YEARS AS A MISSING GAP IN THE SYSTEM. IT IS A 16-BED SUBACUTE PROGRAM THAT IS A HOSPITAL DIVERSION AND JAIL DIVERSION PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS IN A MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS. OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS SINCE THAT PROGRAM OPENED, WE'VE DONE VERY WELL WITH THE HOSPITAL DIVERSION PORTION. AND WHAT THE CATC MENTAL HEALTH JUSTICE TRIAGE IS NOW DOING IS GIVING US THE OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY INCREASE OUR ABILITY TO DIVERT

FROM JAIL DIRECTLY. AND FROM OUR FORENSIC DIVERSION PROGRAMS AS WELL AS COMMUNITY COURT PROGRAMS. SO IT'S A SIGNIFICANT LEAP IN OUR ABILITY TO REACH THE POPULATION, MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS THAT WE HAD HOPED TO REACH. SO WE VERY MUCH --

Commissioner Shiprack: I'M SORRY, I HAVE TO POP IN RIGHT HERE AND SAY, I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT OUR LIABILITY, OUR FISCAL LIABILITY FOR PEOPLE IN JAIL WHO ARE BEING DIVERTED. I'M SORRY, FOR -- STRIKE THAT. FOR PEOPLE WHO GO TO THE HOSPITAL WHO ARE BEING DIVERTED FROM THE EMERGENCY ROOM INTO THE CATC. CAN YOU JUST DESCRIBE THAT FINANCIAL LIABILITY THE COUNTY HAS FOR THOSE PEOPLE AND WHO THEY ARE?

Mr. Hidalgo: SURE. I CERTAINLY CAN. SO THE COUNTY HAS A LIABILITY IN TWO DIFFERENT AREAS. THE MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES DIVISION FUNCTIONS AS DELEGATION FROM THE BOARD AS LOCAL MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY TO OPERATE THE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM. PART OF OUR OBLIGATION UNDER THAT PROGRAM IS TO BE A PAYER OF LAST RESORT, FOR HOSPITALIZATIONS WHEN INDIVIDUALS HAVE - - DO NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO PAY AND THEY'RE ADMITTED AGAINST THEIR WILL OR INVOLUNTARILY. SO THAT'S ONE AREA OF LIABILITY THE COUNTY HAS. ANOTHER AREA IS THE DIVISION OPERATES THE MANAGED CARE MEDICAID PROGRAM, MULTNOMAH MENTAL HEALTH SUBCONTRACTS THROUGH ONE OF THE LOCAL CCOs, HEALTH SHARE OF OREGON, WE HAVE A LIABILITY TO PAY FOR ANYBODY WHO MEETS MEDICAL NECESSITY TO BE ADMITTED INTO THE HOSPITAL AS WELL. FINANCIALLY, THAT -- THE RATES AND HOSPITALIZATION ARE HIGHER THAN THE RATES THAT WE PAY IN THE CATC. SO ONE OF THE REASONS WHY IT IS BETTER CARE IN THE RIGHT CARE FOR SOMEBODY WHO DOESN'T NEED TO BE IN A MEDICALLY MONITORED ENVIRONMENT, IT IS ALSO MORE EFFICIENT AND A BETTER PRICE FOR THE DOLLARS, LIMITED DOLLARS WE HAVE TO CONTRIBUTE TO THESE SERVICES.

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU. THAT HELPS. THE COROLLARY IS, DOES THE COUNTY ALSO HAVE DIRECT FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR INDIGENT PEOPLE WHO ARE ADMITTED TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM FOR ANY REASON?

Mr. Hidalgo: WE DON'T TYPICALLY PAY FOR EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS AS A DISCRETE COST. THE PORTION THAT WE PAY FOR IS ONCE SOMEBODY IS ADMITTED TO A PSYCHIATRIC UNIT, IF AN INDIVIDUAL HOWEVER IS BOARDED IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT, WE WOULD PAY IF SOMEONE IS GOING TO BE ADMITTED WE WOULD PAY AS IF THEY WERE ADMITTED TO THE PSYCHIATRIC UNIT ALL THE WHILE ENSURING THEY'RE GETTING ACCESS TO TREATMENT SUCH AS PSYCHIATRIC CARE, MEDICATION ASSESSMENT, ETC. GENERALLY WE PAY FOR THE IN-PATIENT SIDE OF THOSE ADMISSIONS TO A HOSPITAL AND NOT GENERALLY THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT.



Commissioner Shiprack: ONLY THE PSYCHIATRIC ADMISSIONS.

Mr. Hidalgo: THAT'S CORRECT. YES. ABSOLUTELY. SO THE -- ONE IMPORTANT THING ABOUT THE PROGRAM IS IT WAS SET UP DIRECTLY TO ACCEPT REFERRALS FROM JAIL, FORENSIC DIVERSION PROGRAMS, COURT PROGRAMS. WE REALLY ARE TARGETING INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE A MENTAL ILLNESS AND CAN BE DIVERTED FROM OUR PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONS SYSTEM. THE OTHER THING ON THIS PROGRAM, THIS IS A CRISIS PROGRAM, IT OPERATES 16 HOURS A DAY. THOSE TYPES OF PROGRAMS MUST BE STAFFED FULLY AT ALL TIMES TO ANTICIPATE FULL CAPACITY, SO YOU REALLY DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO TIE TRAIT DOWN OR UP. THEY'RE STAFFED DURING THOSE 16 HOURS FOR THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS AND NEAL WILL TALK ABOUT THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT WE'VE ANTICIPATED AND ALSO SHARE SOME SUCCESS STORIES WITH YOU AS WELL. SO I'M GOING TO BRING NEAL ROTMAN UP AS WELL.

Mr. Rotman: GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. CHAIR KAFOURY. BASICALLY I WANT TO START WITH THE STAFFING. WHEN SOMEONE COMES INTO THE JUSTICE TRIAGE, THEY'RE MET BY A QUALIFY MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL, THEY'RE MET BY AN RN --

Chair Kafoury: WHEN SOMEONE COMES IN? HOW DO THEY GET IN?

Mr. Rotman: THEY'RE REFERRED, SO EITHER REFERRED BY CORRECTIONS HEALTH, BY PROBATION AND PAROLE, OR BY ONE OF THE JAIL DIVERSION PROGRAMS, AND JUST RECENTLY WE HAVE ADDED IN THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH UNIT OF THE PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU 38T THROUGH THEIR MOBILE OUTREACH UNITS. THEY HAVE THREE UNITS AT THREE DIFFERENT PRECINCTS. SO WHEN SOMEONE IS REFERRED AND A REFERRAL IS JUST CALLING AHEAD AND SAYING, WE HAVE SOMEONE WHO WE BELIEVE IS APPROPRIATE, CAN YOU ASSESS THEM, WE'RE LOOKING FOR SERVICES, ETC. SO IT'S REALLY ABOUT ASSESSING AT THAT TIME WHAT THE RIGHT CRISIS SERVICE FOR THEM. THEY'RE MET BY THE QMHP, THEY DO A MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENT, THEY DO PHYSICAL ASSESSMENT, TO RULE OUT PHYSICAL ISSUES THAT MAY ARISE, AS WELL AS A PEER SPECIALIST ON STAFF AS WELL. WHEN THEY ASSESS, THEY'RE LOOKING FOR WHAT LEVEL, SO THEY MIGHT ASSESS THAT THEY'RE AT A SUBACUTE LEVEL, SAYING SOMEONE NEEDS TO BE IN AN IN-PATIENT CARE AND SUBACUTE IS JUST BELOW HOSPITALIZATION.

THE CATC CAN MEET THAT NEED, WE HAVE RESERVED TWO BEDS AT THE CATC SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS TRIAGE PROGRAM. I AM HAPPY TO SAY THOSE BEDS ARE FULL AND THEY HAVE BEEN FULL FOR A FEW WEEKS NOW. AS WELL AS THEY MAY ACCESS A COMMUNITY BED, OTHER CATC BEDS. IF

SOMEONE DOESN'T MEET THE LEVEL OF CATC, MEANING THEY DON'T NEED TO BE IN IN-PATIENT CARE OF THAT TYPE, WE WOULD LOOK AT RESPITE CARE OR URGENT WALK-IN CLINIC OR THEY MAY BE CONNECTING PEOPLE BACK TO AN ESTABLISHED OUTPATIENT PROVIDER. EITHER WAY, THE TRIAGE CENTER HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH SOMEONE AND I DON'T THINK WE MENTIONED THE HOURS OF OPERATION, BUT THE HOURS OF OPERATION ARE 10:00 P.M. TO 2:00 P.M. THE FOLLOWING DAY. AND THAT'S TO BRIDGE THAT GAP BECAUSE OUR URGENT WALK-IN CLINIC IS OPEN AT 7:30 IN THE MORNING TO 10:30 AT NIGHT. THIS ALLOWS PEOPLE BEING RELEASED FROM JAIL IN THE EVENING TIME OR EARLY MORNING TIME TO BE ABLE TO BE ASSESSED AND SENT OVER AND BE PROVIDED WITH A SAFE PLACE TO BE, WE HAVE NICE LOUNGE CHAIRS FOR PEOPLE TO RELAX, THEY CAN GET A NICE HEALTHY MEAL, THEY CAN GET WARM CLOTHES IF THAT'S A NEED. BUT IT'S A WAY TO SORT OF PROVIDE THAT BRIDGE UNTIL THEY CAN GET TO OTHER SERVICES DURING REGULAR BUSINESS HOURS.

Mr. Rotman: A COUPLE OF SUCCESS STORIES WE WANTED TO THROW OUT THERE. WE HAD AN INDIVIDUAL ARRIVE TO CATC IN SHORTS AND A T-SHIRT. HE WAS RELEASED FROM JAIL THAT EVENING ON A VERY COLD NIGHT. AFTER FIVE HOURS AT TRIAGE, HE RECEIVED A COUPLE OF HEALTHY MEALS, SOME WARM CLOTHING, AND SEVERAL REFERRALS, ONE OF THOSE WAS TO THE URGENT WALK-IN CLINIC THE NEXT DAY, BECAUSE IT WAS ASSESSED HE DIDN'T NEED TO STAY IN SOME KIND OF STRUCTURED SETTING. AS WELL AS TO JOB CORPS. IT WAS VERY -- HE WAS VERY INTERESTED IN GETTING BACK TO WORK, HE HAD BEEN IN JAIL A COUPLE OF MONTHS. EXCELLENT STORY. ALSO ANOTHER YOUNG MAN CAME INTO THE CATC, HE WAS REFERRED BY HIS P.O., PAROLE OFFICER. HE HAD BEEN LIVING WITH HIS FAMILY, HIS SYMPTOMS HAD INCREASED. HIS PARENTS WERE NOT COMFORTABLE WITH HIM WITH HIS INCREASED SYMPTOMS. THE PAROLE OFFICER IDENTIFIED THAT HE EITHER HAD TO BE HOSPITALIZED OR PUT INTO JAIL BASED ON HIS BEHAVIORS.

INSTEAD, THEY REFERRED TO THE JUSTICE TRIAGE, JUSTICE TRIAGE ASSESSED, DECIDED HE WAS APPROPRIATE FOR OUR CATC PROGRAM. HE WENT ON TO THE CATC PROGRAM, AND THAT ALLOWED THE PAROLE OFFICER TIME TO FIND ALTERNATIVE HOUSING FOR HIM. THE LAST SUCCESS STORY WAS AN INDIVIDUAL RELEASED FROM JAIL, HE DID NOT WANT TO RECEIVE ANY SERVICES. I THINK PEOPLE DID A GOOD JOB OF SORT OF CONVINCING HIM THERE MIGHT BE SOME OPPORTUNITIES OPEN FOR HIM. HE HAD BEEN ON SUICIDE WATCH HIS ENTIRE TIME IN JAIL, SO I THINK CORRECTIONS HEALTH WAS FEELING THAT THIS WOULD BE AN E.D. REFERRAL, AS WE NORMALLY WOULD. BUT AT THE SAME TIME WE WANTED TO SEE IF IT REALLY WAS SOMETHING RELATED TO SUICIDE OR WHETHER IT WAS HIS SITUATION AS FAR AS BEING IN JAIL THAT WAS PUSHING HIM TOWARDS THOUGHTS OF SUICIDE. HE MADE IT TO JUSTICE TRIAGE, THEY DID A GOOD JOB OF HELPING HIM UNDERSTAND THAT HE WAS THERE

VOLUNTARILY, THAT HE WAS NO LONGER BEING HELD AGAINST HIS WILL, AND THAT HE HAD SOME CHOICES. HE CONTINUED TO SORT OF EXPRESS THE DESIRE NOT TO RECEIVE SERVICES, ETC., TO RETURN TO HIS CAMP, WHICH I BELIEVE HE WAS HOMELESS AND CAMPING OUT. AND INSTEAD THEY CONVINCED HIM TO COME UPSTAIRS AND HAVE SOME DINNER, BECAUSE AGAIN, HE SORT OF MET OUR CRITERIA FOR BEING ADMITTED TO CATC. HE DID END UP GOING UPSTAIRS, HAD DINNER, LOOKED AROUND, FELT VERY COMFORTABLE. HE IS STILL THERE, WE'RE STILL WORKING ON OTHER PLACEMENTS FOR HIM, BECAUSE HE NO LONGER WANTS TO RETURN TO THE CAMP WHERE HE COULD POSSIBLY USE SUBSTANCES AGAIN. THREE REALLY GOOD SUCCESS STORIES SO FAR OUT OF CATC.

Mr. Rotman: SOME OF THE CHALLENGES WE'RE FACING WITH THE JUSTICE TRIAGE PROGRAM IS BUILDING A REFERRAL SYSTEM. LIKE ANY NEW PROGRAM, GETTING THE WORD OUT, HELPING PEOPLE UNDERSTAND WHAT JUSTICE TRIAGE CAN DO, WHAT THE NICHE IT FILLS IN OUR SYSTEM TAKES A LITTLE BIT OF TIME. WE'RE STILL UNDER 90 DAYS, THE PROGRAM OPENED ON SEPTEMBER 27th. SO WE'RE STILL WITHIN THAT 90-DAY WINDOW. IN OCTOBER-NOVEMBER WE SAW A MODERATE AMOUNT OF REFERRALS, NOTHING REACHING THE NUMBERS, 15 TO 30 A WEEK THAT WE WANTED. IN DECEMBER I'M HAPPY TO SAY IN THE FIRST TWO WEEKS OF DECEMBER WE HAVE SEEN 15 REFERRALS JUST IN THE FIRST TWO WEEKS. AND OF THOSE, WE HAVE HAD FOUR PEOPLE AS OF LAST WEEK WHO WERE ADMITTED TO THE CATC WHO OTHERWISE HAVE PROBABLY GONE TO AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT OR OUT TO THE STREET. I'M SORRY TO SAY.

Chair Kafoury: HOUSING, A PROBLEM, FINDING HOUSING.

Mr. Rotman: THAT IS THE PROBLEM. A LOT OF OUR REFERRALS, EVEN THOUGH WE CAN STABILIZE AND GET PEOPLE CONNECTED TO OUTPATIENT SERVICES, AND OTHER SERVICE THEY NEED, IT'S STILL A HOUSING ISSUE AS FAR AS STABILIZATION HOUSING. HOPEFULLY I'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY IN THE NEXT MONTH OR SO TO COME BACK AND TALK WITH YOU A LITTLE MORE ABOUT STABILIZATION HOUSING.

Commissioner Smith: I HAVE A QUESTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PRESENTATION. MY VOICE IS GOING IN AND OUT. WE KNOW THAT PEOPLE OF COLOR MAKE A DISPROPORTIONATE NUMBER OF THOSE IN THE JAIL IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. AND WE ALSO KNOW THAT FROM YOUR DATA THAT OVER HALF THOSE IN THE JAIL SYSTEM ARE SUFFERING FROM MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES. LAST WEEK DURING THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING WE WERE PRESENTED WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S RACIAL DISPARITIES REPORT SHOWING SIGNIFICANT DISPARITIES IN HEALTH CARE OPTIONS FOR POPULATIONS OF COLOR IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. SO GIVEN THAT FACT, WHAT WOULD YOU SAY IS THE PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE OF COLOR WHO ARE REFERRED TO THE CATC? AND THE REASON WHY I ASKED YOU THIS

SPECIFIC QUESTION BECAUSE I GOT A CALL FROM A CONSTITUENT WHOSE BROTHER WAS A VETERAN WHO IS A VETERAN, HE'S AFRICAN-AMERICAN, HE TRIED TO GET INTO THE CATC, I CALLED OVER TO THE CATC NOT KNOWING REALLY THE PROCESS. AND I THOUGHT IT WAS A WALK-IN PLACE, A WALK-IN CENTER, AND I QUICKLY LEARNED IT WASN'T, YOU HAD TO BE REFERRED TO BY A P.O. OR SOMEONE AT THE JAIL BEFORE YOU ACTUALLY GOT TO THE CATC.

SO WHAT KIND OF STRATEGIES CAN WE USE TO HELP IMPROVE THE ACCESS TO QUALITY MENTAL HEALTH CARE THAT THEY GET AT THE CATC FOR COMMUNITIES OF COLOR? BECAUSE I WAS REALLY PUSHING IT AND THEY'RE LIKE, NO, THEY'RE NOT GOING TO TAKE IT. SO I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT PARTICULAR COMMENT. BUT JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT SOME STRATEGIES IN WHICH DO OUTREACH IN COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, AND TO HAVE OUR SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, OUR P.O. TO REALLY MAKE AN EFFORT AT MAKING SURE THAT EVERYONE HAS ACCESS TO THIS PARTICULAR CARE.

Mr. Rotman: I WOULD LIKE TO THINK EVERYONE DOES HAVE ACCESS, AND --

Commissioner Smith: BUT THEY'RE NOT GETTING IT.

Mr. Rotman: I THINK WE'VE BEEN WORKING VERY CLOSELY WITH CORRECTIONS HEALTH, WITH DCJ ON THESE REFERRALS. I KNOW WE'RE MEETING EVERY TWO WEEKS WITH THEM TO DISCUSS CASES, WHAT'S WORKING, WHAT'S NOT WORKING. AND I KNOW WE JUST RECENTLY AND WE'RE BACK AGAIN TO PRESENT THIS TO THE BOARD, WE RECEIVED A SAMHSA GRANT WHICH TALKS ABOUT DISPARITIES, DOES TALK ABOUT INDIVIDUALS OF COLOR, AND HOW WE CAN DO A BOLTER JOB AT REACHING THOSE -- BETTER JOB AT REACHING THOSE INDIVIDUALS. THESE ARE ALL STRATEGIES WE'RE WORKING ON AND WE'LL SEE THOSE COME FORWARD WITH THIS GRANT AS WELL TO HELP US WITH THAT. AS FAR AS GIVING YOU NUMBERS RIGHT NOW, I DIDN'T COME PREPARED, SO I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT THE BREAKOUT --

Commissioner Smith: IS THERE A WAY WE CAN FOLLOW UP -- YOU DON'T HAVE TO HAVE THE NUMBERS NOW, BUT JUST SO I HAVE AN IDEA, BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED TO BE MORE DELIBERATE IN HOW WE GIVE OUT THESE REFERRALS, AND GET FOLKS TO THE SPECIFIC TYPE OF -- IT'S NOT THE ACUTE CARE THEY NEED, LIKE YOU SAID THAT'S MORE EXPENSIVE, BUT THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO KIND OF GET REFERRALS INTO HOUSING AND THOSE OTHER THINGS THAT THEY CAN'T GET OTHER PLACES. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. DCJ.

Ms. Martin: OK. GINGER MARTIN FROM DCJ. SO OUR PILOT IS THE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PILOT AMONGST THE ARRAY OF MENTAL HEALTH

PILOTS. WE HAVE LOOKED AT THE POPULATION UNDER SUPERVISION OUT OF OUR MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER UNIT A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT TIMES LOOKING AT WHO'S IN JAIL. AND WHAT WE'VE SEEN EACH TIME IS THAT ABOUT A THIRD OF THE FOLKS, AND THERE ARE USUALLY 20 TO 30 INDIVIDUALS IN JAIL AT ANY PARTICULAR TIME WHO ARE NONCOMPLIANT WITH THEIR SUPERVISION, AND SUPERVISED BY THE MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER UNIT. IT LOOKS LIKE ABOUT A THIRD OF THOSE PEOPLE ARE MOTIVATED OR AT LEAST WILLING TO ENGAGE IN TREATMENT, BUT THEY'RE EXPERIENCING A GREAT DEAL OF INSTABILITY, AND THE ABILITY TO FOLLOW THROUGH HAS BEEN A BARRIER TO GETTING ENGAGED IN TREATMENT. ABOUT A THIRD OF THEM ARE SORT OF CHRONIC ABSCONDERERS, THEY'RE JUST NOT SHOWING UP. I WOULD HYPOTHEZIZE A PORTION OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS, HAD THEY STABLE LIVING ENVIRONMENT, WOULD ACTUALLY BE ABLE TO COMPLY WITH THEIR SUPERVISION. AND ABOUT A THIRD OF THE FOLKS IN JAIL ARE PROBABLY TOO DANGEROUS FOR A HOUSING ENVIRONMENT.

Ms. Martin: SO GIVEN THAT, THERE'S A LARGE PROPORTION OF FOLKS IN JAIL THAT MIGHT NOT NEED TO BE IN JAIL IF WE HAD OTHER OPTIONS AND REALLY THAT'S THE WHOLE GOAL WITH THE MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAM. OUR PIECES, THE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PIECE, AND THE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING MEANS HOUSING WRAPPED WITH COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES, SERVICES THAT INCLUDE 24-HOUR STAFFING, MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT AND ASSESSMENT, MEDICATION MONITORING, CASE COORDINATION, CARE COORDINATION, WORKING WITH THE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICE TO MANAGE PEOPLE'S MULTIPLE PROBLEMS TOGETHER. AND THEN I THINK REALLY IMPORTANTLY, IN TERMS OF WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE HOUSING PROGRAM, WE'RE LOOKING FOR SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT HELP PEOPLE FIND PERMANENT HOUSING AND SUPPORT FOLKS MOVING INTO PERMANENT HOUSING SOLVING LANDLORD PROBLEMS AND THOSE KINDS OF THINGS THAT MIGHT COME UP. AND CONNECTING FOLKS TO BENEFIT PROGRAMS THAT THEY ARE ELIGIBLE FOR.

SO THAT IS THE HOPE. THE BOARD APPROPRIATED \$365,000 FOR WHAT WE ENVISION TO BE ABOUT 20 BEDS OF SUPPORTIVE HOUSING. NOW I GET TO TELL YOU THE CHALLENGES THAT WE'VE HAD IN ACTUALLY BRINGING THOSE BEDS ONLINE. WE SENT OUT A REQUEST FOR INTEREST TO EVERY PROVIDER IN THE COMMUNITY WHO HAD OFFERED SOME SERVICE LIKE THIS. AND WE HAD ONE RESPONDENT. SO CASCADIA RESPONDED AND SAID THAT THEY WOULD BE INTERESTED IN WORKING WITH US. THEY DON'T HAVE NEW BEDS, SO AS WE WORKED OUT OUR CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT, WHICH WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT, WE ALSO HAD TO WAIT UNTIL PEOPLE MOVED OUT OF THOSE BEDS. SO THEY ARE PREPARED TO OFFER US FIVE BEDS OF SUPPORTIVE HOUSING AT THE ROYAL PALM, AND TWO ADDITIONAL BEDS AT HARRIET COURT, THOSE TWO BEDS WILL BE PART OF THE

FORENSIC ASSERTIVE OUTREACH TEAM THAT IS ALSO A NEW PROGRAM WORKING WITH FOLKS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

I THEN ALSO REACHED OUT AGAIN TO ANOTHER PROVIDER IN THE COMMUNITY WHO AGAIN DOES THIS KIND OF SERVICE, BUT WITH WHOM WE HAD NOT CONTACTED BEFORE, AND SAT DOWN AND RETALKED THROUGH WHAT WE NEEDED, WHAT THEY HAD, WHAT WAS POSSIBLE, AND THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED A POTENTIAL FOR 10 ADDITIONAL BEDS OF SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, SO BY THE END OF THIS FISCAL YEAR WE WILL HAVE, IF ALL CONTINUES TO GO WELL ABOUT 17 BEDS. SO VERY CLOSE TO OUR GOAL OF 20. AS IT TURNS OUT THE COST PER DAY FOR THAT SERVICE WAS HIGHER THAN WE INITIALLY THOUGHT. SO PROBABLY 17 IS CLOSE AS WE'RE GOING TO GET TO BEING ABLE TO DELIVER THAT SERVICE. I THINK IT'S ESSENTIAL SERVICE, I WISH I COULD TELL YOU WE HAVE PEOPLE LIVING IN SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, MAYBE BY NEXT MONTH I'LL BE ABLE TO LET YOU KNOW WHO'S IN THE BEDS, OR THE FIRST BEDS I OPENED.

Chair Kafoury: THE UNFORTUNATE THING YOU MENTIONED IS THESE ARE NOT -- THEY'RE NEW TO US, BUT THEY'RE NOT NEW BEDS AND THEY WERE BEING USED PRIOR. SO IT JUST SHOWS THE NEED FOR MORE HOUSING IN GENERAL IN OUR COMMUNITY. SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FOR THIS POPULATION AS WELL AS OTHERS.

Mr. Hidalgo: AND THEN I'M GOING TO TALK AROUND A LITTLE BIT LARGER CONTEXT OF HOW THESE PROGRAMS FIT INTO OTHER FUNDING THAT HAS COME INTO THE COUNTY. AND I THINK ONCE AGAIN REALLY THE COUNTY'S FOCUS THAT WE'VE HAD OVER THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF, APPROXIMATELY TWO YEARS REALLY AROUND BUILDING A BETTER BRIDGE CONNECTION TO THE PROGRAMS BETWEEN MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS, OUR CORRECTIONS HEALTH AND COMMUNITY JUSTICE. WHILE WE'VE ALWAYS BEEN GOOD PARTNERS AND CERTAINLY WORKED ACROSS OUR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, THIS FUNDING FROM THE COUNTY DIRECTLY AND THEN SOME OF THE NEW GRANTS THAT HAVE COME IN ARE REALLY FACILITATING A FAR STRONGER CONNECTION RELATIONSHIP AND BRIDGE BETWEEN THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS THAT CARE FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS. AND REALLY LEADING TOWARDS BETTER OUTCOMES.

SO IT'S A VERY EXCITING TIME REALLY, I THINK FOR ALL OF US, AS WE REALLY ARE ABLE TO MAKE SOME SIGNIFICANT CHANGES FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS ISSUES, AND ARE AT THE END -- INTERSECTION OF OUR PUBLIC SAFETY SYSTEM. SO TO THE VERY LEFT THE PROGRAMS WE JUST TALKED ABOUT, THE COUNTY FUNDED PROGRAMS, THOSE ONES WE ARE FAMILIAR WITH, THE SECOND PROGRAM ISRS I WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT ARE THE STATE GENERAL FUND GRANT PROGRAMS. SO THERE WERE TWO DIFFERENT GRANTS THAT THE COUNTY COMPETED FOR AND WERE AWARDED. THE FIRST WAS THE OREGON HEALTH

AUTHORITY GRANT. SO WE WORKED WITH HEALTH SHARE OREGON, TO PRIORITIZE AN ACT TEAM THAT WOULD SERVE THE NEEDS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH FORENSIC ISSUES. SO THIS IS A BIG SUCCESS, AS YOU GUYS REFERENCED IN THE PRIOR BRIEFING, YOU'VE BEEN WORKING ON THE COURTHOUSE FOR A COUPLE OF DECADES, WE WANTED AN ACT TEAM FOR A COUPLE DECADES THAT HAS A FORENSIC FOCUS. SO THIS IS A BIG WIN FOR THIS COMMUNITY AS WELL.

Mr. Hidalgo: WE DO ALREADY HAVE TWO ACT TEAMS THAT ARE 24/7 SERVING INDIVIDUALS WITH A HIGHEST NEEDS IN OUR COMMUNITY, AND WHO ALSO UTILIZE THE MOST EXPENSIVE SERVICES IN WORKING WITH THEM TO GET US STABILITY IN THEIR LIVES AND MOVE TOWARDS RECOVERY. THIS IS FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO COME IN CONTACT WITH OUR JAIL, WITH THE POLICE, WITH OUR PROBATION AND PAROLE AS WELL AS OUR FORENSIC DIVERSION PROGRAMS. THE SECOND GRANT, NANCY GRIFFITH COMPETED FOR THIS GRANT, AND WE WERE A PARTNER WITH HER AS WELL, THIS GRANT ACTUALLY BRINGS IN ADDITIONAL SERVICES TO WRAP AROUND THE OTHER PROGRAMS THAT THE COUNTY HAS FUNDED AS WELL. SO THIS EXPANDS OUR CURRENT PROGRAM, SO THAT ADDS CAPACITY FOR US TO TAKE IN ADDITIONAL INDIVIDUALS, REFERRALS FROM CORRECTIONS HEALTH, TO DO CARE COORDINATION AND GET PEOPLE TRANSITIONED SUCCESSFULLY FROM JAIL INTO THE COMMUNITY AND INTO SERVICES. IT ALSO EXPANDS A PROGRAM TRUE CASCADIA, WHICH ALSO EXPANDS THE ABILITY TO HELP WITH THAT TRANSITION POINT.

SO REALLY THE FOCUS OF THESE GRANTS IS REDUCING RECIDIVISM FOR INDIVIDUALS, ONCE THEY HAVE COME IN CONTACT AND TO PREVENT OTHER CONTACTS IN THE SYSTEM THAT WOULD LEAD PEOPLE BACK INTO JAIL. THE NEXT FUNDING STREAMS AND GRANTS THAT WE RECEIVED HAVE BEEN FEDERAL GRANTS. THE FIRST ONE IS A COLLABORATIVE NURSING TRAINING GRANT AND THAT IS A GRANT THAT IS ALSO HOUSED IN CORRECTIONS HEALTH. THAT IS REALLY A FOCUS ON NURSING TRAINING TO BRING NURSES THAT CURRENTLY WORK IN THE JAIL IN WITH CORRECTIONS HEALTH TO GO AHEAD AND GET SKILLED UP TO WORK WITH THE POPULATION THAT HAS SIGNIFICANT MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS ISSUES, AND TO ALSO GO AHEAD AND CREATE A MORE TEAM-BASED APPROACH FOR WORKING WITH THAT POPULATION. SO THAT IS HOUSED DIRECTLY, AGAIN, WITHIN CORRECTIONS HEALTH IN THE JAIL.

THEN THE SECOND FEDERAL GRANT THAT WE RECEIVED IS A SAMSA GRANT. THIS GRANT IS EXPANSION OF OUR COURT PROGRAM, SO AN EXTENSION OF OUR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TREATMENT COURT PROGRAMS. THE GOOD FOCUS, AND THIS FITS WELL, COMMISSIONER SMITH WITH YOUR QUESTION, FOCUS OF THIS GRANT IS TO SERVE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS ISSUES COOCCURRING, DISORDERS AS WELL, FOCUSING SPECIFICALLY ON INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE TYPICALLY BEEN

OVERREPRESENTED AND UNDERSERVED IN OUR SYSTEM, SO OUR COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, AS WELL AS REALLY FOCUSING ON ENSURING THAT THERE IS GOOD TRANSITION IN CARE COORDINATION AMONGST OUR COURT PROGRAMS, OUR FORENSIC DIVERSION PROGRAMS, AND BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY. THE OTHER NICE THINGS IT DOES, WE ARE BUILDING STRONGER RELATIONSHIPS ACROSS OUR DEPARTMENTS. THIS ALSO, THIS GRANT REQUIRES CROSS SYSTEM ADVISORY WORK TOGETHER. SO LPSCC, OUR LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL, IS ONE OF THE ADVISORY GROUPS, SO WE HAVE A GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS ACROSS THE SYSTEM, POLICE, CORRECTIONS HEALTH, COMMUNITY JUSTICE, PEOPLE FROM DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS, ALL BEING CONNECTED TO THE GRANT ACTIVITIES AND LOOKING AT THE SUCCESS OF THE GRANT.

Mr. Hidalgo: SO WHAT WE WANTED TO BRING FORWARD IS, THERE IS A WEALTH OF ACTIVITY IN THIS AREA THAT REALLY IS PUSHING US FORWARD IN THIS WORK. WE KNOW IT WILL HAVE POSITIVE OUTCOMES WITH THE LARGER CONTEXT, WHILE WE'RE FOCUSED ON THE SUCCESS OF THE NEW PILOT PROGRAMS AS WELL, WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE TO TALK ABOUT THE LARGER SYSTEM AND THE LARGER CONTINUUM OF SERVICES THAT ARE BEING FUNDED IN OUR COUNTY TO DO A BETTER JOB AT THE INTERSECTION OF WORKING WITH PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS AND ADDICTIONS. AND PUBLIC SAFETY. AND I THINK WITH THAT, ARE WE ON TO QUESTIONS? GREAT. SO WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY OTHER QUESTIONS WE CAN ABOUT THE GRANT ACTIVITIES OR ABOUT THE SPECIFIC PROGRAMS OF THE -- THAT THE BOARD IS FUNDING TOO.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS?

Commissioner Smith: YES, MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU FOR THE UPDATE. IT'S CLEAR JAIL DIVERSION EFFORTS ARE NOT MEETING SOME OF THE CURRENT NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY. A COUPLE WEEKS AGO I HEARD A PRESENTATION FROM LPSCC REGARDING THE COUNTY'S CONTINUED EFFORTS TO EXAMINE WAYS IN WHICH TO ADDRESS THE GAB IN THE CURRENT JAIL DIVERSION PROGRAM. WILL YOU BE USING THAT PARTICULAR REPORT TO INFORM YOU ON HOW TO MAKE SOME OF THESE DECISIONS FROM A STRATEGIC STANDPOINT?

Mr. Hidalgo: YES, WE WILL, COMMISSIONER SMITH. ONE OF THE GREAT THINGS IS WE'VE TALKED AROUND THIS SPECIFIC PROGRAMS HERE, THERE IS OTHER ACTIVITY TO WHICH WE'RE CONNECTED. SO THE LPSCC MAPPING PROGRAMS, THE BUDGET NOTE COMMISSIONER SMITH -- COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK ALSO PUT FORWARD, THERE IS A LOT OF FOCUSED ACTIVITY TO LOOK AT HOW ARE WE CONNECTING THESE PROGRAMS, AND WHAT ARE THE CONTINUED GAPS THAT WE STILL HAVE? AND I SO APPRECIATE THE SUPPORTED HOUSING COMMENT AT EVERY TERRI IRWIN THAT WE DO HAVE



A PAGE HERE, BECAUSE THAT IS A SIGNIFICANT GAP. BUT THAT IS A COORDINATED EFFORT THAT IS CONNECTED TO ALL OF US AS WELL. AND WE'VE BEEN A PART OF THAT.

Commissioner Smith: WE HAVE SO MUCH DATA AND SO MUCH INFORMATION, I'M JUST WONDERING IF WE'RE USING OUR OWN INFORMATION AS IT RELATES TO US MAKING DECISIONS ON, YOU KNOW, GAPS AND DIVERSION AND OTHER ISSUES WITH FOLKS WHO HAVE MENTAL HEALTH CHALLENGES AND HOUSING AND THOSE THINGS. IT'S JUST A SHAME NOT TO USE THE DATA THAT WE'RE GETTING.

Mr. Hidalgo: EXACTLY. THAT'S WHAT I HAVE TO SAY, REALLY VERY EXCITING ABOUT THIS WORK RIGHT NOW. YOU KNOW, IN THE PAST YOU MIGHT SEE ANY ONE OF US COMING UP SEPARATELY TALKING ABOUT THE GAPS IN OUR AREAS AND SINCE WE ALL DO CONNECT WITH PEOPLE OF MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS ISSUES, YOU MIGHT HEAR A LITTLE BIT OF A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE. WE NOW ACTUALLY ARE LOOKING AT THAT TOGETHER AND WE ARE ALL WORKING TOGETHER WITH THE DATA IN FRONT OF US AND THE COMMUNITY. SO WE ACTUALLY ALL SEE THESE INDIVIDUALS, AND LET'S TALK ABOUT HOW WE ALL AGREE TO INTERVENTIONS THAT WILL REALLY MAKE A DIFFERENCE. SO IT IS A VERY EXCITING TIME TO USE THAT INFORMATION, BUT TO REALLY BE FAR MORE STRATEGIC THAN WE HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST.

Commissioner Smith: YES. THAT'S GOOD TO HEAR. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: I GUESS THE COMMENT I WOULD JUST ADD ON IS THAT WE HAD A BOARD RETREAT YESTERDAY AND WE TALKED A LOT ABOUT HAVING THE DEPARTMENTS WORKING IN TANDEM INSTEAD OF IN SILOS. AND I THINK WE DO THAT WORK, IT'S JUST THAT SOMETIMES IT DOESN'T FILTER AM THE WAY TO OUR EARS. SO HAVING THESE BRIEFINGS WHERE WE COME TOGETHER AND TALK ABOUT THE ISSUES WE'RE TACKLING JOINTLY I THINK IS REALLY INFORMATIVE TO LET US KNOW, AND THE PUBLIC KNOW THAT WE UNDERSTAND THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO SOLVE THESE PROBLEMS WORKING IN SILOS, THAT WE REALLY DO NEED, AS YOU SAID, WE SEE THEM IN EVERY DIFFERENT AREA SO WE NEED TO WORK TOGETHER. I'M EXCITED TO HEAR THIS INFORMATION TODAY. AND THANK YOU FOR COMING. ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? THANK YOU SO MUCH. YES, BEFORE WE GAVEL OUT.

Commissioner Shiprack: BEFORE WE GAVEL OUT TODAY, I WANT TO REMIND MY COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD THAT THERE IS A VERY SPECIAL CELEBRATION COMING UP. WE HAVE STARTED A NEW INSTITUTION AT MULTNOMAH COUNTY WHICH IS TO SHOWCASE THE REALLY TALENTED YOUTH ORCHESTRAS, TWO REALLY TALENTED YOUTH ORCHESTRAS. THERE ARE MORE THAN TWO, IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. BUT THE METROPOLITAN

YOUTH SYMPHONY AND BRAVO HAVE BOTH KINDLY AGREED TO PROVIDE US WITH MUSIC AND D-3 IS PROVIDING LUNCH. SO PLEASE RETURN AND THESE YOUNG PEOPLE WILL ENTERTAIN US. THEY'RE REALLY EXTRAORDINARILY TALENTED, AND THEY'VE WORKED VERY HARD ON THESE PRESENTATIONS FOR TODAY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THAT STARTS AT NOON?

Commissioner Shiprack: AND IT STARTS AT NOON. ACTUALLY, 12:15. AM I GETTING A SIGNAL? 12 NOON. 12 NOON. IF YOU CAME WHEN I CAME, THEN YOU WOULD BE 15 MINUTES LATE. WHICH SOMETIMES DOES HAPPEN.

Commissioner Bailey: I WANT TO THANK COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK FOR HOSTING THIS. AS PERHAPS THE LEAST TALENTED ALUM OF MYS, I HOPE FOLKS COME AND TAKE A LOOK AT THESE TALENTED KIDS.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. SEEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE ARE ADJOURNED. [GAVEL POUNDED]

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 11:38 a.m.

This transcript was prepared by LNS Captioning and edited by the Board Clerk's office. For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:  
[http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=3](http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3)

Submitted by:  
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and  
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk  
Board of County Commissioners  
Multnomah County