

**Minutes of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon
Thursday, December 8, 2016**

REGULAR MEETING

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m. with Vice-Chair Loretta Smith and Commissioners Jules Bailey, Judy Shiprack and Diane McKeel present.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING, WELCOME TO THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS. THANK YOU ALL FOR BRAVING THE SNOWPOCALYPSE TO COME IN AND BE WITH US.

CONSENT AGENDA

- C.1 Budget Modification DCM 10-17 Reclassification from Deputy Director to Budget Director.
- C.2 Resolution Authorizing the Repurchase of Tax Foreclosed Property by the Former Owners, Brian & Margaret Vann.
- C.3 Resolution Authorizing the Annual Designation of a Portion of Compensation as a Housing Allowance for Chaplains Serving Inmates and Employees of the Multnomah County Sheriff's Office.

Chair Kafoury: MAY I HAVE A MOTION ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR? COMMISSIONER SMITH MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR, ALL IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS APPROVED.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Opportunity for Public Comment on non-agenda matters. Testimony limited to three minutes per person. This is a time for the Board to hear public testimony, not for Board deliberation.

Mr. Lightning: I AM LIGHTNING. I REPRESENT LIGHTNING WATCHDOG PDX. JUST AN ISSUE I AM GOING TO COVER REAL FAST ON THE SUPER FUND CLEANUP. AS YOU KNOW THE CITY OF PORTLAND IS BASICALLY HAVING JOHN DE LORENZO, THE GREAT ATTORNEY GO AFTER THEM ON THE ISSUES ON THEIR UTILITY SPENDING. THEY HAVE SPENT A LOT OF MONEY THAT COMPLETELY WAS AGAINST THE LAW, PLAIN AND SIMPLY, AND THEY ARE

GOING TO CHALLENGE THEIR OWN CHARTER, AGAIN, THEY ARE GOING TO LOSE TO HIM, AND THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY BACK INTO THE GENERAL FUN, I AM ESTIMATING AROUND 50 MILLION, WHEN IT'S ALL SAID AND DONE, POSSIBLY MORE. AGAIN THERE IS GOING TO BE ANOTHER SITUATION WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO APPEAR IN COURT TO DECIDE WHO IS GOING TO PAY FOR THE SUPER FUND CLEANUP. AGAIN, MY POSITION HAS BEEN GOVERNOR BROWN, YOU NEED TO PAY \$10 BILLION, STEP UP, AND PAY ON THE SUBMERSIBLE LAND, CONTAMINATED LAND, AGAIN, MY POSITION IS WHO OWNS THAT? WHO OWNS THAT SUBMERSIBLE LAND? WHO OWNS IT, NEEDS TO CLEAN IT UP FIRST, AND THEN WORRY ABOUT HOW TO GET OTHER PEOPLE TO PAY FOR THE CONTAMINATION. NOW AGAIN, IT APPEARS AS THOUGH THE CITY OF PORTLAND IS IN LINE TO BE PUT A LARGE BILL ON TOP OF THEM. AGAIN, THE 760 MILLION EPA IS THINKING THAT'S A GOOD STARTING POINT.

Mr. Lightning: I DISAGREE WITH THE EPA. I WANT THE FULL 10 BILLION BILL TO BE PAID. I WANT THE CITY OF PORTLAND TO STEP IN, I WANT GOVERNOR BROWN TO STEP IN. AND WHERE DOES MULTNOMAH COUNTY STAND ON THIS? ONE OF THE CONCERNS THAT I HAVE, IS THAT IF SOMEBODY IS OUT THERE AND THEY ARE CONTAMINATING THE RIVER, THEY ARE DROPPING THINGS IN THE RIVER, WHO IS SUPPOSED TO STOP THAT FROM HAPPENING? WHO IS THE ENFORCEMENT WHO IS SUPPOSED TO STOP THAT FROM HAPPENING? IS IT MULTNOMAH COUNTY RIVER PATROL? IS IT THE COAST GUARD? AGAIN I AM GOING TO POINT THE FINGER AT THEM FOR NOT DOING THEIR JOB. SO ARE THEY IN THE CHAIN OF COMMAND FOR LIABILITY ON THE SITUATION? WARE TALKING 10 BILLION ON THE WILLAMETTE. I AM BEGINNING TO LOOK AT THE COLUMBIA NOW. THERE IS A LOT OF MARINAS UP ON THE COLUMBIA. I WANT TO HAVE THAT TESTED. THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT GO OUT THERE FOR RECREATIONAL PURPOSES. I THINK THAT IT'S A PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE. I AM IN DISCUSSIONS WITH THE EPA TO BEGIN THE TESTING ON THE COLUMBIA RIVER,

AGAIN, WHERE DOES MULTNOMAH COUNTY FIT IN ON PAYING THIS FINAL BILL OF \$10 BILLION ON THE WILLAMETTE TO BEGIN WITH, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO JUMP OVER TO THE COLUMBIA, WE'RE GOING TO TEST THAT, AND WHERE WILL YOU BE ON THAT FINAL BILL? AGAIN, IF YOU ARE PART OF THE ENFORCEMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS DOES NOT HAPPEN, I CONSIDER YOU LIABLE FOR THE BILL. WE'RE GOING TO SEE WHERE THAT'S GOING TO END UP. WE'RE IN FRONT OF THE COURT RIGHT NOW, AND WE'RE GOING TO SEE HOW MUCH MULTNOMAH COUNTY WILL HAVE TO PAY FOR THE SUPER FUND CLEANUP, ALSO. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

Mr. Swaren: GOOD MORNING. RON SWAREN. I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING THE FUTURE STUDY, WASHINGTON COUNTY. I WAS AGAINST THE COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT WE COULD HAVE BETTER ALTERNATIVES TO IT, AND THE ALTERNATIVE THAT I FAVORED AND I THINK

THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THE AREA DO, IS SOME SORT OF ROUTE ON THE WEST SIDE OF PORTLAND BECAUSE WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS BECOME A MAJOR EMPLOYMENT CENTER, AND ATTRACTING PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THE AREA, INCLUDING CLARK COUNTY, SO THERE IS A LOT OF COMMUTING BETWEEN CLARK COUNTY AND WASHINGTON COUNTY. ALSO THE GREAT IN THE AREA IS GETTING VERY SLOW, SO I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING THIS WASHINGTON COUNTY TRANSPORTATION FUTURE STUDY, AND ONE PROPOSAL THAT THEY ARE SUGGESTING IS WHAT THEY CALL A NORTHERN CONNECTOR ROUTE BETWEEN U.S. 26 AND U.S. 30. AND NOW AT ONE OF THE MEETINGS, THE PORT OF PORTLAND OFFICIAL HAD TESTIFIED THAT THE PORT OF PORTLAND NEEDED MORE ROUTES FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THAT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ECONOMY WOULD BENEFIT IF THERE WERE MORE ACCESS TO ITS PORTS OF PORTLAND OTHER THAN JUST I-5 AND 205.

Mr. Swaren: SO WASHINGTON COUNTY IS SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT WHAT THEY CALL THE NORTHERN CONNECTOR, WHICH WOULD EXPAND CORNELIUS PASS ROAD, BUT THEN ONE OF THE ISSUE WAS NOT TAKING FARMLAND FOR A NEW ROUTE, SO I AM SUGGESTING THAT THEY SHOULD FOLLOW NORTHWEST KAISER ROAD THEN ON THE WAY TO HIGHWAY 30, BUT THAT GOES INTO MULTNOMAH COUNTY LAND. SO I GUESS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK IS WHAT DEPARTMENT WOULD I MAKE ANY SUGGESTIONS TO FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY LOOKING AT THIS AS A FUTURE PROJECT OR ROAD PROJECT, MAYBE IT'S IN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN. I MEAN, COULD BE INCLUDED IN THAT. THIS WOULD BE REALLY VITAL TO THE AREA, BOTH TO THE ECONOMY AND ALSO TO THE TRAFFIC SITUATION ON I-5 AND U.S. 26. I REALIZE IT'S KIND OF EARLY IN THE STAGE, BUT WHAT I AM THINKING --

Chair Kafoury: MY STAFF, SHE CAN DIRECT YOU TO SOMEONE IN THE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT.

REGULAR AGENDA

R.1 Board Briefing on the Aftermath Report for the Reynolds High School Active Shooter Response. Presenters: Chris Voss, Director, & Alice Busch, Chief of Operations, MCEM; Andrea Watson, Communication Director, Reynolds School District and Jason Gates, Enforcement Division Chief Deputy, Multnomah County Sheriff's Office.

Mr. Voss: SO I FIRST WANTED TO SAY THERE'S BEEN A LONG TIME, A LOT OF WORK DONE IN THIS REPORT, THE REPORT IS PUBLIC. IT IS CURRENTLY ON OUR WEBSITE SO ANYBODY CAN ACCESS THE REPORT. WE THINK THAT THAT'S IMPORTANT TO THE PROCESS. I AM GOING TO GO THROUGH SOME SLIDES THAT HIGHLIGHT ACTIVITIES, BOTH THE STRENGTHS AND AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT WE IDENTIFIED BUT THE FIRST SLIDE, SORT OF, I THINK, IS

CRITICAL. IT KIND OF REMINDS US AT THE END OF THE DAY, ALL EMERGENCIES ARE LOCAL AND CERTAINLY THIS ONE WAS CRITICAL FOR US. WHEN WE WALK THROUGH AFTER ACTIONS WE THINK IT'S AS MUCH ABOUT PROCESS THAN ANYTHING ELSE, AND WE DO HAVE PLANNING, TRAINING, EXERCISES, AND THIS MOST CRITICAL PIECE, I THOUGHT, WAS INTERESTING, IN THAT THIS WAS ONE OF THE FIRST TIMES EVER THAT THE COUNTY HAD ENGAGED IN AN ACTUARIAL REPORT THAT COVERED SO MANY DEPARTMENTS AND ORGANIZATIONS AND EXTERNAL ORGANIZATIONS.

TYPICALLY WE HAD SEEN THAT PROCESS DONE BEFORE EACH ORGANIZATION WOULD DO THEIR OWN REPORT BUT IT LEAVES US WITH THE QUESTION, NOT JUST IF EVERYBODY IS CAPTURING WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO DO, THAT DOES NOT ALWAYS EQUAL EVERYTHING THAT NEEDS TO GET DONE. AND I THINK THAT THAT'S ONE OF THE REASONS WHY WE DECIDED TO TAKE ON A REPORT OF THIS NATURE. THE CONTRIBUTORS ARE IMPORTANT, NOT ONLY DID WE BRING IN FEMA TO SORT OF HELP US WITH THIS REPORT, AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE EFFORTS BY THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, AS WELL AS THE REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT. THERE WERE A LOT OF OTHER PARTIES INVOLVED HERE FROM OTHER CITIES, OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, EVEN NONPROFITS SUCH AS THE RED CROSS, AND IF I AM MOVING FAST IT'S BECAUSE THEY ARE SQUEEZING THE SCHEDULE A BIT TODAY SO I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT.

Chair Kafoury: I THINK IT'S BECAUSE YOU CALLED THE SNOW STORM.

Mr. Voss: THIS WAS NOT PLANNED. IT WAS NOT PART OF THE PLAN. SO INCIDENT TIMELINE, I WILL NOT READ THROUGH THESE SLIDES, THIS WAS A QUICK EVENT. THE ONE THING THAT I WILL ACKNOWLEDGE IS -- BECAUSE IT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED. STRENGTH IS THE FACT THAT THERE WERE RESOURCE OFFICERS ON-SITE. IT VERY MUCH DIMINISHED THE AMOUNT OF TIME BEFORE THERE WERE PEOPLE THAT WERE POTENTIALLY DIRECTED THROUGH INTERVIEWS, WHILE IT COULDN'T BE CONCLUSIVE, THE THOUGHT WAS THE RESOURCE OFFICERS WHEN THEY ENTERED THE GYM, THAT THE SHOOTER, THEY LIKELY INTERRUPTED HIM AND HE FLED TO AN AREA WHERE HE WAS MORE ISOLATED. I THINK THAT'S CRITICAL. YOU CAN SEE THIS TIME LINE, IT WAS NOT UNTIL A FEW MINUTES LATER BEFORE A LARGER NUMBER OF OFFICERS WERE ABLE TO ENTER THE SCHOOL. SO ONCE AGAIN I WILL NOT READ THROUGH THESE. I WILL TRY TO HIT ONE POINT IN EACH. I THINK ONE OF THE CRITICAL ONES IS THE STRENGTH, IS THAT AT THE END OF THE DAY, THERE WERE HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE INVOLVED WITH THIS RESPONSE, YES, IT WAS QUICK. WE HAD 200 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS SHOW UP THERE PRETTY QUICKLY. THERE WERE MORE BEHAVIORAL AND HEALTH FOLKS INVOLVED IN REUNIFICATION AND THE BEHAVIORAL ACTIVITIES THAT WENT ON FOR WEEKS AFTERWARDS, AND I THINK THAT IS IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER.

OUR HATS ARE OFF TO THE LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT SHOW UP THERE QUICKLY BUT THIS IS REALLY, REALLY A TEAM EFFORT. ONE THING I WOULD LIKE TO SORT OF IDENTIFY HERE AS A REAL STRENGTH, AS WELL, AS I LOOK AT THIS THE COMMUNITY AND COORDINATION AMONGST THE ORGANIZATIONS, IT WAS GREAT TO SEE EVERYBODY COME TOGETHER, AND I THINK THAT THERE WAS A FLEXIBILITY THERE AS THEY MADE DECISIONS. YOU WILL ALSO SORT OF HIGHLIGHT THAT AS A BIT OF AN AREA TO SORT OF WORK ON. IT WAS GREAT THAT EVERYBODY CAME TOGETHER BUT WE DON'T ALWAYS DO THAT, AND SO THEREFORE, THERE WAS A BIT OF STEPPING ON EACH OTHER'S TOES. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT. THE REPORT GOES INTO A LOT MORE DEPTH INTO EACH OF THESE. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE SORT OF JUST A FEW THINGS BECAUSE SO MANY OF THESE THINGS HAVE ALSO BEEN SORT OF ADDRESSED. WITHIN THOSE ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AT ONE POINT WE HAD A REUNIFICATION SITE, AN ACTIVITY THAT WE DID NOT NECESSARILY PERFORM, AND THERE WERE QUESTIONS OVER WHO WAS IN CHARGE. AND THE REALITY WAS THAT THERE WERE A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT WERE IN CHARGE OF DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES, BUT IN ABSENCE OF SORT OF COMING TO GO IN A WAY THAT WE NORMALLY HAD THERE WERE A LOT OF PEOPLE THINKING THAT I AM RUNNING THIS THING.

Ms. Voss: I GUESS THAT'S BETTER THAN NO ONE WILLING TO STEP UP TO THE PLATE, BUT IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE WORKED A LOT ON IN THE LAST TWO PLUS YEARS TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS BETTER COORDINATION THERE. ANOTHER THING THAT I WANTED TO SORT OF HIGHLIGHT AS AN AREA OF IMPROVEMENT, WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT'S TELLING FOR ALL OF GOVERNMENT. SHORTLY AFTER THIS EVENT THERE WERE PEOPLE ON SOCIAL MEDIA. THERE WAS A HASHTAG CREATED WHERE PEOPLE WERE SHARING INFORMATION ABOUT THIS INCIDENT. BUT IN ABSENCE OF GOVERNMENT SORT OF PARTICIPATING, IT SUDDENLY BECAME, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE THAT KNEW SOME INFORMATION, PARENTS, STUDENTS, ALL INVOLVED SENDING OFF MESSAGES WITHOUT THERE QUITE BEING A CHECK OF WHAT IS SORT OF THE REALITY ON THE GROUND. AND I THINK THAT THAT'S REALLY INTERESTING BECAUSE WE OFTEN WANT TO CONTROL THOSE ACTIVITIES AND TO CONTROL SORT OF THE MESSAGES OUT THERE, AND THAT BECOMES REALLY DIFFICULT WHEN YOU HAVE THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE TWEETING EACH OF THEIR PERSPECTIVES THAT YOU POTENTIALLY NEED TO REVIEW, SO THAT'S ALSO AN AREA THAT WE HAVE DONE A LOT OF WORK ON, BUT CERTAINLY IN THIS EVENT I THINK THAT THE TIMING AND THE NATURE AND KNOWING THAT EVERYBODY HAD A DEVICE, IT WAS SOMETHING THAT WE KNEW THAT WE HAD TO FOCUS ON AFTER IT HAPPENED.

I WAS NOT HERE WHEN THIS HAPPENED. I GOT HERE A YEAR LATER. AND I HAVE READ A LOT OF THESE REPORTS, AND UNFORTUNATELY I'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH SEVERAL OF THEM THAT HAVE INVOLVED SHOOTINGS. I READ THIS REPORT AND I CAME UP WITH A FEW THINGS THAT I THOUGHT

WERE CRITICAL BECAUSE MOST OF THE OTHER THINGS THAT I READ WERE SYMPTOMS OF WHAT YOU SEE ON THIS PAGE. THE REALITY IS THAT, AND I AM HAPPY TO SEE THAT SOME OF THESE THINGS HAVE CHANGED, WE NEED TO DO MORE JOINT TRAINING AND EXERCISES. I THINK THAT SOME OF THOSE WILL ALSO SORT OF WERE BROKEN DOWN. OPERATIONAL CO-LOCATION. YOU KNOW, WE OPENED OUR EMERGENCY OPERATION CENTER, AND SO DID GRESHAM AND ALREADY YOU HAVE TWO PARTIES THAT ARE YOU KNOW, WORKING DIFFERENTLY. WHEN I THINK THAT THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY THERE WHERE IF WE PLAY THAT OVER AGAIN WE PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE SAME PLACE. MUCH LIKE WE SAW THE FLOODS OF LAST DECEMBER. YOU KNOW WHAT, WE DID NOT TRY AND OPEN UP ANOTHER EOC, WE CO-LOCATED WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS TO MINIMIZE SO WE COULD CENTRALIZE THE INFORMATION. I GO BACK TO THESE REPORTS ARE CRITICAL, AND IT'S CRITICAL THAT WE WRITE THEM ACROSS THE COUNTY.

Mr. Voss: I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT I THINK THAT IT'S CRITICAL THAT WE PRESENT THESE EVENTS IN FRONT OF THE BOARD, SO THERE IS A TRANSPARENCY BOTH WITH THE COMMUNITY BUT THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR FOLKS LIKE YOURSELF, FROM THE POLICY SIDE TO KNOW AND UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE WHILE WE'RE NOT HERE ASKING IF DOLLARS. THERE IS NO MONEY ASSOCIATED WITH THIS, WE WANT YOU TO SORT OF KNOW AND UNDERSTAND THE POLICIES SO WHEN DIFFICULT DECISIONS NEED TO BE MADE YOU SORT OF KNOW THAT THIS MULTI-DISCIPLINARY PIECE IS SORT OF IMPORTANT FOR ALL OF US. AND THE LAST THING THAT I WANTED TO SORT OF PUT OUT THERE WAS WHEN I ASKED, I SAID WELL, WE HAVE NOT DONE THESE REPORTS BEFORE, AND THERE WERE A LOT OF PEOPLE AROUND THE ROOM, AND ONE THING THAT KIND OF WAS NOTED, AND I WANTED TO SORT OF SIT HERE AND BE HERE TODAY AND TALK TO THE COMMISSIONERS, IT WAS SPECIFICALLY ABOUT WHAT AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT MEANS.

I DON'T WANT US TO EVER BE IN A POSITION WHERE WE'RE AFRAID OR CONCERNED ABOUT HOW SOMETHING MIGHT BE VIEWED. IN REALITY IT'S OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO PUT THOSE THINGS ON THE TABLE AND JUST BECAUSE IT IS ALSO AN AREA FOR IMPROVEMENT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE DID A BAD JOB. IT JUST MEANS THAT IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE COULD DO BETTER WITH. SO THE LAST THING THAT I WANT TO SAY IS I SORT OF DROP OFF THIS REPORT, WE HAVE THREE OUTGOING COMMISSIONERS, AND I VERY MUCH IN MY SHORT TIME WANTED TO THANK THEM FOR THEIR SERVICE SO COMMISSIONER BAILEY, SHIPRACK AND MCKEEL IT'S BEEN WONDERFUL WORKING WITH YOU. I WISH YOU LUCK, AND AS A CITIZEN I VERY MUCH THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE. I AM GOING TO LEAVE IT THERE. I WANTED TO DO THE COMMUNICATING, SO IF THERE IS QUESTIONS WE WILL PROBABLY SHARE THIS AMONGST THE GROUP.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

Commissioner McKeel: I JUST HAVE A COMMENT, NOT A QUESTION, BUT I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR BEING HERE TODAY, AND I THINK THAT THIS MAY BE THE FIRST AFTER-ACTION REPORT THAT WE HAVE EVER RECEIVED ON ANYTHING THAT'S HAPPENED HERE IN THE COUNTY, AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT. SO REALLY I WANT TO THANK YOU AND YOU KNOW THIS IS A TOUGH SUBJECT, AND IT'S A REMINDER OF A TOUGH AND TRAGIC DAY THAT THE REYNOLDS COMMUNITY WILL NEVER FORGET. I APPRECIATE ANDREA BEING HERE FROM THE SCHOOL DISTRICT. IT WAS A TOUGH, TOUGH DAY. I REMEMBER A RESPONSE FROM ACROSS THE REGION THAT DAY. FAR BEYOND WHAT WAS EXPECTED, FIRST RESPONDERS. I SAW POLICE FROM WASHINGTON STATE AND ALL OVER OREGON. OUR COUNTY ROADS CREW WAS OUT THERE HELPING. OUR COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT HELPED, AND MANY OTHERS, AND EVERYONE RESPONDED SO QUICKLY AND VERY PROFESSIONALLY AND IN A VERY FLEXIBLE MANNER.

Commissioner McKeel: THAT FLEXIBILITY ISN'T ALWAYS BUILT INTO THE ORGANIZATIONS, AND I APPRECIATE THE MANAGERS WHO SUPPORTED THEIR EMPLOYEES MAKING AN ON THE SPOT DECISION, WHETHER THAT WAS HELPING TO CLOSE THE ROAD OR TO DEPLOY MENTAL HEALTH EMPLOYEES OR OFFICERS WHO WENT TOWARDS A DANGEROUS SITUATION. FLEXIBILITY AND SUPPORT THROUGHOUT AN ORGANIZATION ALLOWS US TO PERFORM AT OUR BEST, AND I HAVE SEEN SOME OF THAT SUCCESS HERE. THERE IS A LOT OF INFORMATION HERE, AND I THINK THAT THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF A REPORT LIKE THIS IS GETTING THE INFORMATION OUT.

AS I SEE IT, WE SHOULD ALWAYS STRIVE TO IMPROVE, EVEN WHEN OUR RESPONSE IS GOOD, THERE IS ROOM TO IMPROVE. SHARING OUR LESSONS LEARNED WITH THE COMMUNITY MORE BROADLY IS ALSO VERY IMPORTANT TO ME. IT'S NO LONGER A QUESTION OF IF, BUT PROBABLY A QUESTION OF WHEN A COMMUNITY WILL HAVE TO RESPOND TO A TRAGEDY, AND IT'S INCUMBENT UPON US ALWAYS TO IMPROVE, SO I APPRECIATE ALL OF THE WORK THAT WENT INTO THIS, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING WHAT COMES OUT OF IT, EVEN THOUGH I WILL NOT BE SITTING IN THIS SEAT. I WILL BE PAYING ATTENTION, SO JUST APPRECIATE YOU VERY MUCH AND THANK YOU AND LIKEWISE, THANK ALL OF YOU FOR YOUR WORK IN EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND VERY MUCH ENJOY WORKING WITH YOU, AS WELL. THANK YOU.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR, THANK YOU. YOU KNOW IT'S REALLY DIFFICULT TO BELIEVE THAT THIS SHOOTING HAPPENED ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO, AND THINKING ABOUT, YOU KNOW, THE VICTIMS AND THEIR FAMILIES. I SO APPRECIATE YOU PUTTING THIS REPORT TOGETHER, CHRIS, AND THANK YOU TO OUR OTHER COUNTY STAFF, THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS, AND THE

REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND THE NUMEROUS OTHER PARTNERS WHO HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH THIS REPORT. THIS REPORT HELPED TO IDENTIFY FOR ME KEY TAKE-AWAYS THAT WE CAN BRING INTO THE FUTURE, AND LIKE YOU TALKED ABOUT EARLIER, CHRIS, IN REGARDS TO MAKING FUTURE POLICY CHANGES, APPROPRIATIONS, WHETHER IT BE IN MENTAL HEALTH, EDUCATION, SUN SCHOOLS, AND EVEN IN OUR SHERIFF'S BUDGET, IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE THIS INFORMATION UP FRONT, AND COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, BE REST ASSURED THAT I WILL BE PAYING ATTENTION FOR YOU DARLING MAKING SURE THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE TAKE VERY SERIOUSLY. ONE QUESTION ABOUT THIS, DOES THE PUBLIC HAVE ACCESS TO THIS REPORT?

Mr. Voss: YES. THE ENTIRE REPORT IS UP ON THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT WEBSITE, SO ANYBODY CAN ACCESS IT.

Vice-Chair Smith: OK. THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

Commissioner Shiprack: JUST ONE COMMENT, MADAM CHAIR. THANK YOU. I AGREE. THIS IS A REALLY IMPORTANT EXERCISE THAT WE'RE ABLE TO GO BACK AND SEE WHAT HAPPENED. I THINK THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT MAKES IT DIFFICULT IS THAT THESE ARE SUCH ONE-OFF KINDS OF TRAGEDIES THAT OCCUR IN THE COMMUNITY. IT'S SO DIFFICULT TO ANTICIPATE WHERE THE APPROACH OF DANGER MIGHT LIE. I DO WANT TO UNDERLINE SOMETHING THAT YOU COMMENTED ON, AND I THINK IT'S VERY APP APROPOS, AND THAT IS THE PRESENCE OF SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS AND THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THERE BE MORE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS. I THINK THAT THE SKILL SET, DEDICATION, AND THE COMMUNITY CONFIDENCE IN THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE MAKES THE PRESENCE OF SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS FROM THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE SOMETHING THAT IS A TOTAL POSITIVE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT. SO I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THAT RECOMMENDATION, AND YOU ARE MAKING A MOVE ON JASON. I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR YOUR COMMENT ABOUT THAT AND WHAT THE PLAN IS, TO RESPOND TO THIS RECOMMENDATION.

Chief Deputy Gates: YES. COMMISSIONER, CHAIR KAFOURY, JASON GATES, SHERIFF'S OFFICE. SO JUST TO REITERATE THE IMPORTANCE OF WHAT YOU JUST SAID, SO AFTER THE INCIDENT WE, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT, ADDED AN ADDITIONAL SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER, AND IT WAS A STRATEGIC MEASURE, SO WE HAVE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS NOT ONLY AT THE HIGH SCHOOL BUT ALSO A LOT MORE IN MIDDLE SCHOOL NOW, AS WELL. AND IN REFERENCE TO YOU KNOW, THE OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS, THEY ARE NOT JUST THERE TO MAKE SURE KIDS ARE THIS

SCHOOL OR NOT IN THE HALLWAYS. THEY ARE ENGAGING WITH FAMILIES AND THEY ARE ENGAGING WITH THE KIDS, AND WE HAVE SPECIFIC EXAMPLES IN HISTORY WHERE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS WERE ABLE TO INTERVENE IN SITUATIONS WHERE NOT ONLY DID IT THIS WARD THE EVENTS LIKE THIS, BUT ALSO AND VERY IMPORTANTLY, WERE ABLE TO GET THAT INDIVIDUAL ON A DIFFERENT TRACK AND SAVE THEM, AS WELL. SO I REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS, AND CANNOT UNDERSTATE THE EFFECTIVENESS AND IMPORTANCE OF THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS. I THINK THAT THE REYNOLDS SCHOOL DISTRICT WOULD AGREE BECAUSE THEY PAID FOR IT. I WOULD LIKE TO ECHO MR. VOSS'S COMMENTS TO THE COMMISSIONERS AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE HERE. I WILL MISS YOU.

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR COULD I ADD ONE MORE THING? I WANT TO AGREE WITH COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK'S ASSESSMENT OF THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS. THAT STRUCK ME, TOO, AND I DIDN'T MENTION THAT. BUT, AND I ALSO THINK THAT ON THIS PARTICULAR DAY WE KNEW WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN IF THAT SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER HAD NOT STEPPED IN AT THE MOMENT THAT HE DID. THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN -- IT WAS A TRAGEDY NO DOUBT, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MUCH WORSE HAD THAT SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER NOT BEEN RIGHT THERE AT THAT TIME.

Commissioner Shiprack: I JUST WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT ABOUT THIS PROCESS AND WHAT MAYBE YOU ARE SEEING HAPPEN AROUND THIS TOPIC. THIS REPORT NOT ONLY BRINGS TO GO A VARIETY OF RESOURCES IN THE COMMUNITY TO ADDRESS A PROBLEM THAT CUTS ACROSS ALL OF OUR INDEPENDENT AND SILOES, PERHAPS, AGENCY DUTIES OR JURISDICTIONAL DUTIES, WHICH IS A GREAT, A GREAT EXERCISE. BUT IT ALSO UNDERLINES THE IMPORTANCE TO POLICY-MAKERS SUCH AS THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, TO ENABLE OUR AGENCIES, FOR EXAMPLE THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, TO BECOME MORE INVOLVED WITH A DESPERATE AND SEEMINGLY NOT RELATED AGENCY, MAYBE IN ANOTHER LANE, AND WE'RE ALWAYS TOLD, STAY IN YOUR OWN LANE. DON'T GO OUTSIDE OF YOUR LANE. KEEP YOUR WINDOWS ROLLED UP OR WHATEVER. I THINK THOSE ARE ALL WRONG HEADED APPROACHES, AND I THINK THAT THIS IS A GREAT DIRECTION FOR US TO POINT OURSELVES IN AND TO REMEMBER THAT IF WE ARE GOING TO BE EFFECTIVE IN PREVENTING THESE ONE-OFF DIFFICULT TO ANTICIPATE TRAGIC EVENTS, THAT WE NEED TO ALLOW OURSELVES TO THINK ACROSS THE DEPARTMENTAL LINES.

WE NEED TO MAKE THE OPENING OF AVAILABLE FOR THE SHERIFF TO BE ENGAGED WITH THE SCHOOLS AND TO RECOGNIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF A RELIABLE, DEPENDABLE TRUSTWORTHY THIRD PARTY INSIDE A BUILDING. SOMETIMES IT'S THE JANITOR BUT IT MIGHT BE THE SHERIFF'S OFFICERS WHO A STUDENT CAN TRUST AND TALK TO AND LOOK UP TO AND GET

ADVICE FROM. SO I DON'T MEAN TO KICK THIS HORSE, BUT I DO WANT TO SAY THAT I THINK THAT THIS IS A PROCESS OF SETTING PINS THAT COMMISSIONER MCKEEL AND COMMISSIONER BAILEY AND I ALL REALLY WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN NOW FOR THESE NEXT TWO MEETINGS, SO IT MAY BE INSUFFERABLE, AND THAT ONE OF THE PINS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SET IN THIS NARRATIVE IS THAT WE LEARNED HOW TO WORK TOGETHER ACROSS JURISDICTIONS TO BEST UTILIZE OUR SKILL SETS IN A DIRECTION THAT WE AGREE WE NEED TO GO WITH THE COMMUNITY.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU AS WELL FOR BRINGING THERE FORWARD THIS MORNING. I JUST WANT TO SAY AS WAS NOTED, I THINK, THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT I HAVE SEEN AN AFTER-INCIDENT REPORT, AND I ATTRIBUTE THAT TO THE NEW LEADERSHIP AT THE EMERGENCY SERVICES, AND I WANT TO THANK BOTH OF YOU FOR COMING THIS MORNING AND YOU, AS WELL. THANKS SO MUCH.

R.2 Budget Modification MCSO-02-17: District 4 Sponsored Dorm 5 Funding Contingency Request of \$505,320. Sponsor: Commissioner Diane McKeel, District 4. Presenters: Sheriff Michael Reese and Michael Shults, Chief Deputy of Corrections Division.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.2. GOOD MORNING.

Commissioner McKeel: I HAVE A FEW, AND I WILL BE BRIEF, REMARKS BEFORE WE START. SO THIS PAST SUMMER DURING OUR BUDGET PROCESS, OUR BOARD HAD AN IMPORTANT DISCUSSION ABOUT THOSE INVOLVED IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM AND HOW TO ENSURE THAT OFFENDERS GET THE PROPER INTERVENTION TO ADDRESS THEIR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR. WE IDENTIFIED TWO DORMS, TOTALING NEARLY 120 BEDS, TO CLOSE AND DIVERT THOSE OFFENDERS TO PROGRAMS THAT WILL BETTER MEET THEIR NEEDS, DORM FOUR IS CLOSED AND THERE IS FUNDING FOR DORM 5 THROUGH THE END OF THE CALENDAR YEAR. I BELIEVE THAT WE ALL SHARE THE SAME GOALS, WHICH IS TO ENSURE THAT OFFENDERS GET THE INTERVENTION TO PREVENT FUTURE RECIDIVISM IS, FOLLOWING THE BUDGET DISCUSSION, PARTNERS THROUGHOUT THE JUSTICE SYSTEM, HAVE WORKED TOGETHER TO ACCOMPLISH THIS GOAL AND HAVE MADE PROGRESS TOWARDS DIVERTING PEOPLE TO THE NECESSARY SERVICES.

NEXT MONTH THE UNITY CENTER WILL OPEN, AND THE LEAD PROGRAM WILL LAUNCH. BOTH WILL CONTINUE THESE EFFORTS AND PROVIDE IS A MUCH NEEDED RESOURCE FOR OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS. HOWEVER AS WE HEARD AT THE SEPTEMBER BRIEFING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS BUDGET NOTE WE ARE NOT READY TO CLOSE THE DORM 5 YET. I AM CONFIDENT WITH THESE NEW PROGRAMS AND THE COMMITMENT OF MY COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD AND MY LOCAL PARTNERS THAT WE WILL GET

THERE, BUT AS THE SHERIFF REESE REPORTED IN THAT BRIEFING, IF WE CLOSE DORM 5 ON JANUARY 1, WE WILL BE AT OR ABOVE CAPACITY IN THE JAIL AND RISK EMERGENCY POPULATION RELEASES. AS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM EAST COUNTY THIS CONCERNS ME. THAT'S WHY I AM SPONSORING TODAY'S BUDGET MODIFICATION TO RELEASE FUNDS TO KEEP THIS DORM OPEN AS LONG AS THE REST OF THE FISCAL YEAR.

Commissioner McKeel: RECENT REPORTS INDICATE THAT CRIME IS UP IN EAST COUNTY, ESPECIALLY GRESHAM AND EAST PORTLAND. THE DIVERSION PROGRAMS ARE BASED ON GOOD MODELS BUT THEY ARE LAUNCHING IN PORTLAND. THESE CONCERNS ARE SHARED AND SUBMITTED STATEMENTS BY TROUTDALE MAYOR DOUG DAOUST AND SHANE BEMIS. ONCE WE SEE THE DATA ON THE IMPACT OF THESE PROGRAMS I AM CONFIDENT THAT THE NEW BOARD WILL MAKE A GOOD DECISION IN NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET TO ENSURE PUBLIC SAFETY THROUGHOUT MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I HAVE SPONSORED THIS BUDGET MODIFICATION BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT YEAR QUITE THERE YET, THERE YET, AND THESE JAIL BEDS ARE STILL NECESSARY AT THIS TIME. I WANT TO THANK OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS AND MY COLLEAGUES ON THIS BOARD FOR THEIR COMMITMENT TO THIS GOAL AND THEIR HARD WORK TO GET TO THIS POINT. I WILL TURN IT OVER TO YOU. THANKS.

Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING.

Sheriff Reese: CHAIR KAFOURY AND COMMISSIONERS I AM MIKE REESE, THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF, AND I AM HERE TO PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING A REQUEST TO RELEASE CONTINGENCY FUNDS TO CONTINUE FUNDING DORM 5 AT INVERNESS JAIL. I HAVE A BIT OF A COLD SO I SOUND LIKE JOHNNY CASH A BIT AS I MAKE COMMENTS THIS MORNING.

Chair Kafoury: I WAS GOING TO SAY, YOU WISH.

Sheriff Reese: ALL RIGHT, ALL RIGHT. [LAUGHTER] I PROMISE NOT TO START SINGING. BUT I ALSO WANT TO ECHO THE COMMENTS EARLIER AND THANK COMMISSIONER BAILEY, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK AND COMMISSIONER MCKEEL FOR THEIR YEARS OF SERVICE TO OUR COMMUNITY. AS A RESIDENT OF THIS COMMUNITY I APPRECIATE YOUR WORK AND ALL THAT YOU HAVE DONE TO MAKE THIS A BETTER PLACE TO LIVE. THANK YOU. ASSISTING ME TODAY IS MIKE SHULTS, OUR CHIEF DEPUTY OF CORRECTIONS, AND THIS YEAR THE BOARD REDUCED THE AUTHORIZED NUMBER OF JAIL BEDS IN OUR COMMUNITY FROM 1,310 TO 1,192. THE CUTS WERE STAIR STEPPED TO SEE IF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS COULD SAFELY MAKE THE REDUCTIONS IN THE NUMBER OF ADULTS BEING BROUGHT INTO OUR CUSTODY AND TO GAUGE THE IMPACT OF INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS SUCH AS THE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTED DIVERSION, THE

OPENING OF THE UNITY CENTER, CHANGES TO RECOG POLICY AND OTHER ADJUSTMENTS.

THE BOARD WISELY PLACED THE MONEY NECESSARY TO PAY FOR CORRECTIONS DEPUTIES TO STAFF DORM 4 AND DORM 5 INTO A CONTINGENCY FUND IN CASE THE REDUCTIONS OF ADULTS IN CUSTODY DID NOT HAPPEN AS ANTICIPATED OR PROGRAMS SUCH AS LEAD AND THE UNITY CENTER DID NOT PRODUCE THE EXPECTED OUTCOMES OR WERE DELAYED IN START-UP. IN JULY THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE WAS ABLE TO CLOSE DORM 4, WHICH WAS THREE MONTHS AHEAD OF SCHEDULE. THIS DEMONSTRATED OUR ACTIVE SUPPORT OF THIS PLAN. HOWEVER THE NUMBER HAS NOT CONTINUED TO DROP SIGNIFICANTLY.

Sheriff Reese: BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF PAST TRENDS WHICH WE PROVIDED YOU, AND THE CURRENT NUMBERS, IF DORM 5 IS CLOSED ON JANUARY 1 AS DIRECTED BY THE BOARD, WE WILL LIKELY BE IN A CONTINUAL POPULATION EMERGENCY. THIS WILL REQUIRE THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND OUR CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTNERS TO TAKE DRASTIC MEASURES THAT WILL HAVE A DETRIMENTAL IMPACT ON THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY AND THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROGRAMS DESIGNED TO REDUCE THE RECIDIVISM. IN SUMMARY, WE ARE COMMITTED TO THE WISE USE OF OUR JAIL RESOURCES AND LET'S TO INCARCERATION. HOWEVER CLOSING DORM 5 AT THIS TIME DOES NOT SUPPORT THE COLLECTIVE EFFORTS OR OVERARCHING GOALS OF THE COUNTY'S CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AND BRINGS ABOUT THE CONSEQUENCES OUR PARTNERS AND MCSO HAVE WORKED HARD TO AVOID. FOR THE AFOREMENTIONED REASON I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THE BOARD APPROVE 505,320 TO FUND DORM 5 FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE FISCAL YEAR. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR, DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER FOLKS THAT ARE GOING TO BE SPEAKING ON THIS, AS WELL, TODAY?

Chair Kafoury: WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT BUT THERE IS NO OTHER SCHEDULED TESTIMONY.

Vice-Chair Smith: OK. AND YOUR SENSE IS IF WE DON'T FUND THIS DORM, THAT YOU BELIEVE THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE IN A PERPETUAL EMERGENCY SITUATION LETTING FOLKS OUT THAT PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE OUT?

Sheriff Reese: BASED ON THE PAST DATA THAT WE PROVIDED THE BOARD EARLIER IN BRIEFINGS, IT SHOWS THAT WE WOULD BE ABOVE CAPACITY, 100% OF CAPACITY OR ABOVE THE 95% VIRTUALLY, THE ENTIRE YEAR.

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? THANK YOU.

Board Clerk: WE HAVE ONE PUBLIC TESTIMONY. PLEASE COME FORWARD WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME. [READS NAME]

Chair Kafoury: IF ANYBODY ELSE WANTS TO COMMENT ON THIS ITEM OR ANY OTHER AGENDA ITEMS PLEASE SIGN UP WITH MARINA.

Mr. West: YES, I AM ROBERT WEST, I AM WITH THE POLICE 9-1-1, AND I WANTED TO BRING UP THE FACT THAT THEY ARE STILL HOUSING NON-SERIOUS, NON-VIOLENT PEOPLE, PROTESTERS THAT'S BEEN ARRESTED AND STUFF LIKE THAT. THEY ARE STILL BOOKING AND HOUSING THEM. A JUDGE ORDERS SOME JAIL TIME, GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, WE HAD A GUY THROW WATER, ON A GUY'S FACE, AND AT ONE OF THE COAB MEETINGS AND HE GOT JAIL TIME FOR THROWING WATER IN THE GUY'S FACE. AND I DON'T REALLY THINK THAT'S WHAT JAIL SPACE SHOULD BE FOR. AND I SEE PROTESTERS. THEY GET TWO, THREE, FIVE DAYS IN THE COUNTY JAIL. THESE ARE CRIMES THAT REALLY SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN FILED, AND EVEN THOUGH THEY WERE FILED, THE PEOPLE REALLY SHOULDN'T TAKE UP JAIL SPACE. IT COST A LOT TO HOUSE AN INMATE IN THE COUNTY JAIL. SO I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WORK ON FIGURING OUT HOW THEY CAN GET THE NON-SERIOUS, NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS THAT ARE IN JAIL RIGHT NOW INTO OTHER PROGRAMS LIKE DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAMS. MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS. SEX OFFENDER TREATMENT PROGRAMS AND STUFF LIKE THAT.

THE STUFF THAT WE HAVE A BIT OF BUT NOT ENOUGH OF IN OUR COMMUNITY. IT COST A WHOLE LOT LESS TO HAVE SOMEONE THAT'S IN A DRUG TREATMENT -- SEND SOMEONE THROUGH A DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAM WHERE THEY CAN WORK ON THEIR PROBLEMS, WORK ON THEIR ADDICTION, AND HAVE COUNSELING THERE, AND THEY BASICALLY PAY A BIT AT A TIME FOR THEIR OWN HOUSE UPKEEP RATHER THAN TO SOMEONE WHO PAID A LOT OF MONEY TO HOUSE THEM IN THE COUNTY JAIL. I JUST FIND THAT IT'S APPALLING THAT THEY STILL HOUSE A LOT OF THE NON-SERIOUS, NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS, AND THE SHERIFF SAYS, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO KICK PEOPLE OUT. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO KICK PEOPLE OUT IN FOR REALLY MINOR CRIMES, DISORDERLY CONDUCT, CONTEMPT OF COURT. I JUST WATCHED A DUDE GET SETTLEMENT OF COURT FOR DISORDERLY CONDUCT SKI SPENT ALMOST 20 DAYS IN THE COUNTY JAIL, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS TOTALLY RIDICULOUS. SO THAT'S, THAT'S WHAT I WANTED TO BRING UP, UNLESS THEY CAN COME UP WITH WAYS OF DEALING WITH -- I DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO PEOPLE THAT ARE BEING ARRESTED FOR MURDER OR ROBBERY OR RAPE OR STUFF LIKE THAT IS BEING HOUSED IN THE COUNTY JAIL.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, SIR, YOUR TIME IS UP. DID YOU WANT TO FINISH YOUR SENTENCE? THANK YOU.

Mr. West: I AM FINISHED.

Chair Kafoury: THANKS FOR COMING.

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR KAFOURY, I DID WANT TO, IN RESPONSE TO COMMISSIONER SMITH'S QUESTION ABOUT OTHERS, DUE TO THE WEATHER, AND SO FORTH, SO SOME PEOPLE SUBMITTED THEIR WRITTEN, THAT'S WHY WE HAVE THE LETTER FROM MAYORS DAOUST AND BEMIS.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR I WANT TO THANK COMMISSIONER MCKEEL ON HER SUPPORT TO THIS. I THINK THIS IS IMPORTANT, AND IT'S GOING TO BE A TOOL THAT WE HAVE TO KEEP OUR COMMUNITY SAFE.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

Commissioner Shiprack: WELL, MADAM CHAIR, I WANT TO ASK ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT -- I KIND OF FEEL LIKE THIS HAS BEEN DISCUSSED. IT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED AND DISCUSSED, AND DISCUSSED FOR ALL EIGHT YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN HERE, AND BASICALLY, WHAT IT IS, IS A PHILOSOPHICAL QUESTION OR A POLITICAL QUESTION OR A MATTER OF OPINION, WHICH IS DOES MORE JAIL MAKE US MORE SAFE? I FEEL MORE SAFER WHEN MORE PEOPLE ARE IN JAIL. THEN THAT LEADS TO A LOT OF OTHER QUESTIONS LIKE DO I FEEL SAFER WHEN MORE PEOPLE ARE IN JAIL FOR LONGER? OR DO I FEEL SAFER WHEN MORE PEOPLE ARE IN JAIL, RELEASED AND GO BACK TO JAIL AGAIN? GIVEN THE GEOMETRIC PROGRESSION OF THAT, AT A RECIDIVISM RATE THAT WE HAVE WORKED ON, BUT IT STANDS PRETTY STEADILY THAT ONCE YOU GO TO JAIL ONCE, YOUR LIKELIHOOD INCREASES YOU ARE GOING TO GO TO JAIL AGAIN, SO I REMEMBER TALKING ABOUT THIS IN THE CONTEXT OF ZERO POPULATION GROWTH, ANYBODY REMEMBER THAT? ALL US OLD TIMERS WHICH HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH IT, THERE IS PEOPLE WHO TOOK THAT CLASS, AND THAT JUST HAS TO DO WITH GEOMETRIC RATHER THAN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION.

WHAT WE'VE BEEN TRYING TO DO IN THIS COUNTY, FOR THE LAST EIGHT YEARS, IS GET ON TOP OF FIRST WHAT IS A MATTER OF OPINION, IS THIS A MATTER OF POLITICS, IS THIS IS A MATTER OF FACT, AND WHAT THIS IS, AGAIN, I AM GOING TO COME BACK TO, IS DOES MORE JAIL MAKE US MORE SAFE? SO ODDLY ENOUGH DURING THE COURSE OF THIS EIGHT YEARS WITHOUT REALLY LANDING ON THIS POINT HARD, OUR TOTAL JAIL BEDS AVAILABLE IN THE COUNTY WENT FROM SOMETHING AROUND THE 2,000-BED MARK TO THE 1300 BED MARK, AND CRIME WENT DOWN, SO HOW CAN I SAY THAT SO CONFIDENTLY? BECAUSE CRIME IS SOMETHING THAT WE MEASURE

BECAUSE CRIME RATES ARE SOMETHING THAT ARE MEASURED. THEY ARE MEASURED NOT BY ME OR BY COMMISSIONER MCKEEL OR NOT BY OPINIONS WHERE OPINION CAN CHANGE THE RESULT, BUT THEY ARE MEASURED BY THE FBI AND THEY ARE MEASURED BY OUR OWN SYSTEM OF MEASUREMENT, AND THE RESULT IS THAT CRIME IS DOWN.

SO THIS IS AN EXPLANATION -- I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE HAVE A CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. EARLIER WE WERE TALKING ABOUT HOW MUCH PROGRESS I FEEL THAT WE HAVE MADE AND HOW I WANT TO SET THIS PIN FOR LOOKING AT SYSTEMS, AS OPERATING AS SYSTEMS. EVEN IF THAT MEANS YOU HAVE TO CROSS INTO SOMEBODY ELSE'S LANE OF TRAFFIC FOR A MOMENT. AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THIS SYSTEM, YOU HAVE TO ASK WHAT DO THE INDIVIDUAL PARTS DO? WE HAVE HEARD FROM THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE. WHAT ABOUT ALL THE OTHER PARTS? WE HAVE DONE SO MUCH WORK OVER EIGHT YEARS, LOTS OF TIME, LOTS OF EXPENSE. DOING AN INTERCEPT MODEL THAT TELLS US THAT THERE IS A CERTAIN PROGRESSION THROUGH THE SYSTEM THAT STARTS WITH ARREST, GOES TO BOOKING, GOES TO CHARGING, GOES TO SENTENCING, AND INCLUDES PROBATION. PEOPLE GO THROUGH THESE PARTS AND DIFFERENT AGENCIES ARE IN CHARGE OF THE DIFFERENT PARTS. AND INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH WE CAPTURED THIS PHENOMENON, AND WE HAVE A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED AND ENVIED ORGANIZATION IN THIS COUNTY CALLED LPSCC, THE LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATING COUNCIL.

THIS IS THERE TO ADDRESS THE SYSTEM. SO SECONDLY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT JAIL. THIS IS MY VOTE EXPLANATION. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE? WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF JAIL? WHY DO WE HAVE JAIL? HOW DID WE GO FROM 2,000 JAIL BEDS, WHEN I FIRST CAME INTO OFFICE, WITH COMMISSIONER MCKEEL AND CHAIR KAFOURY. HOW DID WE GO SAFELY FROM 2,000 BEDS TO 1,300 BEDS AND WHY IS IT THAT THE LAST 59 BEDS IS SUCH A PROBLEM? WELL, LET'S LOOK AT THE PURPOSE OF A JAIL BED. IS THE PURPOSE OF A JAIL BED TO MAKE THE COMMUNITY SAFER? IS IT RETRIBUTION? SO I GOT YOU NOW, YOU, YOU KNOW WHO, YOU CAN GO AND DO THAT FOR A WHILE? IS IT ACCOUNTABILITY? IS IT TO MAKE PEOPLE BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR BEHAVIOR? IS IT PUNISHMENT? I DON'T THINK THAT THERE ARE ANY THUMB SCREWS IN THERE. MAYBE IT STILL IS THAT IT'S PUNISHMENT. I THINK THAT THESE ARE ACTIVE SORT OF OPINIONS THAT WE CAN EXPLORE, BUT FIRST WE REALLY NEED TO KNOW BECAUSE WE'RE AN EVIDENCE-BASED -- I'M SORRY, MADAM CHAIR, I PROMISE THIS WILL COME TO AN END.

Chair Kafoury: IF YOU WERE NOT TALKING ABOUT THIS ISSUE, I WOULD FEEL MORE NERVOUS.

Commissioner Shiprack: I WANT TO SAY WELL EXCUSE ME, I AM SORRY IF THIS IS TIRESOME. THIS IS HALF OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY'S GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURE. WE OUGHT TO BE SPENDING A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TALKING

ABOUT IT. WE OUGHT TO KNOW WHAT IT IS THAT WE ARE DOING, AND WE OUGHT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS THAT WE ARE VOTING ON. SO WE PRIDE OURSELVES, AND AGAIN WE ARE THE ENVY OF THE NATION, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, COMMISSIONER SMITH AND I GO TO NATIONAL MEETINGS AND WE BASK IN THE SUNSHINE OF RESPECT THAT OTHER COMMUNITIES PAY TO US BECAUSE WE ARE COMMITTED TO AN EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE MODEL. EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE. THAT SUGGESTS THAT WE KNOW WHO IS IN OUR JAIL. WHAT THEY ARE THERE FOR. HOW LONG THEY ARE THERE. AND WHAT ARE THE OUTCOMES THAT WE GAIN BY HAVING THEM THERE? THIS IS A TUTORIAL FOR YOU, SHERIFF, BUT YOU HAVE SEEN THIS SYSTEM FROM MANY SIDES. BUT I THINK THAT WE ALL HAVE SOMETHING TO LEARN FROM SITTING DOWN TOGETHER AND AGAIN I AM PASSIONATE ABOUT THIS. I DON'T WANT TO BE UNPLEASANT ABOUT IT. I THINK THAT THESE ARE ISSUES THAT WE ALL CARE ABOUT.

Commissioner Shiprack: I HOPE THAT THESE ARE ISSUES THAT WE ALL TRULY CARE ABOUT AND THAT WE CARE ABOUT FOR THE RIGHT REASONS. AND THAT WE ARE CLEAR ABOUT THE REASONS. I WOULD NOTE THAT THE INTERCEPT MODEL I SPOKE ABOUT STARTS WITH ARREST. I THINK THAT'S INTERESTING. WE START WITH ARREST. THIS IS THE STAR TREK MODEL, BEAM ME UP, SCOTTY, I JUST GOT ARRESTED. BUT WE KNOW IT REALLY STARTS WITH ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCES. WE KNOW THAT THIS IS AN ACES STUDY THAT WE ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF. WE ARE ABLE TO ADDRESS THIS BY HAVING PROGRAMS LIKE SUN SCHOOLS. WE'RE ABLE TO ADDRESS THIS BY HAVING PROGRAMS LIKE SUMMER JOBS. THANK YOU COMMISSIONER SMITH. WE ARE ABLE TO ADDRESS THIS BY EMPHASIZING PREVENTION. COMMISSIONER MCKEEL IS ALL ABOUT PREVENTION AND HAS BEEN FOR EIGHT YEARS. WE ARE ABLE TO ADDRESS THIS BY ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS. AND CHAIR KAFOURY HAS BEEN A NATIONAL LEADER IN ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS. AND THAT JUST BRINGS ME TO THE SECOND PART OF THIS, WHICH IS THE BUDGET.

THAT IS OUR ONE LEVER TO REDUCE THE OVERUSE OF INCARCERATION IN THIS COMMUNITY, OUR ONE LEVER IS THE BUDGET. WE DON'T RUN THE JAIL. THE SHERIFF RUNS THE JAIL. WE DON'T RUN THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET. WE APPROVE A BUDGET FOR THE SHERIFF AND THE SHERIFF RUNS THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET. I THINK THAT THESE ARE ALL TOPICS THAT WE COULD CONTINUE TO DISCUSS BUT WE ARE NOT DISCUSSING IT TODAY. REALLY IN THE BROAD, GRAND UNIVERSE AND IN MY EXPERIENCE HERE IN EIGHT YEARS IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY, AND AS A LAW THAT IS LIKE GRAVITY OR A LAW THAT IS A PHYSICAL LAW THAT WE CANNOT ESCAPE, WE CAN ONLY SPEND EACH DOLLAR IN OUR BUDGET ONCE. IF WE HAVE \$500,000, AND WE DECIDE TO PUT IT INTO JAIL, THAT MEANS THAT WE ARE ELECTING NOT TO PUT THAT 500,000 INTO SUMMER JOBS. WE ARE ELECTING NOT TO PUT THAT 500,000 INTO SUN SCHOOLS. WE ARE ELECTING NOT TO PUT THAT 500,000

WITH DIRECTION TO THE SHERIFF TO PUT THAT INTO SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS.

WE ARE DIRECTING THAT \$500,000 POUR INTO THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET AS IF THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET WERE A LINE ITEM BUDGET WHICH BY THE WAY IT'S NOT BUT I THINK THAT WE COULD DO THAT. THAT COULD BE DONE. AND I ALSO THINK THAT IT IS A SAD DIVERSION FROM THE REAL ISSUES THAT CONFRONT THIS SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND OUR NEW SHERIFF. IT'S A DIVERSION FROM ISSUES LIKE DISPARITIES, RACIAL DISPARITIES, IN THE USE OF FORCE AGAINST INMATES IN OUR JAIL. IT IS A DIVERSION FROM THE REAL ISSUE OF SUICIDE IN OUR JAIL AND THE EXPENSE OF SUICIDE WATCH. AND IT FLIES IN THE FACE OF THE BUDGET CONCESSIONS THAT THIS BOARD MADE JUST SIX MONTHS AGO IN MAY TO CREATE AT COMMISSIONER MCKEEL'S REQUEST, A GLIDE PATH TO TAKE CARE OF SOME QUESTIONS THAT HAD ARISEN THAT CAME BACK TO US IN SEPTEMBER AND ARE COMING BACK TO US TODAY. AND THAT GLIDE PATH WAS CREATED BY PUTTING 800,000 INTO THE LEAD PROGRAM, 400,000 INTO A NURSE BOOKING PROGRAM AND THAT IS ALL IN ADDITION TO COMMISSIONER BAILEY'S BUDGET MODIFICATION OF THE BUDGET YEAR BEFORE WHICH PUT \$3 MILLION INTO THE UNITY CENTER.

Commissioner Shiprack: ALL OF THESE PROGRAMS SORRY TO EXPAND US, IF I AM EXPANDING THIS OUT TOO FAR AND MERGING INTO TRAFFIC, THAT IS NONE OF MY BUSINESS BUT WE APPROVED THIS MONEY SO THAT WE COULD TREAT INCARCERATION BEFORE IT EVER HAPPENED. BECAUSE WHAT WE KNOW IS THAT OUR JAILS, NOT JUST OURS. THIS IS NOT PERSONAL. JUST AGAIN, THIS IS LIKE THE LAWS OF PHYSICS. JAIL DOESN'T WORK. JAIL DOESN'T MAKE US SAFER. OUR AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY, WHICH IS 11 DAYS, IS JUST MAKES THE WHEELS SPIN FASTER. IF YOU ARE IN JAIL FOR 11 DAYS AND YOU GET OUT AND WE HAVE NOT ADDRESSED THE UNDERLYING PROBLEM. IT DOES NOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCE OR ANYTHING TO ADDRESS YOUR MENTAL HEALTH ISSUE OR ADDRESS THE RACISM IN THIS COMMUNITY, THAT SUGGESTS THAT IF YOU ARE A YOUNG MAN OF COLOR, YOU WILL BE CYCLING THROUGH THIS SYSTEM. IT DOES NONE OF THAT. THAT 11-DAY LENGTH OF STAY JUST MEANS THAT YOUR CHANCES OF GOING BACK THIS YEAR ARE GREATER.

WE KNOW THAT YOUR CHANCES OF GOING ONTO THE BIG HOUSE ARE GREATER BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THE EVIDENCE TELLS US, AND WE ARE EVIDENCE-BASED COUNTY. SO I HATE FOR THE LIGHT TO MOVE FROM SHINING ON HOW WE CAN ACTUALLY HELP THE SHERIFF DEAL WITH WHAT THE REAL PROBLEMS ARE, AND I THINK THAT THOSE REAL PROBLEMS ARE THAT WE DO INCARCERATE PEOPLE FOR SMALL OFFENSES. WE HAVE INCARCERATED PEOPLE FOR DISORDERLY CONDUCT. WE INCARCERATE PEOPLE FOR DISREGARDING OR INTERFERING WITH A POLICE OFFICER. WE HAVE, I THINK, NOT A GOOD ENOUGH HANDLE AND NOT A TIGHT ENOUGH

DATA PARTNERSHIP WITH THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO BE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE AND IDENTIFY AND HELP THE SHERIFF DEAL WITH THESE ISSUES, AND THERE IS A VERY POSITIVE ROAD FORWARD FOR US THERE, BUT IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE APPROVAL OF 500,000 TODAY. AND I WANT TO JUST ADD THAT WHEN THE TIME CAME TO ELIMINATE A DISCUSSION THAT WAS VERY UNCOMFORTABLE FOR OUR FORMER SHERIFF, THAT FORMER SHERIFF REACHED INTO A DESK DRAWER AND FOUND 300,000 FOR SETTLEMENT MONEY TO MAKE THAT CONVERSATION GO AWAY.

SO I DON'T HEAR THAT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS OUT OF MONEY. IF THE PUBLIC CANNOT BE KEPT SAFE, WITHIN THE NUMBER OF JAIL BEDS THAT WE HAVE NOW, I SUGGEST THAT THE SHERIFF SPEND THE EXISTING BUDGET MONEY ON MAKING THE COMMUNITY SAFE AS IS HIS EXCLUSIVE DOMAIN TO OPERATE THE JAIL IN THIS COMMUNITY. AND COME BACK TO US AT SUCH TIME WHEN THAT BUDGET IS ACTUALLY IN NEED.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR. I DO HAVE A QUICK COMMENT. COMMISSIONER MCKEEL AND BAILEY AND COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, I AM TRULY GOING TO MISS YOU ALL BECAUSE YOU ALL OFFER A PERSPECTIVE THAT I JUST FRANKLY DON'T HAVE. AND THIS BUDGET ITEM, IT IS VERY PERSONAL. VERY PERSONAL TO ME. I AM THE SECOND AFRICAN-AMERICAN IN THE HISTORY OF 160 YEARS TO BE ON THIS BOARD. AND THE REASON, THE REASON WHY I RAN FOR OFFICE, I WANTED TO GIVE VOICE TO THE VOICELESS. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT I HELPED TO PROTECT SENIORS IN THIS COMMUNITY, AND I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT I PROVIDED AN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR OUR YOUTH. WE HAVE DONE THAT THROUGH SUMMER JOBS, PROMISE NEIGHBORHOOD, SUN SCHOOLS, AND THE LIKE, AND YOU KNOW, PUTTING THE SENIOR SERVICES, SENIOR CENTERS IN PERMANENT BUDGET. BUT YES, MA'AM, THIS IS VERY PERSONAL FOR ME. I AM A SINGLE PARENT OF AN AFRICAN-AMERICAN MALE WHO IS 26 YEARS OLD AND YES, I AM AFRAID FOR HIM. I HAVE TOLD YOU GUYS THIS MANY TIMES BEFORE. THIS IS NOT ABOUT 500,000. THIS IS, THIS IS ABOUT MULTNOMAH COUNTY RECOGNIZING OPPORTUNITIES TO KEEP OUR COMMUNITY SAFE. THIS IS ABOUT MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAS A 1.8 BILLION BUDGET. 500,000 IS NOT GOING TO MAKE OR BREAK WHAT WE DO HERE AT MULTNOMAH COUNTY. BUT I TELL YOU WHAT I HEARD YESTERDAY THAT WAS VERY UPSETTING TO ME.

I WAS AT A MEETING WITH CHAIR KAFOURY AND COMMISSIONER MCKEEL AND WHAT I DID HEAR AND WHAT I HEARD BRIEFLY FROM COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK IS BLACK PEOPLE ARE GOING TO JAIL AT A HIGHER RATE THAN ANYONE ELSE, AND IT IS VERY PERSONAL FOR ME. LET'S TALK ABOUT ALL THOSE FOLKS WHO SIT ON THAT TABLE. WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO AS PARTNERS. THIS IS NOT ABOUT THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET. THAT'S HIGH SCHOOL. THEY HAVE GOT TO TAKE EVERYBODY AT THE MIDDLE SCHOOL, THAT THE MIDDLE SCHOOL SENDS THEM. THEY DON'T GET A CHANCE TO

PICK AND CHOOSE THAT. ALTHOUGH THEY DO GET A CHANCE TO DETERMINE WHAT HAPPENS TO THE FOLKS WHILE THEY ARE THERE, TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE SAFE, TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY DON'T HAVE A DISPARITY IN THE USE OF FORCE FROM ONE DEMOGRAPHIC TO THE OTHER. BUT WHAT'S REAL IN THIS COMMUNITY IS THAT 5.8% OF THE PEOPLE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY ARE AFRICAN-AMERICAN. BUT THEY MAKE UP 27% OF OUR JAILS. THAT'S REAL. THAT'S REALLY REAL.

UNTIL YOU START TALKING ABOUT WHY LOW INCOME NEIGHBORHOODS, WHY BLACK NEIGHBORHOODS ARE HIGHLY POLICED, AT A HIGHER RATE, AND WHAT WE LEARNED FROM THE DATA THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE BRIEFED ON TUESDAY, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, IS THAT PEOPLE WHO GET ARRESTED, WHO ARE BLACK, THEY ARE MORE THAN LIKELY TO GET ARRESTED FOUR OR FIVE TIMES HIGHER THAN ANYONE ELSE, IF YOU COMPARE THEM TO WHITE FOLKS IN THIS COMMUNITY. AND ONCE THEY ARE GETTING CHARGED, WHICH I ASKED THE QUESTION YESTERDAY, BLACK FOLKS GET CHARGED FIVE TIMES MORE THAN ANYONE ELSE WITH ANY OF THESE CASES. AND YOU CAN LOOK AT THAT. THIS IS NOT ABOUT THIS 500,000. HE NEEDS THAT JUST IN CASE BECAUSE GUESS WHAT, THE QUOTA THAT HE GETS, THE MORE PEOPLE THAT WE HAVE ON THE STREETS, AND WE DON'T HAVE A PLACE TO PUT THEM, WE END UP PUTTING THEM IN OUR JAILS, AND IF WE DON'T HAVE A SPACE FOR THEM AND THEN WE HAVE FOLKS WHO REALLY, YOU KNOW, THEY COULD COMMIT HEINOUS CRIMES WE WILL NOT HAVE A PLACE FOR THEM SO THIS IS NOT ABOUT THE 500,000. THAT'S A QUESTION FOR NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET TALKS AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO TRAIL IT AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO BUDGET EACH ITEM.

Vice-Chair Smith: THIS IS VERY PERSONAL FOR ME. SO WE SHOULD BE TALKING EVERY WEEK, AND I ASK THE QUESTION OF OUR D.A., WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO ABOUT IT? WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO ABOUT THE FACT THAT YOU ARE CHARGING BLACK PEOPLE AT A HIGHER RATE THAN OTHERS? WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO ABOUT THAT? SITTING AROUND THAT TABLE, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO? I AM NOT GOING TO SIT HERE AND ARGUE WITH YOU ABOUT 500,000 BECAUSE THAT 500,000 REPRESENTS PEOPLE, AS WELL. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PEOPLE'S JOBS AND PEOPLE WHO HAVE TO GET PINK SLIPS EVERY THREE TO SIX MONTHS BECAUSE THEY DON'T KNOW IF THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE MONEY IN THE BUDGET TO PAY FOR THEM. SO THIS IS NOT A REAL BIG PROBLEM. BUT WHAT IS A REAL BIG PROBLEM IS THAT PEOPLE IN MY COMMUNITY, AND I AM THAT VOICE RIGHT NOW, PEOPLE IN MY COMMUNITY, THEY ARE BEING BOOKED, CHARGED, LOCKED UP AND UNFAIRLY USE OF FORCE, ONCE THEY ARE IN OUR JAILS.

LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT EVERY SINGLE WEEK THAT WE COME IN HERE. I AM TIRED OF TALKING ABOUT IT, TOO, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK AND TIRED OF TALKING ABOUT THE BUDGET BUT YOU KNOW WHEN I CAME IN HERE WE WERE DOING \$8 MILLION, ANYWHERE BETWEEN 6 AND 8 IN OVERTIME. THAT

WAS THE QUESTION IN THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ABOUT THAT OVERTIME. AND WE COURSE CORRECTED. WE CAN FIX THIS IN THE NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET BUT RIGHT NOW IS NOT THE TIME TO MAKE THAT POINT. IT'S NOT NECESSARY. LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN WHEN YOU HAVE FAMILIES WHO ARE BEING BROKEN UP, BLACK FAMILIES BEING BROKEN UP AS A RESULT OF OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT POLICIES IN THIS COMMUNITY. THAT'S WHAT I AM UPSET ABOUT. SO FOR ME I SUPPORT YOU, SHERIFF. THANK YOU FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK. THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT RIGHT NOW, AND WE CAN DEAL WITH SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK IS CONCERNED ABOUT, AND I HEAR YOU LOUD AND CLEAR, AND I WILL BE PAYING ATTENTION, CLOSE ATTENTION TO IT.

Chair Kafoury: I WILL BE VOTING NO ON THIS BUDGET MODIFICATION TODAY. I KNOW YOU ALL HAVE HEARD ME SAY THIS OVER AND OVER AGAIN BUT DURING LAST YEAR'S BUDGET DELIBERATIONS I ASKED ALL DEPARTMENTS TO SUBMIT A 2% CONSTRAINT AND THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE PROPOSED TWO DORMS TO MEET THEIR GOALS. SO WHILE THIS CONVERSATION STARTED AT THAT POINT, AS A BUDGET EXERCISE, IT GAVE US THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS THE OPPORTUNITY TO EVALUATE HOW WE SPEND OUR PUBLIC SAFETY DOLLARS AND AFTER MUCH CONVERSATION WE VOTED TO REDUCE THE FUNDING FOR TWO DORMS ON A STAGGERED SCHEDULE. SINCE WE ADOPTED OUR BUDGET WE HAVE CLOSED DORM 4 AND OUR SYSTEM PARTNERS ARE WORKING CLOSELY TOGETHER TO DEVELOP PROGRAMS LIKE LEED, SANCTION REDUCTIONS, AND 12 OTHER SYSTEMS CHANGES THAT WILL REDUCE OUR NEED FOR JAIL BEDS. AND I THINK THAT THIS IS VERY ENCOURAGING.

WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR YEARS AND YEARS AND YEARS, AND WE FINALLY HAVE MADE SOME GREAT STRIDES. JAIL IS ONE OF THE MOST EXPENSIVE OPTIONS IN OUR CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. WE KNOW FROM OUR WORK WITH THE MCARTHUR FOUNDATION THAT SPENDING TAXPAYER DOLLARS ON JAIL BEDS FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE TOO POOR TO POST BAIL OR PEOPLE WHO ARE WAITING FOR THEIR CASE TO BE RESOLVED IS NOT EFFECTIVE AND DOESN'T MAKE OUR COMMUNITY SAFER. I WANT TO AGAIN COMMEND OUR PARTNERS, PRESIDING JUDGE NAN WALLER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY ROD UNDER-HILL, SHERIFF REESE, THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND MANY, MANY OTHERS. WE ARE WORKING HARD TO MAKE SURE THAT JAIL IS A PLACE FOR THE PEOPLE WHO TRULY POSE A RISK TO OUR COMMUNITY. IF WE STAY ON THIS PATH WE WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO INVEST OUR PUBLIC DOLLARS DIFFERENTLY. WE CAN INVEST IN PEOPLE. WE CAN INVEST IN PREVENTION. BUT THIS ONLY WORKS IF WE CONTINUE TO PUSH OURSELVES TO DO THINGS DIFFERENTLY. CHAIR.

Commissioner McKeel: I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ADD THAT I APPRECIATE EVERYONE'S STATEMENT. I KNOW THIS IS A PASSIONATE ISSUE. I

APPRECIATE ALL OF THAT. AS I SAID BEFORE I THINK THAT WE'RE ALL WORKING TOWARDS THE SAME GOAL. IT'S HOW WE GET THERE. I JUST HAVE TO POINT OUT THAT MY CRIME IS UP IN EAST COUNTY, MY EAST COUNTY PARTNERS ARE HAVE YOU UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THIS. THEY ARE NOT SUPPORTIVE OF THIS, AND I JUST WANT TO POINT THAT OUT. AGAIN AS WE LOOK AT THE ENTIRE COUNTY. THANK YOU.

Vice-Chair Smith: CHAIR KAFOURY, I JUST WANT TO RESPOND TO COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, YOU ARE RIGHT, AND I ALSO HEARD YESTERDAY IN A HOME FOR EVERYONE MEETING THAT THERE ARE ALSO IMMIGRANTS IN OUR COMMUNITY WHO DON'T FEEL SAFE AS A RESULT OF OUR NATIONAL PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS. THERE IS ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY TO PUT A STRATEGY ON THE TABLE THAT WOULD PROBABLY ELIMINATE SOME OF THE NEED FOR JAIL BEDS THROUGH BEING IN SANCTUARY COUNTY, AND I WILL PURSUE TRYING TO HAVE THAT DONE BEFORE YOU LEAVE HERE, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL. JUST LIKE BENTON COUNTY DID, A SANCTUARY COUNTY BECAUSE I WANT FOLKS, I WANT EVERYONE IN THIS COMMUNITY TO FEEL LIKE THEY CAN USE OUR SERVICES, WHETHER IT BE THROUGH OUR TEMPORARY HOMELESS SERVICES, D.V. SERVICES, AND NOT BEING PUT IN A POSITION BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE A PLACE TO GO THAT THEY ARE PUT IN OUR JAILS, SO I THINK THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE SEVERAL STRATEGIES, AS WE'RE GOING FORWARD WHEN WE LOOK AT THE BUDGET, AND YOU KNOW, A SANCTUARY COUNTY MIGHT BE ONE OF THOSE STRATEGIES, AS WELL. SO I HEAR YOU LOUD AND CLEAR.

Commissioner Bailey: CHAIR I WILL SAY SOMETHING. I THINK IT'S CLEAR THAT JAIL IS NOT THE ANSWER FOR SOCIAL SERVICES. I APPLAUD YOU IN YOUR LEADERSHIP AND COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK IN YOUR LEADERSHIP, AND IN SETTING THE MARKER THAT THIS IS THE DIRECTION THAT WE'RE GOING AND CATALYZING CRITICAL PROGRESS TOWARDS HAVING ALTERNATIVES TO JAIL. I THINK THAT WE ARE ON THAT PATH AND I THINK THAT WE WILL MEET THAT GOAL. AS NOTED WE HAVE MADE SIGNIFICANT INVESTMENTS IN OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WILL PROVIDE ALTERNATIVES, AND AN IMPORTANT SAFETY NET. THOSE ARE IN DEVELOPMENT. THEY ARE CURRENTLY EXPANDING. WE'RE NOT QUITE THERE YET. TO ME THIS IS AN ISSUE OF GIVING US A BIT MORE BREATHING ROOM TO BRING THAT ONLINE AS WE CONTINUE TO SET THE SIGNAL OF THE DIRECTION THAT THIS BOARD INTENDS. THE NEXT BOARD CAN MAKE AN ASSESSMENT IN THE COURSE OF THE BUDGET PROCESS ABOUT THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THOSE MEASURES AS THEY COME ONLINE AND THE DIRECTION OF CONTINUING WHERE THIS BOARD HAS SET THE MARKER THAT WE WANT TO GO.

Chair Kafoury: ALL RIGHT, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR VOTE AYE. [AYES] ALL THOSE OPPOSED? [NAYS] THE BUDGET MODIFICATION IS ADOPTED.

R.3 Public Hearing and Second Reading of an Ordinance Amending County Ambulance Service Plan and MCC 21.400, Emergency Medical Services. Presenters: Joanne Fuller, Director; Dr. Paul Lewis, Health Officer; Darrell Knott, Emergency Medical Services Administrator, Health Department.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES AND SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.3. AND I JUST WANTED TO LET PEOPLE KNOW THAT WE ARE PLANNING ON CLOSING THIS MORNING DUE TO THE IMPENDING WEATHER, SO I WOULD LIKE TO GET THROUGH THIS ITEM, OF COURSE, FIRST, BUT AT LEAST AND TRY TO GET THROUGH SOME OF THE OTHER ITEMS, IF POSSIBLE, BEFORE IT GETS DANGEROUS OUTSIDE. THANK YOU.

Ms. Fuller: THANK YOU MADAM CHAIR MEMBERS, JOANNE FULLER, HEALTH DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR AND WE WILL TRY TO HELP YOU GET THROUGH THIS ITEM. THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ALL OREGON COUNTIES. WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO OVER ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT WE PROVIDED AT THE LAST TWO MEETINGS TODAY. WE JUST WANTED TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE FEEDBACK THAT WE HAVE GOTTEN SINCE WE WERE LAST BEFORE YOU, AND WHAT WE HAVE DONE TO ADDRESS THAT FEEDBACK AND THEN ASK FOR YOU TO APPROVE THIS PLAN.

Ms. Fuller: FIRST I WANT TO SAY THAT WE APPRECIATE ALL OF THE FEEDBACK THAT WE HAVE GOTTEN FROM EVERYBODY AND PARTICULARLY FROM ALL OF YOU, AND PARTICULARLY IN YOUR SUPPORT FOR STRONG LANGUAGE THAT SUPPORTS WAGES AND BENEFIT RETENTION FOR OUR FIRST RESPONDERS. WE HAVE WORKED WITH THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THEIR RECOMMENDATION IS THAT WE NOT PUT THAT LANGUAGE IN THE ORDINANCE, BUT THAT WE PUT THAT LANGUAGE IN THE RFP. IT'S VERY RARE FOR THAT LANGUAGE TO BE INCLUDED IN ORDINANCES. IT'S MUCH MORE COMMON FOR IT TO BE IN RF PERFORMS. IT WAS IN THE LAST ONE AND HAS BEEN OUR INTENTION TO PUT, TO LOOK TO THAT LAST ONE FOR THAT LANGUAGE, SO WE WILL BE WORKING WITH OUR PARTNERS AROUND HOW THAT LANGUAGE WORKS YOU, AND WE'RE MAKING THE COMMITMENT TO BE PUTTING THAT LANGUAGE IN THE RFP.

I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT WE LOOKED AT THE SCORING OF THE LAST RFP. THE CRITERIA FOR VENDOR SELECTION IN THAT EMPHASIZED QUALITY PERFORMANCE, CLINICAL EXCELLENCE, AND EQUIPMENT DATA, AND COMMITMENT TO FIRST RESPONDERS, AND EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION, NOT PRICE. AND IT'S OUR INTENTION TO FOLLOW THAT PROCESS, AS WELL. I AM GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO DR. LEWIS TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT OTHER FEEDBACK THAT WE RECEIVED AND WHAT WE'RE DOING ABOUT IT AND THEN WE'LL TAKE YOUR QUESTIONS.

Dr. Lewis: GREAT, THANKS FOR HAVING US BACK AGAIN TODAY. SINCE THE FIRST READING ON NOVEMBER 10, THE COUNTY HAS RESPONDED

FORMALLY TO INPUT FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND THE CITY OF GRESHAM AND YOU RECEIVED OUR RESPONSES TO THAT. IN THE INTEREST OF TIME I AM GOING TO TOUCH ON THE HIGH POINTS OF THAT. THE FIRST REQUEST IN THAT YOU DID HERE VERBALLY WAS FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND TO REQUEST THAT IF THE ENTITY MAKING THE PROPOSAL WERE A FIRE DEPARTMENT, BUT NOT OTHERS, THAT THERE WOULD BE AN ABILITY TO SUBCONTRACT THE ENTIRE THING TO ANOTHER ENTITY. AND THERE WERE A COUPLE OF REASONS, MAIN REASONS WHY WE DID NOT INCLUDE THAT IN THE CURRENT PROPOSAL.

PRIMARILY, AS JOANNE MENTIONED, IT'S ABOUT ACCOUNTABILITY, A COUNTY RESPONSIBILITY, AND PROVIDING THE CONTRACT TO AN ENTITY THAT'S GOING TO SUBCONTRACT IT. NOT ONLY LIMITS THE COUNTY'S ABILITY TO MAKE THAT PROVIDER ACCOUNTABLE, BUT WE DON'T THINK THAT IT NECESSARILY PROVIDES THE ATTENTION TO THE RURAL PARTS OF THE COUNTY, PARTICULARLY EAST COUNTY, THAT IT WOULD GET WITH THE COUNTY ADMINISTERING IT. SECOND, AND POTENTIALLY MORE FUNDAMENTALLY, IT OPENS UP THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING MULTIPLE 9-1-1 AMBULANCE PROVIDERS, AND THAT WAS THE PROBLEM SPECIFICALLY THAT THE 1994 AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN WAS CREATED TO SOLVE, AND WHAT THE CURRENT PLAN WANTS TO RETAIN, THAT THERE IS A SINGLE ACCOUNTABLE PROVIDER THAT SERVES THE ENTIRE COUNTY, NOT JUST PART OF IT.

Dr. Lewis: THE SECOND COMMENTS THAT WE RECEIVED WAS FROM THE CITY OF GRESHAM PARTIALLY IN RESPONSE TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND. THEY WERE CONCERNED THAT IF THE CITY OF PORTLAND GOT THE CONTRACT, THEN THEY WOULD WANT TO HAVE THEIR OWN AMBULANCE SERVICE AREA. THEY WERE ALSO CONCERNED ABOUT THEIR FIRST RESPONDERS BEING ON THE SCENE FOR PROLONGED PERIODS OF TIME. THEY WANTED TO CLARIFY HOW VENDORS ARE SELECTED. SO START IN REVERSE ORDER, ALL PROPOSALS BE CONSIDERED, AND THAT'S WHAT WE WILL DO, AND WE WILL CONSIDER ALL PROPOSALS. AND WITH REGARD TO HAVING A SECOND AMBULANCE SERVICE, CONTINGENT ON A PROPOSER GETTING THE CONTRACT WE DID NOT THINK THAT THAT WAS REASONABLE TO PUT IN THE PLAN OR THE CODE BECAUSE IT'S A CONTINGENCY, AND WE DON'T KNOW HOW IT WOULD PLAY OUT, AND IT ALSO AGAIN OPENS THE POSSIBILITY OF THERE BEING MORE THAN ONE AMBULANCE SERVICE AREA, MULTIPLE PROVIDERS, ETC. AND WITH REGARD TO THE RESPONSE TIME, WE HEARD THEM LOUD AND CLEAR ON THIS.

YOU MAY RECALL THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER THAT ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TIME OF 12 MINUTES THAT WE ADDED TO THIS PLAN THAT'S NOT IN THE PREVIOUS PLAN, WAS PROMOTE OR NOT. AND THIS ADDITION FOR MEDICAL ISSUES THAT ARE NOT TIME CRITICAL IS SPECIFICALLY ADDED TO ADDRESS THE CONCESSION, THE CONCERN THAT

GRESHAM HAS RAISED. A FULL RECTIFICATION IS DEPENDENT ON A MORE SOPHISTICATED MODERN 9-1-1 MEDICAL TREE ANGLE SYSTEM, BUT THE 12-MINUTE RESPONSE TIME IS IN RESPONSE SPECIFICALLY TO THIS ISSUE. FINALLY THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT, FURTHER ENGAGEMENT WITH THE FIRE AGENCIES. THE AGENCIES ARE OUR FIRST RESPONDERS, THEY ARE ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL PARTNERS, AND THEY ARE A HUGE PART OF THE EXCELLENCE THAT THE SYSTEM HAS. AND WE VALUE THEIR CONTRIBUTION AND WILL GOING FORWARD, AND WE WILL BE TAKING THEIR INPUT DURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE RFP AND ALSO IN THIS PLAN IS A NEW ENTITY THAT THE EMS ADVISORY COUNCIL, ON WHICH WE EXPECT THAT THEY WILL PARTICIPATE. SO I WILL WRAP IT UP THERE, AND I CAN PROVIDE MORE DETAILS IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS.

Commissioner Bailey: I APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT PUTTING MORE PROTECTIONS INTO THE RFP. I WOULD JUST EMPHASIZE THAT AND SAY THAT WHEN WE ARE CONTEMPLATING LARGE STRUCTURAL SHIFTS, AND CHANGING A SYSTEM, YOU ARE NO DOUBT AWARE OF THAT BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S CLEAR ON THE RECORD THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF HARD WORKING WOMEN, AND MEN THAT SHOW UP EVERY DAY THAT MAKE THAT SYSTEM FUNCTION. THEY ARE HAVING WELL TRAINED, WELL QUALIFIED, AND WELL COMPENSATED, WELL SUPPORTED WORKERS ON THE FRONT LINES WHO CAN ATTEND TO OUR EMERGENCY NEEDS? AND AS YOU DEVELOP THE RFP, MY VOTE IS CONTINGENT UPON MY UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU ARE COMMITTED TO PUTTING THAT LANGUAGE DIRECTLY INTO THE RFP TO ENSURE THAT WE HAVE A QUALITY WORKFORCE THAT IS SUPPORTED, AS THEY CARRY OUT THE FUNCTIONS THAT ARE MOST NECESSARY TO OUR HEALTH, LIFE, AND SAFETY, AND I WOULD ALSO ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONTINUE TO SEEK PARTNERSHIPS WITH OTHER PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND AGENCIES LIKE THE PORTLAND FIRE BUREAU, ON HOW WE CAN BEST WORK WITH THEM TO HAVE A COHESIVE, INTEGRATED, COORDINATED, AND EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT SERVICE DELIVERY FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES. SO I JUST WANT TO EMPHASIZE THOSE COMMENTS.

Vice-Chair Smith: I THINK HE TOOK MY TALKING POINT.

Commissioner Bailey: I WAS READING OVER YOUR SHOULDER.

Vice-Chair Smith: I AGREE, AND IF I HEARD YOU CORRECTLY, JOANNE, YOU SAID THAT YOU RECOGNIZED, AND WE BOTH LOOKED AT THE CONTRACT, AND LOOKED AT THE PREVIOUS ORDINANCE, AND IT WAS VERY CLEAR THAT THIS KIND OF ACTION IS EXCLUSIVE TO THE RFP. AND IF I ALSO HEARD YOU CORRECTLY, YOU HAVE NO INTENTION ON CHANGING THAT, YOU KNOW, LIVING WAGES ARE IMPORTANT, AND THAT WE HAVE AN RFP, AND I THINK THAT COMMISSIONER BAILEY SAID IT CORRECTLY, WE HAVE A LOT OF HARD WORKING FOLKS WHO ARE DOING A WONDERFUL JOB. AND WE WANT TO CONTINUE THAT, SO THANK YOU.

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR KAFOURY, I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL, TOO, FOR BEING HERE AND ECHO THOSE REMARKS, AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR WORKING WITH OUR EAST COUNTY CITIES, AS WELL, BECAUSE I KNOW YOU WORKED WITH ALL FOUR OF THEM VERY DILIGENTLY, AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THAT.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU TO EVERYONE WHO HAS COME TODAY AND EVERYONE WHO PARTICIPATED IN THIS PROCESS, I BELIEVE THIS PLAN IMPROVES ON WHAT A SERVICE DELIVERY SYSTEM THAT HAS ESSENTIALLY WORKED VERY WELL FOR THE LAST 22 YEARS, I THINK THAT THIS PLAN WILL MAINTAIN THE QUALITY OF SERVICES THAT OUR COMMUNITY HAS COME TO EXPECT, AND WHILE ALLOWING FOR FLEXIBILITY AND INNOVATION SO THAT WE CAN ADAPT TO IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR 9-1-1 SYSTEM. IN ADDITION I AM EXCITED FOR WORK ON THE RFP AND KNOW THAT WE ARE GOING TO INCLUDE A LOT OF THE, CONTINUE TO INCLUDE THE ITEMS THAT WERE IN LAST TIME AROUND. I BELIEVE WE HAVE PUBLIC TESTIMONY?

Board Clerk: YES, MADAM CHAIR. PLEASE COME FORWARD WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME. [READS NAMES]

Mr. Burns: KEN BURNS, PORTLAND FIRE AND RESCUE. FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO SAY I'M THE ULTIMATE OPTIMIST THAT YOU HAVEN'T ALL MADE UP YOUR MIND. I'M GOING TO HAVE THREE MINUTES TO TELL YOU HOW YOU CAN HELP INFLUX UP TO \$12 MILLION TO HELP OFFSET SOME SOCIAL SERVICES TO INCREASE THE 9-1-1 SYSTEM THAT'S GOING TO BE LEFT ON THE TABLE, THAT'S FEDERAL FUNDING THAT'S GOING TO BE AVAILABLE FOR FEDERAL AGENCIES PROVIDING FIRST RESPONDER SERVICES. HOUSE BILL 4030 WAS UNANIMOUSLY PASSED, THE HOUSE AND THE SENATE, REPRESENTATIVE MARGARET DOUGHERTY PUT THAT BILL TOGETHER WITH THE SPECIFIC INTENT TO HAVE A FEDERAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR MEDICAID SERVICES PROVIDED BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. PORTLAND FIRE HAS ASKED SEVERAL TIMES TO BE MORE INVOLVED IN THE AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN. WE ARE NOT ADVOCATING MULTIPLE, MULTIPLE SUBCONTRACTORS. WHAT WE'RE ADVOCATING IS TO BE ABLE TO BUILD ON A PARTNERSHIP, TO BE ABLE TO PARTNER WITH A PROVIDER TO JOINTLY GO IN AND PROVIDE SERVICES TO MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

NOW, THE CITY OF PORTLAND REPRESENTS 90% OF THE 9-1-1 CALLS, BUT WE ARE NOT LOOKING TO SUBCONTRACT OUT MULTIPLE PROVIDERS. WE WERE LOOKING FOR ONE PARTNER TO BE ABLE TO BID AND JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE CLEAR, WE'RE NOT EVEN ASKING FOR THE RFP AT THIS TIME. WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR THE ABILITY TO TRANSPORT AT THIS TIME. WE'RE ASKING FOR THE ABILITY TO BE INCLUDED IN THE PLAN AS THAT PLAN IS WRITTEN TODAY. IF PORTLAND FIRE BIDS FOR THE RFP TO PROVIDE TRANSPORT WHICH WOULD MAKE THEM QUALIFIED FOR THE FEDERAL

FUNDING OF THE GMT MONEY, WE WOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SUBCONTRACT. WE WOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO BUILD A PARTNERSHIP. WE WANT A PARTNERSHIP. WE LIKE THE PARTNERSHIP WITH AMR. WE LIKE THE PARTNERSHIP WITH MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

WHAT'S HAPPENING IS IF WE WANT TO PROCEED IN THE FUNDING, WE WILL HAVE BASICALLY TWO RECOURSES. ONE OF THEM IS TO BID ON OUR OWN WITHOUT A SUBCONTRACTOR AND THAT WOULD PUT PRIVATE AND PUBLIC AGAINST EACH OTHER OR THE LONG TERM IS CAUSE A FRACTURE, PERHAPS GO TO SALEM AND GET THE CITY OF PORTLAND TO HAVE ITS OWN RFP, OR I'M SORRY ITS OWN AMBULANCE SERVICE AGREEMENT AND THAT WILL FURTHER FRACTURE THE SYSTEM SO WHAT I'M ASKING FOR TODAY IS FOR A FRIENDLY AT ANY -- AMENDMENT. IF THE WINNING BIDDER OF THE AMBULANCE SERVICE AGREEMENT IS A PUBLIC ENTITY, THAT SUBCONTRACTING WILL BE ALLOWED AND IF WE WANTED TO ADD THE ONLY ALLOWED BY ONE OTHER SUBCONTRACTOR, THAT'S FINE. THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE, A LOT OF NEW FACES COMING AND GOING. THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF CONFUSION AND AGAIN, I JUST WANTED THREE MINUTE TO TELL YOU HOW YOU CAN HELP US HELP THE CITIZENS OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PORTLAND.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

Ms. Sayre: GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. I'M ALLI SAYRE, AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN AND SHOP STEWART REPRESENTING THE PARAMEDICS AND DISPATCHERS OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY. TODAY, I'M HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE PROPOSED CHANGES FOR THE AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN. MANY OF US WERE DISMAYED TO RECEIVE AN E-MAIL FROM CHAIR KAFOURY'S OFFICE LAST NIGHT AND IT WAS ECHOED AS WELL TODAY THAT WHILE Y'ALL FIND IT IMPORTANT TO PROTECT OUR WAGES AND BENEFITS THAT YOU WILL BE INTENDING ON DOING SO IN AN RFP INSTEAD OF IN THE AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN. SIMPLY PUT, THAT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH. I'M NOT A LAWYER AND I'M SURE AFTER SAYING THAT THAT THE LAWYERS ARE PREEMPTIVELY FACE PALMING, BUT THE WAGES AND BENEFITS WOULD BE PROTECTED IN AN RFP IS BY ASSIGNING A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF POINTS TO THAT LINE ITEM IN AN RFP. UNLESS WAGES AND BENEFITS RECEIVED ALL THE POINTS IN THE RFP, THEY ARE NOT TRULY PROTECTED.

A BIDDER COULD ISSUE THAT SECTION IN FAVOR OF RECEIVING MORE POINTS ELSEWHERE AND I KNOW YOU SAID THAT PRICE WAS NOT A FACTOR, BUT THAT WOULD CERTAINLY STILL BE A FACTOR EVEN IF IT WASN'T POINTS RECEIVING. AS I'VE SAID BEFORE, AMR IS A VERY EFFICIENT COMPANY. THEY'RE NOT PAYING ME TO SAY THAT. WELL, THEY ARE PAYING ME BUT NOT TO SAY THIS. I WOULD ENCOURAGE EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU TO VISIT OUR MULTNOMAH COUNTY OPERATION AND SPEND SOME TIME

OBSERVING. I'M CONFIDENT THAT YOU WILL SEE A BUSINESS THAT IS STREAMLINED AND PRODUCTIVE. AMR DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO CUT COSTS IN ANY MEANINGFUL WAY OTHER THAN BY REDUCING WAGES AND BENEFITS. SO BY LEAVING THE WAGES AND BENEFITS TO THE RFP AS OPPOSED TO THE AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN YOU ARE GIVING NON-UNION AMBULANCE COMPANIES A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE. THE PEOPLE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAVE CONSISTENTLY EXPRESSED THEIR SUPPORT FOR LIVING WAGES AND UNIONS. IT WOULD BE AN ABSOLUTE SLAP IN THE FACE TO THE VOTERS TO SUPPORT AN RFP THAT WILL RESULT IN LOWER WAGES AND BENEFITS FOR A GROUP OF PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT WEALTHY BY ANY MEANS.

WE HAVE WORKED HARD OVER THE LAST 27 YEARS TO IMPROVE OUR WAGES, BENEFITS AND WORKING CONDITIONS. 27 YEARS SOUNDS LIKE AN ODDLY SPECIFIC NUMBER. I'M ACTUALLY 27 YEARS OLD AND WHEN I WAS AN INFANT, THE LAST AMBULANCE STRIKE IN PORTLAND TOOK PLACE. A LOT HAS CHANGED SINCE THEN. BUT OUR NEED TO FEED OUR FAMILIES, PAY OUR BILLS AND SURVIVE HAS NOT. PLEASE DO NOT FORCE US OUT OF THE CITY WE LOVE. PLEASE DO NOT FORCE US TO TAKE TO THE STREETS TO PROTECT WHAT LITTLE WE HAVE. WE WANT TO CONTINUE SERVING THE PEOPLE OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY AS WE HAVE FOR MY ENTIRE LIFE. MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION. CREATE REAL PROTECTIONS FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY PARAMEDICS AND DISPATCHERS IN THE AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU, GOOD MORNING.

Mr. Savoie: GOOD MORNING, CHARLES SAVOIE, RETIRED PARAMEDIC AND SHOP STEWARD. AS I LISTEN TODAY TO WHAT IS BEING SAID ABOUT THE PROTECTIONS AND TREATMENT LANGUAGE AND RELYING ON THAT BEING INCLUDED IN THE RFP RATHER THAN THE ORDINANCE, I HAVE TO STATE THAT I HAVE COMPARED THE LANGUAGE IN THE '95 RFP AND THE 2005 RFP. NOWHERE IN THOSE TWO DOCUMENTS IS THERE ANY LANGUAGE THAT ENFORCES OR COMPELS THE CONTRACTOR TO COMPLY WITH THE PROTECTION LANGUAGE THAT HAS BEEN REFERRED TO AS BEING IN THOSE RFPS. IN OTHER WORDS, THE LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THERE, WHILE IT SPECIFIES THAT CERTAIN PROTECTIONS ARE REQUIRED AND CERTAIN TREATMENTS ARE REQUIRED IS FLUFF. WITHOUT ENFORCEMENT LANGUAGE, IT'S MEANINGLESS. AND SO WE HAVE PROPOSED OVER AND OVER THAT THERE BE SOME KIND OF ENFORCEMENT LANGUAGE AND WE HAVE PROPOSED THAT IT BE IN THE ORDINANCE.

AN ORDINANCE IS A PERFECT PLACE TO HAVE ENFORCEMENT LANGUAGE FOR ANYTHING THAT IS STATUTORY. SO I'M ASKING ONE MORE TIME JUST SAYING THAT WE'RE GOING TO INCLUDE PROTECTION AND TREATMENT LANGUAGE IN THE RFP IS MEANINGLESS TO US. I HAVE EVERY REASON TO

BE SKEPTICAL BECAUSE I CAN REFER TO TWO PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS THAT CONTAIN NOTHING, EXCEPT THAT LANGUAGE AND NO ENFORCEMENT. WHAT WE HAVE RECENTLY SUBMITTED TO THE COUNTY WAS LANGUAGE THAT INCLUDES SOME ENFORCEMENT. WE'RE ASKING YOU PLEASE LISTEN TO US AND SOMEHOW COMPEL THAT THAT ENFORCEMENT LANGUAGE BE INCLUDED IF NOT IN THE ORDINANCE, BY RESOLUTION OR CHANGE IN THE RFP DOCUMENT ITSELF. THANK YOU.

Commissioner Bailey: CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE AN AMENDMENT, LET'S GIVE THIS A FLY AND SEE IF IT WORKS AND I'M LOOKING TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY FOR ADVICE HERE ON THIS, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE AN AMENDMENT THAT IF THE WINNING BIDDER IS A PUBLIC ENTITY THAT SUBCONTRACTING WOULD BE ALLOWED WITH ONE OTHER ENTITY.

Chair Kafoury: DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

Vice-Chair Smith: SECOND.

Commissioner Bailey: SEEMS TO MAKE SENSE. I THINK THE ARGUMENT THAT WAS PUT FORWARD SWAYED ME, AND I THINK THERE'S A REAL OPPORTUNITY HERE THROUGH THE RFP PROCESS TO HAVE GREATER CONSIDERATION OF THIS. IT'S NOT LOCKING US INTO A SPECIFIC PATH, BUT RATHER OPENS UP THE POTENTIAL FOR CONSIDERATION OF A PATH THAT MAY WORK WITH ACTIONS THAT ARE HAPPENING DOWN AT THE STATE LEGISLATURE. SEEMS TO BE WORTH AT LEAST EXPLORING FURTHER AND HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLORE FURTHER IF IT RESULT IN COST SAVINGS.

Commissioner Shiprack: COULD WE MAYBE HAVE SOMEONE ADDRESS THIS WHO HAS PARTICIPATED OVER A TIME HORIZON IN CREATING THE DOCUMENT? THANKS, PAUL. THE REASON I'M ASKING YOU TO STEP FORWARD IS I'M LISTENING TO COMMISSIONER BAILEY AND TO KEN'S REQUEST AND I WANT TO KNOW IF THIS IS A TOPIC THAT HAD EVER OCCURRED TO YOU OR EVER WAS VETTED DURING THE DISCUSSION OF WHAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US PRIOR TO THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT AND IF SO, IS THERE A COMPELLING REASON NOT TO APPROVE COMMISSIONER BAILEY'S PROPOSAL?

Commissioner McKeel: AND CHAIR KAFOURY, MIGHT I ADD SOMETHING ON TO THAT? PLEASE? THANK YOU. I'M JUST CURIOUS WITH THIS PROPOSAL, HOW DOES THIS WORK FOR ALL OF THE CITIES IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY?

Chair Kafoury: ANSWER ONE AT A TIME?

Commissioner McKeel: IT'S A PART OF THIS BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING A SPECIFIC PUBLIC ENTITY RIGHT HERE AND THIS IS A PART OF THIS. HOW DOES THIS WORK FOR ALL OF OUR CITIES IN THE COUNTY?

Commissioner Shiprack: COULD I GO BACK TO MY QUESTION?

Chair Kafoury: ONE AT A TIME, SO COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK?

Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU.

Dr. Lewis: OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO TAKE A STAB AT THIS, BUT PLEASE REDIRECT IF NECESSARY. SO I THINK THERE'S PRETTY SERIOUS DIFFERENCE OF OPINION WITHIN THE STATE ABOUT THIS POT OF FEDERAL GOLD THAT'S GOING TO FLOAT THE EMS SYSTEM CALLED GEMT. HOUSE BILL 4030 DID PASS. IT ASKED FOR THERE TO BE A COMMITTEE SETUP. THE COMMITTEE HAS MET ONCE OR TWICE AND HAS RESOLVED SOMETHING AND IT SAYS THE CCOS MAY PARTICIPATE IN THIS. AND SO THERE'S VERY SERIOUS QUESTIONS OF THE CCOS OF WHETHER EVEN IF SOME RULES DID GET WRITTEN WHETHER THEY WOULD CHOOSE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS. THE SECOND POINT IS THAT IT'S MEDICAID, WHICH AGAIN COVERS SOMETHING LIKE A QUARTER OF THE POPULATION, NOT THE ENTIRE POPULATION. I DON'T AGREE WITH THE CONTENTION THAT THERE'S THIS BIG POT OF GOLD THERE AND EVEN IF THERE WERE WHETHER OUR MEDICAID SYSTEM IN OREGON WOULD SORT OF JUST OPEN THE FLOODGATES ON THAT. AGAIN, FOR A QUARTER OF THE POPULATION.

Dr. Lewis: I THINK THE MOST IMPORTANT CONCERN THAT THE EMS PROGRAM AND HEALTH DEPARTMENT LEADERSHIP HAD ABOUT THE PROPOSAL IS THAT THIS IS A COUNTY RESPONSIBILITY IN THE STATE OF OREGON AND THAT'S AGAIN THIS THING WE KEEP SAYING ABOUT A SINGLE SERVICE AREA, A SINGLE PROVIDER, ETC. WE FEEL IF THERE WERE A SINGLE CITY THAT WAS THE ENTITY THAT BECAME THE SELECTED PROVIDER AND THEN WENT TO SUBCONTRACTING, WHETHER IT WAS ONE OR MORE, THAT WOULD CERTAINLY DIMINISH WE THINK THE ACCOUNTABILITY BECAUSE IT ADDS ANOTHER ENTIRE LAYER BETWEEN THE COUNTY'S RESPONSIBILITY AND THE PROVISION OF THE SERVICE. SO I'M GOING TO STOP THERE AND SEE IF I'VE ANSWERED THAT.

Commissioner Shiprack: YOU HAVE AND JUST A FOLLOW-UP SO THAT WE BOOK-END THIS CONVERSATION. THE MEASURE, ABSENT COMMISSIONER BAILEY'S AMENDMENT, WOULD PROHIBIT A PRIVATE CONTRACTOR, SAY AMR, WOULD BE PROHIBITED FROM SUBCONTRACTING FOR ANY SERVICE FROM THE PORTLAND FIRE BUREAU?

Dr. Lewis: THE DRAFT AMBULANCE SERVICE PLAN, ONE OF THE FEW WAYS IT DIFFERS FROM THE 1994 PLAN WHICH PROHIBITED SUBCONTRACTING FLAT

OUT IS THAT IT DOES ALLOW SUBCONTRACTING TO SOLVE UNIQUE AND UNUSUAL PROBLEMS, BUT NOT WIDESPREAD. AGAIN, THE WISE PEOPLE THAT DEALT WITH THIS IN THE '80S AND '90S WERE DEALING WITH MULTIPLE COMPETING 9-1-1 AMBULANCE SERVICE PROVIDERS WHICH THEY CALLED THE AMBULANCE WARS AND PART OF THE STABILITY THAT WE HAVE NOW IS THERE IS FOR ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES A SINGLE ENTITY DOING THAT.

Chair Kafoury: I WANT TO MAKE SURE COMMISSIONER MCKEEL GOT HER QUESTION ANSWERED BECAUSE SHE WAS FIRST IN LINE. DID YOU HAVE SOME FOLLOW-UP?

Commissioner McKeel: I BELIEVE HE ANSWERED THAT

Dr. Lewis: I KNOW YOU'VE REVIEWED MAYOR BEMIS' LETTER AND CONCERNS AND READ THE RESPONSES BOTH TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND TO THE CITY OF GRESHAM THAT EMPHASIZED THAT THE QUALITY AND EQUITY ACROSS THE COUNTY BE MAINTAINED AND THAT THERE'S A DANGER THAT HAS HAPPENED IN MANY OTHER PLACES IN THE COUNTRY WHERE THERE'S A DOUGHNUT HOLE WHERE THERE'S DIFFERENT QUALITY OF SERVICE IN A CENTRAL MORE DENSELY POPULATED AREA AND LOWER QUALITY IN MORE PERIPHERAL AREAS.

Commissioner Bailey: HELP ME UNDERSTAND, THOUGH, WHAT YOU JUST SAID WOULD BE ASSUMING THAT THE CONTRACT WAS WON AND THAT YOU WOULD HAVE DESIGNED AN RFP THAT IN SOME WAY WOULDN'T HAVE ACCOUNTED FOR A DOUGHNUT HOLE AND YET IN A PREVIOUS DISCUSSION WE DISCUSSED YOU'RE GOING TO BE DESIGNING RFPS WITH ALL KINDS OF WORKER PROTECTIONS. I WOULD PREFER THAT IT'S IN THE ORDINANCE, BUT APPARENTLY, THAT'S NOT ON THE TABLE. HOW WOULD WE HAVE A WINNING BIDDER IF THE LANGUAGE IN THE AMENDMENT SAYS IF THE WINNING BIDDER IS A PUBLIC ENTITY, THEY CAN SUBCONTRACT, HOW DOES THAT PRESUPPOSE THAT THE WINNING BIDDER WOULDN'T HAVE EFFECTIVE COVERAGE FOR THEIR PLAN FOR THE ENTIRE COUNTY?

Dr. Lewis: THAT'S WHY IT COULD BE CHALLENGING FOR SUCH A BID TO BE SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE IT WOULD NEED TO COVER THE ENTIRE COUNTY. AGAIN, WE FEEL THAT WE'RE ACCOUNTABLE TO YOU AS OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS AND LEADERSHIP AND THAT BY ESSENTIALLY HANDING IT OVER TO ANOTHER SET OF ELECTED OFFICIALS AND LETTING THEM RUN THE SYSTEM WOULD DECREASE OUR ACCOUNTABILITY.

Commissioner Bailey: I WOULD IMAGINE YOU COULD STRUCTURE THAT PROVISION FOR ACCOUNTABILITY WITHIN YOUR RFP. WHAT'S THE HARM IN HAVING CONTINGENT LANGUAGE THAT IF A WINNING BIDDER IS A PUBLIC ENTITY, IF THEY GO THROUGH THE HOOPS OF THE RFP TO PROVE THAT THEY CAN MEET THE NEEDS, ADD A LOWER COST, PROVIDE OTHER WORKER

PROTECTIONS, DO ALL THE THINGS THAT WE NEED TO KEEP THEM SAFE TO SUBCONTRACT IT, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THAT'S A PROBLEM. ARE YOU CONCERNED THAT THEY WOULD HAVE A BETTER PROPOSAL THAT WAY AND THEY MIGHT BE MORE LIKELY TO WIN BECAUSE THEIR PROPOSAL WOULD BE BETTER?

Dr. Lewis: AGAIN, WE WORK FOR YOU GUYS AND TO HAND THE RUNNING OF THIS SERVICE OVER TO ANOTHER JURISDICTION MAKES US FEEL LIKE WE WOULD BE LESS ACCOUNTABLE TO YOU.

Commissioner Bailey: BUT HOW DOES THE LANGUAGE IN THE AMENDMENT ACHIEVE THE FEAR THAT YOU JUST EXPRESSED?

Dr. Lewis: I DON'T WANT TO SOUND LIKE A BROKEN RECORD. IT ADDS AN ADDITIONAL LAYER OF ANOTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY BETWEEN THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT AND THE PROVISION OF THE SERVICES.

Commissioner Bailey: WE'RE IN A CIRCULAR CONVERSATION.

Chair Kafoury: WELL, I WOULD ADD THAT ONE OF THE THINGS, THIS HAS BEEN AN EXTREMELY FRUSTRATING ISSUE FOR ME OVER THE PAST TWO MONTHS THAT I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN IT AND PART OF IT IS THE DIFFERENT MESSAGES COMING FROM DIFFERENT PEOPLE. THE WHOLE GEMT ISSUE IS IN ITS INFANCY STAGES. I DON'T THINK THAT THERE'S A PERSON HERE WHO DOESN'T WANT OUR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO HAVE ADDITIONAL DOLLARS. I DON'T THINK ANYBODY IS GOING TO DISAGREE THAT THAT IS IMPORTANT. WE KNOW THAT OUR 9-1-1 SYSTEM NEEDS TO BE UPGRADED, WE KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF EFFICIENCIES THAT CAN BE ACHIEVED, BUT THE LEGISLATURE PASSED A BILL, THERE'S BEEN ONE COMMITTEE MEETING, THERE ARE SO MANY HOOPS THAT THEY NEED TO GO THROUGH. IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. SO WE COULD CHANGE THE LANGUAGE AND HAVE, BUT IT WOULD BE JUST TO MAKE OURSELVES FEEL BETTER BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY IN SIX MONTHS THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE A PLAN IN PLACE WHERE THIS COMMITTEE COMES UP WITH RECOMMENDATIONS, THAT THEY'RE APPROVED BY CMS, THAT IT'S APPROVED BY THE CCOS, AND THAT THIS DIRECT CHANNEL OF FUNDING, THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN IN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS, I DON'T BELIEVE.

Chair Kafoury: ALSO IF WE'RE GOING TO HAND OVER AND BIFURCATE OUR RESPONSIBILITY WITH ANOTHER GOVERNMENT, I WANT TO SEE THAT OTHER GOVERNMENT HERE. NO OFFENSE TO THE PORTLAND FIREFIGHTERS, BUT I DON'T SEE ANYBODY FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND HERE TODAY ADVOCATING, SAYING THAT THEY WANT THIS RESPONSIBILITY AND THEY WOULD BE THE ONES TO HAVE THE CONTRACT. SO THAT'S WHERE MY CONCERN COMES IN, I HOPE THAT AFTER WE PASS THIS PLAN, THAT WE CAN GET EVERYONE TOGETHER AND TALK ABOUT HOW WE MAKE SURE THAT

WE'RE ABLE TO GET THIS MONEY INTO OUR COMMUNITIES BECAUSE WE NEED IT. AND THAT IS GOING TO TAKE ALL OF US WORKING TOGETHER AND NOT DIFFERENT PEOPLE COMING AT IT FROM DIFFERENT ANGLES.

Commissioner Shiprack: I THINK THIS IS KIND OF A TWO-EDGED SWORD HERE AND I'M GOING TO CROSS OVER AND TALK ABOUT THE ISSUE THAT CHARLES SAID, THAT YOU BROUGHT FORWARD TO US IN TWO MEETINGS. I THINK THAT THIS IS THE COST TO AMR OF HAVING HAD CONTRACT EXTENSIONS AND NO DISCUSSION IN THIS PUBLIC BODY FOR A LONG TIME. WE HAVE NOT DEALT WITH THESE ISSUES, AND NOW, WE'RE DEALING WITH THEM AND THERE WILL BE CHANGES, AND I THINK THAT I AM GOING TO STAY WITH THE WORK THAT'S BEEN DONE BY THE WORK GROUP THAT HAS BEEN WORKING ON THIS ISSUE BECAUSE I DON'T FEEL THAT MY LEVEL OF EXPERTISE FROM TWO MEETINGS ABOUT IT HAS A COMPETITIVE EDGE TO WHAT IT IS THAT YOU HAVE LEARNED AFTER STUDYING AND MEETING WITH THE COMMUNITY ABOUT IT. BUT I DO THINK THAT THIS ISSUE OF FRAGMENTATION CONCERNS ME AND I DON'T WANT TO VENTURE INTO THAT WORLD WHERE THE SERVICES ARE FRAGMENTED AND THERE'S NO CLEAR RESPONSIBILITY AND WHERE WE HAVE GIVEN UP KIND OF OUR AUTONOMOUS RESPONSIBILITY, EVEN THOUGH WE'VE HAD MANY CONTRACT EXTENSIONS.

Commissioner Shiprack: I ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT IT'S TROUBLING TO ME THAT THERE'S SO MUCH FEAR THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE AN EROSION OF THE PRIVATE UNION WORKFORCE IN THIS COMMUNITY AND I CERTAINLY HEAR THAT THERE IS THAT CONCERN AND FEAR, AND THAT'S A DIFFERENT TOPIC. THAT IS REALLY NOT THIS TOPIC AND IT IS REALLY NOT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THIS BOARD TO ASSUME ORGANIZING STATURE FOR ANY LABOR ORGANIZATION. IN SPITE OF THAT, WE ARE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF UNIONS AND WE ARE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF UNION NEGOTIATED WAGES AND BENEFITS. BUT I THINK ASKING US TO CLEAR THE POOL SO TO SPEAK AND IMPOSE OURSELVES IN THE GREATER COMMUNITY OTHER THAN EXPRESSING OUR SUPPORT FOR UNION LABOR AND THE FUNCTION OF LABOR LEADERS TO ORGANIZE EXCEEDS OUR REALM. SO I'M NOT GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT AND I AM GOING TO BE SUPPORTING THE MEASURE AS IT'S BEEN PRESENTED INITIALLY.

Commissioner Bailey: A BRIEF FINAL WORD, WHICH IS I UNDERSTAND THE AMENDMENT PROBABLY WON'T PASS. I THINK IT'S GOOD WE'RE HAVING THE DISCUSSION. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT PASS. AND IT SEEMS ODD TO ME THAT WE'RE TAKING THE POTENTIAL FOR INNOVATION OFF THE TABLE BEFORE WE'VE HAD A CHANCE TO FULLY FERRET IT OUT, AND IT'S UNCLEAR TO ME WHY PERMISSIVE LANGUAGE IS SO THREATENING. BUT, SUCH AS IT IS WE CAN MOVE FORWARD.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR. I JUST WANTED TO WEIGH IN ON THIS AND I VOTED FOR THIS BECAUSE I WANTED TO HEAR SOME MORE CONVERSATION

ABOUT IT AND I DO SUPPORT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, IT'S JUST NOT GOING TO HAPPEN IN SIX MONTHS AND YEAH, WE DON'T EXACTLY KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN WITH THE GEMT, BUT I THINK EVERYBODY PROBABLY NEEDS TO TAKE A DEEP BREATH ON THIS BECAUSE I THINK, YOU KNOW, WE DID THIS BACK IN 2005 AND IN '95 AND WE HAVE HAD A GOOD PRODUCT ON BOTH ACCOUNT. SO I THINK THAT WE JUST NEED TO LET YOU KNOW, WHAT WE HAVE ON THE TABLE, LET THAT GO BECAUSE IT'S TOO LATE AT THIS LATE DATE TO TRY TO CHANGE THOSE REALLY STRUCTURAL CHANGES AND TRY TO WORK SOME OF THIS OUT IN THE RFP PROCESS.

Chair Kafoury: ALL RIGHT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? WE NEED TO VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER BAILEY'S AMENDMENT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [AYES] OPPOSED? [NAYS] THE AMENDMENT FAILS. SO WE WILL NOW VOTE ON THE ORDINANCE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [AYES] OPPOSED? [NAYS]

Chair Kafoury: THE SECOND READING IS APPROVED AND THE ORDINANCE IS ADOPTED.

R.4 Resolution Approving Exercise of the Option to Purchase Certain Real Property Located at NE 257th Drive, Troutdale, OR. Presenters: Jon Legarza, FPM Div.; Jason Gates, MCSO; Steve Cruzen, Shiels Oblatz Johnsen; and, Mark Campbell, CFO.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.4. REAL QUICK, AS I UNDERSTAND NOT THAT I CAN SEE OUT THE WINDOWS, BUT APPARENTLY THE SNOW IS NOT STICKING SO WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO MOVE THROUGH UNTIL I GET WORD THAT IT'S REALLY DANGEROUS OUTSIDE AND WE NEED TO GO. THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE SHOULD TAKE HOURS AND HOURS AND HOURS, HOWEVER. THAT MEANS THAT WE SHOULD HUSTLE ALONG. BECAUSE I KNOW MY DAUGHTER'S CALLED ME THREE TIMES IN THE PAST 10 MINUTES. SHE'S HOME. "WHERE ARE THE SNOW BOOTS?" IS THE QUESTION.

Mr. Legarza: GOOD MORNING, I'M JON LEGARZA, STRATEGIC PROPERTY MANAGER. OUR PURPOSE HERE TODAY IS TO REVIEW THE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES TO PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND ON THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, SUMMARIZE THE STEPS THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE IN MARCH OF 2016. WE ALSO ARE GOING TO OUTLINE THE PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. THESE ARE AS FOLLOWS: LOCATE WHERE THE GREATEST SERVICE DEMANDS OCCUR FOR THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, CREATE A MODERN, SAFE FACILITY THAT'S DESIGNED IN A FUNCTIONAL AND FLEXIBLE WAY THAT WILL MEET CURRENT NEEDS. AN OVERVIEW OF SOME RECENT PROJECT BACKGROUND IS AS FOLLOWS. HAD IN 2015, THE AGREEMENT WAS ESTABLISHED BETWEEN MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND THE CITY OF TROUTDALE. CITY OF TROUTDALE POLICE TRANSITIONED TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE STAFF.

IN 2015, 50 SWORN AND NONSWORN OFFICERS WERE RELOCATED FROM THE HANSEN BUILDING INTO WHAT WE KNOW AS THE TPC, TROUTDALE POLICE COMMUNITY CENTER. IN 2016, THE COUNTY ENTERED INTO AN OPTION TO PURCHASE A PROPERTY DIRECTLY WEST. THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS APPROVED IN MARCH OF 2016 THE PLANNING PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING WORK: INITIATE AND PREPARE THE PLAN, COMPLETE THE BUILDING AND SITE PROGRAMMING, SOLICIT AND EVALUATE PROPOSALS OF AVAILABLE PARCELS FOR THE PROJECT, CONDUCT PROPERTY DUE DILIGENCE, SITE ANALYSIS, UPDATE OUR ESTIMATES FOR THE DESIGN ALTERNATIVES. THEY WERE HIRED TO PROVIDE THE OVERSIGHT FOR THE PROPERTY EVALUATION PROCESS, AND NOW, WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE STEVE CRUZEN PRESENT THE PROGRAM EVALUATION.

Mr. Cruzen: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS, I'M STEVE CRUZEN. WE INITIATED THE RFI PROCESS AND YIELDED ABOUT 10 AVAILABLE PROPERTIES THAT WERE AVAILABLE AND OUT OF THOSE 10

ABOUT 5 WERE ELIMINATED BECAUSE OF THEIR INADEQUATE SIZE OR LOCATION PROBLEMS. WE CONVENED A SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE AND THAT INCLUDED REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND A GUEST FROM THE CLACKAMAS COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE. WE REVIEWED THE 5 PROPERTIES AND THEIR INFORMATION THAT WAS SUBMITTED FOR THE PROPERTIES AND EVALUATED AND SCORED THEM ON A NUMBER OF ESTABLISHED CRITERIA WHICH INCLUDED THE PHYSICAL SITE CHARACTERISTICS, THE GENERAL LOCATION CHARACTER, PUBLIC ACCESSIBILITY AND SITE SECURITY. THE RESULTS OF THAT EVALUATION HAD DETERMINED THAT THE TROUTDALE PROPERTY, WHICH IS LOCATED DIRECTLY WEST OF THE EXISTING TPCC PRESENTED THE BEST OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW SHERIFF'S OFFICE FACILITY. THE LOCATION WAS FOUND TO BE BEST SUITED FOR THAT FACILITY.

Mr. Cruzen: IT HAS THE SHORTEST BY FAR TRAVEL DURATION FOR EMERGENCY VEHICLES TO I-84. IT HAS GOOD VISIBILITY AND PUBLIC TRANSIT ACCESS FOR VISITORS. IT MAXIMIZES EFFICIENCIES WITH ITS LOCATION SO CLOSE TO THE TPCC WHERE A NUMBER OF SHERIFF'S OFFICE STAFF ALREADY ARE RESIDING. AND IT HAD A BETTER ABILITY TO SECURE THE SITE AND WAS LESS VULNERABLE TO POTENTIAL NATURAL AND MAN-MADE DISASTERS. WE WENT FURTHER WITH DUE DILIGENCE ON THAT PROPERTY AND REVIEWED A SURVEY. WE HAD A SURVEY PREPARED AND A PHASE ONE ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY DONE WITH A GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION AND ALL OF THOSE STUDIES RAISED NO SIGNIFICANT ISSUES OF CONCERN FOR DEVELOPMENT AND THIS SLIDE IS AN EXAMPLE OF AN EXERCISE THAT WAS DONE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAD A PROPERLY FITTING SITE PLAN AND BUILDING LOCATION. THIS IS JUST VERY CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE, BUT IT WAS MEANT TO TEST THE SIZE AND THE CONFIGURATION OF THE SITE IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE THE NEEDS FOR THE BUILDING AND SITE PROGRAM.

Mr. Campbell: GOOD MORNING, MARK CAMPBELL, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER. WE COME TO THE PART ABOUT HOW WE PAY FOR THIS PROJECT. ONE OF THE THINGS I WANT TO POINT OUT IS THAT OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF BUDGET CYCLES YOU'VE ALLOCATED GENERAL FUND ONE TIME ONLY TO THIS PROJECT SO WE'VE ACCUMULATED APPROXIMATELY \$5.3 MILLION FOR THIS PROJECT. IT'S ABOUT A \$23 MILLION PROJECT. MY ASSUMPTION IS THAT THE REMAINDER OF THAT COST COMES IN THE FORM OF LONG-TERM BORROWING. RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE A LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT. YOU CAN SEE ON THE ESTIMATES THAT IF WE WERE TO FINANCE THIS OVER 20 YEARS WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT A \$1.23 MILLION ANNUAL PAYMENT AND IN 30 YEARS IT WOULD BE \$1 MILLION. NOW, THE OTHER POINT ABOUT THIS THAT I WANT TO MAKE IS YOU'VE SEEN THIS CHART BEFORE. THIS OVERLAYS OUR EXISTING DEBT AND THE DEBT THAT'S ASSOCIATED WITH THE OTHER TWO PROJECTS THAT YOU'VE GIVEN YOUR APPROVAL FOR AND

SHOWS EVEN WITH THE ADDITION OF THIS ASSUMED BORROWING THAT WE'RE STILL WELL WITHIN THE COUNTY'S DEBT CAPACITY AS ESTABLISHED IN POLICY.

Mr. Legarza: THANK YOU, MARK. THESE WERE ALL PROJECT MILESTONES. THE LEFT-HAND COLUMN SHOWS THE MAJOR DESCRIPTIONS. THE FIRST STAR ON THE LEFT IS MARCH OF 2016 WHEN THE BOARD APPROVED US MOVING FORWARD WITH THE PRELIMINARY PLANNING PROPOSAL OF THE PROCESS. THE SECOND STAR IS WHERE WE'RE AT TODAY, WE'RE REQUESTING APPROVAL OF THE PARCEL AND AS A REMINDER, FUNDS ARE SET ASIDE FOR THIS STEP. WE WOULD THEN REPORT BACK TO THE NEW BOARD AT THE END OF THE FIRST CALENDAR QUARTER WITH AN UPDATED DESIGN AND PERMITTING PROCESS PROPOSAL. IF YOU LOOK FARTHER DOWN, IF APPROVED IN 2017, WE WOULD THEN RESPOND BACK TO THE BOARD TWO TIMES IN 2017 AND 2018 FOR THE DESIGN DOCUMENTATION AND THE CONSTRUCTION BONDS. WE WOULD THEN REPORT BACK AT THE BEGINNING OF 2018 WITH A PROPOSED CONTRACT PRIOR TO MOVING FORWARD WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.

LASTLY, NEXT STEPS, REQUESTING AUTHORIZATION TODAY FOR THE PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT ON THE TROUTDALE PROPERTY. AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT TEAM TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PROJECT PROPOSAL AND PROJECT PLAN. ALSO AUTHORIZATION TO PREPARE THEIR ARCHITECTURE AND CONTRACT RFPS, REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS. THESE ITEMS ARE APPROXIMATELY \$1.35 MILLION. AND IF APPROVED TODAY WE WOULD MOVE FORWARD ON EXERCISING THE PROPERTY PURCHASE BEFORE THE END OF 2016. WE WOULD RETURN TO THE NEW BOARD IN MARCH TO DELIVER THE PROJECT PROPOSAL, THE PROJECT PLAN AND REQUEST THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STEPS. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS TODAY?

Commissioner McKeel: I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE WORK THAT YOU'VE DONE ON THIS AND I'M HAPPY TO SEE THIS MOVING FORWARD AS I EXIT HERE, BUT I ALSO WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE PROCESS AROUND THIS PROJECT. I THINK THIS IS A PROCESS THAT THIS BOARD SET UP GOING BACK TO THE EAST COUNTY COURTHOUSE AND I THINK IT HAS WORKED WELL FOR ALL OF OUR PROJECTS THAT HAVE COME FORWARD AND SO I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THAT AND JUST MAKE THAT NOTE THAT THIS PROCESS WORKS WELL SO THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ANYTHING ELSE FROM YOU ALL? ALL RIGHT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.5 Public Hearing and Second Reading of an Ordinance Amending County's Comprehensive Plan and Maps to Adopt the City of Portland's New Comprehensive Plan and Maps. Presenters: Michael Cerbone, Planning Director, Multnomah County & Eric Engstrom, Principal Planner, City of Portland.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.5.

Mr. Cerbone: GOOD MORNING, I'M THE PLANNING DIRECTOR FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I HAVE WITH ME ERIC ENGSTROM, THE PRINCIPLE PLANNER FROM THE CITY OF PORTLAND FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. THIS IS THE SECOND READING OF AN ORDINANCE. THE PURPOSE OF THAT ORDINANCE IS TO ADOPT PORTLAND'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR APPLICATION INTO THE URBAN POCKETS, THE UNINCORPORATED SERVICE AREA AROUND THE CITY OF PORTLAND. WITH THAT I WILL ESSENTIALLY LEAVE IT. IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS WE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS.

Commissioner McKeel: ANY CHANGES FROM THE FIRST READING?

Mr. Cerbone: THERE ARE NO CHANGES FROM THE FIRST READING.

Commissioner McKeel: THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE SECOND READING IS APPROVED AND THE ORDINANCE IS ADOPTED. THANK YOU.

R.6 Resolution Rescinding a Portion of Resolution No. 2016-040 Authorizing Acquisition of Real Property for a Maintenance Project on the Burnside Bridge. Presenters: Courtney Lords, Asst. County Attorney; and Pat Hinds, Transportation Permit Specialist.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.6.

Ms. Lords: GOOD MORNING, COURTNEY LORDS, ATTORNEY OFFICE, WITH ME IS PAT HINDS, PERMIT SPECIALIST. WHAT WE ARE DOING ON THIS ONE IS IN RELATION TO RESOLUTION NUMBER 2016-040 WHERE YOU AUTHORIZED US TO MOVE FORWARD WITH NEGOTIATIONS FOR ACQUISITION RELATED TO REAL PROPERTY FOR THE BURNSIDE BRIDGE MAINTENANCE PROJECT, WE HAVE ONE PARCEL NOW THAT WE HAVE DETERMINED IS NO LONGER NECESSARY FOR THE PROJECT AND SO WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR TODAY IS THAT YOU RESCIND A PORTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 2016-040 SO THAT WE

ARE NO LONGER CLOUDING THAT TITLE WITH POTENTIAL CONDEMNATION ACTION.

Vice-Chair Smith: IS THERE ANY FINANCIAL IMPACT TO US DOING THIS?

Ms. Lords: IT'S MINOR, JUST BECAUSE OF THE COST OF WHAT WE WOULD HAVE HAD TO PAY FOR THE TAKING, BUT IT'S NOT SIGNIFICANT.

Vice-Chair Smith: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.7 Resolution Approving the Acquisition of Certain Real Property Interests for the NE Arata Road Project. Presenters: Patrick Hinds, Permit Specialist and Courtney Lords, Assistant County Attorney.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.7.

Ms. Lords: WE ARE VERY HAPPY TO REPORT THAT THIS THE FINAL RESOLUTION YOU WILL SEE ON THE ARATA ROAD PROJECT. WHAT THIS ONE DOES IS ACCEPTS THE LAST FIVE PROPERTY EASEMENTS. SO WE HAVE RECEIVED PERMISSION FROM ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS TO MOVE FORWARD. THEY HAVE SIGNED THEIR EASEMENT DOCUMENTS AND THIS IS JUST THE FORMALITY BY YOU AS THE BOARD ACCEPTING THOSE EASEMENTS. ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU WANT TO ADD ON THAT?

Mr. Hinds: I'LL BE GLAD WHEN THIS IS OVER. [LAUGHTER]

Commissioner Shiprack: SPEAKING FOR ALL OF US.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD?

Commissioner McKeel: CHAIR, JUST THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS. I KNOW THIS HAS TURNED OUT TO BE A LITTLE BIT BIGGER PROJECT THAN EVERYBODY ANTICIPATED IN THE BEGINNING AND I'M SO HAPPY I DON'T HAVE TO ASK MY QUESTION TODAY SO THANK YOU!

Mr. Hinds: WE CERTAINLY APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT ON THIS ENDEAVOR.

Chair Kafoury: EXCELLENT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.8 Resolution Approving the Acquisition of Certain Real Property Interests for the NE Sandy Blvd. Road Project, in the Cities of Fairview and Wood Village. Presenters: Patrick Hinds, Permit Specialist and Courtney Lords, Assistant County Attorney.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.8.

Ms. Lords: SO THIS RESOLUTION IS ALSO A FORMALITY. YOU PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED RESOLUTION 2015-084 WHICH AUTHORIZED TRANSPORTATION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH NEGOTIATIONS TO ACQUIRE REAL PROPERTY RELATED TO THE NORTHEAST SANDY ROAD PROJECT. WE HAVE RECEIVED ACCEPTANCE OF OUR EASEMENT DOCUMENTS FROM ALL PROPERTY OWNERS AFFECTED BY THIS PROJECT AND THIS RESOLUTION IS THE FORMALITY OF YOU ACCEPTING THOSE EASEMENTS.

Commissioner McKeel: THIS AFFECTS THE TOWNSEND PROPERTY? THAT'S WHAT THIS IS ABOUT?

Mr. Hinds: YES, OF THE SEVEN PROPERTIES THAT WE'RE ACQUIRING OUT THERE, THEY OWN IN ONE CAPACITY OR THE OTHER FIVE OF THOSE PROPERTIES.

Commissioner McKeel: THAT'S GREAT. THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PIECE OF INDUSTRIAL LAND IN EAST COUNTY SO WE'RE VERY HAPPY TO SEE THOSE IMPROVEMENTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Vice-Chair Smith: I HAVE A QUESTION. COULD YOU DO THE BREAKDOWN IN TERMS OF HOW MUCH FEDERAL MONEY WE HAVE INTO THIS DATE?

Mr. Hinds: I BELIEVE IT'S 90% FEDERAL MONEY TO 10% COUNTY MONEY.

Vice-Chair Smith: OKAY AND IT'S ABOUT A \$4.5 MILLION?

Mr. Hinds: THAT'S CORRECT.

Vice-Chair Smith: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.9 Resolution Authorizing the Acquisition of Real Property for a Public Road Improvement Project on NW Cornelius Pass Road, in Unincorporated Multnomah County. Presenters: Patrick Hinds, Permit Specialist and Courtney Lords, Assistant County Attorney.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.9.

Ms. Lords: THIS RESOLUTION IS ASKING YOU TO AUTHORIZE US TO BEGIN WHAT WE CALL THE STEP ONE PROCESS IN CONDEMNATION. WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THE PROJECT AS BEING NECESSARY AND WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR IS YOUR APPROVAL TO BEGIN NEGOTIATIONS ON ACQUIRING THE ONE PROPERTY THAT IS NECESSARY FOR THIS ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT ALONG NORTHWEST CORNELIUS PASS ROAD.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED.

R.10 Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with the Oregon Department of Transportation for the Single Trip Truck Permitting Program. Presenter: Joanna Valencia, Transportation Planning & Development Manager.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.10.

Ms. Valencia: GOOD MORNING. FOR THE RECORD, JOANNA VALENCIA, MULTNOMAH COUNTY TRANSPORTATION DIVISION. BEFORE YOU THIS MORNING, I AM HERE FOR YOUR APPROVAL OF AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT TO CONTINUE A CONTRACT WITH THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR ISSUANCE OF SINGLE TRUCK PERMITS AND SO WHAT THIS ALLOWS US IS TO EXPEDITE ISSUANCE OF SINGLE TRUCK PERMITS FOR ALL THE FREIGHT MOVEMENTS IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. ODOT DOES CONTACT MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE PERMITS.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS, COMMENTS?

Vice-Chair Smith: YES, MADAM CHAIR I HAVE A QUESTION. GOOD MORNING, IT'S GOOD TO SEE YOU. IN THIS NEW IGA, IS THERE ANYTHING DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE DID 10 YEARS AGO?

Ms. Valencia: NO, THERE ISN'T, IT'S A CONTINUATION OF IT.

Vice-Chair Smith: AND I JUST HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION IN TERMS OF OUTCOMES. HAS THIS STREAMLINED THE PROCESS? ARE PEOPLE HAPPY WITH THIS?

Ms. Valencia: THIS HAS DEFINITELY STREAMLINED THE PROCESS. AS A TRUCKER WHEN YOU COME IN YOU STOP AT THE ODOT OFFICES, IT'S A ONE STOP PERMITTING PROCESS, THEY DON'T HAVE TO COME TO THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY OFFICES TO GET THE PERMITS. SIMILARLY THIS HAPPENS WITH ALL THE JURISDICTIONS, THEY HAVE CONTRACTS WITH ODOT SO THAT IT TRULY IS A ONE STOP SHOT.

Vice-Chair Smith: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE IGA IS APPROVED. THANK YOU.

R.11 Budget Modification HD-31-17 Request Approval to Appropriate \$632,481 from HD Environmental Health Increase Inspection Fees. Presenter: Robert Stoll, Budget Manager for Health Department.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER BAILEY MOVES, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.11.

Mr. Stoll: THIS BUDGET MODIFICATION IS A CLEANUP ITEM, THIS IS FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH INSPECTION FEE INCREASE THAT YOU APPROVED BACK ON OCTOBER 20TH FOR \$632,000. THIS IS THE OFFICIAL ACTION ACTUALLY PUTTING THOSE FEES IN THE FY17 BUDGET ALONG WITH THE EXPENSES TO INCREASE THE CAPACITY. QUESTIONS?

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

Vice-Chair Smith: I DO HAVE A QUESTION. SO THERE'S \$632,000 LEFT CORRECT?

Mr. Stoll: YES. SO THIS COVERS THE ADDITIONAL AMOUNT THAT THAT FEE INCREASE CREATED. SO THIS ISN'T ALL OF THE INSPECTIONS, JUST THAT ADDITIONAL PORTION.

Vice-Chair Smith: IS THAT IN ITS TOTALITY? SO THAT WILL BRING IN HOW MANY NEW STAFF?

Mr. Stoll: I BELIEVE IT IS THREE FTE FOR THE INSPECTORS AS WELL AS A TRAINEE POSITION AND AN OA2 IF I'M REMEMBERING CORRECTLY.

Vice-Chair Smith: SO HOW MANY MORE INSPECTIONS WILL WE DO WITH THIS NEW SYSTEM?

Mr. Stoll: I'M LOOKING TO OUR PROGRAM SPECIALIST, MARK ADAMS, WHO'S OUT IN THE AUDIENCE, MY BACKUP HERE.

Vice-Chair Smith: AND I THINK SOMEONE FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT SENT ME A SPREADSHEET BECAUSE I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW MANY MORE PLACES ARE WE PROPOSED TO BE INSPECTING THIS FISCAL YEAR VERSUS LAST FISCAL YEAR?

Mr. Adams: MY NAME IS MARK ADAMS AND I WORK ON THE BUDGET AT ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH WHICH INCLUDES THE INSPECTIONS PROGRAM. AND I APOLOGIZE, I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBERS THAT CAN TELL ME HOW MANY MORE INSPECTIONS WE'LL DO THIS YEAR COMPARED TO LAST YEAR, BUT IF YOU WOULD LIKE I COULD GET THOSE TO YOU.

Vice-Chair Smith: I WANT TO DO TWO PLUS TWO, WE HAVE \$632,000 MORE AND WE'RE HIRING MORE PEOPLE AND SO HOW MANY PLACES DO THEY INSPECT AND I JUST WANT TO SEE IF THE NUMBERS -- WE CAN TALK ABOUT THIS LATER, BUT I JUST WANTED TO SEE HOW THAT JIVED WITH WHAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW IF WE ACTUALLY NEED THREE MORE INSPECTORS OR SIX MORE.

Mr. Adams: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO GET THAT TO YOU.

Vice-Chair Smith: OKAY THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE BUDGET MODIFICATION IS APPROVED. THANK YOU.

R.12 Budget Modification HD-33-17 Requesting a General Fund Contingency Transfer to Appropriate \$800,000 in LEAD Program. Presenters: Robert Stoll & Ebony Clarke.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER MCKEEL MOVES, COMMISSIONER BAILEY SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.12.

Mr. Stoll: ALL RIGHT. SO AGAIN, MANAGER FOR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND WITH ME THIS MORNING IS OUR DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. THIS IS \$800,000 FOR OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTED DIVERSION PROGRAM. THIS WAS IN RESPONSE TO A BRIEFING THAT YOU HAD ABOUT A MONTH AGO ABOUT THIS. THIS IS \$800,000 OF PASS-THROUGH MONEY THAT WILL GO INTO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT OUT OF CONTINGENCY AND THEN WILL BE CONTRACTED BACK OUT AS PASSED THROUGH.

Ms. Clarke: AND WHAT I'M PREPARED TO SPEAK TO IS THAT JANUARY IS WHEN WE ARE PREPARING FOR THE CASE MANAGEMENT SERVICES TO BEGIN. FULL IMPLEMENTATION WILL ANTICIPATE SERVING 300 UNIQUE INDIVIDUALS AND IT LOOKS LIKE WE'LL BE GOING WITH CENTRAL CITY CONCERN FOR THE ACTUAL CASE MANAGEMENT OUTREACH SERVICES.

Chair Kafoury: GREAT. GOOD NEWS. THANK YOU.

Vice-Chair Smith: THANK YOU, THANK YOU FOR PUTTING THIS TOGETHER ON SUCH SHORT ORDER. SO HOW DID WE PICK THE PROVIDER? AN RFP?

Ms. Clarke: WE DID AN RFI, AND THROUGH THAT PROCESS, THERE WERE EIGHT APPLICANTS AND SO A GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE LEAD POLICY GROUP AS WELL AS THE OPERATIONAL GROUP CAME TOGETHER, ALSO NOTING SOME COMMUNITY PROVIDERS TO PARTICIPATE ON THE SELECTION COMMITTEE AND THEY WENT THROUGH AND SCORE AND REVIEWED AND CAME BACK.

Vice-Chair Smith: SO AN RFI IS NOT AN RFP, RIGHT? IT'S A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION?

Ms. Clarke: I'M SORRY, IT'S RFP, EXCUSE ME, CORRECT.

Vice-Chair Smith: YOU DID PUT AN RFP OUT?

Ms. Clarke: YES.

Vice-Chair Smith: I WAS GOING TO SAY HOW DID YOU CHOOSE FROM THAT POINT, BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU DID THAT AND YOU DID IT BEFORE WE APPROVED THE MONEY?

Chair Kafoury: WE WERE TAKING IT OUT OF CONTINGENCY.

Vice-Chair Smith: IT WAS APPROVED BEFORE WE TOOK OUT A CONTINGENCY.

Ms. Clarke: WE HAVEN'T SPENT THE MONEY YET.

Vice-Chair Smith: THAT'S THE IMPORTANT THING. YOU CAN'T SPEND IT UNTIL WE VOTE YES.

Ms. Clarke: AND I SHOULD SAY THAT WE ARE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING THE ACTUAL CONTRACT, BUT WE'VE GONE THROUGH THE PROCESS AND IDENTIFY THE ORGANIZATION.

Vice-Chair Smith: WITH GREAT ANTICIPATION.

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE BUDGET MODIFICATION IS APPROVED. THANK YOU.

R.13 Resolution and Public Hearing Authorizing Transfer of Tax Foreclosed Property to the City of Portland Bureau of Transportation. Presenter: Mike Sublett, Tax Title Property Coordinator.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER BAILEY MOVES, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.13. THE LAST AGENDA ITEM!

Mr. Sublett: LUCKY 13. INDEED. BEFORE YOU THIS MORNING IS A RESOLUTION, A PUBLIC HEARING ON A GOVERNMENT TRANSFER OF A SMALL PARCEL NEAR THE CORNER OF NORTHEAST 162ND AND FARGO. THE COUNTY CAME INTO OWNERSHIP THROUGH FORECLOSURE OF TAX LIENS IN 1997. IT'S A STRIP. THE COUNTY HAS INCURRED OVER \$10,000 IN MAINTENANCE IN THIS PARCEL OVER THE YEARS. WE'VE SUCCESSFULLY NEGOTIATED A GOVERNMENT TRANSFER TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION. THE TRANSFER OF THIS PROPERTY WILL FACILITATE THE CONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS IN EAST COUNTY AND EAST PORTLAND, WHICH IS A PRIORITY ACROSS THE BOARD. IN ADDITION BY TRANSFERRING THE PROPERTY TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND, \$7,100 IN NUISANCE LIENS WILL BE WAIVED BECAUSE THE TRANSFER IS GOING TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND. THIS IS OVER AND ABOVE OUR EXISTING IGA WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND IN HOW WE ADDRESS LIENS AGAINST TAX FORECLOSED PROPERTIES. THEREFORE, THE RESOLUTION WAIVES THE REVERSIONARY INTEREST. SO IN CONSIDERATION FOR THE WAIVER OF THE LIENS AND FUTURE COST AVOIDANCE, THIS WOULD WAIVE THE REVERSIONARY INTEREST ON THIS PARCEL AND AGAIN, IT WOULD FACILITATE THE CONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS IN EAST COUNTY. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FOR MIKE?

Commissioner Shiprack: DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY DORMS WE COULD OPEN UP WITH THAT? THANK YOU, MIKE.

Chair Kafoury: ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [UNANIMOUS AYES] THE RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY THAT WORKS, HERE WE ARE, GIVE OURSELVES A ROUND OF APPLAUSE FOR COMING IN TODAY AND GETTING OUR JOB DONE.

BOARD COMMENT

BC.1 Opportunity as time allows, for the Commissioners to provide comment on non-agenda items.

Chair Kafoury: ANYBODY HAVE ANYTHING ELSE FOR THE GOOD OF THE ORDER?

Commissioner Bailey: STAY SAFE OUT THERE.

Vice-Chair Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I JUST WANTED TO SAY THERE'S A NEW COACH FOR UNIVERSITY OF OREGON.

Chair Kafoury: GO DUCKS.

Vice-Chair Smith: AND I WILL SAY TO YOU, COMMISSIONER MCKEEL, GO DUCKS, EVEN THOUGH I'M A BEAVER, GO BEAVS, TOO, BUT I DO LIKE YOUR COACH AND I'M A FAN OF HIS. SO GREAT JOB.

Chair Kafoury: ALL RIGHT. SEEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE'RE ADJOURNED. [GAVEL]

ADJOURNMENT

[CAPTIONS PROVIDED BY LNS CAPTIONING AND MAY INCLUDE INACCURATE WORDS OR PHRASES DUE TO SOUND QUALITY, OTHER TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND/OR SOFTWARE ERRORS.]

For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:
http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County, Oregon