



**MULTNOMAH COUNTY  
AGENDA PLACEMENT REQUEST  
NOTICE OF INTENT**

(Revised: 9-24-15)

APPROVED: MULTNOMAH COUNTY  
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  
AGENDA # C-4 DATE 6/16/16  
MARINA BAKER, ASST BOARD CLERK

**Board Clerk Use Only**

Meeting Date: 6/16/16  
Agenda Item #: C.4  
Est. Start Time: 9:30 am  
Date Submitted: 5/31/16

**Agenda Title: NOTICE OF INTENT to submit application for 2016-2019 Victims of Crime Act Competitive Project Grant**

*Note: This APR is for NOI's only. APRs are available for other types of submittals. Title should not be more than 2 lines but be sufficient to describe the action requested.*

**Requested Meeting Date:** June 16, 2016 **Time Needed:** N/A  
**Department:** Community Justice **Division:** Director's Office  
**Contact(s):** Tina Edge/Karen Rhein  
**Phone:** 83083/85819 **Ext.:** \_\_\_\_\_ **I/O Address:** B503/250/DCJ  
**Presenter Name(s) & Title(s):** Consent Calendar

A Notice of Intent is required to obtain approval from the Board of County Commissioners to ensure a competitive grant proposal is in alignment with the County's mission; to receive an indication from the Board of its willingness to commit the necessary County resources to support the grant. A Budget Modification is required to appropriate funds received from a successful grant proposal.

**Notice of Intent Specific Information**

**Department recommendation for consent agenda placement (*must meet all criteria*):**

- Proposal is under \$500,000/ year.
- Proposal does not require cash match as part of the budget.
- Proposal does not commit County to on-going programming following award.
- Proposal adheres to the County's indirect guidelines.
- Proposal is within the Department's strategic direction.
- Proposal does not have policy and/or legal implications that warrant a public dialog.

*To the best of my knowledge, this proposal adheres to all of the above criteria and may be placed on the Board of County Commissioner's Consent Agenda. I understand the proposal can be moved to the regular Board Agenda for any reason by Commissioners or their staff.*

To the best of my knowledge, this proposal does not meet criteria for placement on the Consent Agenda and should be placed on the Regular Agenda.

**Please complete for any NOI:**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Granting Agency</b>  | Oregon Department of Justice  |
| <b>Proposal due date</b>  | June 20,2016  |
| <b>Grant period</b>   | October 1,2016-September 30,2019  |
| <b>Approximate level of funding by year</b>                                     | \$100,000.00 for 3 years  |
| <b>Program Offer(s) potentially impacted</b>                                    | #50003-16 Victims Services Unit   |
| <b>How do you expect to spend the majority of funds? (check all that apply)</b> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personnel<br><input type="checkbox"/> Sub-contracts<br><input type="checkbox"/> Capital (including equipment) |
| <b>Does grant require match? If so, describe type (cash, FTE, etc) and %</b>    | Yes, 20% in kind match  |

**1. Brief overview of grant's purpose and/or impact.**

The primary purpose of the VOCA victim assistance grant program is to extend and enhance services to victims of crime. Victims suffer economic costs as a result of crime. Under the Oregon Constitution, a crime victim has "[t]he right to receive prompt restitution from the convicted criminal who caused the victim's loss or injury." Or Const, Art I, § 42(1)(d).

Money used from the VOCA grant would go towards a collection agent who will conduct an in-depth financial analysis assessment to determine an offender's ability to pay. They will also have the ability to search for assets and negotiate terms of repayment based on evidence rather than what an offender reports they can pay, as well as work with offenders on a budget to better assist them with their court ordered financial obligations.

Restitution is one of the ways that offenders can be held directly accountable to their victim for the losses caused by their criminal behavior. Restitution is a crucial part of making the victim whole for the economic losses suffered and the victim sees the criminal justice system as being responsive.

**2. Brief overview of how proposal is aligned with Department's strategic direction.**

The program we are proposing to increase restitution collection directly aligns with DCJ's strategic plan of commitment to victims and the community. We will respect and address victim's rights and needs to restore the community by making all reasonable efforts to collect victim restitution and court costs.

**3. Describe any community and/or government input considered in planning for this grant.**

HB 3066 was passed by the Oregon Legislature in 2011 which authorized the Department of Justice to implement an innovative pilot project aimed at increasing the number of restitution orders submitted and approved by the courts and the rate of restitution collected. The pilot included the hiring of DOJ collections agents. The funding for the pilot was not continued past 2013, but had resulted in a significant increase in restitution collected.

**4. What partners may be included in program activities?**

The collection agents will work with offenders, Parole and Probation, the District Attorney's Office and the courts to ensure that restitution orders are enforced and monetary obligations are collected.

**5. Generally, what are the grant's reporting requirements?**

Financial, Common outcome Measures and Goals and Objectives reporting required quarterly  
Annual Narrative reporting of E-grant progress and OVCPMT Outcomes  
OVC PMT Quarterly Statistical reporting

**Please complete for NOIs on the Regular Board Agenda ONLY:**

6. When the grant expires, will your Department continue to fund the program? If so, how?
7. Are 100% of the central and departmental indirect costs recovered? If not, please explain.
8. If the proposal is not aligned with your Department's strategic direction, explain why you are pursuing it at this time.
9. If the grant requires a cash match, how will you meet that requirement?
10. Are there policy issues and/or legal implications related to this proposal that may warrant a public dialog? If so, please explain.

---

**Required Signatures**

---

**Elected Official  
or Department/  
Agency Director:** Scott Taylor /s/ **Date:** 5/31/16

**Budget Analyst:** Chris Yager /s/ **Date:** 5/31/16

*Note: Please submit electronically. We are no longer using actual signatures. Insert names of your approvers followed by /s/. Please insert date approved*