

**Transcript of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon
Tuesday, September 23, 2014**

BOARD BRIEFING

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 10:08 a.m. with Vice-Chair Diane McKeel and Commissioners Jules Bailey and Judy Shiprack present. Commissioner Loretta Smith was excused.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk.

[THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF THE CLOSED CAPTIONING OF THIS PROGRAM.]

Ms. Molina: MY NAME IS KATE MOLINA AND I'M FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. I DO HAVE WITH ME CAROLINE WONG AND SUSAN O'CONNOR WHO ARE ALSO TWO DEPUTY DAs. THERE ARE SOME OTHER PEOPLE I WANTED TO RECOGNIZE WHO WERE WITH US BEFORE WE GET STARTED. I WANT TO INTRODUCE JEFF WHO IS GOING TO STEP IN FOR SUSAN, ALSO WITH US IS ELY WORK, OUR VICTIMS SERVICES COORDINATOR AND SOME PEOPLE WHO ARE BEHIND THE SCENES DOING A LOT OF THE WORK WE'RE GOING TO BE DISCUSSING IN THIS MORNING'S PRESENTATION. SALLY AND ERIC ARE TWO FELONY RESTITUTION CLERK AND ANNIE WHO DOES THE WORK ON THE MISDEMEANOR RESTITUTION. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THEY WERE HERE. THEY WANTED TO SEE THE PRESENTATION AS WELL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> GOOD MORNING. I AM SUSAN O'CONNOR, AND FIRST WE WANT TO GIVE YOU A LITTLE BACKGROUND ABOUT HOW WE GET TO THE RESTITUTION PIECE IN OUR CRIMINAL CASES. SO WHAT IS RESTITUTION? BASICALLY IT MEANS THE FULL PARTIAL OR NOMINAL PAYMENT OF ECONOMIC DAMAGES TO A VICTIM. SO WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT ARE THOSE ECONOMIC DAMAGES, WE WANT TO LOOK TO CERTAIN STATUTES TO GET OUR DEFINITION. THE RESTITUTION IS COVERED BY TWO STATUTES, THE FIRST ONE IS FOR CIVIL CASES BUT THEN WE LOOK MORE SPECIFICALLY AT THE RESTITUTION STATUTE IN THE CRIMINAL CODE THAT HELPS DEFINE MORE WHAT ECONOMIC DAMAGES ARE. SO YOU CAN SEE UNDER ORS 31.710.2A IT TALKS ABOUT WHAT ECONOMIC DAMAGES ARE, AND ESSENTIALLY IT'S OBJECTIVELY VERIFIABLE MONETARY LOSSES. AND IT GIVES A WHOLE LIST OF THINGS THAT IT INCLUDES SOME OF THE MOST OBVIOUS THINGS LIKE MEDICAL, HOSPITAL, NURSING, HEALTH CARE, BURIAL, MEMORIAL EXPENSES,

IN THAT MORE GENERAL STATUTE IT DOES SAY LOSS OF INCOME AND FUTURE IMPAIRMENT OF EARNING CAPACITY, BUT AS YOU CAN SEE BELOW IN THE CRIMINAL CODE RESTITUTION STATUTE, IT GOES AHEAD AND LIMITS THAT THAT ECONOMIC DAMAGES DOES NOT INCLUDE FUTURE IMPAIRMENT OF EARNING CAPACITY. SO VICTIMS WHO HAVE BEEN DAMAGED IN THEIR FUTURE IMPAIRMENT OF EARNING CAPACITY CAN ALWAYS SUE FOR THOSE DAMAGES CIVILLY THROUGH THAT STATUTE. BUT THROUGH THE CRIMINAL CASE, IF YOU'RE A VICTIM, YOU CAN'T GET FUTURE EARNINGS CAPACITY AS A PART OF YOUR RESTITUTION AS PART OF THE RESOLUTION FOR THE CRIMINAL CASE. SO IT GOES ON TO DESCRIBE WHO IS DEFINED AS A VICTIM. AND THAT'S UNDER ORS 137.1034. GENERALLY IT'S THE PERSON WHO THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE CRIMINAL OFFENSE AGAINST, AND THAT PERSON HAS SUFFERED SOME ECONOMIC DAMAGES. THE SECTION -- IT GOES ON TO DESCRIBE ALSO THAT IF THERE'S SOMEBODY WHO MAY BE -- WHO MAYBE NOT IS THE NAMED VICTIM BUT COULD HAVE SUFFERED ECONOMIC DAMAGES THAT PERSON WILL ALSO BE INCLUDED IN THE DEFINITION OF VICTIM AND WILL BE AWARDED RESTITUTION. THERE'S THE CRIMINAL INJURIES, COMPENSATION ACCOUNT, AND INSURANCE CARRIERS WHO STEP IN THE ROLE OF THE VICTIM TO RECOVER THEIR RESTITUTION. AND IT DOESN'T INCLUDE ANY CODEFENDANTS AS A PART OF THE CRIME. SO THERE ARE TWO SEPARATE AREAS WE LOOK TO DETERMINE HOW WE GET THE RIGHT TO RESTITUTION. ARTICLE 1, SECTION 42 OF THE CONSTITUTION, VICTIMS HAVE THE QUOTE RIGHT TO RECEIVE PROMPT RESTITUTION FROM THE CONVICTED CRIMINAL WHO CAUSED THE LOSS OR INJURY. WE WISH THAT THAT WORD "PROMPT" WOULD HAPPEN MORE OFTEN, BUT WE'VE UNDERLINED IT BECAUSE THAT IS THE RIGHT AS A VICTIM, AND SO WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO COMPLY WITH THAT DIRECTIVE. SO THEN THERE'S ALSO THE STATUTORY RIGHT TO RESTITUTION. AND UNDER ORS 137.1061A, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY SHALL INVESTIGATE AND PRESENT TO THE COURT EVIDENCE OF THE NATURE AND AMOUNT OF THE DAMAGES. AND THE REASON THAT'S UNDERLINED IS BECAUSE "SHALL" IS A STRONG WORD IN A STATUTE, SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE MUST BE DOING AS A PART OF OUR JOB IN THE D.A.'S OFFICE. THEN IT GOES ON TO DESCRIBE THAT PAYMENT OF FULL RESTITUTION IS DUE AT THE TIME OF JUDGES, UNLESS THE DEFENDANT PROVES INABILITY TO PAY IN FULL AT THE TIME OF JUDGMENT AND THAT IS A COMMON THING WE SEE. SO WHAT IS THE MISSION OF THE RESTITUTION PROJECT? ESSENTIALLY THERE ARE SORT OF TWO DIFFERENT PRONGS TO THIS. WE WANT THE D.A.s TO BE ABLE TO INVESTIGATE AND GET ALL OF THAT INFORMATION ABOUT THE ECONOMIC DAMAGES, AND THEN WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO MAKE SURE THAT'S ORDERED AS A PART OF THE CRIMINAL CASE. AND THEN SYEDLY, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ABLE TO ACTUALLY ENFORCE THOSE ORDERS AND ACTUALLY GET THEM THE RESTITUTION. SO IT'S REAL EASY TO ORDER THE RESTITUTION, BUT IT'S HARD FOR US THEN TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO GO AND ACTUALLY GET THOSE DEFENDANTS TO PAY THAT RESTITUTION. SO THAT'S WHAT WE WANT TO WORK ON. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ACCURATELY INVESTIGATING GETTING THE ECONOMIC

DAMAGES AND THEN ALSO WE WANT TO MAKE SURE ON THE BACK END AFTER WE'VE ORDERED IT, WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ABLE TO GET THE RESOLUTION TO THE VICTIMS BY WHATEVER MEANS WE CAN, AND IMPROVE THE FACT THAT WE WANT TO GET THEM PROMPT PAYMENT. SO HOW THIS ALL CAME ABOUT IS IN JANUARY OF 2010, THERE WAS A SECRETARY OF STATE DID AN AUDIT REPORT THAT WAS CALLED ORDERING RESTITUTION FOR VICTIMS. AND IT BASICALLY IDENTIFIED A BUNCH OF AREAS THAT WERE IN DESPERATE NEED FOR RESTITUTION REFORM. THEY BASICALLY LOOKED AT FOUR SEPARATE COUNTIES, MULTNOMAH COUNTY WAS ONE OF THOSE COUNTIES, AND THEY SORT OF LIMITED IT, IT WASN'T A COMPLETE PICTURE, BUT THEY LIMITED HOW MANY DIFFERENT CASES THEY WOULD LOOK AT. THEY LOOKED AT THE TYPES OF CASES THAT WOULD NORMALLY HAVE RESTITUTION, LIKE THEFT, AND ROBBERIES, THINGS LIKE THAT, THEY DIDN'T LOOK AT THE CRIMES WHERE YOU WOULDN'T NORMALLY HAVE RESTITUTION LIKE DRIVING WHILE SUSPENDED OR THOSE CRIMES. THEY LOOKED AT THOSE AREAS AND THEN THEY TRIED TO DETERMINE IN THOSE CASES HOW MUCH WAS ORDERED, WHAT KIND OF RESTITUTION DID WE SEEK, AND THEY REALLY SORT OF WERE KIND OF LOOKING AT THE PROCESS AND SEEING HOW RESTITUTION WAS BEING ORDERED. SO THAT AUDIT REPORT CAME OUT, AND IT WAS NOT A POSITIVE REPORT IN TERMS OF RESTITUTION. AND SO DISTRICT ATTORNEY MIKE SHRUNK AT THAT TIME REPLIED TO THE AUDIT REPORT BY DISCUSSING ESSENTIALLY, LOOK, THERE HAVE BEEN A LOT OF PROGRESS WITH THE RIGHT -- VICTIMS' RIGHTS AND RESTITUTION, BUT THE FUNDING HASN'T BEEN KEEPING UP WITH IT FOR MY DEPUTIES. SO WE NEED MORE RESOURCES TO COMPLY WITH THE RESTITUTION AND VICTIMS' RIGHTS LAWS. I THINK HIS DIRECT QUOTE WAS SHRUNK SHRUNK THE PEOPLE HAVE GIVEN DISTRICT ATTORNEYS ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES FOR ENFORCING VICTIMS' RIGHTS, BUT HAVE NEVER GIVEN US RESOURCES TO CARRY THEM OUT. THAT WAS THE BIG PLEA, WE NEED MORE FUNDING. THE D.A.s ARE SPEND EXAMPLE MOST OF THEIR RESOURCE AND TIME TRYING TO GET THE CONVICTIONS AND NOT FOCUSING AS MUCH ON THE RESTITUTION PIECE OF IT. SO AT THAT POINT THE D.A. IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAD A HALF-TIME ATTORNEY COMMITTED TO LOOKING INTO HOW COULD WE IMPROVE OUR RESTITUTION EFFORTS, HOW CAN WE MAKE THIS SYSTEM BETTER? I DID WANT TO MENTION PART OF THE AUDIT REPORT RECOMMENDED THAT THE D.A.'S OFFICE PUT A PROCESS IN PLACE TO SORT OF HELP WITH THIS, AND THAT WHEN RESTITUTION IS IDENTIFIED AND ORDERED, THERE'S SOME WAY TO TRACK IT. BECAUSE BEFORE THIS NO ONE WAS REALLY TRACKING IT. SO THAT WAS ONE OF THE GOALS. SO BASICALLY THE TIME LINE IS AUGUST 2nd OF 2011, HOUSE BILL 3066 CREATED A PILOT PROGRAM BASICALLY TO IMPROVE RESTITUTION EFFORTS AROUND THE STATE. WE HERE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY RECEIVED AN AWARD TO PARTICIPATE IN THE RESTITUTION PILOT PROJECT. WE CREATED IT JANUARY 2012, AND BY FEBRUARY OF 2014, ALL OF THOSE FUNDS WERE SPENT AND THE AWARD PERIOD ENDED ON JUNE OF 2014. I THINK KATE IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE PROJECT ITSELF.

>> THIS IS TO LAY THE FOUNDATION OF WHY WE'RE HERE TO TALK TO YOU, THE FACT THE AUDIT REPORT CAME OUT, AND IN RESPONSE THE HOUSE BILL WAS ABLE TO CREATE -- FIND FUNDS TO HELP COUNTIES DEVELOP THEIR OWN PLANS. MY UNDERSTANDING OF THOSE AWARDS WAS IT REALLY TOLD THE COUNTY, DO WHAT YOU THINK IS GOING TO HELP YOUR COUNTY THE BEST. SO THAT'S WHERE WE COME IN NOW. I'M GOING TO WALK THROUGH HOW MULTNOMAH COUNTY DECIDED TO USE THAT GRANT FUNDING, THE AWARD MONEY TO ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT SOMETHING IN OUR COUNTY TO TRY TO ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS, AND MAKING SURE VICTIMS WERE HAVING THEIR RIGHTS UPHOLD IN A MORE CONSISTENT, SYSTEMATIC WAY. SO THE GOAL OF THE ORIGINAL TEAM THAT WAS CREATED AFTER THAT AWARD WAS GRANTED IN JANUARY OF 2012 WAS TO WORK WITH PARTNER AGENCIES TO MAKE SURE THAT VICTIMS WERE HAVING RESTITUTION ORDERED, MORE CONSISTENTLY AND COLLECTED MORE CONSISTENTLY AS WELL. SOME OF THE BIG PARTNER AGENCIES THAT WE WORKED WITH TO GET THIS RESTITUTION PILOT PROJECT AT THAT TIME OFFER THE GROUND REALLY WAS THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE, THE NATIONAL CRIME VICTIMS LAW INSTITUTE AND OF COURSE THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT. AND THEY WERE BIG PARTNERS, PEOPLE WHO NEEDED BUY-IN ORDER TO GET THIS PROGRAM OFF THE GROUND AND RUNNING. THE ORIGINAL TEAM CONSISTED OF OF TWO HALF-TIME DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, ONE OF WHOM WAS PARTIALLY FUNDED BY THE GRANT, THERE WAS ALSO ONE PROJECT COORDINATOR WHERE NO GRANT FUNDING WAS ALLOCATED. WE HAD THREE FELONY RESTITUTION CLERKS, TWO OF WHOM FUNDED BY THE GRANT, AND ALSO TWO DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE COLLECTION AGENTS WHO WERE FUNDED BY THE GRANTS THROUGH DOJ. THE EASIEST WAY FOR US TO EXPLAIN WHAT THE TEAM ACTUALLY DID WAS TO TALK ABOUT THEIR FUNCTIONS PRECONVICTION AND POST-CONVICTION. SO PRE-CONVICTION, THE PEOPLE THAT WE HAD DOING A LOT OF THE LEGWORK WERE OUR RESTITUTION CLERKS. THE TWO THAT ARE REMAINING I MENTIONED EARLIER, SALARY AND ERICA, THEY'RE THE ONES WHO ARE INVESTIGATING THE NATURE AND AMOUNT OF DAMAGES TO THE VICTIMS, THIS OFTEN MEANS CONTACTING THEM AT GRAND JURY, SO VERY EARLY IN THE PROCESS WE HAVE PEOPLE REACHING OUT TO THE VICTIMS TO START GATHERING INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT THEIR LOSSES MIGHT BE FOR THAT FUTURE RESTITUTION REQUEST FROM THE COURT. THE OTHER GOAL THAT WE HAVE IS TO GET A RESTITUTION ENVELOPE CONNECTED TO THE CASE FILE WITHIN 25 DAYS OF THE FELONY CASE GETTING INDICTED. I SHOULD CLARIFY THE PILOT PROJECT INITIALLY STARTED WITH THE FELONY CASES SO WE COULD SEE WHAT MODELS WORKED AND HOW WE COULD POTENTIALLY EXPAND IT INTO MISDEMEANORS. I'M GOING TO QUICKLY SKIP AHEAD TO THE NEXT SLIDE. THIS IS THE RESTITUTION ENVELOPE THE CLERKS ARE WORKING ON. IT SHOWS THEM -- IT SHOWS ON THE VERY FRONT COVER WHAT CONTACTS WE'RE HAVING WITH THE VICTIMS. IN OUR OFFICE, WHAT WE'VE DECIDED IS

TWO LETTERS AND TWO PHONE CALLS IS BEST EFFORTS. AS SUSAN EXPLAINED, WE HAVE A STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION TO REACH OUT TO VICTIMS AND MAKE SURE THAT WE PRESENT PRESENTING INFORMATION TO THE COURT AT THE TIME OF SENTENCING, OR WITHIN 90 DAYS. AND THIS ALLOWS THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY IN COURT AT SENTENCING TO SAY, YOUR HONOR, WE'VE CONTACTED THE VICTIM ON THESE DAYS, WE'VE NEVER HEARD BACK FROM THEM, WE CONSIDER THAT BEST EFFORTS, OR WE'VE CONTACTED THEM AND THIS IS WHAT THEY'RE REQUESTING. THIS IS THE AMOUNT OF LOSS THEY HAVE AND THIS IS THE INFORMATION THAT I HAVE TO PRESENT TO YOU, AND WITH INSIDE THIS ENVELOPE IS ALL THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION OUR CLERKS HAVE COLLECTED FROM THE VICTIMS SO THE DEPUTY D.A. CAN HAND TO THE JUDGE, THESE ARE THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS. THIS IS HOW I KNOW WHAT THE VICTIM IS REQUESTING AND WHY. AND THE GOAL IS TO HAVE THIS PACKET, THIS ENVELOPE CONNECTED TO THE CASE FILE WITHIN 25 DAYS OF INDICTMENT. SO THAT'S A LOT OF THE WORK THAT'S COMING UP ON THE FRONT END FROM OUR FELONY RESTITUTION CLERKS. IN ADDITION, WE HAD THE TWO HALF-TIME ATTORNEY POSITIONS. WE WORK WITH THE COURTS, WE DO RESEARCH AND WRITING TO ASSIST OTHER DEPUTIES IN THE OFFICE TO BE THAT IN-HOUSE EXPERT FOR RESTITUTION ISSUES. AND OF COURSE THE LIAISONS TO OTHER PARTNER AGENCIES, WHICH IS ALSO WHAT THE PROJECT COORDINATOR WAS DOING, AND HELPING WITH THE AWARD COMPLIANCE TO MAKE SURE WE HAD QUARTERLY REPORTS TO GET SUBMITTED TO MAKE SURE THOSE WERE BEING DONE. SO AN ACCOMPLISHMENT WE HAD AS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW THIS PRECONVICTION WORK WAS ACTUALLY FACILITATING THE MISSION OF TRYING TO GET MORE INFORMATION TO THE COURT IS WE RECENTLY HAD A CASE THAT GOT INDICTED, BUT WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY RESPONSE FROM THE VICTIMS DESPITE BEST EFFORTS. THE CASE NEEDED TO GET REINDICTED AND THE VICTIM CAME BACK, AND THIS TIME THE RESTITUTION CLERK WAS ABLE TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE VICTIM'S MOTHER AT GRAND JURY AND WAS ABLE TO FIND OUT THERE WAS ACTUALLY A REQUEST THAT NEEDED TO BE MADE FOR DHS SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$30,000 FOR POTENTIAL RESTITUTION. SO THAT'S A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THE FACT HAVING THE PEOPLE THERE SPECIFICALLY AT GRAND JURY TO MAKE THOSE INITIAL CONTACTS WITH THE VICTIMS WAS ALLOWING US MUCH SOONER IN THE LIFE OF THE CASE TO GET INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT WAS ACTUALLY GOING TO BE REQUESTED DOWN THE LINE. WHICH HELPS THE ATTORNEYS TO KNOW WITH NEGOTIATIONS WHAT THAT NUMBER IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE MUCH EARLIER THAN WE WERE ABLE TO IN THE PAST. SO THEN SWITCHING TO THE POST CONVICTION SIDE, THE ORIGINAL TEAM, THE PEOPLE THAT WERE DOING THE WORK ON THE POST CONVICTION END, WE HAD OUR TWO DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE COLLECTION AGENTS WHO WERE INSTRUMENTAL IN HELPING US GET THE PROBATION CONDITIONS ENFORCED. SO WE WERE ABLE TO NOW ASK JUDGES TO HOLD DEFENDANTS ACCOUNTABLE BECAUSE WE HAD PEOPLE THAT WE COULD SEND THEM TO GET INFORMATION THEIR FINANCIALS,

ORDER THE DEFENDANT TO DISCLOSE THEIR FINANCIAL INFORMATION, NOT NECESSARILY REQUIRING THEM TO PAY EVERYTHING BY A CERTAIN TIME OR UP FRONT, BUT JUST TO SAY THERE ARE STEPS YOU CAN TAKE AS A PERSON WHO IS BEING HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THIS, GO MEET WITH THESE COLLECTION AGENTS AND GET THEM INFORMATION, SET UP A PAYMENT PLAN THAT WORKS FOR YOU, AND OUR DOJ AGENTS WERE ABLE TO DO THAT. WE'RE ALSO STARTING TO WORK ON GARNISHMENTS OF PAGES, PEOPLE WERE NOT PAYING, AND WE COULD TELL THEY HAD THE RESOURCES TO PAY THAT THEY WEREN'T. AND THEN ALSO A PROBATION VIOLATION IN HOLDING PEOPLE ACCOUNTABLE FOR WILLFUL NONPAYMENT. AND THEN ON THE POST CONVICTION SIDE, IT'S THE SAME TWO HALF-TIME ATTORNEY POSITIONS, BUT ON THE BACK END, THOSE ARE TWO HALF-TIME POSITIONS WE'RE WERE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE COURTS, WORK ON CORRECTING JUDGMENTS AND AGAIN, WORKING AS IN HOUSE EXPERTS FOR OTHER ATTORNEYS IN THE OFFICE ABOUT THINGS THAT CAME UP AROUND SENTENCING AND ACTUALLY ORDERING RESTITUTION. AND THEN THE POST-CONVICTION TOOL FOR ACCOUNTABILITY, SO WE HAVE THE RESTITUTION UP FRONT THAT WAS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT CAME OUT OF THE PILOT PROJECT. AND THEN ON THE BACK END, WE HAVE THIS RESTITUTION PACKAGE. AND THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS DECIDED ON THAT WE GOT BUY-IN FROM THE COURTS SO WE COULD AT THE TIME OF SENTENCING ASK THE JUDGE TIME POSSIBLE THIS RESTITUTION -- IMPOSE THIS RESTITUTION PACKAGE. IT MADE IT A CONDITION OF PROBATION THE DEFENDANT AFFIRMATIVELY TAKE CERTAIN STEPS TO GO MEET WITH THE DOJ AGENT AND PROVIDE INFORMATION SO AN APPROPRIATE PAYMENT PLAN COULD BE SET UP, SO WE COULD KNOW HOW MUCH THEY COULD BE PAYING BECAUSE WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE VICTIMS WERE GETTING PAYMENT MORE PROMPTLY. INSTEAD OF LEAVING IT UP TO THE DEPARTMENT TO PAY AS THEY COULD, WE'RE REQUIRING THEM TO MEET WITH THE DOJ AGENT TO TAKE THAT STEP TO SET THAT UP. THIS IS SIMILAR TO OTHER PACKAGES IN THE COUNTY YOU MIGHT HAVE HEARD OF, LIKE ALCOHOL AND DRUG TREATMENT PACKAGES THAT CAN GET ORDERED AT THE TIME OF SENTENCING OR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CONDITIONS THAT GET ORDERED AT THE TIME OF SENTENCING, THIS WAS A RESTITUTION PACKAGE THAT JUDGES COULD ALSO IMPOSE AS WELL. AND AN ACCOMPLISHMENT THAT IS SIMILAR TO THAT USING GARNISHMENTS TO ENFORCE PAYMENT RESTITUTION, IN THE POST-CONVICTION END, SAYS THAT CAME OUT OF -- SUCCESSES THAT CAME OUT OF THIS PROJECT, MR. HEARINGTOP WAS ORDERED TO PAY \$3,000 TO THREE DIFFERENT VICTIMS AND HE AGREED TO PAY \$50 A MONTH, WHICH IS NOT AN UNCOMMON AMOUNT WE SEE. AND HE HADN'T MADE ANY PAYMENTS, DIDN'T FOLLOW THROUGH, BUT ONE OF OUR COLLECTION AGENTS WAS ABLE TO LOCATE HIS EMPLOYER AND SAW HE WAS RECEIVING MONTHLY INCOME, AND WE WERE ABLE TO GARNISH HIS WAGES AND RECEIVING BIWEEKLY PAYMENTS OF OVER \$500, WHICH HOPEFULLY WILL GET THE VICTIMS PAID OFF MUCH MORE QUICKLY, INFORMATION WE WOULDN'T HAVE HAD ACCESS TO WITHOUT THE COLLECTION AGENT. ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THE POST-CONVICTION

ACCOMPLISHMENT, ABOUT HOW THE PILOT PROJECT WAS ABLE TO USE DIFFERENT TOOLS, NEW TOOLS TO ENFORCE ACCOUNTABILITY. MR. CHIMUKU WAS DRIVING DRUNK AND HIT AND PINNED A PEDESTRIAN TO A TREE. THE INJURY THE VICTIM SUFFERED ACTUALLY REQUIRED HIM TO BE -- HAVE HIS LEG AMPUTATED AT THE HIP. WHICH PREVENTED HIM FROM CONTINUING TO WORK AS A CHEF. SO HE WAS SENTENCED IN MAY OF 2008 AND ORDERED TO PAY OVER \$30,000 IN RESTITUTION TO THAT VICTIM. AND THEN IN APRIL OF 2012 A PROBATION VIOLATION WAS HELD FOR FAILURE TO PAY RESTITUTION. WE CANNOT ASK A JUDGE TO FIND SOMEBODY IN VIOLATION OF THEIR PROBATION UNLESS WE CAN PROVE IT'S A WILLFUL VIOLATION, AND WE REALLY HADN'T BEEN ABLE TO DO THAT WITH RESTITUTION UNTIL WE HAD THE COLLECTION AGENTS BECAUSE WE WERE ABLE TO GO IN AND SAY, HE HAS RESOURCES, HE HAS COME INTO SINCE HE'S BEEN SENTENCED AND HE'S CHOOSING TO REIMBURSE US FOR OTHER THINGS INSTEAD OF PAYING RESTITUTION. AND WE WERE ABLE TO ASK THE JUDGE TO FIND HIM IN VIOLATION WHICH HE DID AND ORDERED A JAIL SENTENCING FOR WILLFUL NONPAYMENT, WHICH I THINK SHOWS A CULTURE SHIFT IN THE JUDGES AND COURTS BEING RECEPTIVE TO USE THAT INFORMATION FOR PROBATION VIOLATIONS AS WELL. THE SUSPECT WAS REVOKE ORDER HIS PROBATION BECAUSE HE DID GET CONVICTED OF A NEW OFFENSE, BUT THAT NONPAYMENT OF RESTITUTION WAS ALSO A FACTOR IN HIS ULTIMATE REVOCATION AS WELL. SO WE HAD SO MUCH SUCCESS, WE THOUGHT, WITH THE FELONY RESTITUTION PILOT PROJECT, THAT WE STARTED WHAT WE CALLED THE PILOT WITHIN THE PILOT. SO WE SAID WE'RE -- FELONIES ARE UP AND RUNNING, WE THINK THIS IS A MODEL THAT IS WORKING, HOW DO WE EXPAND THIS INTO OUR MISDEMEANOR CASES? SO THE GOAL OF THE PILOT WITHIN THE PILOT WAS TO TAKE WHAT WE WERE LEARNING ABOUT HOW TO START COLLECTING AND ENFORCING RESTITUTION INFORMATION FOR FELONIES AND MOVE THAT INTO MISDEMEANORS SO WE COULD EXPAND SERVICE TO THE VICTIMS OF THE COUNTY TO MAKE SURE WE WERE REACHING OUT TO AS MANY VICTIMS AS POSSIBLE FOR ALL THE VARIOUS CHARGES AND CRIMES THAT OUR OFFICE COMES INTO CONTACT WITH PEOPLE ABOUT. SO THE SIMILAR TO THE ITEM ANY SIDE, WE HAVE OUR ATTORNEYS ARE IDENTIFYING CASES AT ISSUING THAT MIGHT HAVE RESTITUTION INFORMATION, AND THEN OUR MISDEMEANOR RESTITUTION CLERK IS NOW THE PERSON WHO CONTACTS THE VICTIM WITHIN DAYS OF ARRAIGNMENT. SO SHE RUNS REPORTS, WE DON'T SEND OUR MISDEMEANOR CASES THROUGH THE GRAND JURY PROCESS, SO SHE'S NOT MEETING THEM AT GRAND JURY LIKE OUR FELONY CLERKS ARE, BUT WITHIN DAYS OF THE CASE GETTING ISSUED, WE'RE STARTING TO MAKE CONTACT WITH VICTIMS TO SEE IF THEY HAVE RESTITUTION INFORMATION. AND THE GOAL IS TO HAVE A SIMILAR LOOKING PACKET TO THAT RESTITUTION ENVELOPE, HAVE A SIMILAR PACKET FOR MISDEMEANORS CONNECTED TO THE FILE WITHIN ABOUT 30 DAYS OR AT LEAST BEFORE TRIAL READINESS, WHICH IS THE FIRST DATE WHERE BOTH THE STATE AND DEFENSE COME TOGETHER TO SAY IF WE'RE READY FOR TRIAL, SO WE CAN KNOW VERY EARLY ON IF WE'RE GOING

TO HAVE A RESTITUTION REQUEST THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE ASKING FOR AS PART OF THE PRETRIAL OFFER, OR AS PART OF THE SENTENCING FROM THE JUDGE. SO SIMILAR -- AFTER THE BIG PILOT PROJECT WAS UP AND RUNNING FOR ABOUT NINE MONTHS, WE HAD A PAID LAW STUDENT EXPERIMENT WITH DIFFERENT MODELS ABOUT HOW WE COULD EXPAND THIS INTO MISDEMEANORS. WE BROUGHT IN ADDITIONAL LAW STUDENT VOLUNTEERS TO SEE ABOUT HOW WE COULD IMPLEMENT THIS, THE SUMMER OF MAY 2013 IS WHEN WE HAD IT UP AND RUNNING. WE HAD THREE VERY DEDICATED LAW STUDENTS WHO WERE VOLUNTEERING, ABOUT 30 HOURS A WEEK TO GETTING IT UP AND RUNNING. AND THEN IN JANUARY OF 2014 WE HAVE A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEE WHO WAS DEDICATED TO COLLECTING THAT INFORMATION. AND THE ACCOMPLISHMENT OF THE MISDEMEANOR PILOT WITHIN THE PILOT PROJECT WAS ANECDOTALLY WE HAD A DEPUTY D.A. WHO WORKED ON OUR MISDEMEANOR UNIT WHO SAID WITHIN MONTHS OF THIS GETTING STARTED, HE HAD HIS 90-DAY SETOVER FILES GO FROM ABOUT 10 TO 12 PER WEEK SO ONE TO TWO A MONTH. THE REASON THAT'S IMPORTANT, THE STATUTES, AS SUSAN POINTED OUT EARLIER, SAY WE NEED TO HAVE THAT INFORMATION TO THE JUDGE AT THE TIME OF SENTENCING, IT ALSO ALLOWS US AN ADDITIONAL 90 DAYS IF WE NEED MORE TIME TO DO THAT. BUT OF COURSE THE LONGER YOU PUSH THICKS OUT, INSTEAD OF DOING IT AT SENTENCING, THAT'S A WHOLE SEPARATE HEARING YOU HAVE TO HAVE, YOU'RE TAKING UP A JUDGE'S TIME, A CLERK'S TIME, A J.A.'S TIME, A D.A.'S TIME, DEFENSE ATTORNEYS NEED TO GET THEIR CLIENTS IN FOR A WHOLE SEPARATE HEARING. SO THE FACT WE WERE ABLE TO REDUCE THE NEED TO HAVE THOSE SETOVERS SIMPLY FOR RESTITUTION, BECAUSE WE WERE GETTING THAT INFORMATION MUCH SOONER, MAKES SURE IT'S GETTING ORDERED FOR VICTIMS MUCH EARLIER IN THE PROCESS, AND ALSO DOWN THE LINE IT'S REDUCING THE COST THAT IS ASSOCIATE THE -- ASSOCIATED WITH HAVING THAT ADDITIONAL SETOVER HEARINGS.

>> NEXT, BECAUSE OF THE PROGRAM WE STARTED KIND OF LOOKING BACK AT THE STATISTICS TO TRY AND GET A SENSE OF HOW MANY VICTIMS ARE WE CONTACTING, WHAT ARE THE AMOUNTS OF RESTITUTION THAT ARE BEING ORDERED, THIS FIRST SLIDE SHOWS THE FELONY CASES, AND IT SHOWS HOW MANY OF THE FELONY CASES WE WERE ABLE TO CONTACT THE VICTIMS TO DOCUMENT LOSSES. SO FOR 2014 THERE WAS 2,513, FOR 2013 THERE WAS 2,804, 2012, THERE WAS 2,689, WE DON'T HAVE THE FISCAL YEAR FINISHED YET. SO FOR 2013, THE AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION THAT WAS REQUESTED BY VICTIMS WAS 8,890,000 DOLLARS, SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF MONEY. AND IT WAS INTERESTING AS I WAS TALKING WITH KATE EARLIER ABOUT THIS FIGURE, AND I WAS RECALLING A LOT OF MY MANSLAUGHTER CASES THAT I HAD WORKED ON, WHERE THE VICTIM DIDN'T EVEN REQUEST, AND THOSE ARE SERIOUS CASES AND A LOT OF RESTITUTION. BUT BECAUSE THERE WAS ALSO A CIVIL CASE THAT WAS TRACKING ALONG WITH IT, THEY DECIDED TO GET THEIR RESTITUTION THROUGH THEIR CIVIL CASE AND THEY DIDN'T WANT IT AS PART OF THEIR

CRIMINAL CASE. SO THIS NUMBER, WHILE IT SEEMS HIGH, IT DOESN'T CAPTURE A LOT OF THE OTHER RESTITUTION THAT WOULD BE OUT THERE, BUT STILL THAT IS A VERY HIGH NUMBER. SO 2014 WAS OVER \$7 MILLION. NUMBER OF VICTIMS CONTACTED ON MISDEMEANOR CASES TO DOCUMENT LOSSES, IT'S QUITE A FEW PEOPLE ARE BEING CONTACTED. IN 2013 THERE WERE OVER 4500 PEOPLE CONTACTED TO DOCUMENT LOSSES. AND THEN GOING THROUGH TO THE NUMBERS, THERE ARE A LOT MORE PEOPLE THAT ARE CONTACTED, THE NUMBERS ARE LOWER, WHICH MAKES SENSE, A LOT OF FELONY CASES IN ORDER FOR IT TO BE A FELONY THEFT, FOR EXAMPLE, IT HAS TO BE OVER, SAY, A THOUSAND DOLLARS. SO IT MAKES SENSE THE RESTITUTION NUMBERS ARE THIS WAY. 2013 IS AS YOU CAN SEE IT'S OVER 1.9 MILLION DOLLARS, 2014 IS ALSO OVER 1.9 MILLION. THIS TOTAL SHOWS THE MISDEMEANOR CASES AND THE FELONY CASES FOR THE DIFFERENT YEARS. AND AGAIN, THIS IS JUST INFORMATION THAT WE'VE JUST STARTED TRACKING AND LOOKING AT, BECAUSE OF THIS PILOT PROGRAM. WE'RE LOOKING AT HOW MUCH RESTITUTION ARE WE TALKING ABOUT, HOW MANY VICTIMS ARE WE CONTACTING, WE NEED THE NUMBERS AND THE INFORMATION IN ORDER TO MOVE THIS FORWARD. AND SO THIS JUST SHOWS THE TOTALS WHEN YOU ADD THE FELONY TO THE MISDEMEANORS, FOR INSTANCE, IN 2013. IT WAS OVER \$10 MILLION, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF MONEY, THERE'S A LOT OF MONEY AT STAKE FOR THE VICTIMS, AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE DOING EVERYTHING WE CAN NOT ONLY BECAUSE WE WANT TO, BUT BECAUSE WE HAVE A STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATION TO DO SO.

>> RECOVERY OF PROJECT HAS BEEN RECONSTRUCTED GIVEN THAT WE'VE NOW LOST OUR GRANT FUNDING, AND WE'RE PURELY OPERATING BASED ON COUNTY FUNDING. AS YOU CAN SEE WITH THAT COLUMN ON THE LEFT SIDE WITH GRANT FUNDING, WE WERE ABLE TO HAVE A TEAM PARTICIPATING IN THE RESTITUTION UNIT TO INCLUDE THREE FELONY RESTITUTION CLERKS. THE LIST ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE INCLUDES WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE WORKING WITH THE RESTITUTION UNIT. THE MAIN DIFFERENCE BEING WE'RE NOW GOING FROM THREE FELONY RESTITUTION CLERKS TO TWO, THEY'RE DOING THE WORK OF WHAT THREE EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN DOING IN THE PAST, SO THEY'RE FOR SURE FEELING THE PRESSURE OF HAVING TO DO THE WORK OF THREE PEOPLE. AND THE CONSEQUENCE OF THAT IS THEY DO GET BEHIND IN THEIR WORK AT TIMES BECAUSE THERE IS SUCH VOLUME THAT THEY HAVE TO DEAL WITH. WE DO HAVE ONE MISDEMEANOR RESTITUTION CLERK THAT WORKS FULL-TIME NOW INSTEAD OF THE THREE VOLUNTEERS. AND THEN ON THE POST-CONVICTION SIDE WE'VE LOST OUR TWO DOJ COLLECTION AGENTS. AND THAT MATTERS BECAUSE GIVEN WHAT THEY WERE DOING ON THE POST-CONVICTION STAGE, THEY WERE EXAMINING PROBATIONERS' ASSETS, WHICH WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO. THEY WERE CONDUCTING FINANCIAL INTERVIEWS, WHICH NO LONGER HAPPENS, THEY WERE ASSISTING US WITH PROBATION -- COLLECTING RESTITUTION, HELPING US WITH GARNISHMENTS, HELPING US PROVE

WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF PROBATIONS AND NEGOTIATING TERMS OF PAYMENT, WHICH WE'RE ALSO NO LONGER ABLE TO DO. SO THE CURRENT CHALLENGES WAS THE REDUCTION IN PERSONNEL, WHICH INCLUDES THE REDUCTION OF ONE OF OUR FELONY RESTITUTION CLERKS, PUTS STRAIN ON OUR OFFICE. BUT WE MOSTLY FEEL IT ON THE BACK END POST-CONVICTION WITH THE LOSS OF THE DOJ COLLECTION AGENTS, BECAUSE WE'RE NOT ABLE TO PUT ON PROBATION VIOLATION HEARING IN THE MATTER THAT WE HAVE IN THE LAST TWO YEARS WITH THAT GRANT. HOWEVER, THERE IS POTENTIAL FUTURE FUNDING. ONE WAY WOULD BE THROUGH HOUSE BILL 3194, IN SEPTEMBER OF THIS YEAR THE GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE VOTED ON A FINALIZED DRAFT OF THE RULES, THAT IS BEING OPENED UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS. THE PROPOSED RULES SET UP AN APPLICATION PROCESS FOR COUNTIES THAT ARE APPLYING FOR THIS MONEY, AND WE ARE GOING TO BE GRADED ON OUR PLAN TO ASSESS ALL OFFENDERS AND ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING AREAS. AND THOSE FOUR YOU SEE IN FRONT OF YOU ARE THE GOALS OF HOUSE BILL 3194, AND I WANT TO BE FOCUSING ON NUMBER FOUR, WHICH IS INCREASED ACCOUNTABILITY FOR OFFENDERS. THE GRANT RULE CRITERIA UNDER THE OAR IS THEY ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT WHETHER AN APPLICANT'S PROGRAM WOULD HOLD OFFENDERS ACCOUNTABLE. EXAMPLES INCLUDE WHETHER THEY WOULD TRACK SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF AND HAVE A MORE ROBUST RESTITUTION PROGRAM. THAT IS ONE AREA THAT I KNOW -- HASN'T REALLY ADDRESSED IN ANY SIGNIFICANT WAY THIS PAST BIENNIUM, SO GOING FORWARD IF WE DO WANT TO MAKE OUR UNIT MORE ROBUST AND HAVE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO HAVE THE RESTITUTION UNIT AS STRONG AS IT CAN BE, THIS IS ONE AREA. AND THIS IS ONE AREA THAT WE WILL BE GRADED ON AS WELL IN OUR GRANT APPLICATION. FINALLY ONE LAST THING IS RIGHT NOW WE'RE CURRENTLY TRYING TO HAVE RESTITUTION DETERMINED FOR EVERY ONE OF OUR CASES. THE ONE AREA THAT WE ARE LACKING IS MISDEMEANOR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CASES. CURRENTLY THERE IS NOBODY WORKING THOSE CASES, SO AS WE LOOK FOR FUTURE FUNDING IN ORDER TO EXPAND MORE, THAT IS ONE AREA WE DO HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT, BECAUSE NOBODY IS TOUCHING THOSE CASES YET WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE UNDERLYING D.A., WHICH THEY DON'T HAVE THE TIME AND RESOURCES TO DO.

>> THE LAST COUPLE MINUTES WE HAVE WITH YOU WE WANT TO GO THROUGH A COUPLE, WHAT WE THINK ARE THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS THAT HAVE COME OUT OF THE WORK THE RECOVERY PROJECT WAS ABLE TO START DOING. THAT WE'RE HOPEFUL WE CAN CONTINUE DOING IN THE FUTURE. AGAIN, THAT 25-DAY WINDOW FOR FELONY CASES OR 30-DAY WINDOW FOR MISDEMEANORS, GETTING THAT INFORMATION UP FRONT REALLY ALLOWS THE ATTORNEYS WORKING ON THE CASE TO KNOW MUCH SOONER IN THE PROCESS HOW THE VICTIM IS BEING IMPACTED WITH RESTITUTION. I THINK THE TOTAL SLIDES FOR THOSE NUMBERS THAT SUSAN SHOWED REALLY SHOW THAT EVEN THOUGH THE MISDEMEANOR VICTIMS

HAVE MUCH LESS LOSS, AS COMPARED TO THE FELONY VICTIMS, THERE'S A DOUBLE THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT ARE BEING AFFECTED BY MISDEMEANOR CRIMES. AND CONVERSELY ON THE FELONY SIDE, YOU SEE MUCH HIGHER NUMBERS OF RESTITUTION FOR THAT SMALLER NUMBER OF FELONY VICTIMS WHICH MEANS THOSE INDIVIDUALS ARE BEING IMPACTED ON A MUCH GREATER SCALE IN TERMS OF RESTITUTION THAN PERHAPS THE MISDEMEANOR VICTIMS ARE. BUT A LOT OF PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY ARE BEING IMPACT AND IF WE CAN GET THAT INFORMATION FROM THEM MUCH SOONER IN THE PROCESS, IT HELPS OUR DEPUTIES MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS BEING REQUESTED FOR THOSE VICTIMS. AS WE'RE REQUIRED BY STATUTE, BUT TOLLS MAKE SURE WE'RE UPHOLDING THEIR RIGHTS AS CRIME VICTIMS. THE ADDITIONAL PROBATION CONDITIONS WAS A HUGE SUCCESS OF THE PROGRAM, HAVING THAT IN-HOUSE EXPERT, WHO IS GOING TO ASSIST OTHER DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY WAS THESE ISSUES THAT COME UP AROUND RESTITUTION. HAVING THAT RESTITUTION PACKAGED WE CAN NOW ASK FOR JUDGES TO ORDER AT THE TIME OF SENTENCING. TO MAKE SURE WE'RE HOLDING DEFENDANTS ACCOUNTABLE AND MAKING SURE VICTIMS ARE GETTING PAID IN A MORE TIMELY MANNER. AND I THINK CULTURE CHANGE, MAKING SURE NOT ONLY FOR OUR OFFICE, BUT WITH THE COURTS AS WELL, THAT WE'RE PUTTING RESTITUTION IN THE FOREFRONT OF PEOPLE'S MINDS SO OUR DEPUTIES ARE MUCH MORE AWARE OF THAT WHEN THEY'RE WORKING ON THEIR CASES, THIS IS A VICTIM'S RIGHT AND WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT IS SOMETHING THAT'S GETTING ORDERED FOR OUR CRIME VICTIMS.

>> THE REMAINDER OF THE SLIDES YOU HAVE IN THIS PRESENTATION ARE A DISCUSSION OF THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS THAT ARE RESTITUTION UNIT HAS HAD IN THE LAST TWO YEARS. WE DO WANT TO LEAVE OPEN ADDITIONAL TIME FOR ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

>> ANY QUESTIONS? COMMISSIONER BAILEY?

>> Commissioner Bailey: THANKS FOR THE PRESENTATION. SOMEWHAT OF A RELATIVELY NEW ISSUE, THOUGH I DO RECALL HOUSE BILL 3066 AND VOTED FOR IT. ONE OF THE LINES IT TALKS ABOUT INVESTIGATION OF ABILITY TO PAY. OBVIOUSLY THAT YOU REFERENCE THAT IN YOUR POWER POINT. ARE THERE -- THE ABILITY TO PAY IN OREGON EVER USED PARTICULARLY WITH JUVENILES IN ORDER TO SCOPE DOWN A RESTITUTION IN TERMS OF ABILITY TO PAY? IS THAT LEGAL FOR A JUDGE TO DO?

>> IN THE PAST, SO SUSAN AND I HAVE BEEN D.A.s LONG ENOUGH TO REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS LIKE PRIOR TO THAT, THE STATUTE THAT MANDATE THE JUDGE TO ORDER RESTITUTION REGARDLESS OF ABILITY TO PAY OR NOT. SO IN THE PAST I REMEMBER THAT JUDGES WOULD TAKE THAT INTO ACCOUNT AND LOWER THE AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION OWED TO A VICTIM DUE TO THAT. BUT WITH THE PEACAGE OF THE NEW STATUTE THE JUDGE IS

OBLIGATED WITH SHALL LANGUAGE TO ORDER THE FULL AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION AND NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT A PERSON'S ABILITY TO PAY. WITH THAT SAID, THE OFFENDER IS PLACE ORDER A PAYMENT PLAN AND IF THEY'RE UNABLE TO PAY BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE A JOB, OR THEY JUST HAVE NO INCOME AVAILABLE, THEY'LL NEVER BE SANCTION FOR PROBATION VIOLATION UNLESS IT'S A WOMENFUL VIOLATION. MEANING THEY DO HAVE A JOB, THEY'RE JUST CHOOSING TO PAY FOR CIGARETTES AND CABLE, INSTEAD OF PAYING BACK THE VICTIM.

>> DID YOU INCLUDE WITH YOUR PILOT PROJECT ANY JUVENILES WITHIN THE STUDY?

>> ONLY THOSE THAT ARE TREATED AS ADULTS THROUGH BALLOT MEASURE 11.

>> ARE THERE --

>> Commissioner Bailey: THIS IS OFF TOPIC OF THIS POWER POINT, BUT I'M CURIOUS BECAUSE I JUST DOVE INTO THIS ISSUE RECENTLY, THERE ARE ANY CAPS FOR JUVENILES IN TERMS OF RESTITUTION? IN THE STATE OF OREGON?

>> NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.

>> I DON'T BELIEVE SO.

Commissioner McKeel: I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THIS PRESENTATION AND, YOU KNOW, THE RESTITUTION PIECE IS SO IMPORTANT TO THE VICTIMS. AND SO I DON'T THINK WE CAN EVER UNDERSTATE HOW IMPORTANT THAT IS TO THE VICTIMS. AND SO THANK YOU. THIS HAS BEEN REALLY INTERESTING, AND THANK YOU FOR THIS PRESENTATION.

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU. I WANT TO THANK YOU AS WELL, WHAT A THOROUGH PRESENTATION AND I KNOW YOUR OFFICE HAS BEEN WORKING ON THIS FOR SOME TIME, AND THIS BOARD HAS ASKED IN THE PAST JUST TRACKING THIS COLLABORATIVE EFFORT BETWEEN THE BOARD AND THE D.A.'S OFFICE FOR JUST THE KIND OF THE CONDENSED VERSION OF OUTCOMES FOR YOUR EFFORTS IN RESTITUTION AND, YOU KNOW, THEY -- THE OCCASIONAL CASE, SORT OF THE OUTLIER CASE WHERE THERE'S A MILLION DOLLAR RESTITUTION IN THE CASE, FOR EXAMPLE, IS THE DRUNK DRIVER AND THE PERSON PINNED AGAINST A TREE, JUST HORRENDOUS CASE WAS HUGE DAMAGES. BUT THEN LOOKING AT ALSO THE HUGE NUMBER OF CASES THAT THE D.A.'S OFFICE HANDLES, THAT WAS UPWARDS MUCH 7,000 MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY CASES IN A YEAR. THE METRICS BECOME REALLY INTERESTING, SO I GUESS IT'S A KIND OF ONGOING REQUEST THAT THERE BE SOME WAY TO DILUTE THIS INFORMATION DOWN -- WELL, STRIKE

THAT. TO CONDENSE IT DOWN INTO KIND OF A METRIC PRESENTATION THAT WOULD EMPHASIZE SORT OF THIS HUGE NUMBER OF CASES, MOST OF WHICH ARE RESOLVED BY PLEA NEGOTIATION. AND THEN THE LIMITED DURATION OF PROBATION PERIOD AND THE RELATIVE SUCCESS, OR NOT SUCCESS, OF OUR RESTITUTION EFFORTS, BECAUSE I THINK IT ALSO SUGGESTS THAT WE CONSIDER MOVING THIS TO A MODEL THAT IS MORE OF A CIVIL MODEL, LIKE A DEBT COLLECTION MODEL THAT ISN'T RELIANT ON THE SET TIME OF PROBATION. AND ALSO THAT IT COULD BECOME MORE OF A 3194 KIND OF INCENTIVE TO DEFENDANTS TO NOT SERVE SO MUCH TIME IN PRISON, WHICH SORT OF THE PURPOSE OF 3194 AGAIN, BUT TO STAY IN THEIR COMMUNITY, GET GAINFUL EMPLOYMENT, AND PAY BACK THE VICTIM. SO I THINK THERE'S A REAL PURPOSE TO FOLLOWING THIS IN A METRIC. AND CONDENSING DOWN FROM THE ANECDOTAL OUTLIER CASES TO THE MAINSTREAM WHICH IS SMALLER RESTITUTION VICTIMS WHO ARE EQUALLY IN A SENSE IN THIS SMALL GROUP EQUALLY DISADVANTAGED BY THESE RELATIVELY SMALL QUALITY OF LIFE CRIMES. AND ALSOME OF THE BIGGER FELONY, BUT LOOK AT HOW WE'RE MODELING THIS, BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE SORT OF FRONT END LOADING OUR SYSTEM, IT'S EXPENSIVE TO USE THE D.A.'S OFFICE, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE, AND THE JUDICIARY TO COLLECT DEBTS.

>> THANK YOU. AND WE'RE KEEPING TRACK OF THAT, HAND COUNTING AND ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH OUR CRIMES COMPUTER SYSTEM. WE'RE STRUGGLING WITH COLLECTING DATA ON HOW MUCH RESTITUTION WAS ACTUALLY COLLECTED, OUR OLD SYSTEM THROUGH THE JUDICIARY ALLOWED US TO COLLECT THAT DATA, BUT AS THEY SWITCHED OVER TO THEIR NEW COMPUTER SYSTEM, WE'RE STRUGGLING WITH HOW TO COLLECT THAT DATA FROM THE NEW SYSTEM. SO WE'RE WORKING WITH OUR PARTNERS TO HOPEFULLY FIND THOSE DATA POINTS DOWN THE LINE.

Commissioner Shiprack: YOU REFERENCED QUARTERLY REPORTS. ARE THOSE QUARTERLY REPORTS AVAILABLE ON YOUR WEBSITE, ARE THE -- COULD YOU MAKE SURE THAT MY OFFICE RECEIVES THE MOST RECENT QUARTERLY REPORT?

>> THOSE WERE THE -- THE REFERENCE FOR THAT WAS FOR THE AWARD FUNDING TO MAKE SURE THE INITIATOR OF THAT AWARD FUNDING FROM THE 3066 HOUSE BILL, THEY WERED THOSE. SO I'M NOT SURE IF WE -- I DON'T BELIEVE THOSE WERE ON OUR WE'RE SITE. I CAN LOOK INTO THAT.

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. YOU ANSWERED MY QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW MUCH HAD BEEN COLLECTED. AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR COMING TODAY AND GIVING US THIS INFORMATION. IT'S DEFINITELY FOOD FOR THOUGHT. THANKS. NEXT UP WE HAVE BUDGET NOTE BRIEFING ON THE MENTAL HEALTH

PILOT PROGRAMS. AND JUST SO YOU DON'T THINK I'M EXTREMELY RUDE, I NEED TO LEAVE AT ABOUT 11:35 FOR AN UNEXPECTED ENGAGEMENT, AND SO COMMISSIONER MCKEEL WILL BE CHAIRING THE MEETING ONCE THAT HAPPENS.

>> GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS DAVID HIDALGO, THE DIRECTOR FOR OUR MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SERVICES. I AM HERE TODAY WITH NANCY GRIFFITH, OUR DIRECTOR OF CORRECTIONS HEALTH, AND GINGER MARTIN, OUR DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE, AND ONCE AGAIN FAMILIAR TO YOU, NANCY JACKSON, WHO HELPS PRODUCE MANY OF THESE GREAT PRESENTATIONS FOR US SO THAT WE CAN BRING INFORMATION TO YOU. TODAY WE ARE ACTUALLY VERY EXCITED TO COME FORWARD FOR THIS BRIEFING. THIS IS THE FIRST OF SEVERAL BRIEFINGS THAT WILL HAPPEN OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR ON A TRIO OF INVESTMENTS AND PROGRAMS THAT THE BOARD MADE IN FY 15. IN SUM TOTAL THE PROGRAMS WE'LL TALK ABOUT TODAY, THE THREE PROGRAMS THAT FIT AT THE INTERSECTION OF MENTAL HEALTH, MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION, EXCUSE ME, CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND CORRECTIONS HEALTH TOTAL 1.4 MILLION DOLLARS. SO IT'S A SICK DEVELOPMENT ABOUT A POPULATION AND A NEED WE HAVE IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT WE KNOW WELL, WE'VE WITNESSED AND EXPERIENCED THIS AND WE ALSO HAVE LOOKED AT OTHER AREAS ACROSS THE NATION, OTHER JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE TAKEN SOME STEPS AND HAD SUCCESS. SO WE'RE HOPING THAT OUR PILOT PROGRAMS AS WE HAVE DESIGNED THEM AND HAVE BEEN DESIGNED TO GO AHEAD AND FILL IN GAPS, THAT WE CURRENTLY KNOW EXIST IN THE SYSTEM, THAT WE CAN USE WHAT WE'VE LEARNED FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS TO MAKE THESE SUCCESSFUL AS WELL. SO IN TERMS OF THE CONTEXT, WHITE COUNTY DOES HAVE SOME JAIL DIVERSION PROGRAMS, MENTAL HEALTH A PROGRAM FUNDED BY THE STATE FOR APPROXIMATELY FOUR YEARS, THAT PROGRAM HAS BEEN A SMALL PROGRAM ON HELPING PEOPLE TRANSITION OUT OF THE JAIL DIRECTLY OR FROM THE FORENSICS UNIT AT THE STATE HOSPITAL INTO THE COMMUNITY. IT IS STAFFED WITH APPROXIMATELY THREE CASE MANAGERS, SO IT'S A SMALL PROGRAM, BUT IT DOESN'T MEET THE NEED OF INDIVIDUAL WHO'S HAVE MENTAL ILLNESS DIRECTLY IN THE JAIL, CORRECTIONS HEALTH THAT NANCY SERVES, OR FOR SOME OF THE GAPS THAT HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED AS WELL FOR PEOPLE WHEN THEY COME OUT OF JAIL SUCH AS HOUSING, RECOVERY SUPPORT, AND ENGAGEMENT. SO WE KNOW THAT WE CAN DO A BETTER JOB WITH INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE MENTAL ILLNESS, WE END UP IN OUR CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DESCRIBE TODAY. THE PILOT PROGRAMS ARE AT THE VERY BEGINNING RIGHT NOW, SOME OF THIS WE'LL BE ABLE TO TALK ABOUT IS THE PLANNING THAT HAS BEEN HAPPENING FOR THOSE PROGRAMS. AND HOW THE WORK WILL CONTINUE FORWARD OVER THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR. SO THE THREE PROGRAMS, THE FIRST ONE IN CORRECTIONS HEALTH, NANCY WILL TALK ABOUT, IS THE MENTAL HEALTH SUPPORT AND ASSESSMENT PILOT. THE PROGRAM THAT I WILL

SPEAK TO IS THE PROGRAM WITH THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT CENTER, THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TRIAGE PROGRAM, WHICH WE HAD THE CHANCE TO HAVE A TOUR YESTERDAY WITH COMMISSIONER KAFOURY AND STAFF, AND ALSO COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, SO THAT WAS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THAT PROGRAM JUST GET STARTED. AND THEN ENGINING LETTER SPEAK ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE'S SUPPORTED HOUSING PILOT AS WELL. SO WITH THAT, WE WILL TURN IT OVER TO NANCY TO GET US ROLLING.

>> GOOD MORNING CHAIR KAFOURY, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, I'M THE DIRECTOR OF CORRECTIONS HEALTH, MY NAME IS NANCY GRIFFITH. THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO REPORT ON THE MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROJECT, IN PARTICULAR THE MONEYS THAT CAME TO CORRECTIONS HEALTH. WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THESE MONIES. SO TODAY TO WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS WE HAVE HIRED FIVE MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS, IN THE PROCESS OF HIRING THREE MORE. I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT OUR CURRENT STAFFING AND TALK ABOUT HOW THE MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROJECT CHANGES THOSE RESOURCES. SO OUR CURRENT STAFFING IS THAT WE HAD SIX FULL-TIME MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS, ONE THAT WORKS THE EVENING SHIFT, AND THEN USUALLY ONLY ONE MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT THAT WAS ON WEEKENDS. SO WHEN PEOPLE CAME ON SUICIDE WATCH, THEY USUALLY WERE SEEN WITHIN 12 TO 24 HOURS, IF SOMEBODY CAME ON AND WAS -- ON SUICIDE WATCH AFTER ABOUT EIGHT OR 9:00 AT NIGHT, TO ALMOST 8:00 THE NEXT MORNING, THEY WEREN'T SEEN. SO THAT CREATED A LOT OF DIFFERENT STAFFING ISSUES FOR THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE. AND WE ALSO ONLY HAD ONE MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT AT THE INVERNESS JAIL. SO THE NEW RESOURCES, SO CURRENTLY WE HAVE 11 MENTAL HEALTH STAFFING BUT -- CONSULTANTS, FULLY STAFFED WE'LL HAVE 14. THAT INCLUDES FIVE 1.0 POSITIONS, SIX .8 POSITIONS AND THREE .6 POSITIONS. THAT WILL ALLOW US TO HAVE FOUR MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, TWO TO FOUR SEVEN DAYS A WEEK ON SWING SHIFT, OR MID SHIFT, AND IT WILL ALLOW GRAVEYARD COVERAGE. AND IT ALSO ALLOWS SEVEN DAYS A WEEK COVERAGE AT THE INVERNESS JAIL WITH AT LEAST TWO MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS MOST OF THE DAY.

>> Commissioner McKeel: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ADDING AT INVERNESS, CAN YOU TALK ABOUT THESE RESOURCES THAT YOU HAVE ON HERE, PEOPLEWISE BETWEEN -- HOW DOES THIS WORK OUT WITH MDCD AND INVERNESS, AND WHERE ELSE ARE THEY?

>> THE PERSON -- WE HAD A .8 POSITION AT INVERNESS JAIL, THE GENTLEMAN WORKED MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY. WE NOW HAVE ADDED ANOTHER .8 POSITION, AND THEN ALSO A .6 POSITION, SO THE PERSON WILL WORK SWING SHIFT. SO WE HAVE SEVEN DAYS A WEEK COVERAGE, AND THEN TWO PEOPLE ON FOR FOUR DAYS A WEEK. WE DON'T HAVE SWING

SHIFT COVERAGE AND WE DON'T HAVE NIGHT SHIFT COVERAGE. IT'S ESSENTIALLY WHAT WE DON'T HAVE. BUT WE'VE GONE FROM ONE PERSON AT THE INVERNESS JAIL TO THREE PEOPLE. SO FOR US THAT SEEMS LIKE A HUGE INCREASE. IN SERVICES.

Commissioner McKeel: YOU STILL WON'T HAVE THE COVERAGE, THE WEEKEND OR -- YOU WILL OR YOU WON'T?

>> A DAY SHIFT COVERAGE ON WEEKENDS, YES.

>> Commissioner McKeel: ALL RIGHT.

>> WHICH WE DIDN'T HAVE BEFORE. SO THAT'S A HUGE INCREASE IN SERVICES FOR THAT JAIL.

>> Commissioner McKeel: AND AT MCDC --

>> AT MCDC WE HAVE FOUR MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANTS THAT ARE RUNNING DAY SHIFT WITH A LEAD POSITION IN ADDITION TO THAT. WE HAVE ABOUT TWO TO FOUR DEPENDING ON WHAT DAY OF THE WEEK IT IS, MID SHIFT OR SWING SHIFT, WHICH ALLOWS SIGNIFICANTLY MORE RESOURCES, BECAUSE WE HAD ONE PERSON FOR SWING SHIFT BEFORE. AND THEN WE HAVE SEVEN DAY A WEEK COVERAGE AT NIGHT SHIFT. WE HAVE JUST ONE PERSON, BUT ONE PERSON IS SUFFICIENT FOR THAT. SO THAT'S A HUGE INCREASE IN RESOURCES FOR US. SIGNIFICANT INCREASE. SO FOR THE SUICIDE WATCH, WHAT THIS WILL DO IS IT WILL REDUCE TIME BEFORE NEW SUICIDE WATCHES ARE SEEN BY THE MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT. EACH NEW WATCH WILL BE SEEN ON THE SHIFT IT WAS INITIATED, AND THAT HAS SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR STAFFING FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPUTY. SO CLIENTS WILL BE SEEN ON CONSECUTORY SIEVE SHIFTS. WE USUALLY SAW SOMEBODY ONE DAY A WEEK, WE'LL SEE SOMEBODY USUALLY TWO OR THREE TIMES A DAY NOW, OR ONE TIME OF DAY, AND WE'LL SEE SOMEBODY TWO OR THREE TIMES A DAY NOW. THE -- IT ALSO ALLOWS THE ABILITY TO -- WHO NEED TO STAY ON WATCH BUT WE'RE HELPING THEM IDENTIFY COPING SKILLS AND TRY TO RAD JUST TO THE JAIL SETTING, SO WE CAN TRY TO GET THEM OFF WATCH. SO MOST SUICIDE WATCHES ARE INITIATED FROM BOOKING AND THEIR CLIENTS ARE PLACE ORDER ACTIVE SUICIDE WATCH, AND ACTIVE SUICIDE WATCH MEANS THAT THE CLIENTS HAVE INDICATED THEY MIGHT HURT THEMSELVES, THEY HAVE NO ACTIVE PLANS, BUT THEY DO HAVE RISK FACTORS. SO IN 2004, WE HAD PEOPLE WATCHED CONSTANTLY. THESE ARE FOLKS THAT HAVE SIGNIFICANT RISK FACTORS. THEY MADE SOME KIND OF SELF-HARM ATTEMPT, EITHER IN JAIL OR RIGHT BEFORE THE ARREST, THEY'RE ACTIVELY TELLING US THEY'RE GOING TO HURT THEMSELVES, AND UNLESS SOMEONE WATCHES THEM. AND SO PREVIOUS -- IN OUR OLD STAFFING PATTERNS, DUE TO LOW NUMBERS, THAT CREATED A LOT OF FALSE POSITIVES. WHAT WE CALL FALSE POSITIVES, THESE ARE

CLIENTS WHO ON THE WAY TO JAIL IN THE POLICE CAR SAID, I'M GOING TO KILL MYSELF. SO THE POLICE OFFICER REPORTS THAT TO US, WE NEED TO TAKE THAT SERIOUSLY, OFTEN TIMES IT'S JUST AN UTTERANCE IN FRUSTRATION, OR THEY'RE INTOXICATED, OR THEY'RE JUST REALLY ANGRY AND FRUSTRATED. BUT WE NEED TO TAKE THAT SERIOUSLY. NOW WHAT WE WOULD DO IS WE WOULD INTERVIEW THE PERSON, AND WE WOULD SEE -- WE WOULD TRY TO ASSESS THEIR ACTUAL -- VERSUS THEIR FRUSTRATION OR INTOXICATION. SO WHAT THIS DOES WITH A LOT OF SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS, IT'S A HIGH NUMBER OF CLIENTS THAT GO ON ACTIVE SUICIDE WATCH ARE GOING TO BE OFF WATCH IN THE SHIFT THAT THEY WENT ON. SO AGAIN, THAT HAS HUGE STAFFING RAMIFICATIONS FOR THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE. OUR RESPONSIVENESS TO THE MENTALLY ILL CLIENTS IN THE JAIL WILL BE GREATLY INCREASED, AND AS A CLINICIAN I CAN SAY THAT'S A HUGE PLUS FOR US. FOR ALL OF US. AND WE REALLY THINK WE'LL BE ABLE TO GET TO CLIENTS WHO ARE UNSTABLE AND MAKE -- AND THEY GO ON CONSTANT WATCH BUT WE'RE HOPING TO KEEP THEM OFF SUICIDE WATCH TO START OUT WITH. SO IT ALSO ALLOWS US TO NOT HAVE CLIENTS STAY IN THE JAIL WHO ARE ON RELEASE HOLDS, AND CURRENTLY WHAT WE DO IS THAT IF THERE ISN'T A MENTAL HEALTH PERSON TO SEE THEM, THEY HAVE TO STAY THE NEXT MORNING AND SEE THE JUDGE FOR ARRAIGNMENT. WE WILL BE ABLE TO SEE THEM AND THEY WILL BE ABLE TO BE RECOGED AND LEAVE THE JAIL. AND IT JUST ALLOWS US MORE STAFF WHEN THERE IS A SITUATION IN WHICH WE NEED TO DEESCALATE, WHICH IS A CRISIS. WHICH OFTEN HAPPENS IN THE BOOKING AREA, AND THERE'S A LOT OF RESOURCES THAT NEED TO GO TO THE BOOKING AREA AND DEESCALATE THAT. WITH OUR CURRENT STAFFING THAT DREW A LOT OF RESOURCES AWAY FROM THE REST OF THE JAIL, WE'LL HAVE RESOURCES TO DO -- TO SEE PEOPLE IN THE REST OF THE JAIL AND DEAL WITH THESE CRISES. SO THERE WILL BE SOME LIMITATIONS. SO WE'LL NOT ADDRESS THE SUICIDE WATCH BY CLIENTS WHO FOR WHATEVER REASON WATCH AS THE WAY THEY NEED TO MANIPULATE THE SYSTEM. THESE ARE SYSTEMS, THESE ARE FOLKS THAT GO ON WATCH, TO STAY AT MDCDC, WASS THEY LIKE A SINGLE CELL. IT WON'T -- THEY ALSO -- SOME FOLKS USE WATCH AS A WAY TO GARNER CONTROL OVER THE SYSTEM. AND THEN WE DO HAVE FOLKS WHO JUST FEEL LIKE THEY NEED A LITTLE BIT OF EXTRA SUPPORT AND THEY LIKE TO HAVE SOMEBODY BE WITH THEM. THEY MAY FEEL FEARFUL ABOUT BEING IN JAIL AND THEY LIKE SOMEBODY WATCHING THEM. SO IT ALSO WILL NOT ADDRESS THE ISSUE AROUND SELF-HARM, WHICH IS SELF-HARM, IT LEADS TO MOST OF THE CONSTANT SUICIDE WATCHES. IN JAIL, THE WAY SELF-HARM MANIFESTS ITSELF IS THAT YOU SEE PEOPLE SCRATCHING OR BITING THEMSELVES UNTIL THEY DRAW BLOOD, THEY'LL BANG THEIR HEAD AGAINST THE WALL, THEY'RE CUT ON THEIR WRIST WITH ANY OBJECT THEY CAN GET TO, SOMETIMES I SEE GLASSES THAT THEY'LL CUT, THEY'LL USE. HITTING THE WALL, AND JUMPING OFF THEIR BUNKS. AND RARELY THESE SELF-HARM ACT ARE ANY KIND OF LETHAL, BUT WE HAVE TO RESPOND TO THE SELF-HARM ACT. IN OUR ONLY SYSTEM TO DEAL WITH THAT IS BY PUTTING SOMEBODY ON CONSTANT

SUICIDE WATCH. SO WE HAVE A COMMITTEE WHO REVIEWS ALL CONSTANT SUICIDE WATCHES IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PERSON THAT WENT ON WAS -- WE WERE ABLE TO TREAT THEM THERAPEUTICALLY. IN ADDITION TO LOOK AT ALL SYSTEMS. ISSUES THAT GO WITH THAT, THE COMMITTEE CONSISTS OF THE TWO FACILITY CAPTAINS THROUGH THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, MY MENTAL HEALTH SUPERVISOR, AND MYSELF. AND WE REVIEW THEM MONTHLY. I GIST WANTED TO TELL YOU ABOUT THE JULY AND AUGUST STATISTICS. SO WE HAD 15 PEOPLE WHO WENT ON CONSTANT SUICIDE WATCH, FIVE OF THEM TIED OFF WITH SOME KIND OF OBJECT FOUR OF THEM WAS A SHEET AND ONE WAS A BUILT. SO THESE ARE -- A BELT. THESE ARE PEOPLE WHO TIED SOMETHING AROUND THEIR NECK IN AN ATTEMPT TO STRANGULATE THEMSELVES. FOUR PART TIME HIT THEIR HEADS, ONE WAS UNCONSCIOUS, AND ANOTHER PERSON HIT THEIR HEAD SO SEVERELY THEY DREW BLOOD, AND THOSE TWO INDIVIDUALS ENDED UP GOING TO THE HOSPITAL. WE HAD THREE PEOPLE WHO JUST HAVE PERSISTENT SUICIDE IDEATION AND TALK OFTEN ABOUT DEATH. AND WE NEED TO WATCH THEM VERY CLOSELY IN THE JAIL. WE HAVE A GENTLEMAN WHO BITES HIMSELF SO SEVERELY HE TAKES CHUNKS OUT OF HIS ARM, SO HE'S ON CONSTANT WATCH IN ORDER TO ENSURE THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN. WE HAD A PERSON WHO MADE A SIGNIFICANT SUICIDE ATTEMPT RIGHT BEFORE THEIR ARREST, AND CAME IN WITH STILL FAIRLY UNSTABLE, AND WE NEEDED TO WATCH THAT PERSON. AND WE HAD ONE PERSON WHO HAD A SIGNIFICANT LOSS. A CHILD WHO DIED IN THE HOSPITAL, AND THEN RIGHT BEFORE THE ARREST, AND WAS VERY UNSTABLE. SO THAT -- THOSE ARE JUST GIVE YOU A FEEL OF WHO WE HAVE ON CONSTANT WATCH. SO FOR TRACKING FOR THE SUICIDE WATCH, NOT ALL SUICIDE WATCHES ARE MENTALLY ILL. SUICIDE WATCH DOES HAPPEN AT THREE TIMES THE HIGHER RATE IN JAIL IN THE COMMUNITY SETTING. AND I HAVE COLLEAGUES AROUND THE UNITED STATES WHO WILL SAY THAT'S NINE TIMES HIGHER. SO OFTEN TIMES CLIENTS WHO ARE AT GREATER RISK OF SUICIDE OR FOLKS WHO ARE ACTUALLY MORE ORGANIZED AND CAN CARRY OUT A PLAN. SO CURRENTLY WE'RE TRACKING THESE CATEGORIES OF CONSTANT SUICIDE WATCH AND WE'LL REPORT THEM IN DECEMBER WHEN WE COME BACK TO REPORT ON THE PILOT. SO WE'LL REPORT ON THE NUMBER OF CLIENTS ON A SHIFT THAT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE WOULD HAVE PLACED ON SUICIDE WATCH THAT DID NOT END ON SUICIDE WATCH DO IT ON MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT AND THAT'S THE BOOKING AREA. PEOPLE COMING IN AND BOOKING AND MAKE AN UTTERANCE, OUR OLD PLAN IS TO PUT THEM ON WATCH, WE'LL HAVE A CONSULTANT INTERVIEW THOSE PEOPLE AND A GREAT PORTION WON'T GO ON WATCH. I HAVE A TOTAL NUMBER OF CLIENTS SEEN ON SUICIDE WATCH INCLUDING THE AVERAGE AMOUNT OF TIME THEY'RE ON SUICIDE WATCH, AND THAT'S DATA WE CURRENTLY TRACK. WE'LL TRACK THE NUMBERS OF CLIENTS WITH A CURRENT MENTAL HEALTH DIAGNOSIS OR A HISTORY OF MENTAL ILLNESS. WE'LL ALSO TRACK NUMBER OF CLIENTS ON SUICIDE WATCH WITH NO CURRENT MENTAL HEALTH DIAGNOSIS OR NO HISTORY OF MENTAL ILLNESS, FOR EXAMPLE, THESE ARE CLIENTS FACING SIGNIFICANT

LEGAL CHARGES. PEOPLE WHO ARE INTOXICATED, CLIENTS WHO THE ARREST WAS HUMILIATING. ALSO CLIENTS THAT ARE ON SUICIDE WATCH THAT ARE VETERANS, WE DO TRACK THOSE FOLKS THAT ARE VETERANS VERY CLOSELY. PEOPLE WHO ARE HAVING THEIR FIRST PSYCHOTIC BREAK, THOSE CLIENTS THAT ARE OFTEN NEW, IT'S OFTEN THEIR FIRST ARREST WHICH CORRESPONDS WITH THEIR FIRST PSYCHOTIC BREAK, VERY RISKY TIME FOR THESE PEOPLE THAT ARE KIND OF UNDER 25 YEARS OF AGE. AND THEN CLIENTS WHO ARE RESPONDING TO PAST HISTORIES OF TRAUMA. SO ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT THOSE CATEGORIES IS THAT IF WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MENTALLY ILL PEOPLE IN JAIL, WE WOULD STILL HAVE SUICIDE WATCH. SO I'M OPEN FOR QUESTIONS OR I CAN TURN IT OVER TO DAVID TO TALK ABOUT THE CATC PROJECT.

Commissioner McKeel: I DO HAVE ONE QUESTION. I'M HAPPY YOU'RE TRACKING THE VETERANS IN THE JAIL. WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU IDENTIFY A VETERAN?

>> WE HAVE A GENTLEMAN WHO WORKS FOR VETERANS SERVICES WHO COMES AND SEES VETERANS IN THE JAIL. AND WE WORK WITH HIM VERY CLOSELY. HE'S WONDERFUL. ABSOLUTELY WONDERFUL. AND HE IS -- HE OFTEN KNOWS OF THE PERSON AND HAS A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PERSON AND WE'RE HAPPY TO WORK WITH HIM.

Commissioner Shiprack: CAN YOU REMIND ME WHAT IT COSTS TO HAVE SOMEONE ON A CONSTANT SUICIDE WATCH?

>> I DON'T HAVE THAT FIGURE. I CAN GET THAT FOR YOU.

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: YOU TOLD ME YESTERDAY IT WAS \$1700 A DAY FOR A SHERIFF'S DEPUTY --

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THANK YOU SO MUCH, NANCY, THAT WAS REALLY INFORMATIVE AND I'M EXCITED TO SEE HOW THIS PROGRESSES.

>> ALL RIGHT, THEN WE WILL MOVE TO THE SECOND PILOT PROGRAM OF OUR TRIO, AND THIS IS THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TRIAGE PILOT. JUST A REMINDER, THE CATC IS THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT CENTER, THAT IS A 16-BED MENTAL HEALTH SUBACUTE PROGRAM THAT'S GOAL IS DIVERSION FROM HOSPITALIZATION AND JAIL. I CAN SAY THAT WE HAVE BEEN VERY GOOD AT THAT PROGRAM AT DIVERTING FROM HOSPITALIZATION. A MAJORITY OF ADMISSIONS COME FROM EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS, INDIVIDUALS WHO OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE BEEN HOSPITALIZED, AND ALSO

FROM THE CRISIS SYSTEM AND PROVIDER SYSTEM. BECAUSE INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS WHO ARE -- COME IN CONTACT WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE COMMUNITY, DO ALSO END UP IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AS OPPOSED TO JAIL, WE KNOW THERE ARE SOME INDIVIDUALS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN DIVERTED OR THAT ARE BEING DIVERTED THAT WOULD HAVE GONE TO JAIL CURRENTLY AS THE PROGRAM STAND. THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TRIAGE PILOT IS AN ENHANCEMENT TO THE 16-BED SUBACUTE. WHAT'S DIFFERENT IS THAT THE FIRST FLOOR OF THE FACILITY WAS AN INTAKE SECTION OF THE PROGRAM. SO THE CATC ON THE SECOND FLOOR IS WHERE ALL 16 BEDS ARE LOCATED. THERE'S A MEDICAL TRIAGE ROOM TO INTERVIEW -- TWO INTERVIEW ROOMS, AND AN OPEN CONFERENCE TYPE SPACE ON THE FIRST FLOOR. THAT SPACE WAS BEING USED SIMPLY AS THE INTAKE PROGRAM FOR THE 16 BEDS UPSTAIRS BUT THEY DID NOT HAVE STAFFING OR THE ABILITY TO PROVIDE TRIAGE SPECIFICALLY IN THAT PROGRAM. WHAT THAT MEANS ULTIMATELY IS INDIVIDUALS HAD TO GO TO ANOTHER SITE FOR AN ASSESSMENT UP FRONT, SO PEOPLE HAD TO GO TO AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT, OR TO SEE ONE OF THEIR CLINICIANS, OR CRISIS SERVICES COULD ALSO PROVIDE THAT ASSESSMENT. SO IT WAS ALWAYS AN ASSESSMENT UP FRONT IN THE COMMUNITY, AND THEN ADMISSION TO THE CATC. WHAT THE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH TRIAGE PILOT PROVIDES IS A DEDICATED PROGRAM FOR OUR CORRECTIONS HEALTH COMMUNITY JUSTICE, PROBATION AND PAROLE, AND SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO HAVE INDIVIDUALS EVALUATED IN THE CATC BEFORE THEY WOULD BE ADMITTED, AND ALSO TRIAGED BY THAT PROGRAM IF IN FACT THEY ARE NOT APPROPRIATE FOR ADMISSION TO THE CATC TO BE TRIAGED TO OTHER RESOURCES IN THE COMMUNITY. SO THIS REALLY IS NOW A TRUE JAIL DIVERSION PILOT THAT WE HAVE IN THAT PROGRAM, WHICH IS EXTREMELY EXCITING GIVEN WHAT NANCY JUST DESCRIBED FOR THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS THAT WE HAVE IN JAIL, AND IF WE CAN DIVERT THOSE PEOPLE UP FRONT FROM EVEN GETTING INTO JAIL, WE WILL HAVE A BIG WIN. SO THE PROGRAM IS OPERATED 16 HOURS A DAY, IT'S STAFFED BY REGISTERED NURSE, A PEER, AND ALSO A CLINICIAN. SO THOSE STAFF WORK 16 HOURS A DAY AND WE WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH TELECARE, WITH NANCY, AND GINGER AND THEIR STAFF AS WELL TO IDENTIFY THE HOURS. THE HOURS ARE A LITTLE NONTRADITIONAL. THEY'RE 10:00 P.M. TO 2:00 P.M. SO THEY COVER THAT OVERNIGHT PERIOD, AND THE FEEDBACK WE RECEIVED WAS THAT THAT IS A PERIOD OF TIME THAT MANY INDIVIDUALS ARE EITHER BEING RELEASED FROM JAIL AND DON'T HAVE A SPOT TO GO IN THE COMMUNITY AND EITHER END UP BACK IN THE HANDS OF POLICE, OR END UP BACK IN A CHALLENGED SPOT, AND COME BACK THROUGH THE SYSTEM. SO THE HOURS THAT ARE DEDICATED HOPEFULLY CAN ADDRESS SOME OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE COMING OUT AT TIMES THAT THERE AREN'T OTHER SERVICES AVAILABLE IN THE COMMUNITY. AND WE ESTIMATE THAT APPROXIMATELY 15 TO 30 INDIVIDUALS WILL BE REFERRED WEEKLY BY THOSE THREE REFERRAL SOURCES, THE PROGRAM OPENED LAST WEEK, THEY RECEIVED THREE REFERRALS, OVER THE COURSE OF THAT TIME. THE

FIRST REFERRAL, NANCY, IF YOU WANT TO GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THE FIRST REFERRAL FIT THIS CLIENT PROFILE VERY NICELY. IT WAS AN INDIVIDUAL WHO HAD SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESS, ACTUALLY WAS ALSO HOMELESS, SOMEBODY WHO WAS OFF MEDICATION AND EXPERIENCING SYMPTOMS, WOULD HAVE OTHERWISE GONE TO AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT, OR BEEN REVOKE IN ADDITION JAIL. SO THIS IS EXACTLY WHO WE WANTED TO ENSURE THAT WE COULD ADDRESS. SO THE REFERRAL CAME FROM PROBATION AND PAROLE INDIVIDUALS BROUGHT TO THE TRIAGE PROGRAM, STAFF DID INITIAL ASSESSMENT WITH THE INDIVIDUAL, AND THEN MADE THE DECISION THAT THE INDIVIDUAL DID MEET CRITERIA TO GO UPSTAIRS TO BE IN THE CATC, BE ADMITTED TO THE CATC PROGRAM FOR STABILIZATION AND THE INDIVIDUAL STABILIZED AND THEN WAS REFERRED TO ONGOING RESOURCES IN THE COMMUNITY. SO ONCE AGAIN THE CLIENT PROFILE THAT WE'RE TRYING TO ADDRESS ARE INDIVIDUALS WITH SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESS, WHO OTHERWISE REALLY WOULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT TO EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS OR BOOKED INTO JAIL FOR MINOR INFRACTIONS. SO AT LEAST AT THE START OF THE PROGRAM WE ARE DEFINITELY LOOKING AT THE PEOPLE THAT WE HOPE THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. IN TERMS OF THE CRITERIA, THESE WERE THE CRITERIA THAT WERE SET UP FOR THE PROGRAM. THAT THE CATC TRIAGE IS THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CRISIS SERVICES FOR STABILIZATION, THAT WE HAVE SPACE IN THE PROGRAM TO GO AHEAD AND BRING INDIVIDUALS INTO THE TRIAGE AND THE FACILITY, AND IF THE INDIVIDUAL DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA FOR CATC AND DOES COME TO THE TRIAGE, WE WILL CERTAINLY HELP THOSE INDIVIDUALS BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY WITH A GOOD TRANSITION. SO IN TERMS OF CAPACITY AT ANY ONE TIME, THERE'S APPROXIMATELY FIVE INDIVIDUALS THAT COULD BE IN THE TRIAGE UNIT AT ANY ONE TIME. THERE'S THREE INTERVIEW ROOMS, AND THEN THERE ARE AN AREA WHERE PEOPLE ONCE THEY'VE BEEN INTERVIEWED AND ARE STABILIZING THEY'RE RECEIVING TREATMENT, CAN RELAX AND STILL RECEIVE TREATMENT AND SUPPORT FROM STAFF BEFORE THEY HEAD BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY OR ARE REFERRED BACK OUT TO RESOURCES IN THE COMMUNITY. WE HAVE TWO DEDICATED BEDS IN THE CATC SUBACUTE PROGRAM FOR THIS POPULATION AS WELL. THE SENSE SUS VARIES ON A DAILY BASIS. WHEN WE WERE THERE YESTERDAY I BELIEVE THEY HAD APPROXIMATELY 10, 11 FOLKS IN THAT PROGRAM. THEIR CENSUS HAD BEEN APPROXIMATELY 12 OVER THE PAST YEAR, IT'S GENERALLY UP TO 14 AT THIS POINT, SO WE KNOW THOSE TWO BEDS WILL BE AVAILABLE. IF THERE'S A LOWER CENSUS, THEN CERTAINLY WE CAN ADMIT MORE THAN TWO INDIVIDUALS FROM THE TRIAGE UNIT SPECIFICALLY UP TO THAT PROGRAM AS WELL. SO I THINK THAT THE ENHANCEMENT IS SIGNIFICANT FOR THE CATC PROGRAM DIRECTLY, AND THE STAFF ARE OBVIOUSLY VERY EXCITED TO BE WORKING WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, ALSO CORRECTIONS HEALTH TO REALLY ENSURE THAT WE HAVE A BETTER RESPONSE TO INDIVIDUALS AND CAN DIVERT PEOPLE FROM JAIL AS WELL AS EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS. THERE IS SOME EARLY LEARNING THAT WE TALKED ABOUT WITH THE PROGRAM YESTERDAY IN AND THAT IS OUR NEED TO GO AHEAD

AND ENSURE WHEN WORKING WITH PROBATION AND PAROLE OR STAFF IN THE JAIL, THAT THOSE STAFF TALK WITH THE CONSUMER COMING OVER TO THE PROGRAM TO ENSURE THEY'RE AWARE OF THE TYPE OF PROGRAM THAT THEY'RE GOING TO. GENERALLY IF YOU HAVE THE OPTION OF JAIL OR SUPPORTIVE LOUNGER CHAIR, WHERE YOU CAN GET HELP AND FOOD, YOU WOULD PROBABLY PICK THE LATTER. BUT IT'S IMPORTANT TO ENSURE THE CONSUMERS ARE AWARE OF THE TYPE OF SERVICES THEY GO TO NEXT. THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE THAT DO PREFER TO TRY AND GO TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE SETTING THAN WE MIGHT RECOMMEND. SO THAT IS THE UP FRONT BRIEFING ON THE CATC TRIAGE AND CERTAINLY HAPPEN TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO GINGER.

>> Chair Kafoury: THANKS FOR THE TOUR YESTERDAY. IT HELPS TO BE IN THE ENVIRONMENT TO SEE WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, AND TO EXCEPT THAT THOSE LOUNGE CHAIRS WERE VERY COMFORTABLE.

>> IT'S A GOOD SIGN. WITH NO OTHER QUESTIONS, WE'LL MOVE TO GINGER MARTIN.

>> GOOD MORNING, I'M GINGER MARTIN, DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR COMMUNITY JUSTICE. I AM HERE TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON THE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PILOT. BUT FIRST I WANTED TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTINUED SUPPORT TO DEVELOP OPTIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH MENTAL ILLNESS WHO ARE HAVING CONTACT IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. I THINK HISTORICALLY THAT'S BEEN A CHALLENGE FOR US IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM TO REALLY RESPOND TO PEOPLE THE WHOLE PERSON AND OTHER NEEDS THEY MIGHT HAVE. SO FOR THE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, THIS IS ENVISIONED FOR A RESOURCE FOR PEOPLE ON SUPERVISION TO OUR AGENCY AND WHO HAVE MENTAL ILLNESS AND ARE EXPERIENCING CONTACT -- CONTINUING LAW ENFORCEMENT CONTACT AND POSSIBLY JAIL USE. BECAUSE OF THEIR INSTABILITY IN THE COMMUNITY. SO THE HYPOTHESIS IS IF WE COULD HELP PEOPLE BECOME MORE STABLE ON THE COMMUNITY THROUGH HOUSING WRAPPED WITH THE SERVICES THAT THEY NEED, THAT WE WOULD IN TURN REDUCE THE USE OF JAIL FOR STABILIZATION, AND HOSPITAL TOO, BUT IN MY SYSTEM WE'RE PARTICULARLY CONCERNED WITH USE OF JAIL FOR FOLKS HAVING PROBLEMS WITH INSTABILITY. SO WE SOLICITED INTEREST FROM EVERY SINGLE PROVIDER OF SUPPORTIVE HOUSING IN OUR COMMUNITY. AND WE HAVEH HAD ONE RESPONSE, SO WE ARE DEVELOPING INITIALLY SOME SUPPORTIVE HOUSING BEDS WITH CASCADIA, AND THEY OFFER IN THEIR ROYAL PALM SETTING THESE ARE SINGLE OCCUPANCY ROOMS WITH 24-HOUR STAFFING. IT'S LOW BARRIER KIND OF HOUSING WHICH MEANS THAT THEY ARE PREPARED TO DEAL WITH ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE SHOULD THAT OCCUR, THEY'RE PREPARED TO DEAL WITH RULE BREAKING BEHAVIOR, SO THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE DISCHARGING PEOPLE IMMEDIATELY WHEN THEY HAVE PROBLEMS. WHICH IS EXCELLENT, BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT FOLKS THAT WILL BE NEEDING THIS RESOURCE ARE GOING TO HAVE UP AND DOWNS

IN THEIR ABILITY TO MANAGE. THE SERVICE INCLUDES MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT, CASE MANAGEMENT, AND CASE COORDINATION, PHYSICAL HEALTH CARE, AS WELL, MEDICATION MONITORING, SO THEIR RESOURCES DIRECTLY ON SITE AND THEN THEY ALSO DO COORDINATION WITH OTHER SERVICES THAT PEOPLE MIGHT NEED IN THE COMMUNITY. THAT'S IN THE SHORT-TERM. AND THEN AS PEOPLE STAY IN THE CENTER LOOKING TO TRANSITION, THEY'RE CONNECTING FOLKS TO WHATEVER BENEFIT PROGRAMS THEY MIGHT BE ELIGIBLE FOR, AND ALSO PLANNING FOR PERMANENT HOUSING. THIS IS A TRANSITIONAL HOUSING RESOURCE, SO IT'S NOT A FOREVER KIND OF THING, IT'S MEANT TO BE STABILIZED, GOT PEOPLE THE SERVICES THAT THEY NEED THAT THEY CAN CONTINUE WITH, AND THEN TO MOVE THEM INTO PERMANENT HOUSING. WE HAVE FIVE BEDS COMING AVAILABLE AS PEOPLE MOVE OUT OF THE ROYAL PALM. AND THEN WE HAVE AN ADDITIONAL TWO BEDS THAT WOULD BECOME AVAILABLE IN DECEMBER AT HARRIET COURT, AND THESE TWO BEDS WILL BE PARTNERED WITH THE FORENSIC -- THE FACT TEAM, THE FORENSIC ASSERTIVE COMMUNITY TREATMENT TEAM, SO THE FACT TEAM WILL BE PROVIDING THE SUPPORTIVE SERVICES AND THE HARRIET COURT WILL BE THE HOUSING OPTION. SO IT'S A DIFFERENT SORT OF SERVICE MIX, BUT IT WILL ACCOMPLISH THE SAME THING, HOUSING WRAPPED WITH PRETTY INTENSIVE SERVICES. WE'RE CONTINUING CONVERSATIONS WITH OTHER PROVIDERS TO SEE IF THERE'S A POSSIBILITY THAT WE COULD DEVELOP SOME ADDITIONAL SUPPORTIVE HOUSING RESOURCES. I THINK WE'RE CHALLENGED BY THE FACT THERE ARE ACTUALLY NO MORE PLACES, SO IT'S -- OUR PROVIDERS HAVE TO LOOK AT THE MIX AND DOES THIS SERVICE SIT WITH THEM THE MIX THEY HAVE, CAN THEY ACCOMMODATE OUR PARTICULAR NEEDS TO HAVE FOLKS WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE PROBATION PAROLE OFFICERS AND OUR MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER UNIT. SO WE'RE HOPING -- WE'RE NOT DONE TRYING TO --

>> Chair Kafoury: AND THERE'S AN END SUM GAME AND IF WE USE THESE BEDS FOR THIS PURPOSE AND OTHER NEEDY INDIVIDUALS IN OUR COMMUNITY, SO I THINK THIS HAS SHOWN US THE GREATER NEED FOR HOUSING IN OUR COMMUNITY.

>> YES.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: THE OTHER COMMENT GINGER IS BECAUSE YOU GUYS DO SUCH A GREAT JOB OF TRACKING AND KEEPING MEASUREMENTS AND TRACKING THE RECIDIVISM IN THE SENSE OF THE OFFENDER WHO'S ALSO SUFFERING FROM MENTAL ILLNESS WHO RETURNS TO THE EMERGENCY ROOM OR WHO IS RETURNED TO THE BOOKING FACILITY AND THEN TO OUR CATC PROGRAM AT 2:00 A.M. OR WHATEVER, THE -- I WOULD EXPECT THAT WITH HOUSING WITH SERVICE ENHANCED HOUSING THE FREQUENCY OF THAT TURNING WOULD STRETCH OUT, SO THAT WE STABILIZE PEOPLE AND WE REALIZE NOT JUST IMPROVEMENT IN THEIR WELL-

BEING AND BEHAVIOR, BUT ALSO SOME COST SAVINGS IN OUR SYSTEMS THAT RIGHT NOW ARE JUST PURSUING THEM.

>> THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT WE HOPE TO SEE, AND WE'LL BE LOOKING -- WE'LL BE TRACK THOSE PEOPLE CLOSELY. THEY'RE ALL MANAGED IN OUR MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER UNIT, SO THE SUPERVISING OFFICERS ARE VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT WORKING WITH PEOPLE, WHAT THEIR RESOURCES ARE, AND I THINK ANOTHER IMPORTANT POINT, YES, WE WANT PEOPLE WHO ARE UNSTABLE NOW TO BECOME MORE STABLE, SO THEY CAN MANAGE THEIR LIVES BETTER, AND NOT COME IN CONTACT WITH OTHER EXPENSIVE RESOURCES LIKE HOSPITALS AND JAILS, BUT I THINK IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT AS WE -- AS PEOPLE ARE PLANNING FOR THEIR FUTURE AND GETTING CONNECTED WITH THE RESOURCES THEY NEED, WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE HOPEFULLY, INVOLVED IN PEOPLE'S LIVES FOREVER. AND SO WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE RECEIVING SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITY THAT CAN CONTINUE WHEN THEIR SUPERVISION END. SO I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PIECE AS WE LOOK AT THE ROLE OF THE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, IT HAS TO HAVE THAT WHAT NEXT PIECE TO IT FOR US TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN THE LONG-TERM.

>> Commissioner McKeel: I'M KIND OF GOING BACK TO THIS ONE RESPONSE THAT YOU HAD. HOW MANY AGENCIES ARE OUT THERE THAT POTENTIALLY COULD RESPOND TO THE --

>> CHAIR KAFOURY, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, I DON'T RECALL OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD HOW MANY WE SENT NOTICES TO. IT SEEMED THERE WERE AROUND 10.

>> Commissioner McKeel: AND DO THEY JUST NOT HAVE THE CAPACITY, IS THAT WHAT THE ISSUE IS?

>> I BELIEVE THAT IS AT LEAST PART OF THE PROBLEM. IT MAY BE -- MAYBE WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE FEE FOR -- PER DAY COSTS THAT WE -- WE WERE HOPING TO BY BEDS AT \$50 A DAY, DON'T KNOW THAT THAT'S A REASONABLE PRICE. SO THE OTHER THING TO DO IS AS WE GO BACK AND TALK TO PEOPLE, TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT THEIR TRUE COSTS ARE. AND SEE IF WE CAN MAKE IT A MORE ATTRACTIVE OPTION.

>> Commissioner McKeel: POTENTIALLY COULD BE MORE AVAILABILITY. I'M JUST TRYING TO BE REALISTIC AT THE LOOK.

>> Chair Kafoury: IT IS IMPORTANT TO KNOW EVEN IF WE CAN PAY MORE MONEY, WE CAN GET OUR PEOPLE IN, BUT IT'S NOT LIKE THERE'S ALL THESE EMPTY APARTMENT BUILDINGS. WE'RE GOING TO DISPLACE PEOPLE POTENTIALLY WHO ARE ALREADY THERE. BY PUTTING -- SO EVERYBODY HAS

A CAPACITY LIMIT. AND THAT'S WHAT I'VE HEARD BACK FROM THE PARTNERS THAT WE HAVE.

>> Commissioner McKeel: FOR ME THIS GOES BACK TO OUR SYSTEM OF CARE ISSUE AND HOW DO WE GET ON THE FRONT SIDE OF THIS. SO WE DON'T NEED ALL THIS CAPACITY. SO IN OUR SYSTEM OF CARE, I KNOW WE HAVE A LOT OF GOOD PROGRAMS IN PLACE, BUT FOR ME I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING WE START HERE AND WHERE DO WE GO? AND THAT WE END HERE, OR IN A BETTER OUTCOME HOPEFULLY. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING HOW THIS LOOKS IN OUR SYSTEM OF CARE. SO THANK YOU.

>> ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH AGAIN, AND AS WE'VE STATED, WE JUST WANT TO SHARE OUR APPRECIATION FOR THE INVESTMENT THAT THE BOARD HAS MADE IN THIS VERY IMPORTANT POPULATION. ONE OF THE OTHER BIG PIECES OF WORK FOR US, YOU CAN SEE WE WORK TOGETHER VERY WELL. BUT IT REALLY IS TO PERFECT THE HANDOFF FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS BETWEEN OUR SYSTEMS. SO THAT IS PART OF THE WEEK THAT WE WILL CONTINUE DOING WITH OUR STAFF, IS TO ENSURE THAT THERE IS A VERY NICE WARM HANDOFF TO INDIVIDUALS, AS WE HE THEM -- HELP THEM MOVE TOWARD RECOVERY.

>> Chair Kafoury: I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT AS I WASN'T AROUND DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS LAST YEAR WHEN THE BOARD AND THEN CHAIR MADRIGAL HAD TO -- THE FORESIGHT TO PUT IN THIS NOT BUDGET, I WANTED TO THANK MY FELLOW COMMISSIONERS AND CHAIR MADRIGAL.

>> I REALIZED THAT WAS A CONCLUSION, BUT P.S -- THE TOUR WAS FASCINATING, AND JUST TWO COMMENTS. ONE IS, THERE WAS AN EXAMPLE WHERE WE DID A LITTLE BIT OF A STUMBLE WITH THE WARM HANDOFF IN TERMS OF ROLES. AND IT'S INTERESTING THAT WHEN YOU HAVE A VOLUNTARY PROGRAM, WHICH APPEARS MORE VOLUNTARY THAN IT ACTUALLY IS, SINCE THERE'S LIKELY A POLICE OFFICER OR A PROBATION OFFICER AT ANY RATE WAITING ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DOOR, THAT THAT MESSAGE BE DELIVERED BY THE RIGHT PARTNER IN THAT -- IN THE HANDOFF, AND IT'S JUST ENCOURAGING THAT WE'RE LEARNING LESSONS. SO WE'VE GOT SOMETHING NEW, AND WE'RE LEARNING FROM IT RIGHT AWAY. AND MY OTHER COMMENT HAS TO DO WITH HOUSING. AND THAT IS THAT IF WE ARE GOING TO -- IT'S WHERE I THOUGHT YOU WERE GOING, MADAM CHAIR, WE ALSO ASKED, WE ALSO HAVE A REPORT, AN AUDIT REPORT COMING FROM OUR COUNTY AUDITOR THAT IS GOING TO LOOK AT THE HOUSING SYSTEM OF CARE AND HOW MANY OF OUR DEPARTMENTS ARE CONTRIBUTING AND IN WHAT WAY AND WHAT IS THIS GREAT BIG KIND OF DISORGANIZED, VERY WELL INTENTIONED, AND I THINK PROPER EMPHASIS ON HOUSING RESOURCES. THE OTHER PARTNERS ARE THE NONPROFIT, NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS WHO WE SEND SOLICITATIONS TO, IF WE SEND SOLICITATIONS TO 10 OF THEM AND WE ONLY GET ONE RESPONSE BACK,

THAT TELLS US SOMETHING ABOUT US AS A PARTNER AND I THINK PART OF THAT IS THAT WE NEED TO FRAME OUR COMMITMENT TO THE ONGOING NATURE OF HOUSING IN A WAY THAT OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS CAN RELY ON. BECAUSE HOUSING IS VERY EXPENSIVE, IT'S A REALLY EXPENSIVE CAPITAL OUTLAY FOR NOT FOR PROFIT, AND IF WE'RE GOING TO PILOT THIS YEAR AND THEN DROP IT NEXT YEAR, THAT'S NOT GOING TO -- THAT'S NOT GOING TO GAIN US ANY BIDDERS ON OUR CONTRACTS.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND WE ARE ADJOURNED.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 11:32 a.m.

This transcript was prepared by LNS Captioning and edited by the Board Clerk's office. For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:
http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County