

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
MULTNOMAH BUILDING, BOARD ROOM 100
501 SE HAWTHORNE BLVD., PORTLAND, OREGON
THURSDAY, MAY 4, 2017 @ 9:30 AM**

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

CHAIR DEBORAH KAFOURY CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 9:38 A.M. WITH VICE-CHAIR JESSICA PEDERSON, COMMISSIONERS SHARON MEIERAN, LORETTA SMITH AND LORI STEGMANN PRESENT.

ALSO ATTENDING WERE JENNY MADKOUR, COUNTY ATTORNEY, AND LYNDIA GROW, BOARD CLERK.

[ALL CAPS TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF CAPTIONING THIS PROGRAM.]

CHAIR KAFOURY: ALL RIGHT, WE ARE GOING TO GET STARTED SO IF EVERYBODY COULD TAKE THEIR SEATS AND HALT THEIR CONVERSATIONS. GOOD MORNING. WELCOME TO THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS. TODAY IS THURSDAY, MAY 4, AND WE ARE HAPPY TO SEE YOU ALL HERE TODAY.

CONSENT AGENDA – 9:30 am
(Nothing this week)

PUBLIC COMMENT – 9:30 am (10 min)

Opportunity for Public Comment on non-agenda matters. Testimony limited to three minutes per person. This is a time for the Board to hear public testimony, not for Board deliberation. Fill out a speaker form available at the back of the board room and give it to the Board Clerk. Unless otherwise recognized by the presiding officer, testimony is taken in the order the forms are submitted.

DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT THIS MORNING, LYNDIA?

BOARD CLERK: YES, MADAM CHAIR. WE HAVE 7 SIGNED UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT,

WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME COME FORWARD. MR. LIGHTNING, JOE WALSH, SARA HOBBS, AND AMY ANDERSON.

GOOD MORNING, MR. LIGHTNING.

MR. LIGHTNING: GOOD MORNING. I AM LIGHTNING. I REPRESENT LIGHTNING SUPER WATCHDOG X. AGAIN ON MY LAST COMMUNICATION I WAS TALKING

ON THE SOLITARY CONFINEMENT IN THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY JAIL. AGAIN JUST SO YOU UNDERSTAND MY POSITION, I GO ALONG WITH THE UNITED NATIONS ON THEIR TERM THAT OVER 15 DAYS IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT IS CONSIDERED TORTURE. NOW IF WE HAVE PEOPLE IN THERE WITH MENTAL DISABILITIES, CONDITIONS, THEY SHOULD NOT BE IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT PLAIN AND SIMPLE. IF YOU ARE TRULY IN THE REHABILITATION OF THESE INDIVIDUAL YOU DO NOT TORTURE THEM IN JAIL. WE NEED TO HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING AND A CONFINEMENT COMMITTEE CREATED FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND HAVE PROFESSIONALS OVERLOOKING THIS COMMITTEE AND UNDERSTANDING WHY YOU PUT SOMEBODY IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT OVER 15 DAYS. WHY THEY DON'T HAVE A RIGHT TO GO UP IN FRONT OF AN APPEAL PROCESS AND SAY I SHOULDN'T BE IN THERE. WHY YOU DONE KEEP THE PROPER DOCUMENTATION ON PEOPLE IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT. WE NEED TO HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING ON IF THE UNITED NATIONS CLAIMS IT'S TORTURE AFTER 15 DAYS WHY AT MULTNOMAH COUNTY JAIL IS THIS BEING ALLOWED? WHY ARE THE REPORTS BEING MADE FROM DISABILITY GROUPS THAT ARE KNOWLEDGEABLE ON THE SITUATION, AND THIS IS TAKING PLACE IN THE JAILS. IT SHOULD NOT BE TAKING PLACE. SOLITARY CONFINEMENT DOES NOT REHABILITATE PEOPLE TO RE-ENTER SOCIETY IN A REASONABLE MANNER WHICH DATA SUGGESTS THAT THROUGH NUMEROUS GROUPS AND WATCHDOG GROUPS PERTAINING TO TORTURE SO AGAIN THAT PREVIOUS REPORT THAT WAS BROUGHT TO THE LIGHT IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY IS LOOKING AT THIS REPORT VERY CLOSE, BETTER UNDERSTAND MAKE SOME CHANGES. GET A SOLITARY CONFINEMENT COMMITTEE IN PLACE, A RESTRAINT COMMITTEE IN PLACE, AND UNDERSTAND THAT IF IT IS DETERMINED THAT YOU TORTURE MENTALLY ILL PEOPLE IN OUR JAILS IN THIS CITY FOR SOLITARY CONFINEMENT FOR WHAT THE UNITED NATIONS STATES, I AM GOING TO PULL EVERY FEDERAL GRANT THAT YOU EVER GET. REMEMBER WHAT I SAY, IF THE UNITED NATIONS CLAIMS ITS TORTURE AFTER 15 DAYS AND THEY SAY THAT A MENTALLY ILL PERSON SHOULDN'T BE IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT ONE DAY, IF THAT IS HAPPENING CURRENTLY, BASED UPON REPORTS AT THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY JAIL I AM GOING AFTER YOUR FEDERAL FUNDING. I AM GOING TO TAKE ALL THAT FUNDING AWAY FROM YOU. YOU WILL NOT TORTURE THE PEOPLE IN THIS CITY AND THINK IT'S GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT YOU DO TO RUN A JAIL EFFICIENTLY WITHOUT ANY DATA BACKING THAT UP. SOLITARY CONFINEMENT STARTED IN ABOUT 1829 WAS PROVED INEFFECTIVE AND IT DOES NOTHING BUT HARM THE CITIZENS OF THIS CITY. THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

MS. HOBBS: FOR THE RECORD, I AM SARAH HOBBS. HOW MANY OF YOU UP THERE KNOW THAT MAY IS MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS MONTH? YEAH. OK. THANK YOU. I REALIZE THAT YOU ARE COUNTY, BUT AN ADVOCATE FOR THE OREGON STATE CHAPTER OF THE AMERICAN FOUNDATION FOR SUICIDE PREVENTION. I AM VERY PAINFULLY AWARE OF THE HIGH RATE [INAUDIBLE]

THAT HAPPENED HERE IN PORTLAND. THE CITY HAS HISTORICALLY ALWAYS BEEN WITHIN THE TOP 0 OF EVERY -- TOP 20 OF EVERY CITY IN THE UNITED NATIONS FOR THE SUITS. OUT OF 50 STATES IT'S AT NUMBER 13. NOW THE STATISTICS CAN BE GLOOMY, BUT THERE IS SOMETHING THAT THE COUNTY IS DOING IN A PARTNERSHIP WITH A COLLABORATIVE PROGRAM BETWEEN MULTNOMAH COUNTY, WASHINGTON COUNTY, AND CLACKAMAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENTS, AND I WANT TO GET THE WORD OUT ABOUT THIS. IT'S WWW.GETTRAINEDTOHELP.COM. THEY OFFER FREE SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING CLASSES IN THE THREE-COUNTY AREA. THEY ARE FREE CLASSES. SOME ARE HELD HERE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I TOOK MY ADVANCED SUICIDE PREVENTION SKILLS TRAINING HERE BUT TOOK MY COUNSELING [INAUDIBLE] IN BEAVERTON. THE STATISTICS ARE HORRIBLE. YES, SUICIDE IS PREVENTABLE. I ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY JUST BASIC TRAINING LIKE THE QUESTION, MOTIVATE, AND REFER TRAINING TO GET TRAINED TO HELP JUST TO BE AWARE AND WILLING TO ENGAGE PEOPLE. I WAS EXCITED LAST YEAR WHEN I SAW THAT THE COUNTY, ACTUALLY, PROCLAIMED THE MONTH OF MAY, THE MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS MONTH. THAT IS LIKE YEAH. SO MAYBE LET'S STAY A LITTLE BETTER IN TOUCH AND NOT DO THE PAPERWORK. I CAN TELL YOU THAT I GOT MAYOR WHEELER TO DECLARE SEPTEMBER SUICIDE PREVENTION MONTH FOR THE CITY OF PORTLAND WHICH YOU TALKED ABOUT MAYBE GETTING THE COUNTY TO PROCLAIM IT AS WELL. THANKS.

MR. WALSH: GOOD MORNING, I AM JOE WALSH AND I REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS FOR JUSTICE. NORMALLY WHEN I COME BEFORE YOU IT'S TO YELL ABOUT SOMETHING OR COMPLAIN ABOUT SOMETHING OR WHINE ABOUT SOMETHING OR JUST SCREAM. [LAUGHTER] TODAY I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ASK THE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM AND WATCHING ON THE TV THAT WE NEED YOUR HELP. IF YOU ARE WATCHING WHAT'S GOING ON NATIONALLY WE ARE IN SERIOUS DANGER ON SO MANY LEVELS. WE NEED YOUR INPUT. WE NEED YOU TO COME HERE AND TALK TO THESE GOOD COMMISSIONERS ABOUT WHAT YOU THINK. IT DOES NOT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE WHETHER YOU AGREE WITH ME OR ANYBODY ELSE. IT'S THE IDEA THAT YOU WOULD COME HERE AND TAKE YOUR TIME AND RIDE THE BUS OR DRIVE HERE AND GO THROUGH SOME DIFFICULTY TO TALK TO THE COMMISSIONERS AND TELL THEM WHAT YOU BELIEVE. THEY NEED THAT. WHAT HAPPENS OFTEN IS I AM AN ACTIVIST. I HAVE AN AGENDA. WE NEED CITIZENS TO COME BEFORE YOU THAT DON'T HAVE AN AGENDA, THAT JUST HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE CITY OR EVEN THE COUNTY OR THE STATE. IT DOES NOT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE WHERE THAT PROBLEM IS. IT IS THEIR RIGHT UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO PETITION YOU THAT THE LITTLE LINE AT THE END OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT WHICH IS SO CRUCIAL TO OUR RIGHTS THAT THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO COME HERE, BUT THEY HAVE TO USE IT. SO I AM YELLING AT THE PEOPLE THAT ARE WATCHING THIS, NOT SO MUCH THE COMMISSIONERS. YOU HAVE TO COME. IF YOU DISAGREE WITH ME GREAT. I DON'T CARE. COME AND MAKE YOUR ADVICE KNOWN. THAT'S DEMOCRACY. THAT'S A

REPRESENTATIVE FORM OF GOVERNMENT. WE ARE IN QUICK SAND, AND WE NEED YOUR HELP. YOU MUST COME AND HELP US. YOU MUST COME AND HELP THESE COMMISSIONERS UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON IN REAL LIFE BECAUSE THEY ARE SURROUNDED BY PEOPLE THAT TELL THEM DAY IN AND DAY OUT HOW WONDERFUL THEY ARE. THAT CAN BE REALLY DANGEROUS. I KNOW. I KNOW. SO PLEASE IF YOU ARE LISTENING TO THIS AND THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE -- I KNOW IT SOUNDS ARROGANT BUT I AM ORDERING YOU TO DO YOUR CIVIL DUTY AND COME HERE FROM TIME TO TIME AND SAY I AM HAVING A PROBLEM WITH RENT CONTROL. I AM HAVING A PROBLEM WITH THE BUSES. I AM HAVING A PROBLEM WITH IT, AND TELL THE COMMISSIONERS. THEY NEED TO HEAR THAT KIND OF STUFF. SO THEY CAN REPRESENT YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE, AND NEXT WEEK I WILL BE YELLING AGAIN.

CHAIR KAFOURY: ACTUALLY WE LIKE THIS NOT YELLING PART. THANKS JOE.

BOARD CLERK: AMY ANDERSON, WENDY SHUMWAY AND MIGUEL GONZALES.

CHAIR KAFOURY: AMY YOU CAN BEGIN.

MS. ANDERSON: GOOD MORNING AND THIS IS AN AMAZING DAY IN PORTLAND, OREGON AT MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I WAS WONDERING HOW IN THE WORLD I WAS GOING TO PRESENT THIS SITUATION, AND LO AND BEHOLD I LET OTHERS GO BEFORE ME AND THEY OPENED THE DOOR. THANK YOU JOE WALSH. WE ARE HERE TODAY BECAUSE AS SOME OF YOU MAY KNOW THIS YEAR REPRESENTS 19 YEARS FOR ME WORKING FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY AS A VOLUNTEER IN THE JAILS. 19 YEARS THIS YEAR. NONSTOP SINCE MAY OF 1998. SO IT'S BEEN AN AWESOME OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THE CHANGES IMPROVE AND GROW, AND I HEARD A SPEAKER EARLIER. WE HAVE MORE WORK TO DO BUT I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW HOW INCREDIBLY DIFFERENT AND BETTER THINGS ARE NOW TODAY IN THE JAILS THAT I AM SEEING WHEN I GO IN EVERY MONTH. REAL QUICKLY THE ISSUE WITH THE LOCKDOWN AND SOLITARY, IT'S BASICALLY USED BECAUSE NO ONE REALLY KNOWS HOW TO NEGOTIATE CONVERSATIONS WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE TERMINALLY ILL WITH TRAUMA AND DRUG ADDICTION AND SO THERE IS A LOT OF BEHAVIOR CHALLENGES. THAT'S WHY THEY USE THE WHOLE, BUT MAINLY FOR BEHAVIOR CONTROL. THE REASON I AM HERE TODAY IS BECAUSE MY COLLEAGUE, MIGUEL, WHO IS SIX MONTHS FROM FULL RETIREMENT IS, ABOUT TO GET THE SHAFT FOR SOMETHING THAT HE DID NOT DO AND SOMETHING THAT I FEEL NEEDS TO BE BROUGHT TO YOUR ATTENTION. HE ALSO RECEIVED AN EVICTION NOTICE OF A NON-NO-CAUSE EVICTION WHICH PUTS HIM, HIS WIFE AND CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN ALL AT RISK OF EVICTION, AND SO WE FELT IT WAS CRITICAL THAT YOU HEAR A BIT ABOUT HIS SITUATION TODAY, AND SO YOU ALL CAN DO SOMETHING TO HELP HIM OUT SIX MONTHS BEFORE FULL RETIREMENT, SO I AM GOING TO PASS MY TIME ONTO WENDY WHO CAN -- I WANT TO GIVE YOU

A LITTLE INTRODUCTION. I AM AN ADVOCATE FOR THE WORLD SO WHEN A PEER SAYS THAT I AM IN TROUBLE WE COME RUNNING. SO I HAVE AN AGENDA, AND THAT'S TO HELP PEOPLE WHO CANNOT NEGOTIATE THESE CONVERSATIONS BECAUSE OF LANGUAGE BARRIERS OR KNOWLEDGE BARRIERS OR FOR WHATEVER REASON, AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE IS TO HELP OUR FRIEND AND COLLEAGUE. SO THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU.

MS. SHUMWAY: IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE HERE AGAIN, CHAIR KAFOURY. YOU GUYS A MONTH AND A HALF AGO YOU HAD A CHANCE TO HEAR MY STORY. HE IS A CONTINUATION OF MY STORY. AFTER THAT DAY OF ME BEING HELD HOSTAGE MY DAUGHTER WAS NINE YEARS OLD AT THE TIME, AND I HAD JUST COME OUT OF -- I WAS IN ADDICTION BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION, AND I CALLED MIGUEL UP AND HE CAME AND PICKED UP ME AND MY DAUGHTER, OPENED UP HIS HOUSE AND -- OH, MAN. IT NEVER FAILS WHEN I GET UP HERE. THIS MAN IS A LIFE SAVER. THEY MADE SURE WE GOT INTO A SAFE PLACE. HE HELPED ME TO CONTINUE. THE THING IS HE'S ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I CONTINUED IN THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY CLINIC. IT'S BECAUSE HE WAS THERE FOR ME. HE PICKED ME UP THIS MORNING AND IT TOOK EVERYTHING IN ME TO NOT START BALLING WHEN HE TOLD ME WHAT WAS GOING ON IN HIS LIFE. IT IS REALLY HARD SITTING ON THE OUTSIDE KNOWING THAT THIS PERSON CAME TO SAVE ME AND WANTING TO SAVE HIM. I THINK THAT YOU KNOW. I FEEL SOME OF THE ISSUES HE'S GOING TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT IS REALLY TRUE, YOU KNOW. HE WORKED 20 YEARS TO GET THIS, AND HE DESERVES TO BE ABLE TO SHARE HIS CONCERNS OR YOU KNOW I KNOW HE'S HAD AN ISSUE WITH SOME MANAGEMENT. I KNOW THAT THERE IS SOMETHING HE JUST DESERVES A LOT MORE THAN WHAT HE'S GETTING. I GUESS THE ONLY THING TO DO IS TO HAND IT OVER TO HIM AND LET YOU KNOW. MULTNOMAH COUNTY, BY GETTING RID OF HIM, IS A SHAME THAT THEY ARE LOSING OUT ON.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU WENDY. GOOD MORNING.

MR. GONZALES: GOOD MORNING. I AM MIGUEL GONZALES. THIS IS MY FIRST TIME BEING HERE AND REALLY HARD FOR ME TO SPEAK UP ESPECIALLY ENGLISH BEING MY SECOND LANGUAGE. BUT I HAVE WORKED FOR THE COUNTY FOR ALMOST 20 YEARS. I CAN SAY THAT DOING A GOOD JOB AND SO GRATEFUL THE COUNTY TOOK ME UNDER THEIR WINGS. FOR THE LAST YEAR I FEEL DISCRIMINATED AGAINST AND RIGHT NOW I AM ABOUT TO LOSE MY JOB BECAUSE SOME MANAGEMENT, AND I DON'T THINK IT'S FAIR. THROUGHOUT MY WORK -- MY TIME WORKING FOR THE COUNTY WHAT I KNOW IS STILL GOING ON. YOU GUYS SHOWING UP ABOUT HOW WE ARE THE COUNTY AND THE WORK THAT I AM DOING FOR THE COUNTY BUT DOESN'T REPRESENT WHAT HAPPENED TO ME RIGHT NOW. I DO FEEL NOT TREATED THAT WAY. I FEEL I DESERVE -- TO KEEP MY JOB BUT I AM MEETING WITH H.R.

AND I THINK YOU KNOW A FEW MORE WEEKS I WILL BE OUT AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. I WILL BE HOMELESS WITHOUT A JOB. I DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN.

CHAIR KAFOURY: MY STAFF MEMBER ADAM -- CAN YOU TURN AROUND SIR? TURN AROUND AND SEE THIS YOUNG MAN RAISING HIS HAND UP HERE? WOULD YOU GO AND TALK TO HIM PLEASE? THANK YOU. THANK YOU.

BOARD CLERK: THAT'S IT FOR PUBLIC TESTIMONY.

CHAIR KAFOURY: ALL RIGHT.

R.1 First Reading and Hearing of Ordinance Adopting Supplemental Findings Relating to Urban and Rural Reserve Designations. Presenter: Jed Tomkins, Senior Assistant County Attorney (90 min)

CHAIR KAFOURY: MAY I HAVE A MOTION? COMMISSIONER STEGMANN MOVES COMMISSIONER VEGA PEDERSON APPROVES FIRST READING. GOOD MORNING.

MR. TOMKINS: GOOD MORNING CHAIR KAFOURY. MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION. I AM JED TOMKINS ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY. BEFORE YOU IS AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE URBAN RURAL RESERVES, AND I WILL JUST START WITH A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PROGRAM. TOGETHER WITH METRO AND WASHINGTON AND CLACKAMAS COUNTIES THE COUNTY COMMENCED THE PROGRAM OF DESIGNATING RESERVES IN 2008. THE COUNTY FORMED A 15-MEMBER CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE THAT MET MONTHLY WITH PLANNING STAFF FOR 16 MONTHS FROM 2008 TO 2009. TOGETHER THE COMMISSION AND STAFF DEVELOPED AND REVIEWED A NEARLY 10,000-PAGE EVIDENTIARY RECORD AND ULTIMATELY ISSUED A REPORT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS WHICH WAS THEN REVIEWED AND APPROVED IN PUBLIC MEETINGS BY THE COUNTY'S PLANNING COMMISSION AND THIS BOARD. DURING THE SAME TIME THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS METRO, THE COUNTIES, THE CITIES, AND THEIR PARTNERS, STATE AGENCIES AND OTHERS COORDINATED AT LENGTH ON THIS MATTER AS WELL. THROUGH A SERIES OF ORDINANCES IN 2010 AND 2011 METRO AND THE THREE COUNTIES ADOPTED RESERVES IN EACH OF THE THREE COUNTIES. ASPECTS OF THOSE DESIGNATIONS WERE APPEALED AND THIS MATTER NOW COMES BEFORE YOU ON REMAND TO RESOLVE THOSE OUTSTANDING ISSUES. THERE WERE TWO FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY. FIRST THE COUNTY MUST FURTHER EXPLAIN THE RESERVE DESIGNATION OF 9D. SECOND THE COUNTY MUST CONSIDER THE AFFECT OF THAT ON THE RESERVES IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY IN THEIR ENTIRETY. THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONTAINS FINDINGS THAT RESOLVE THOSE ISSUES, REAFFIRMS THE BOARD'S PRIOR WORK ON THIS MATTER, ADOPTS OUR PARTNERS ADDITIONAL FINDINGS, AND WE NOW HAVE THE ORDINANCE NUMBER FOR

CLACKAMAS COUNTY'S WORK THAT WILL BE 06- 2017. THE ORDINANCE ALSO PROVIDES REFORMATTED MAPPING OF THE RESERVES AS SMALLER SCALE FOR BETTER CUSTOMER SERVICE AND LASTLY AUTHORIZES METRO TO COMPILE AND FORWARD THE FINDINGS TO THE OREGON LAND CONSERVATION DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION WHICH WOULD BE THE NEXT STEP IN THIS PROCESS. OF NOTE THE DECISIONS ARE BASED ON THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD WHICH PREVIOUSLY CLOSED PRIOR TO THE APPEAL OF THE DESIGNATIONS. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO REOPEN THE RECORD AT THIS TIME TO ADDRESS THE SPECIFIC ISSUES REMANDED TO MULTNOMAH COUNTY. HOWEVER THIS IS THE TIME FOR ALL INTERESTED PARTIES TO TESTIFY IN SUPPORT OF OR OPPOSITION TO THIS ORDINANCE AND RAISE ALL ISSUES OF CONCERN. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. WE HAVE QUESTIONS FOR JED?

COMM. SMITH: YES, MADAM CHAIR I HAVE A QUESTION. I AM NOT SURE OF WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE. HAS THE COURT ASKED US TO GO THROUGH THIS AND IDENTIFY THESE THREE THINGS THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THAT WE MUST DO?

MR. TOMKINS: RIGHT. SO THERE WAS AN APPEAL OF THE PRIOR WORK THAT THE BOARD HAS DONE AND THE COURT REMANDED ON TWO COUNTS. ONE WAS TO FURTHER EXPLAIN WHY WE REACHED A RURAL RESERVE DESIGNATION OF THAT AREA 9D, AND THEN NOTING THAT THERE IS A COORDINATED EFFECT BETWEEN RESERVES ACROSS THE REGION, JUST ASSESS HOW THAT ERROR MAY OR MAY NOT AFFECT THE REMAINDER OF THE RESERVES.

COMM. SMITH: IS THE ISSUE THE COMMUNITY RAISED THIS ISSUE IN COURT AND SAID THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE DOING THIS OR THAT WE SHOULD?

THERE IS A PROPERTY OWNER IN AREA 9D THAT CHALLENGED THE RURAL RESERVE DESIGNATION. I BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE HERE TODAY SO I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK TOO MUCH ON THEIR BEHALF BUT I BELIEVE THAT THEY PREFER AN URBAN DESIGNATION.

COMM. SMITH: WHAT IS THE MAIN ISSUE AROUND THIS IS THAT THE COMMUNITY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THEY WANT AN URBAN DESIGNATION. WE SAID IT'S A RURAL DESIGNATION ACCORDING TO WHAT WE DID IN 2008. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

MR. TOMKINS: YEAH ALTHOUGH IT'S MORE SPECIFIC TO THOSE PETITIONERS THAT APPEALED. IT WAS NOT A COMMUNITY EFFORT THING. IT WAS SPECIFIC PROPERTY OWNERS.

COMM. SMITH: OK. THANKS A LOT.

YEAH. THANKS.

CHAIR KAFOURY: DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT SO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT.

BOARD CLERK: YES, WE HAVE ABOUT 20. WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME PLEASE COME FORWARD. I WILL CALL FOUR AT A TIME.

CHAIR KAFOURY: YOU CAN BEGIN SIR. WE HAVE TWO MINUTES FOR COMMENT SO PLEASE TRY TO --

MR. SKADE: TWO?

CHAIR KAFOURY: THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE SIGNED UP TO TALK SO IF YOU COULD PLEASE KEEP YOUR COMMENTS. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HEAR FROM EVERYONE.

MR. SKADE: I HAVE SUBMITTED SUBSTANTIAL WRITTEN TESTIMONY, AND I AM JUST GOING TO HIT SOME OF THE HIGH POINTS HERE FOR YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: COULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME?

I AM HANK SKADE, A PROPERTY OWNER IN EAST BETHANY IN THE AREA KNOWN AS THE L. FIRST POINT IS THE L SUBSTANTIALLY IS DIFFERENT FROM THE REST OF AREA 9B AND THE ANSWER IS YES. YOUR OWN URBAN AND RURAL RESERVE FACTOR ANALYSIS IN 2009 REPEATEDLY REFERRED TO THIS AREA AS DISTINCT FROM THE REST OF 9B. SECONDLY DOES THE L QUALIFY FOR RURAL RESERVE? WELL YOUR OWN ANALYSIS DID NOT THINK SO, AND I AM GOING TO QUOTE DIRECTLY FROM IT. FIRST OF ALL THIS LAND IS CONFLICTED AGRICULTURAL LAND, AND ON PAGE 72 OF YOUR FACTORS ANALYSIS YOU DECIDED THAT THE L DOES NOT APPEAR TO BE A GOOD FIT WITH KEY LANDSCAPE FEATURES, FEATURES, FACTORS, AND SHOULD BE RANKED LOW FOR RURAL RESERVE. I AM GOING TO REPEAT THAT. YOUR OWN REPORT SAID THAT THIS AREA SHOULD BE RANKED LOW FOR RURAL RESERVE. ARE THERE IMPORTANT, NUMBER THREE, THERE ARE IMPORTANT LANDSCAPE FEATURES THAT NEED TO BE PRESERVED? NOT REALLY, THE WATERSHED DOES HAVE LANDSCAPE FEATURES BUT THEY ARE ALMOST ENTIRELY NORTH AND EAST OF THE L. ONLY A SMALL PORTION OF ABBEY CREEK IN THE NORTHEAST CORNER IS A PERENNIAL STREAM. THE REMAINING BRANCHES OF THE CREEK ARE ALL INTERMITTENT STREAMS ACCORDING TO THE WEST MULTNOMAH COUNTY SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT. DOES THE L QUALIFY AS URBAN RESERVE? YES IT DOES. YOUR OWN REPORT ON PAGE 77 SAID THAT THE L RATES MEDIUM ON MOST FACTORS BASED ON INFORMATION SO FAR WITH THE EXCEPTION OF

INFRASTRUCTURE AND GOVERNANCE, AND YOUR COUNTY IGNORED THE LETTERS IN THE RECORD FROM THE CITY OF BEAVERTON AND WASHINGTON COUNTY ON THAT POINT. FINALLY ORS-197040-1B REQUIRES LCDC TO ASSESS ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO ACHIEVE THE UNDERLYING OBJECTIVES THAT HAVE THE LEAST ECONOMIC IMPACT ON THE PROPERTY OWNERS. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT ANALYSIS WAS DONE, AND HAD IT BEEN DONE THIS AREA OF THE L WOULD CERTAINLY HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED URBAN RESERVE. FINALLY WHAT ABOUT THE PUBLIC OPINION? GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS SHOULD NOT BE TAKING A PUBLIC OPINION POLL TO MAKE A DECISION THAT MUST BE BASED UPON STRICT STATUTORY REGULATORY CRITERIA. IT DOES NOT MATTER HOW MANY PEOPLE SEVERAL MILES AWAY FROM THIS AREA THINK THAT IT SHOULD BE URBAN -- OR EXCUSE ME SHOULD BE RURAL RESERVE. YOUR ACTION IN 2010 WAS INCONSISTENT WITH THE REGULATORY CRITERIA WITH THE STATE AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS AND RESPECTIVELY IT SHOULD BE OVERTURNED. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. SIR YOU ARE UP. YOU, RIGHT THERE, YOU ARE UP.

CHRISTOPHER JAMES. I REPRESENT FOUR OWNERS IN THE L, THE L WAS 220 ACRES. THE FOUR OWNERS HAVE OWNED IT APPROXIMATELY 220 YEARS. [INAUDIBLE] FAMILY OWNED THEIR PROPERTY 120 YEARS. THEY HAVE BEEN RESIDENTS FOR 220 YEARS. 220 ACRES IS AS LARGE AS THE CORE OF THE CITY OF PORTLAND. IT IS A LARGE LAND AREA. THIS COMMISSION DETERMINED IN 2010 TO APPLY A RURAL RESERVE DESIGNATION TO APPROXIMATELY 2,000 ACRES NAMED UNDER THE STEADY AREA 9B. THE L DOES NOT SHARE THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REMAINDER OF 9B. IF YOU DRIVE OUT TO THE WEST SIDE AND LOOK AT THE HILLS FROM THE WEST, MOST OF 9B IS THE HIGHLY SLOPED AREA BUT THE L IS THE FLAT AREA. IT'S BOUNDED ON TWO SIDES BY URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY BUILT RIGHT UP TO THE EDGE. THE UTILITIES ARE STUBBED AND THE ROADS ARE THERE. IT WAS IDENTIFIED IN THE GREAT CITY'S REPORT AS THE NUMBER ONE CANDIDATE FOR URBANIZATION. THE EASIEST TO URBANIZE. IN 2009 THIS COMMISSION BUT WITH DIFFERENT COMMISSIONER,S, FIVE COMMISSIONERS VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO MAKE AREA 9B UNDESIGNATED, NOT RURAL RESERVE, UNDESIGNATED BECAUSE FUTURE EVENTS WERE NECESSARY TO, IN THEIR OPINION, TO CORRECTLY APPLY A DESIGNATION. 45 DAYS LATER NOT ENOUGH TIME FOR ANY FUTURE EVENTS TO OCCUR IT REVERSED THAT DECISION BY A 3-2 DECISION AND SAID IT SHOULD BE RURAL RESERVE. RURAL RESERVE REQUIRES THIS PROPERTY TO BE IN A STATE OF SUSPENDED ANIMATION FROM A LAND USE PERSPECTIVE FOR 40 YEARS. SO YOU HAVE TAKEN FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY CITIZENS OF 220 YEARS' DURATION THEIR RIGHTS FOR 40 YEARS AT A CONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL. MR. TOMKINS DIDN'T MENTION THE U.S. CONSTITUTION OR THE STATE CONSTITUTION. WE HAVE SAID POLITELY, REPEATEDLY, TO THIS

COMMISSION TO METRO AND EXPRESSED IN COURT THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS WILL REQUIRE A DUE PROCESS. THAT MEANS AN IMPARTIAL TRIER OF FACT, AN OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT EVIDENCE, IT MEANS AN OPPORTUNITY TO REBUT EVIDENCE. AS MR. SCADE SAID THE EVIDENCE IN THE FILE DOES NOT SUPPORT RURAL RESERVE FOR THE L. THE DECISION TO MAKE 9B2000 ACRES WAS A DECISION OF SOME ADMINISTRATOR. THEY PUT INCONSISTENT LAND TOGETHER INTO ONE AREA AND ONE LABEL DOESN'T, DOES NOT TREAT IT APPROPRIATELY. THE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES THAT WE RAISED AND I REALIZE THE TIME IS UP.

CHAIR KAFOURY: WE HAVE YOUR DOCUMENTS HERE AS WELL.

MR. JAMES: I APPRECIATE IT BUT THE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE I RAISED ADDRESSES THE THING THAT'S HAPPENING RIGHT NOW. TWO MINUTES. FOUR MINUTES. EIGHT MINUTES. 15 MINUTES IS NOT ADEQUATE TIME.

CHAIR KAFOURY: I ASSURE YOU THAT WE HAVE HAD HOURS AND YEARS OF TESTIMONY ON THIS, AND I AM -- I AM GOING TO ALLOW THREE MINUTES PER PERSON, BUT IT IS NOT FOR LACK OF INFORMATION THAT WE WILL BE TAKING OUR VOTE TODAY. WE HAVE HAD SINCE 2010, YEARS OF CONVERSATION. I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS A TOUCHY -- I AM JUST WANTING YOU TO KNOW THAT AT THIS POINT IN THE PROCESS WE HAVE THREE MINUTES FOR TESTIMONY AND THERE IS 20, OVER 20 PEOPLE SIGNED UP. WE WANT TO HEAR FROM EVERYONE SO WE NEED PEOPLE TO PLEASE ADHERE TO THE TIME LIMIT.

MR. JAMES: THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: YOU CAN HAVE -- WE'LL MOVE IT TO THREE MINUTES SINCE THE PRIOR GENTLEMAN TOOK THREE MINUTES.

I AM KATHERINE BLUMEMKRON AND WE OWN ABOUT 40 ACRES IN THE L AREA OF 9B IN EAST BETHANY. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO ASK YOU TO RESPECTFULLY CONSIDER SOME OF THESE FACTS. I WON'T HIT THEM ALL BECAUSE IT'S IN WRITING AND I ASK THAT YOU PLEASE READ IT AND LOOK AT THE PICTURES. I WOULD LIKE TO STATE THAT SINCE 2009 LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES HAVE BEEN WILLING TO PROVIDE URBAN SERVICES TO EAST BETHANY. NORTH BETHANY ALSO HAS BROUGHT PUBLIC UTILITIES NEXT DOOR TO OUR PROPERTY. THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS PRESENT, NEW ROADS, NEW SCHOOLS, AND NEW UTILITIES. THE BEAVERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT OWNS 10 ACRES WHICH BORDERS OUR PROPERTY ON THE WEST THEY INTEND TO BUILD A SCHOOL THERE ASAB. PORTLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE IS TWO MILES AWAY AND THEY HAVE GOT 26,000 STUDENTS AND BETHANY VILLAGE IS VERY CLOSE. WHEN YOU LOOK AT OUR LAND IT'S JUST LIKE THE NORTH BETHANY DEVELOPMENT BUT THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS THAT IT'S IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. AND NOT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. I

WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT NONE OF THE EIGHT RURAL RESERVE FACTORS GIVE CREDENCE TO ANY POLITICAL FACTORS SUCH AS THE POLITICAL BOUNDARY. WE BELIEVE THAT THE ONLY REASON THAT WE AREN'T A DESIGNATED URBAN RESERVE IS THAT WE'RE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY RATHER THAN WASHINGTON COUNTY. LAST TIME, LAST-MINUTE POLITICS PREVAILED OVER SOUND LAND USE PLANNING. OUR LAND IS GENTLY SLOPING. I HAVE PICTURES THAT SHOW THAT. IN 2009 MULTNOMAH COUNTY RECOMMENDED THAT OUR PROPERTY WOULD REMAIN UNDESIGNATED RATHER THAN URBAN RESERVE, AND THEY SAID THAT THIS IS BECAUSE OF ISSUES OF GOVERNANCE AND ACCESS TO URBAN SERVICES WERE NOT RESOLVED. EVEN AFTER WASHINGTON COUNTY AND BEAVERTON INFORMED MULTNOMAH COUNTY THAT THEY WOULD BE ABLE AND WILLING TO PROVIDE SUCH SERVICES, THE EXISTING MULTNOMAH COUNTY OFFICIALS CHOSE TO IGNORE THAT EVIDENCE, AND THEY DESIGNATED OUR LAND AS RURAL RESERVE. THE COURTS HAVE STATED THAT WE HAVE A RIGHT TO BE HEARD IN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL PROCESS. YOUR LAWYERS ALSO AGREED TO THIS. WE ARE ASKING THAT THIS TIME YOU PUT POLITICS ASIDE AND THAT YOU APPLY THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS AND NOTHING ELSE FOR DETERMINING IF THE L SECTION MEETS THE REQUIREMENT FOR URBAN RESERVE DESIGNATION, AND WE REALLY ASK YOU RECONSIDER THE RECORD BEFORE YOU. THERE IS A LOT OF NEW INFORMATION THAT ALSO WOULD COME INTO BEAR ON THIS AND THAT YOU TREAT OUR PROPERTIES IN THE L SEPARATELY FROM THE EASTERN PART OF AREA 9B WHICH IS VERY DIFFERENT FROM OUR LAND. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. I AM BOB ZAHLER. I AM NOT GOING TO READ MY TESTIMONY. I WANT YOU TO READ MY TESTIMONY. I AM 86 YEARS OLD AND BEEN DOING THERE FOR 40 YEARS. I AM GETTING A BIT WEARY OF THAT EFFORT. HOWEVER I FEEL NOW THAT MULTNOMAH COUNTY, AND SINCE WE'VE BEEN DEALT WITH MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR THAT LAST 120 YEARS, WE FELT LIKE WE ARE AN ISOLATED LITTLE ISLAND, PREVENTED FROM PARTICIPATING IN ANY GROWTH OR OPPORTUNITY BEYOND JUST THE RURAL ENVIRONMENT. TO ME THAT IS MORE OR LESS TOTAL DISCRIMINATION AGAINST OTHER PEOPLE AND THEIR ABILITIES TO PERFORM THINGS AND GROW WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD. ALL OF OUR LIFE WE HAVE SPENT MOST OF OUR 90% OF OUR TIME IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. ALL OF OUR SERVICES WERE THERE. WE NEVER CAME OVER PORTLAND FOR THOSE SERVICES. IT WAS TOO DIFFICULT. SO I ONLY ASK THAT YOU RECONSIDER ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WAS PRESENTED OVER THE LAST 40 YEARS FROM ALL OF OUR PEOPLE THAT LIVE ALONG SPRINGVILLE ROAD AND THINK AGAIN ABOUT YOUR DECISIONS TODAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU.

BOARD CLERK: SHIRLEY ASHTON, JOSEPH ASHTON, GREG MALINOWSKI AND CRAIG LUKESH. PLEASE COME FORWARD.

MS. ASHTON: GOOD MORNING. YOU HAVE HEARD A LOT OVER THE PAST SEVEN YEARS, AND TODAY WHAT YOU ARE HEARING ARE THE EFFECTS OF THE FOUR -- OF THE ERROR MADE ON DESIGNATING THE RESERVE.

CAN YOU STATE YOUR NAME?

I AM SHIRLEY ASHTON. SO I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY. I AM A TAXPAYER. I AM A WOMAN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS AND AN EMPLOYER OF TEN AND I VOTE. AND MY PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 12900 THROUGH 12940 NORTHWEST MARINA WAY IN PORTLAND. YOU CAN SEE MY PROPERTY IN EXHIBIT 1-89, AND THE EXHIBIT 1 WHICH IS ON PAGE 1-89 AND 1-90. WITH MY HUSBAND WE OWN TAX LOTS 100, 101, 200 AND 400 BETWEEN HIGHWAY 30 AND THE MULTNOMAH CHANNEL ON THE UGB LINE WHICH AFFECTS THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE PROPERTY, AND YOU CAN REFER TO THE AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH THAT I PROVIDED FOR A BETTER VIEW. SO ON THESE 11 ACRES PLUS SUBMERGED LANDS WE HAVE A MARINA ESTABLISHED OVER 100 YEARS AGO. 15 FLOATING HOMES AND BOATHOUSE TENANTS, INCLUDING THE OLDEST ROWING CLUB WEST OF THE MISSISSIPPI WITH A WAITING LIST TO JOIN. 98 BOAT SLIPS, A HOME ON LAND WHICH IS ONE OF THE OLDEST RESIDENCES IN THE AREA. A BOAT YARD THAT SERVICES BETWEEN 300 AND 350 BOATS ANNUALLY, AND EMPLOYS 10. MY PROPERTY ARE PAVED DRIVEWAYS AND PARKING LOTS ARE SERVED WITH CITY WATER, FIRE HYDRANTS, AND ALL THE UTILITIES NECESSARY. WE'RE LEGALLY PERMITTED AND HAVE INITIATED DEVELOPMENT OF A BUILDING ON THE LAND FOR 250 BOATS AND RETAIL OFFICE AND STORE. FOR OUR HEARINGS OFFICER DECISION THESE ARE NOT CONSIDERED RURAL CRITERIA OR FACTORS. THE COUNTY DID NOT CONSIDER MANY FACTORS RELATING TO THE LAND PARCELS IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA WHEN MAKING A DESIGNATION. COUNTY STAFF MADE ERRORS AND IN CASES ITS OWN FINDINGS WERE CONTRADICTORY AS WELL AS CACS AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY LOCATED IN THIS AREA. NOW I UNDERSTAND THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S DESIGNATION IS AREA-WIDE AND NOT PARCEL BY PARCEL. I AM NOT PERMITTED TO ARGUE THAT OR PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF ERRORS MADE HOWEVER AS A WOMAN OPERATING A SMALL BUSINESS ON A LAND I OWN I DESERVE AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY ANY OR ALL ARE NOT DESIGNATED AS RESERVES. I CAN'T FIND A DESCRIPTION OR EXPLANATION WITHIN THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE IN EXHIBIT 2 WHICH YOU ARE BEING ASKED TO SIGN THAT APPLIES TO THE CHARACTERISTICS AND THE FACTORS USED TO CONSIDER THE LAND PARCELS I OWN AND THOSE PARCELS SOUTH OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE COUNTY DEMONSTRATED ITS CONSIDERATION OF THE RESERVE FACTORS WITH REGARDS TO THE AREA OF 9D THAT ARE MY PARCELS SO I AM ASKING THE BOARD TO NOT DENY

THIS PROPOSED ORDINANCE. THIS DECISION AFFECTS MY COMMUNITY AND MY FAMILY AND MY EMPLOYEES AND MY SMALL BUSINESS AND ALL OF THE STAKEHOLDERS IN THE AREA 9D. THE DEFICIENCY NEEDS TO BE CORRECTED. THE COUNTY NEEDS TO REEXAMINE AND RECONSIDER THE FACTORS IN THE DESIGNATION EVEN IN LIGHT OF THE TREMENDOUS WORK THAT THE CAC PERFORMED. THIS WILL REQUIRE CONSIDERATION OF EXISTING EVIDENCE WHICH WAS NOT PRESENTED OR BURIED WITHIN NOTATIONS AS THIS EVIDENCE WOULD IMPACT THE DESIGNATION OF RESERVES IN THE AREA OF 9D. PART OF THIS EVIDENCE WAS A LETTER AND TESTIMONY PROVIDED BY OURSELVES AND THE COUNTY DID NOT INCLUDE AN EXHIBIT WITH THE AREA 9D ANALYSIS THAT YOU RECEIVED TODAY. THIS IS WORTH THE TIME AND THE EFFORT TO MAKE RIGHT. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. I AM JOSEPH ASHTON. I AM A FIFTH GENERATION OREGON PIONEER DESCENDANT. I LOVE AND RESPECT THE PORTLAND AND THIS AREA. DAVID SHELTON MY ANCESTOR CAME ACROSS THE OREGON TRAIL 170 YEARS AGO AND STARTED FARMING ON SAUVIE ISLAND IN THE FALL OF 1847. THE HISTORY OF MY FAMILY NONE OF THE INFORMATION I AM PROVIDING YOU IS NEW TO THIS CASE. URBAN RESERVE BETTER SUITS THE HISTORICAL USE OF OUR PROPERTY AND THE AREA SOUTH OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE. WE PAID TRI-MET PAYROLL TAXES. WE HAVE BUS SERVICE SIX DAYS A WEEK FROM 6:00 TO 10:00 P.M. THAT IS MORE THAN THEY GET IN THE NORTHWEST AND SOUTHWEST HILLS, AND WE ARE A LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL SCHOOL DISTRICT. WE HAVE A TEN-INCH WATER MAIN, OUT OF THE FLOOD PLAIN AND WE ARE AND HAVE BEEN A MIXED USE PARCEL, SO ARE SOME OF THE NEIGHBORING PARCELS. NOW FOR MORE HISTORY. IN FEBRUARY OF 2009 I SUBMITTED A LETTER TO THE CIC AND STAFF REGARDING THE AREAS AND KEY INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE CAC SUCH AS THE SEWER AND WATER ANALYSIS PROVIDED TO CAC FAILED TO INCLUDE KEY INFORMATION SUCH AS A NEW 10-INCH WATER MAIN. DENSITY WAS ALSO AN ISSUE WHEN THE STAFF AND A HALVED THEM THAT THEY COULD NOT COUNT FLOATING HOME RESIDENTS AS CURRENT DENSITY WHICH IS INCORRECT AS WE DISCOVERED IN THE REDESIGNATION. I REQUESTED THAT THE LAND BETWEEN HIGHWAY 30 AND THE MULTNOMAH CHANNEL SOUTH OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE URBAN RESERVES BECAUSE IT MEETS MOST OF THE CRITERIA. THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THE COUNTY CONSIDERED ALL FACTORS IN DECIDING AND DESIGNATING PARTICULAR AREAS AND EXPLAINED USING THE FACTORS LISTED IN THE STATUTES AND RULES AND WHETHER THERE IS EVIDENCE IN THE RECORD AS A WHOLE THAT A REASONABLE PERSON COULD HAVE RELIED ON TO DECIDE AS THE COUNTY DID. A REASONABLE PERSON WOULD HAVE NOT COME TO THE SAME CONCLUSION. THE COUNTY'S CONCLUSION OF 9D SOUTH OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE WAS IN CONFLICT WITH THE CAC FINDINGS AND TRUE FACTS THAT WERE DEEP IN

THE RECORDS AND NOT EXPLAINED OR PRESENTED TO THE BOARD. FOR EXAMPLE I HAVE ATTACHED THE BOARD REVIEW HIGHLIGHTED SUBSEQUENT INFORMATION SUGGESTS SOME POTENTIAL FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT GIVEN THE CLOSE PROXIMITY TO HIGHWAY 30 TO THE AREA. THIS STATEMENT HAS BEEN LEFT OUT OF CURRENT EXHIBITS AND IS IN CONFLICT WITH THE STATEMENTS PROVIDED BY THE COUNTY TODAY. IN ADDITION NONE OF THE CURRENT EXHIBITS DISCUSSED THIS SECTION OF 9D. OUT OF THE FACT ANALYSIS REPORT THE AREA WAS FURTHER DIVIDED BY A CAC AT THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE, THE AREA SOUTH OF THE BRIDGE WAS RETAINED FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS DUE TO A LACK OF CONSENSUS BY THE CAC ON SERVICE POTENTIAL. THE WATER SERVICE AND THE CURRENT DENSITY INFORMATION WAS NOT CORRECT. THIS LED ITSELF TO INDUSTRIAL USE DUE TO BEING BRACKETED BY RAIL AND RIVER. IT IS NOT AN IMPORTANT LANDSCAPE FEATURE AND NO SENSE OR PLACE OF RECOGNITION ACCEPT FOR THE AREA SOUTH OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE THE LENGTH OF THE STRIP OF LAND IS NOT CONSIDERED SUITABLE FOR URBAN USE, THEREFORE IS NOT IN NEED OF PROTECTION EXCEPT FOR THE SOUTH OF THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE. IT SHOULD BE INCLUDED WITHIN THE URBAN RESERVE RIPARIAN HABITAT VALUES LIKELY TO BE IMPROVED. THESE ARE A FEW DETAILS LEFT OUT OF EXHIBITS, NOR HAS AN EXPLANATION BEEN MADE AS TO WHY CONTRARY CONCLUSIONS OF RURAL WAS REACHED. THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY SUPPORTS THE COUNTY STILL HASN'T EXPLAINED THE AREA OF 9D AND ALSO IS CONCERNED THE AREA SOUTH OF SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE ONCE AGAIN IS LEFT OUT. I AM REQUESTING THE COUNTY GO BACK AND REVISIT THE INFORMATION AND FURTHER PROVIDE EXPLANATION AS TO WHY SO MANY PARCELS THAT ARE CURRENTLY INDUSTRIAL OR COMMERCIAL USE WITH HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTS ARE CLASSIFIED AS RURAL RESERVES. I THINK IT SHOULD BE RECLASSIFIED AS URBAN RESERVES. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: COMMISSIONER WELCOME.

GREG MALINOWSKI. 1345 NORTHWEST SPRINGVILLE LANE PORTLAND, OREGON, 97229. I HAVEN'T LIVED ON THE ROAD AS LONG AS MR. KAHLER, BUT I AM WORKING ON IT. I DO WORK NEXT DOOR AT THE OTHER COUNTY SO NOW I GET TO BE ON THIS SIDE OF THE PODIUM FOR A FEW MINUTES. I APPRECIATE YOU HEARING ME TODAY. WE HAVE OWNED THAT PROPERTY SINCE THE LAST CENTURY. MY DAD STARTED PURCHASING IT. WE ARE CURRENTLY TRYING TO DEVELOP THE GROUND TO PUT MARKET GARDENERS ON IT, FOLKS WHO SELL AT FARMERS MARKETS, THE RESTAURANTS, SOME LOCAL, SMALL BUSINESS FOLKS. TRYING TO GIVE FOLKS A START WHO MIGHT NOT HAVE THE RESOURCES TO START FROM SCRATCH ON THEIR OWN. WE ARE LOCATED IN THE SPRINGVILLE L, ON THE L PART OF IT NEAR THE SOUTH. WE ARE 20 MINUTES FROM DOWNTOWN PORTLAND MOST OF THE TIME. 20 MINUTES FROM DOWNTOWN BEAVERTON MOST OF THE TIME, AND 20 MINUTES FROM DOWNTOWN HILLSBORO MOST

OF THE TIME. WE ARE THE LAST -- THE SPRINGVILLE L IS THE LAST PIECE OF FLAT GROUND IN THE AREA. THAT CAN HANDLE THAT KIND OF INTENSIVE FARMING. IF YOU GUYS MAKE IT UNDESIGNATED THEN IT'S GONE. WE ALREADY HAVE A MAJOR LAND BROKER WHO HAS BOUGHT AN EFU PIECE DOWN BY THE COUNTY LINE. HE WAS, HE FELT SURE BEING NEXT TO THE BOUNDARY WOULD MAKE HIM GET IN THE BOUNDARY. YOU HAVE HEARD TALK ABOUT AVAILABLE SERVICES. THE SCHOOL BEING BUILT ACROSS FROM -- FROM THE SPRINGVILLE L IS IN A DIFFERENT SCHOOL DISTRICT. YOU KNOW HOW EASILY THEY LET CHILDREN GO BACK AND FORTH -- I AM BEING FACETIOUS, OF COURSE. THEY DON'T. THE CITY OF BEAVERTON -- THERE'S BEEN ONE -- THERE WAS ONE STAFFER NO LONGER THERE AND HE USED TO LOVE TO WRITE LETTERS ABOUT HOW IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT THAT BEAVERTON BE ALLOWED TO DEVELOP TO ANNEX GROUND INTO MULTNOMAH COUNTY. APPARENTLY DID NOT REALIZE THAT IN FACT, BEAVERTON AND PORTLAND HAVE A DEAL NOT TO CROSS THE COUNTY LINE. YOU KNOW HOW POLITICAL LINES ARE. WHAT I AM ASKING FOR IS A CHANCE FOR THIS AREA TO BE SOMETHING IN THE FUTURE THAT PEOPLE CAN GET TO IN A HURRY AND CAN GO AHEAD AND BE A PRODUCTION OF LOCALLY PRODUCED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES. I HATE TO SAY IT BUT AS A COUNTY COMMISSIONER I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT AT THIS POINT THE BOARD MAJORITY IS NOT WORRIED ABOUT PROTECTING FARMLAND. THEY WORRY MORE ABOUT UNPROTECTING IT. YOU GUYS HAVE A STEWARD'S MISSION THERE THAT I SEE. YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO BENEFIT COMMUNITIES IN ALL DIRECTIONS. THERE IS WILDLIFE THERE. WE HAVE GOT ELK AND BOBCAT AND SO I SHOULD AT LEAST BEHAVE ACCORDING TO THAT CLOCK SINCE I EXPECT OTHER PEOPLE TO. BUT JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF HUGE ADVANTAGES, WATER PROTECTION, FISH, INTERMITTENT STREAMS ARE NOT NOTHING. THEY ARE IMPORTANT, TOO, DURING THEIR TIME OF THE YEAR. I WANTED TO THANK YOU AND TELL YOU I THINK THAT THE CAC WAS RIGHT AND WHEN THIS WENT DOWN TO SALEM TO BE CHALLENGED THE LCDC THE SPRINGVILLE L WAS NOT PART OF THE REMAND.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANKS FOR COMING OVER TO MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

I AM CRAIG LUKESH, A PROPERTY OWNER IN EAST MULTNOMAH COUNTY SO OPPOSITE END OF EVERYBODY THAT'S BEEN SPEAKING. AND I AM NOT NEARLY AS PREPARED AS EVERYBODY. I CAME BECAUSE I GOT A NOTICE THAT THE PROPERTY I'M ON, I'VE BEEN THERE SINCE 1980, IS -- I THINK IT'S DESIGNATED AS RURAL RESIDENTIAL AND MY UNDERSTANDING IT WOULD BE LOCKED INTO A 40-YEAR DESIGNATION. THIS IS MY RETIREMENT, AND I JUST RECENTLY RETIRED AND AT THIS POINT THERE IS NOTHING THAT I CAN DO WITH IT BUT STAY THERE AND TAKE CARE OF IT, SO I AM HERE TO FIND OUT WHAT CAN BE DONE OR JUST THE PROCESS OF WHAT HAPPENS BECAUSE THIRD GRADERS NOT SEEM, YOU KNOW, I'VE BEEN THERE FOR A

LONG TIME, AND THIS HAS TAKEN AWAY WHAT I'VE BEEN PLANNING ON FOR THE LAST 30 YEARS.

COMM. MCKEEL: THIS IS KIM PEOPLES, THE HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES AND HE WILL DIRECT YOU TO GET YOUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED. THANK YOU.

SUSAN ANDREWS, AJAY BHATT, FARHAD AND BRANDY META PLEASE COME FORWARD.

CHAIR KAFOURY: GOOD MORNING. YOU CAN BEGIN WHEN YOU ARE READY. THESE ARE NEW CHAIRS SO THEY ARE, YOU KNOW, MIGHT BE A LITTLE --

THANKS FOR THE CHANCE TO SPEAK. I AM SUSAN ANDREWS. I LIVE AT 13410 NORTHWEST SPRINGVILLE ROAD. I AM HERE REPRESENTING SEVERAL NEIGHBORS FROM THE AREA 9B. THAT'S A LITTLE CONFUSING. ACTUALLY THE DISCUSSION TODAY IS REGARDING 9D IN TERMS OF THE REMAND. WE KNEW THAT THERE WOULD BE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE RURAL RESERVES DECISIONS WERE MADE CORRECTLY ABOUT THE SPRINGVILLE L OR 9B. SO I AM HERE TO SPEAK TO THAT AND TO SUPPORT THE DECISIONS AND I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO ACKNOWLEDGE I HAVE NEIGHBORS FROM THE SPRINGVILLE HERE -- IF YOU WOULD NOT MIND STANDING UP SO PEOPLE CAN SEE US. STAND UP PLEASE. INCLUDING THE [INAUDIBLE], THE NELSONS, THE [INAUDIBLE], THE MOUNT ST. HELENS, THE TARS, THE [INAUDIBLE] WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR MOVING THIS RESERVES PROCESS FORWARD. MANY OF US HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS SINCE IT BEGAN IN 2008. WE WANTED YOU TO HEAR FROM US BECAUSE MANY OF YOU ARE NEW TO THE PROCESS, AND TO HEAR THAT THE NEIGHBORS IN THIS AREA ARE SUPPORTIVE OF THE RURAL DESIGNATION FOR THAT. WE WOULD LIKE TO URGE YOU TO ADOPT THESE FINDINGS AND TO HELP US MOVE FORWARD IN THIS RESERVE'S PROCESS SO THAT THE LONG RANGE PLANNING CERTAINTY THAT IT GUARANTEES IS ACTUALLY GUARANTEED. THE SPRINGVILLE L, A PART OF THE WEST HILLS WAS NOT PART OF THE STATE REMAND, AND THE RESERVES PROCESS EXHAUSTIVELY CONSIDERED THE FACTORS WHICH WOULD CONTRIBUTE A SOLID BALANCE OF URBAN DESIGNATIONS ACROSS MULTNOMAH COUNTY. AS I MENTIONED WE PARTICIPATED IN YEARS OF HEARINGS INCLUDING THE CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEES. THE ONE THAT LED UP TO THIS SCHOOL RESERVE DESIGNATION FOR THIS SPRINGVILLE L DID LOOK AT MANY FACTORS. I DON'T THINK THAT WE NEED TO REVIEW ALL OF THOSE HERE BECAUSE THEY WERE DONE AND CONSIDERED THOROUGHLY, BUT I DO WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT WE CARE DEEPLY ABOUT THE WILDLIFE, WATERSHEDS, REMAINING FARMLAND, AND WHAT'S LEFT OF OUR FORESTS, AND WE'RE VERY GLAD THAT THIS PROCESS IS MOVING FORWARD TO PROTECT THEM. SINCE THE TIME OF THE ORIGINAL DECISION SOME OF OUR OLD NEIGHBORS HAVE MOVED OR HAVE PASSED ON, AND NEW PEOPLE

HAVE MOVED IN, SOME OF WHOM ARE HERE TODAY, AND THEY WILL LET YOU KNOW THAT THEY WERE JUST AS ATTRACTED TO THE RURAL NATURE OF THIS AREA AND THE BEAUTIFUL LANDSCAPE, AND THAT THAT DID NOT NECESSARILY AFFECT THE VALUES OF THE ACREAGE IN THAT AREA. WE THINK THAT THE NATURAL FEATURES OF OUR AREA ARE UNIQUE AND SPECIAL AND SOMETHING THAT WE'RE TRULY GLAD TO SEE PRESERVED IN THESE DECISIONS. JUST THIS WEEK WE HAD A BALD EAGLE SITTING IN THE FRONT OF OUR HOME. THE ELK ARE RIGHT NOW GRAZING ON THE HILLSIDES UP ABOVE US. IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO US TO SEE THAT THIS MULTI-YEAR PROCESS INCLUDING A LOT OF PUBLIC INPUT RESULTS IN THE OUTCOMES WHEN IT PROMISED. THANKS VERY MUCH FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TODAY, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING THIS MOVE FORWARD.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME, I AM AJAY BHATT, NEIGHBOR OF SUSAN AND KIRK. I RECENTLY MOVED TO THIS AREA. I AM HERE TO ENDORSE THE DECISION THAT YOU REACHED TO KEEP THIS AREA, THE RURAL RESERVE, AND I HOPE THAT WE CONTINUE THIS BECAUSE THERE IS DEVELOPMENT ALL AROUND US AND THIS IS A PRECIOUS LAND THAT WE HAVE CLOSE TO THE CITY. AS GREG OBSERVED WE ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF INTERESTING AREAS. I SEE A LOT OF ACTIVITIES IN THE AREA. PEOPLE USE THIS AREA TO RUN MARATHONS, TO BIKE AROUND THERE, AND THERE ARE ANIMALS ON OUR YARDS. I SUGGEST THAT WE MAINTAIN THIS DESIGNATION AND DON'T CHANGE THE DESIGNATION ANY FARTHER.

CHAIR KAFOURY: GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. I AM FARHAD, THIS IS MY WIFE, BRANDY. SO WITH THE TIME CONSTRAINTS IN PLACE WE DID SUBMIT A PACKET OF INFORMATION. I AM NOT GOING TO -- I AM NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH THE WHOLE THING. WE'LL JUST GET TO THE HIGHLIGHTS. FIRST LET ME START BY SAYING THAT THE -- WE'VE BEEN DOING QUITE A BIT OF RESEARCH ON THIS, AND WE CAN TOTALLY APPRECIATE THE COMPLEXITY AND NUANCES ASSOCIATED WITH THIS AREA AND THE DESIGNATION OF THE RURAL RESERVE OR URBAN RESERVE. INSTEAD OF GOING THROUGH ALL OF THE LIST OF ITEMS HERE THAT WE HAVE I THINK THAT LET ME JUST POINT OUT HOW THE DECISION THAT YOU GUYS HAVE IS AFFECTING US. WE OWN SOME PROPERTY AT 2940 NORTHWEST MILL POND ROAD. IT'S ACTUALLY IN THE AREA OF 9A ON THE MAP. IT IS A THIRD OF AN ACRE. THE EASE IS WITHIN THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY THE REST IS OUTSIDE. ONE-THIRD OF AN ACRE, 14,000 SQUARE FEET. BECAUSE OF THE LAND USE DESIGNATION WE ARE ONLY GOING TO BE ABLE TO BUILD ON A 50 BY 50 SQUARE FOOT PLACE AND SIDE OF THAT SPACE OF THAT 14,000 SQUARE FEET DOWN TO 2,500. BUILDABLE SPACE. IN ADDITION TO THAT THERE IS A SEWER MAIN 250 FEET DOWN THE STREET. WE ARE UNABLE TO CONNECT TO IT. THE CITY OF PORTLAND WON'T LET US BECAUSE WE ARE OUTSIDE OF THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY IN THE

URBAN SERVICES AREA. THE DECISIONS YOU ARE MAKING OBVIOUSLY ARE COMPLICATED. THERE IS LOTS OF LAND USE GOING ON THAT AREA. WITHOUT LOOKING AT A PARCEL BY PARCEL DESIGNATION THESE KINDS OF THINGS ARE GOING TO HAPPEN ALL THE TIME. THAT BEING SAID, OUR PRIMARY OF THE FOUR OBJECTIVES THAT I HAD LISTED THE PRIMARY ONES THAT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT ARE ADDRESSED ARE THE MODIFICATION OF OUR PARCELS, ZONING FROM RURAL RESERVE TO URBAN RESERVE SO THAT WE CAN POTENTIALLY GET INSIDE OF THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND TAKE A THIRD OF AN ACRE AND MAKE IT USABLE. SECONDARILY WE'VE BEEN TALKING WITH THE CITY OF PORTLAND QUITE A BIT, AND I THINK THAT IT'S UNCLEAR TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND, THE SENIOR PLANNERS THERE WHAT IS THE COUNTY'S STANCE ON PORTLAND INCREASING ITS URBAN SERVICES TO THE PROPERTIES LIKE OURS, AND SO WE'RE STUCK IN THE LIMBO. AND WE HAVE -- THIS IS NOT MY EVERYDAY ALTHOUGH I WISH THAT I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT OF IT. OURS ARE IN SCHOOL. THAT'S ALL THAT I HAVE GOT. AND I AM NOT SURE IN TERMS OF THE PROCESS IF YOU ARE ABLE TO DO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

CHAIR KAFOURY: WE HAVE STAFF ON HAND TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS IN THE BACK SO IF YOU WANT TO TURN AROUND THERE IS CHRISTIAN FROM MY STAFF, PUT HIS HAND UP AND KIM PEOPLES IS TALKING WITH SOMEONE RIGHT NOW BUT THEY ARE HAPPY TO SPEAK WITH YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

I AM BRANDY META, OF COURSE, OWN THE SAME PROPERTY JUST A FEW QUICK THINGS THAT I WANTED TO ADD IN MY CONVERSATIONS WITH PEOPLE AT MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PORTLAND THEY REFER TO THE AREA THAT WE'RE IN AS THE STAR. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT HELPS FOR YOU GUYS OR NOT. THE CITY OF PORTLAND IS IN FRONT OF OUR HOUSE, THE STREET IN FRONT OF OUR HOUSE IS THE CITY OF PORTLAND. LIKE MY HUSBAND MENTIONED THE SEWER IS JUST 250 FEET SOUTH OF OUR PROPERTY. EVERYONE THAT I HAVE SPOKEN TO SAYS THAT THIS MAKES NO SENSE, YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO CONNECT, IT SHOULD BE SUPER EASY FOR YOU, BUT OF COURSE WE'RE RUNNING UP AGAINST THE FACT THAT WE'RE OUTSIDE OF THE URBAN SERVICES BOUNDARY. YOU KNOW FOR US WE HAVE WHAT SOUNDS LIKE A PRETTY DIFFERENT STORY FROM THE REST OF THE PEOPLE. WE HAVE A REALLY SMALL PLOT OF LAND. WE WOULD LIKE TO BUILD A HOUSE ON IT YOU KNOW, TO RAISE OUR FAMILY AND GET THEM INTO BETTER SCHOOL DISTRICTS. WE THOUGHT A THIRD OF AN ACRE WOULD BE PLENTY TO RAISE -- HAVE A GARDEN AND PUT THIS HOUSE ON IT. NOTHING GIANT. ACTUALLY IN FACT, SMALLER THAN MOST OF THE HOUSES IN FOREST HEIGHTS THAT ARE JUST SOUTH OF US TWO PLOTS OF LAND. SO YOU KNOW IF THERE IS SOME WAY FOR US TO CONNECT TO THE SEWER THAT'S REALLY GOING TO BE HELPFUL BECAUSE THE SEPTIC ON OUR PLOT

OF LAND WON'T FIT. SO WE'RE STUCK WITH LAND THAT WE CANNOT DO ANYTHING WITH. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU.

SANDY BAKER. MATTHEW LOWE, STEVE MONTGOMERY, AND MOLLIE NELSON.

CHAIR KAFOURY: YOU CAN BEGIN SIR.

GOOD MORNING. CHAIR KAFOURY AND COMMISSIONERS I AM MATTHEW LOWE WITH THE LAW FIRM OF JORDAN RAMUS AND I REPRESENT THE BARKER FAMILY AND 5LLC. AND I PRESENTED SOME WRITTEN TESTIMONY, AND I AM GOING TO GO OFF THE SCRIPT WHICH IS DANGEROUS FOR ME. YOU HAVE HEARD ABOUT THE FACTUAL DISPUTES OVER THE DESIGNATION OF URBAN VERSUS RURAL RESERVES. THERE IS A LOT OF THAT IN THE RECORD, AND I SUBMITTED OUR POSITION WHY THIS PROPERTY SHOULD BE CHARACTERIZED AS URBAN AND NOT RURAL. BUT WHAT YOU HAVE GOT HERE IS A PROCESS PROBLEM. YOUR LAWYER TOUCHED UPON IT BUT DID NOT DESCRIBE IT FOR YOU. THE COURT OF APPEALS ON THE EVERYDAY IN THIS RECORD SAID THE AREA 9D AS A DOG, YOU HAVE HEARD ABOUT B AND A AND OTHERS. D WAS NOT PROPERLY CHARACTERIZED AS RURAL RESERVE. THAT WAS REMANDED TO THE LCDC. LCDC TOOK BRIEFING FROM PARTIES INCLUDING THE COUNTY THAT BRIEFING THAT SUBMITTED, THE LCDC SAID THAT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH. WE'RE REMANDING THIS TO THE COUNTY TO MAKE FURTHER FINDINGS. THAT'S IMPORTANT. THE ORDER SAYS FURTHER FINDINGS. WHAT YOUR LAWYER SUBMITTED TO YOU TODAY IN EXHIBIT 2 IS A VERBATIM ALMOST REHASH OF WHAT HE PROVIDED TO LCDC THAT LCDC REJECTED. SO WHAT WE'RE DOING TODAY IF YOU APPROVE THIS ORDINANCE IS INVITING AN ENDLESS PROCESS. WE'RE NOT ACHIEVING CERTAINTY FOR THIS PROCESS. WE'RE INVITING MORE APPEALS AND NO REASON TO THINK THAT LCDC WILL CHANGE ITS MIND BECAUSE IT'S A COUPLE OF YEARS LATER. REMEMBER THIS ALL HAPPENED -- THE REMAND CAME DOWN TO YOU IN JANUARY OF 2015. YOU HAVE HAD OVER TWO YEARS TO REOPEN THE RECORD AND TO TAKE PROPER TESTIMONY AND MAKE FINDINGS AND TO DO A PROPER PROCESS. THE BARKER FAMILY HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS SINCE THE BEGINNING. ATTENDED EVERY HEARING AND MEETING AND ANYTHING THAT YOU COULD GO TO. THIS IS NOT HOW THE GOVERNMENT IS SUPPOSED TO WORK AND SERVICE PEOPLE. WE UNDERSTAND THAT WHATEVER DECISION YOU MAKE IS GOING TO BE PEOPLE UPSETS ON ONE SIDE VERSUS PEOPLE UPSET ON ANOTHER BUT WE HAVE TO FOLLOW THE PROCESS AND RIGHT NOW YOU ARE GOING TO GET AN APPEAL RIGHT BACK TO YOUR LAPS IN A COUPLE OF YEARS. WE'RE GOING TO WASTE MORE TIME AND AT SOME POINT THE LEGISLATURE WILL GET FRUSTRATED TOO BECAUSE THEY HAVE ALREADY DONE THAT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO AND THEY ARE GOING TO

RESOLVE THIS FOR EVERYBODY AND THEY SHOULD HAVE DONE IT THIS SESSION AND IT'S UNCLEAR WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO DO THAT. I RESPECTFULLY URGE YOU TO PUT THE BRAKES ON THIS EVEN THOUGH WE'VE BEEN SITTING HERE FOR TWO YEARS AND HAVE YOUR STAFF GO THROUGH A PROPER PROCESS, REOPEN THE RECORD WHICH WE THINK IS REQUIRED, AND WE THINK PRETTY MUCH EVERY JURISDICTION THINKS IT'S REQUIRED AND THAT'S WHY METRO AND CLACKAMAS COUNTY REOPENED THE RECORD ON THEIR REMAND, SO WE URGE YOU TO NOT APPROVE THIS ORDINANCE AND TO FOLLOW A PROPER PROCESS. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. NOW I CAN'T SEE YOU. OK. I AM JUST GOING TO READ THIS BECAUSE I GET A LITTLE NERVOUS. MY NAME IS SANDY BAKER. I LIVE AT 13493 NORTHWEST COUNTRY VIEW WAY. PORTLAND, OREGON. I SEE NEW FACES SO I WANT TO EXPLAIN THE PROPERTY. BEGINNING IN 1905 OUR GRANDPARENTS PURCHASED THIS PROPERTY AND BUILT THEIR HOME IN 1948, MY DAD BUILT OUR HOME WHERE WE WERE RAISED. WE ARE THE THIRD GENERATION. THIS PROPERTY HAS BEEN IN THE FAMILY FOR OVER 110 YEARS. IT IS A PROPERTY OF 62 ACRES ABUTTING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY LINE WHERE A SEA OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT IS BUILDING THE NEW COMMUNITY OF NORTH BETHANY. THE LOWER 24 ACRES ABUTS THE UGB. NO HOUSE SITE IS PERMITTED. IT IS FLANKED BY KAISER ROAD. THE INCREASINGLY BUSY GERMANTOWN ROAD DIVIDES THE PROPERTY. ALL FIVE BARKER KIDS WERE RAISED HERE FROM BIRTH STARTING BACK IN 1951. THIS WAS OUR ONLY FAMILY HOME. WE HAD ASPIRATIONS OF BUILDING A HOME AND RAISING OUR CHILDREN ON THIS PROPERTY. DUE TO CHANGES IN THE LAND USE LAWS WE WERE NOT ABLE TO RAISE OUR CHILDREN THERE. IT'S BEEN HEART-BREAKING FOR US. I AM 62 YEARS OLD. THIS DREAM HAS PASSED. OUR PARENTS OWNED AND OPERATED A PORTLAND BAKERY TO PROVIDE FOR THE FAMILY. THEY DID NOT FARM. THIS IS NOT PROPERTY WHICH IS CONDUCIVE TO FARMING. THE PROPERTY HAS NO IRRIGATION RIGHTS. HAS POOR SOILS, AND SLIGHT SLOPES. IT'S DIVIDED BY GERMANTOWN ROAD AND THE LIST GOES ON. I ATTENDED THE RESERVES MEETING FROM THE BEGINNING. THROUGH THE APPEAL AND I AM HERE TODAY. IT HAS BEEN NEARLY TEN YEARS. IN PREPARATION FOR THIS HEARING I WAS REVIEWING SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS IN THE AGENDA PACKET. IT SURPRISES ME HOW LITTLE THEY RECOMMENDED RANKINGS FROM BOTH THE CAC AND THE COUNTY COUNSELOR FAILED TO ACCURATELY DESCRIBE OUR PROPERTY AND OUR NEIGHBORS. IT IS AS IF OUR PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING PROPERTIES WERE NEVER PART OF THE STUDY AREA. THAT WAS CONSIDERED BY THE CAC. THE EVIDENCE IN THE RECORD THAT WAS CONSIDERED BY THE CAC SIMPLY DOES NOT SUPPORT THE RURAL RESERVE DESIGNATION. TO THE CONTRARY. OUR PROPERTY ALONG THE LOWER PORTION OF AREA 9D SOUTH OF SKYLINE SHOULD BE AN URBAN RESERVE. THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND SCHOOL ARE

BEING BUILT RIGHT NEXT DOOR TO US. OUR PROPERTY HAS DIRECT ACCESS TO PUBLIC WATER AND SEWER. IT LIES ADJACENT TO NEW SCHOOLS AND NEIGHBORHOODS. IT HAS CONNECTIVITY. IT IS WALKABLE. THERE IS A HIGH POTENTIAL FOR PARKS AND PUBLIC USE. IT CAN BE DEVELOPED AT URBAN DENSITY JUST LIKE THE LAND ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE FENCE. OUR LAND IS NOT A BUFFER BETWEEN -- IT IS NOT A BUFFER BETWEEN FOUNDATION FARMLAND AND THE UGB. IT IS A BUFFER FOR OUR NEIGHBORS TO THE EAST, LIVING ON TWO TO FIVE-ACRE PARCELS. LEAVING OUR PROPERTY AS RURAL RESERVE DOESN'T PROTECT THE FOUNDATION FARMLAND. IT PROTECTS THE BACKYARDS OF THE FOREST PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. AND THE AREA KNOWN AS ANDREWS ACRES. THAT'S THE REALITY OF THE SITUATION. THE OPPONENTS OF URBAN RESERVE DESIGNATIONS ARE DESIGNATED AND ORGANIZED AND THEY ARE EFFECTIVE AND I LEARNED OVER TEN YEARS JUST HOW POWERFUL THEY ARE, BUT THE TRUTH IS ON OUR SITE WE DON'T HAVE A FARM. WE DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO IRRIGATE THE CROPS. WE HAVE LAND NEXT TO THE NEW COMMUNITY ADJACENT TO US. PEOPLE ARE MOVING TO OUR REGION. HOUSING EMERGENCY HAS BEEN DECLARED AND YET LAND THAT COULD EASILY BE DEVELOPED HAS BEEN GIVEN A CLASSIFICATION THAT ENSURES THAT NOTHING WILL HAPPEN FOR THE NEXT 50 YEARS. THAT MIGHT BE THE RIGHT RESULTS FOR THIS POWERFUL GROUP OF PEOPLE BUT IS NOT THE RIGHT RESULT FOR US OR OUR REGION. I AM NOT AN EXPERT IN LAND USE, BUT I AM AN EXPERT IN OUR LAND AND OUR NEIGHBOR'S LAND. IT'S THE LAND THAT I WALKED ON AS A CHILD BACK WHEN IT WAS IN THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE. TIMES HAVE CHANGED AND NOW IT'S SOMEWHERE. 50 YEARS, EXCUSE ME, SOMETIME LONG BEFORE 50 YEARS, IT WILL NEED TO BE DEVELOPED. IT WILL NEED TO BE A PLACE FOR CHILDREN, WHERE CHILDREN LIVE AND WALK TO THE SCHOOL ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE FENCE. I AM HERE TODAY TO ASK YOU TO OBJECTIVELY LOOK AT THE FACTS AND MAKE A DETERMINATION BUT MOST OF ALL I AM HERE TO ASK YOU TO DO THE RIGHT THING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

MR. MONTGOMERY: GOOD MORNING AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. I AM HERE ON BEHALF OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD VALLEY ASSOCIATION AND SOME OF THE QUESTIONS GIVEN TO ME, WE'RE THE PROPERTY OWNERS, AND I AM SORRY TO SEE YOU FEEL LIKE THAT. THAT IS A WAY TOO COMMON QUESTION THAT I HEAR YOUR STORY. HOW WILL THE PROPERTY VALUES BE AFFECTED....

CAN YOU STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD?.....WHAT'S THAT? CHAIR KAFOURY: STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

STEVE MONTGOMERY. HOW WILL OUR PROPERTY VALUES BE EFFECTIVE, WHAT ARE THE PROPERTIES IN OUR AREA WILL BE AFFECTED. HOW HAS --

WHY HAS MULTNOMAH COUNTY [INAUDIBLE] WHICH I HAD TO LOOK THAT UP, MEANS GIVEN UP, THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES TO PROVIDE US WITH ZONING AND PERMITS FOR AGRICULTURAL PROPERTIES. BY THE WAY I LIVE IN UNINCORPORATED MULTNOMAH COUNTY, I AM TOTALLY NEW AT THIS SO I APOLOGIZE. WHY IN THREE YEARS HAS MULTNOMAH COUNTY NOT GIVEN US ANY INFORMATION ON URBAN AND RURAL DESIGNATIONS. BY DOING THIS AGRICULTURAL -- AGRICULTURAL LIVING IS NEARLY IMPOSSIBLE IN THE CITY OF PORTLAND PROCESS -- THAT WAS ONE THAT -- WHEN MULTNOMAH COUNTY GAVE UP THE DESIGNATION TO THE CITY OF PORTLAND, A LOT OF OUR AREAS OUT THERE ARE AGRICULTURAL SMALL FARMS AND THINGS LIKE THAT. THE CITY OF PORTLAND HAS ABSOLUTELY NO DESIGNATION PERMITTING OR ANYTHING FOR AGRICULTURAL SO SMALL FARMS PRETTY MUCH CAN'T GET A PERMIT TO DO WHAT THEY NORMALLY WOULD DO BECAUSE IT'S NOT THE CITY. PEOPLE ARE SAYING THAT THEY FEEL LIKE CRIMINALS DOING WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING FOR 50, 100 YEARS, ON THEIR OWN PROPERTY. SO IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE HAD THIS LOOKED AT TO FIGURE OUT WHY HONEST TAXPAYERS CAN'T CONTINUE TO LIVE THEIR LIFE LIKE THEY HAVE BEEN DOING OR WANT TO BE ABLE TO DO. AND LAST OF ALL, I CANNOT EMPHASIZE ENOUGH FOR ALL OF YOU TO JOIN OR TO BECOME PART OF YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION OR SOMETHING THEREOF. LOTS OF INTELLIGENT PEOPLE HERE AND I HAVE HEARD PEOPLE TALK, WAY BETTER THAN I CAN DO THIS. THE CITY, THE COUNTY, THE NEIGHBORHOOD NEED PEOPLE LIKE US. WE NEED PEOPLE LIKE YOU. WE ALL NEED TO BE TOGETHER BECAUSE I THINK THAT WE HAVE THE SAME GOAL IN MIND HERE. WE'RE MOVING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. LET'S CONTINUE IT, BUT INFORMATION, INFORMATION WOULD BE GREAT. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. SOME OF YOUR QUESTIONS IF YOU HAD OTHER QUESTIONS, MY STAFF PERSON BACK HERE, CHRISTIAN, TALL GUY WAVING HIS HAND - HE'S AVAILABLE TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS.

MR. MONTGOMERY: THANKS. MY FIRST TIME.

CHAIR KAFOURY: YOU DID A GREAT JOB. DON'T WORRY. I ESPECIALLY LIKE THE CALL TO ACTION.

MR. MONTGOMERY: THANKS VERY MUCH.

CAROL CHESEREK, JERRY GROSSNICKLE, AND JIM EMERSON, PLEASE COME FORWARD.

I AM CAROL CHESERAK AND I LIVE AT 1300 NORTHWEST GERMANTOWN ROAD, AND I PROVIDED SOME PRINTED AND WRITTEN TESTIMONY FOR YOU THIS MORNING. I SERVED ON THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR URBAN AND RURAL RESERVES. I'VE BEEN HEARING ABOUT IT THIS MORNING. I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING THE RESERVE'S PROCESS --

ACTUALLY WE HELPED TO GET IT GOING BACK IN 2006 ON BEHALF OF FOREST PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. I AM SERVING AS THE PRESIDENT OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, AND FOREST PARK NEIGHBORHOOD STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE CURRENT RURAL RESERVE DESIGNATIONS IN THE WEST HILLS. WE SUPPORT THIS ORDINANCE, AND WE SUPPORT THEIR REVISED FINDINGS, AND I WANT TO COMPLIMENT THE COUNTY STAFF AND JED TOMKINS FOR HIS TENACITY AND THE VERY THOROUGH DRAFT THEY PUT TOGETHER. THIS HAS BEEN A LONG PROCESS BUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS HAPPY TO SEE THE REMAND BEING RESOLVED. THESE ARE IMPORTANT TO PROTECT, IMPORTANT LANDSCAPE FEATURES, FARMLAND, AND FOREST LAND. I WANT TO ASSURE YOU THAT THE COUNTY CONDUCTED AN EXTREMELY THOROUGH PROCESS OVER A VERY LONG PERIOD OF TIME. THE COUNTY'S DECISION TO DESIGNATE THESE RURAL RESERVES WERE SUPPORTED BY DATA IN THE RECORD. THERE WAS A MOUNTAINOUS SIZE RECORD FOR THIS. THE ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CAC ALSO SUPPORTED IT, AND THERE WAS A BROAD RANGE OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME PUBLIC INPUT. I HAVE ATTACHED THE LONG LIST, TWO SIDED, ALL THE FACTORS FOR URBAN AND RURAL RESERVES THAT THE CAC HAD TO CONSIDER FOR EACH AND EVERY POTENTIAL CANDIDATE AREA. THE CAC MET AS JED TOLD YOU 16 TIMES OVER 15 MONTHS TO DEVELOP OUR RECOMMENDATIONS. THERE WAS PUBLIC INPUT CONSIDERED AT ALL THE MEETINGS AND THROUGHOUT THE RESERVE'S PROCESS AT MANY HEARINGS. THIS IS MY BINDER. THIS IS A SMALL PORTION OF THE DOCUMENTATION THAT I HAVE AT HOME FROM THIS PROCESS. ALSO I PROVIDED YOU, THIS IS WHAT KEEPS ME GOING. THESE ARE ELK RIGHT NEXT TO THE BAKER BARKER PROPERTY IN 9D, AND THAT'S IN THE BACKGROUND THAT'S NORTH BETHANY WHICH IS GOING TO BE DEVELOPING SOON. IN ADDITION TO FOREST PARK NEIGHBORHOOD THE CITY OF PORTLAND SUPPORTED RURAL RESERVES IN THE WEST HILLS AS DID THREE OTHER LOCAL NEIGHBORHOODS. FOREST PARK CONSERVANCY AND TUALATIN RIVER-KEEPER. THE CITY OF PORTLAND DOES NOT WANT TO SERVE URBANIZATION IN ANY OF THESE AREAS. PART OF THAT PROCESS, THE RESERVES PROCESS THERE WAS A GREAT COMMUNITY STUDY DONE BY AN INDEPENDENT GROUP, CONSIDERED THE LOWER SPRINGVILLE L AND THE SURROUNDING LAND AND THEY CONCLUDED, AND THE QUOTE IS IN HERE, THAT PRESERVING THE IMPORTANT ECOLOGICAL AREA IS LIKELY MORE IMPORTANT THAN URBANIZING IT GIVEN THE CONSTRAINTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT AND THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCES THERE. METRO TOWARDS THE END OF THE ORIGINAL RESERVES PROCESS DID AN ONLINE SURVEY TO GET INPUT. THE RESULTS ARE SUMMARIZED ON THE BACK OF MY PAGE. THE SUPPORT FOR RURAL RESERVES RANGED FROM 73% TO 86%. I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH YOU GET THAT STRONG OF A MESSAGE ABOUT WHAT PEOPLE WANT. THE RESULTS ARE SIMILAR TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD SURVEY THAT HAS 84% OPPOSED TO THE UGB EXPANSION. THANK YOU FOR MAKING THE RIGHT DECISION IN THE FIRST PLACE. WE SUPPORT THIS AND LET'S KEEP MOVING FORWARD. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. I AM JERRY GROSSNICKEL, PAST PRESIDENT OF THE FOREST PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION. I WILL SERVE AS THE LAND USE CHAIR. I BECAME INVOLVED WITH THE LAND USE ISSUES FOR ONE CENTRAL REASON. I HAVE COME TO APPRECIATE THE INCREDIBLE NATURAL RESOURCES THAT WE HAVE IN THE WEST HILLS OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY, AND I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT THEM. I LIVE OFF OLD GERMANTOWN ROAD. 13510 NORTHWEST OLD GERMANTOWN. IT'S ON THE SORT OF THE NORTH, THE SOUTHWEST, SOUTHWESTERN SLOPES OF TUALATIN MOUNTAINS. IT'S AN AREA THAT'S VERY RICH IN WILDLIFE HABITAT. THERE IS SLOPES AND AN ABUNDANCE OF STREAMS. OUR FIVE-ACRE PROPERTY ABUTS THE SPRINGVILLE L. THERE ARE SEVERAL ELK TRAILS ON OUR PROPERTY. WE OFTEN SEE OR HEAR THE IMPRESSIVE ANIMALS PASSING THROUGH. LAST AUGUST I WITNESSED THE SCENE THAT I WOULD LIKE TO DESCRIBE. WHILE I WAS IN THE GARDEN I HEARD THE UNUSUAL SERIES OF CHIRPING SOUNDS COMING FROM THE SOUTH WOODS. CURIOUS I MADE MY WAY DOWN TOWARDS ABBEY CREEK AND WATCHED AND LISTENED IN AMAZEMENT. ACROSS THE STREAM THERE WERE A DOZEN OR SO YOUNG ELK STRUNG OUT IN A LONG LINE MAKING THEIR WAY UP THE STREAM. THEY WERE IN HEAVY BRUSH AND WANTED TO MAINTAIN THE CONTACT WITH EACH OTHER OR THEIR MOTHERS, AND THEN I HEARD -- SO THEY WERE MAKING FREQUENT CHIRPING CALLS. THEN I HEARD A CRASHING IN THE WOODS BEHIND ME. A LARGE BULL ELK APPEARED, CHARGED PAST ME AND DOWN THE SLOPE AND SILENCED THIS NOISY GROUP OF CHIRPING YOUNGSTERS. I NEED TO POINT OUT THAT MUCH OF THIS AMAZING SCENE TOOK PLACE ON LAND THAT FOR PURPOSES OF THE RESERVE DISCUSSION IS REFERRED TO AS THE L PART OF 9D THAT DEVELOPERS SEEK TO URBANIZE. A GOOD PORTION OF THE LAND SOUTH OF ABBEY CREEK IS FARMLAND, THUS MORE EASILY DEVELOPED THAN THE ADJACENT FOREST LANDS, BUT EVEN ON THESE LANDS THERE ARE TRIBUTARIES, RIPARIAN HABITATS, FOREST LANDS THAT ARE IMPORTANT TO THE HEALTH OF OUR LOCAL WILDLIFE POPULATIONS. WE SHOULD NOT FORGET THAT THESE LANDS ARE, OF COURSE, IMPORTANT TO FOLKS AND THE WILDLIFE THAT LIVE ON THEM. THEY ARE ALSO IMPORTANT TO THE PEOPLE OF OUR REGION FOR CLEAN AIR AND CLEAN WATER AND FOR RECHARGING AQUIFERS. PLEASE AFFIRM THE COUNTY'S PRIOR DECISIONS AND EXTENSIVE WORK OF THE CITIZEN'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE DESIGNATING ALL OF 19D RURAL RESERVE. THANKS.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

GOOD MORNING. I AM GEORGE SOWDER. I LIVE ON SKYLINE BOULEVARD. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US TO TESTIFY THIS MORNING. IT'S A GREAT PLEASURE. POSSIBLY SOME OF THE THINGS THAT I AM GOING TO SAY ARE

REDUNDANT BUT I THINK THAT THEY ARE IMPORTANT BECAUSE THE MORE WE EMPHASIZE THEM MAYBE THE MORE YOU LISTEN I HOPE. SO I WAS ON THE CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR URBAN AND RURAL RESERVES. I THOUGHT THAT THE STAFF WAS VERY THOROUGH, VERY DILIGENT. I THOUGHT THAT THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE WERE VERY DEDICATED AND VERY COMMITTED, AND I THOUGHT THAT WE EXAMINED THE INFORMATION AND MADE AS ACCURATE RECOMMENDATIONS AS WE COULD ACCORDING TO THE FACTORS. I THINK THAT WE IDENTIFIED THE CORRECT FACTORS WERE IDENTIFIED. I THINK THAT THEY SORT OF ILLUSTRATED AND EXAMINED THE IMPORTANT RESOURCES OF THE AREAS AND THE GEOGRAPHICAL RESTRICTIONS THAT APPLIED TO THE AREAS. I BELIEVE THAT THE COMMITTEE, THE CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE CAME TO THE CORRECT CONCLUSIONS, AND I THINK THAT WE DESIGNATED THE CORRECT AREAS RURAL AND THE OTHERS URBAN, AND I STAND BY THOSE DESIGNATIONS. ONE MORE THING I THINK THAT HAS BEEN SAID THAT THERE IS A LOT OF VERY UNIQUE HABITAT IN THE WILDLIFE AREAS IN THE WEST HILLS, AND I THINK THAT WE CORRECTLY IDENTIFIED THEM AS RURAL RESERVES. SO I SUPPORT THE URBAN RESERVES AS DESIGNATED AND SUPPORT THE NEW FINDINGS. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU FOR SERVING ON THE CAC. GOOD MORNING.

JIM EMERSON, 1390 NORTHWEST OLD GERMANTOWN ROAD. MY WIFE AND I BOUGHT THAT PROPERTY IN 1982 AND LIVED THERE SINCE 1985 SO I CAN'T CLAIM MULTI-GENERATIONAL HUNDREDS OF YEARS EXPERIENCE BUT IT'S BEEN SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE TO WITNESS A LOT OF THE BOTH NATURAL FEATURES AND WILDLIFE FEATURES AND HUMAN INVOLVEMENT IN THE AREA AND WE THINK THAT THE AREA THAT WE ARE FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO LIVE IN THERE IS ACTUALLY A TREASURE FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND FOR THE WHOLE REGION. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO COME SET UP EASELS AND PAINT AND RIDE THEIR BIKES AND HIKE AND PARK ALONG THE ROAD AND WATCH ANIMALS OR JUST TAKE A BREAK. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE SUPPORTED US FROM OTHER AREAS OF THE REGION THAT WE HAVE TALKED TO, AND BY THAT I MEAN OUR NEIGHBORHOODS' DESIRE TO REMAIN AS A RURAL RESERVE, SO I THANK YOU FOR HAVING STAFF BRING FORWARD THIS PROPOSAL, STAFFER AND THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, AND WE URGE YOU TO APPROVE THE ORDINANCE AND MOVE THIS KIND OF OFF THE DIME INTO WHATEVER THE NEXT PHASE IS GOING TO BE. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT WILL BE APPEALED OR NOT. WE THINK IT'S A VERY SOUND ORDINANCE, AND IT HAS BEEN SAID THAT THERE ARE SO MANY STEPS ALONG THE WAY FOR YEARS WITH CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEES NOT ONLY OF THE COUNTY BUT ALSO OF METRO. AND YOUR OWN STAFF METRO STAFF, THE PRIOR BOARD, YOUR PREDECESSORS HERE, CACS, PLANNING COMMISSION, AND EVERYONE WAS IN FAVOR OF THIS, AND THE SITUATION HAS NOT CHANGED MATERIALLY IN ANY WAY THAT WOULD

CAUSE YOU TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT. I WANT TO POINT OUT IN RESPONSE TO SOME OF THE PRIOR STATEMENTS THAT I HAVE HEARD, IT'S NOT LIKE IF AN AREA IS IN A RURAL RESERVE IT CANNOT BE UTILIZED. UTILIZATION IS NOT ONLY A MONETARY FACTOR. IT IS A FACTOR OF WATER QUALITY AND HUMAN ENJOYMENT AND WILDLIFE HABITAT WITHIN A MILE AND A HALF OF OUR PROPERTY THERE IS A COMMERCIAL NURSERY, THERE IS THREE HORSE BOARDING FACILITIES, AND THERE ARE SEVERAL PEOPLE WHO RAISE CATTLE, THERE IS A SMALL BLUEBERRY FARM, A SMALL LAVENDER FARM, A CHRISTMAS TREE OPERATION, A COMMERCIAL FORESTRY OPERATION, AND A VINEYARD. OTHERS DO HAY AND CLOVER. IT'S NOT LIKE THE LAND CAN'T BE UTILIZED, AND SOME OF THIS LAND IS MUCH STEEPER THAN FOR EXAMPLE LAND IN THE L OR THE BARKER PROPERTY. I THINK THAT SOME OF THE RURAL USES THAT ARE INTENDED ARE POSSIBLY THERE.

I AM CAROLYN GRAF THANK YOU FOR SENDING US NOTICE, WHICH WE RARELY GET AND THANK YOU FOR INVITING US TO TESTIFY. I OWN LAND IN PLEASANT VALLEY. AND MY LAND HAS ALWAYS BEEN ZONED SINCE I PURCHASED IT IN 1972 AS RURAL RESIDENTIAL AND I WOULD LIKE IT TO REMAIN THAT WAY. MOST OF THE PROPERTY IN THE AREA WHERE I LIVE IS FARMLAND, WE HAVE BEES AND CHICKENS AND BLUEBERRIES. ALL MY NEIGHBORS DO. OUR LAND IS IN THE JOHNSON CREEK FLOODPLAIN SO WE OPERATE UNDER THOSE RESTRICTIONS. WE DON'T WANT TO CAUSE FURTHER FLOODING DOWNSTREAM. SO WE RECEIVED THIS NOTICE AND I'VE BEEN TRYING TO FIND OUT EVER SINCE BECAUSE IT WASN'T SPECIFIC HOW THAT AFFECTS OUR LAND IN JENNYLAND ACRES AND I HAVE NO IDEA. I HAVEN'T GOT A RESPONSE, MUCH OF A RESPONSE TO THAT SO WE DON'T KNOW IF WE ARE URBAN RESERVES, WE DON'T KNOW IF WE'RE RURAL RESERVES, AND WE DON'T KNOW HOW THE LAW OR ORDINANCE THAT YOU'RE PROPOSING WOULD AFFECT US SO WE HAVE NOT HAD PUBLIC INPUT OR NOTICE. THE LAST TIME WE HAD NOTICE WAS WHEN WE WENT INTO THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND WE WERE ASSURED THAT OUR DESIGNATION AS RURAL RESIDENTIAL WOULD CONTINUE INDEFINITELY OR INTO -- I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG. BUT WE WERE REASSURED MORE THAN ONCE BECAUSE THAT WAS A BIG CONCERN OF OURS. A YEAR AND A HALF AGO, RUMORS CAME ABOUT THAT WE WERE UNDER THE CITY OF PORTLAND, WHICH WAS PRETTY SHOCKING BECAUSE WE DON'T PAY TAXES THERE, WE DON'T VOTE FOR ANYBODY THERE AND WE WERE INFORMED THAT THE COUNTY ABDICATED THEIR RESPONSIBILITY FOR JENNYLAND ACRES SO WE REQUESTED INFO AND I REQUESTED SPECIFICALLY INFO A COUPLE OF TIMES AND I WAS TOLD WE'LL RESEARCH THAT AND GET BACK TO YOU. SO I'M SURE THAT'S GOING ON. SO THEN WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE INPUT INTO DECISIONS THAT AFFECT OUR AREA AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW LIKE WHAT IS THE STANDING OF OUR AREA? WHAT ARE WE OUT THERE? ARE WE STILL JUST RURAL AND RESIDENTIAL AND SITTING THERE

OR WHAT IS THE SITUATION? BECAUSE THE LAST TIME WE HAD NOTICE AND HAD INPUT, THAT'S WHERE IT STOOD. OKAY THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. IF YOU ARE WITHIN THE UGB NOW, YOU ARE STILL WITHIN THE UGB AND IF YOU HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, OUR COUNTY ATTORNEY, JED TOMKINS, IS BACK THERE UNDERNEATH THE CLOCK AND HE CAN TALK WITH YOU ABOUT YOUR SPECIFIC QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

HI.

MS. MONTGOMERY: I DON'T THINK THAT VOTING ON THIS TODAY IS LEGAL BECAUSE WE WEREN'T GIVEN 14 DAYS' NOTICE WITH ANY TYPE OF INFORMATION THAT MADE ANY SENSE. ALL WE WERE TOLD IS YOUR PROPERTY VALUES MIGHT BE AFFECTED. WE WEREN'T TOLD IF WE WERE RURAL, URBAN, I'M JOYCE MONTGOMERY. I ALSO LIVE IN --

CHAIR KAFOURY: I JUST GOT A TEXT THAT PLEASANT VALLEY IS IN THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND YOU ARE NOT AFFECTED, BUT IF YOU CAN GO TALK WITH ONE OF OUR --

MS. MONTGOMERY: WE DON'T KNOW WHY WE WERE GETTING NOTICE.

CHAIR KAFOURY: I WISH IT WAS MORE SPECIFIC AND CAN HELP YOU BUT TALK TO MICHAEL, OUR LAND USE HEAD RIGHT HERE, HE WILL TELL YOU EXACTLY -- I THINK YOU'RE NOT AFFECTED BY THIS DECISION. IT'S ONLY ABOUT A DECISION WE MADE IN 2010. SO YOU CAN FEEL FREE TO CONTINUE YOUR TESTIMONY. AND I APOLOGIZE THAT YOU WERE CONFUSED. GO AHEAD, WHEN YOU'RE DONE, HE WILL ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS BACK THERE.

MS. MONTGOMERY: WE DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER PROPERTIES IN OUR AREA WILL BE AFFECTED. WHEN WE FOUND OUT BY TRYING TO GET A BUILDING PERMIT FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY THAT THEY WERE NO LONGER DOING BUILDING PERMITS FOR THE POCKET AREAS AND WE HAD TO GO TO PORTLAND. WELL, PORTLAND DOES NOT HAVE A DESIGNATION FOR AGRICULTURAL PERMITS. THEY WERE TREATING US AS THOUGH WE WERE A SUBDIVISION WHEN WE WANTED TO BUILD A BARN, WHICH IS GOING TO BE BIGGER THAN THE HOUSE, THEY ALWAYS ARE, AND PORTLAND HAS A RULE THAT YOU CANNOT HAVE AN OUTBUILDING BIGGER THAN A HOUSE AND BECAUSE MULTNOMAH COUNTY ABDICATED THEIR RESPONSIBILITY, WITH NO NOTICE WHATSOEVER, WE HAD NO IDEA YOU HAD EVEN DONE THAT, SENT US TO PORTLAND WHERE WE HAVE NO REPRESENTATION, WE DON'T VOTE, WE ARE IN A LIMBO THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE IN. MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHOULD CONTINUE TO GIVE US OUR PERMITS. I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY DON'T WANT TO, THEY DO OTHER PERMITS FOR LARGER AREAS. I

THINK IT'S ALL PART OF TRYING TO TAKE OUR PROPERTY WITHOUT PAYING FOR IT. BECAUSE ONCE WE WERE SENT TO PORTLAND, THEY PUT OTHER ZONING ON OUR PROPERTY, WHICH THEY HAVE NO RIGHT TO DO, WE DO NOT LIVE IN PORTLAND, BUT MULTNOMAH COUNTY GAVE US TO THEM. SO IT FEELS LIKE YOU'RE STEALING OUR LAND. YOU AREN'T TRYING TO PROTECT AGRICULTURAL LAND. YOU WANT TO STEAL OUR PROPERTY TO BE OPEN SPACE, BUT YOU DON'T WANT TO PAY A FAIR MARKET PRICE. INSTEAD, YOU ZONE US TO NOT BE ABLE TO USE OUR OWN LAND AS WE SEE FIT, DRIVE DOWN OUR PROPERTY VALUES SO THAT METRO CAN SWOOP IN AND BUY IT CHEAP LIKE THEY HAVE BOUGHT ALL THE PROPERTIES DOWNSTREAM FROM US. [TIMER BEEP] AND IT'S NOT LEGAL. I WANT MULTNOMAH COUNTY TO START ISSUING OUR LAND USE PERMITS AND TAKE OVER OUR LAND USE FROM PORTLAND. WE DO NOT LIVE IN PORTLAND. WE SHOULD NOT HAVE TO GO TO PORTLAND. WE SHOULD NOT HAVE TO BE UNDER PORTLAND RULE WHEN WE CAN'T VOTE. THAT'S TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION OR IN THIS CASE ZONING WITHOUT REPRESENTATION.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU, AND AGAIN, PLEASE TALK WITH OUR LAND USE, FOLKS. GOOD MORNING.

I'M LEN WAGGONER AND I'M FROM SCAPPOOSE. A LITTLE TOWN IN THE FAR NORTH END AND ACTUALLY, I'M HERE TO TALK ABOUT AREAS CLOSELY RELATED TO SCAPPOOSE. THERE IS FROM THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BORDER BOUNDARY TO ABOUT HALF A MILE, AN ENCLAVE OF BOATS THAT PEOPLE ARE RESIDING IN, IT'S GOING TO GO INTO A NONURBANNIZATION PROCESS. IT'S A VERY DIFFICULT THING TO LOOK AT, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE DESIGNATIONS THAT YOU HAVE HERE, YOUR DEFINITION IS THE AREAS NORTH OF SKYLINE BOULEVARD, A GREAT PLACE FOR HIGH-VALUE HABITAT, ACCESS TO RECREATION ETC. 99% OF THAT IS WEST OF HIGHWAY 30 OKAY. THE LAND BETWEEN HIGHWAY 30 AND MULTNOMAH CHANNEL IS NON-AGRICULTURAL. THE ONLY THING YOU COULD GROW OUT THERE ARE WEEDS AND MARIJUANA. IT'S NOT A VERY PRODUCTIVE AREA. THE LAND IS QUITE SLOPED. MOST OF IT HAS BEEN PURCHASED FROM THE SAUVIE ISLAND BRIDGE NORTH TO THIS AREA BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST SO THAT IT WILL RETAIN ALL OF ITS NATURAL WILD AREA, BUT THIS PARTICULAR AREA WHERE THERE ARE A MULTIPLE OF MOORAGES, HOUSE BOATS AND BASICALLY A RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY, AND AS YOU'VE BEEN ON THE RIVER FISHING AND RECREATING, THERE WAS 500 PEOPLE FISHING AND RECREATING. THE RIVER IS AN IMPORTANT PART, THAT RESIDENCE IS ALSO AN IMPORTANT PART. IT IS A COMMUNITY, IT'S ISOLATED, IT IS NOT, OBVIOUSLY -- NO ONE'S EXPECTING THE COUNTY TO EVER BRING SEWER, TO EVER BRING UTILITIES TO THAT AREA, THAT'S WHY THE PRIVATIZATION OF THOSE FACILITIES -- THAT'S WHY THAT NEEDS TO BE ISOLATED FROM THIS PROCESS, IT NEEDS TO MAINTAIN ITS URBAN DESIGNATION, THE POSSIBILITIES OF EXPANDING MORE HOUSE BOATS OUT THERE, AND WE KNOW THE IMPACT OF HOUSING AND THE REQUIREMENTS FOR HOUSING IN

THIS COMMUNITY, 50 TO 70,000 PEOPLE MOVING INTO THE PORTLAND CENTRAL AREA MEANS THEY'RE GOING TO LEAK OUT IN ALL DIRECTIONS. RESIDENCE IS A REQUIREMENT.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU. WELL, THAT'S ALL THE TESTIMONY WE'VE RECEIVED TODAY. DO WE HAVE BOARD COMMENTS, QUESTIONS, DO PEOPLE WANT TO CALL THE STAFF BACK UP? I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO EVERYONE WHO CAME THIS MORNING. I WAS HERE DURING THE PROCESS OF DESIGNATING THESE RESERVES AND I WAS IMPRESSED BY THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE WE HAD, THE PASSIONATE TESTIMONY WE HAD THEN AND YOU GET A FLAVOR OF IT NOW. AND I WAS ALSO IMPRESSED BY THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT THE CAC SPENT WEIGHING THEIR DECISION. THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT LIGHTLY AND NEITHER DID THE BOARD AND I KNOW THAT THERE IS A LOT OF COMMUNITY INTEREST IN SEEING THIS ISSUE RESOLVED. WE'VE KNOWN WE HAVE HAD TO REVISIT THIS ISSUE FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO AND THIS IS A TRI-COUNTY EFFORT. WE WERE TRYING TO MOVE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OTHER TWO COUNTIES. SO THINGS ARE MOVING FORWARD AND IT'S THE TIME FOR US TO REAFFIRM THE DECISION THAT WAS A VERY DIFFICULT DECISION, BUT I BELIEVE WAS THE RIGHT DECISION THAT WE MADE BACK IN 2010. YES?

COMM. STEGMANN: I JUST WANTED TO SAY, I REALLY APPRECIATE ALL OF THE TESTIMONY TODAY AND THIS IS A VERY COMPLEX AND DIFFICULT DECISION. BUT BEING A NEW COMMISSIONER, I HAVE TO HONOR THE WORK THAT HAS GONE ON SINCE 2008. SO I AM REALLY TORN BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND AND HEAR WHAT THE PROPERTY OWNERS ARE SAYING AND HOW THEIR LIKELIHOOD AND THEIR PERSONAL HOPES AND DREAMS ARE BEING AFFECTED BY THIS RULING. I ALSO HEAR WHAT NEIGHBORS AND PEOPLE THAT WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE OUR RURAL AREAS PROTECTED, SO I CAN UNDERSTAND WHY THIS HAS BEEN SUCH A DIFFICULT PROCESS, BUT I DID WANT TO JUST ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THIS GIVES ME A LOT OF PAUSE, BUT I AM GOING TO HAVE TO HONOR THE PROCESS. SO I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT.

COMM. MEIERAN: I COULD NOT HAVE SAID IT BETTER. I AGREE WITH COMMISSIONER STEGMANN AND I AM PARTICULARLY ATTUNED TO THIS MYSELF BECAUSE THIS IS IN MY DISTRICT THAT ALL OF THIS IS HAPPENING AND I JUST WANT TO SAY TO EVERYONE INVOLVED THAT I SO APPRECIATE YOUR BEING HERE AND THAT I UNDERSTAND, I GET EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. IT IS AN EXTREMELY DIFFICULT DECISION, BUT THERE HAS BEEN A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS BEFORE AND WE ARE REAFFIRMING A DECISION THAT WAS MADE BY THE PREVIOUS BOARD. AND I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THAT, BUT I AM OPEN TO HAVE YOU COME TALK TO ME ANY TIME AS WE HAVE TALKED AND WOULD LOVE TO CONTINUE TO HEAR FROM YOU. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? ALL RIGHT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] THE FIRST READING IS APPROVED AND THE SECOND READING WILL BE ON THURSDAY, MAY THE 11TH.

WE WILL NOW RECESS AS THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND CONVENE AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER ONE.

R.2 11:10 am – Acting as the Dunthorpe-Riverdale District – Appoint Budget Officer and Conduct Public Hearing and Consideration of the 2017-2018 Dunthorpe-Riverdale Sanitary Service District No, 1 Proposed Budget and Other Administrative Matters. Presenter: Tom Hansell, DCS Finance Manager (10 min)

CHAIR KAFOURY: COMMISSIONER MEIERAN MOVES, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.2. I'M SORRY, FOLKS. [AUDIENCE TALKING]

CHAIR KAFOURY: IF YOU COULD PLEASE TAKE YOUR CONVERSATIONS OUT INTO THE HALLWAY, WE STILL HAVE A LONG AGENDA BEFORE US. THANK YOU. THANKS FOR COMING. [AUDIENCE TALKING]

CHAIR KAFOURY: I'M SORRY, PLEASE IF YOU COULD TAKE YOUR CONVERSATIONS OUT INTO THE HALL. THANK YOU. OKAY.

GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS, CHAIR KAFOURY, I'M TOM HANSELL WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES. MY ROLE TODAY HERE IS SERVING AS THE BUDGET MANAGER OF THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SERVICE DISTRICT. YOUR ACTIONS TODAY FULFILL THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS OF OREGON BUDGET LAW AND THE TAX SUPERVISING COMMISSION, WHICH REQUIRES SPECIFIC METHODS FOR OBTAINING PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT ON THE FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES OF THE DISTRICT. MEETING AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TODAY, OREGON BUDGET LAW REQUIRES THAT AT THE FIRST MEETING OF THE BUDGET HEARING, THAT A MEMBER OF THE EXISTING BUDGET COMMITTEE BE A BUDGET PRESIDING OFFICER SELECTED AMONG THE MEMBERS PRESENT. WITH THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE FALLING IN DISTRICT ONE, MIGHT I RECOMMEND COMMISSIONER MEIERAN SERVE AS THE PRESIDING OFFICER.

CHAIR KAFOURY: AS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SERVICE DISTRICT, I LOVE ALL THESE PERFORMANCES THAT WE DO, AND IN OUR ROLE AS BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBERS WE WILL SELECT A PRESIDING OFFICER. MAY I HAVE A NOMINATION?

COMMISSIONER STEGMANN: I WOULD LIKE TO NOMINATE COMMISSIONER MEIERAN.

CHAIR KAFOURY: COMMISSIONER STEGMANN MOVES AND COMMISSIONER VEGA PEDERSON SECONDS COMMISSIONER MEIERAN AS THE PRESIDING OFFICER OF THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SERVICE DISTRICT. SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT. NUMBER ONE, IN FACT. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] THE MOTION CARRIES. [INAUDIBLE] WE DON'T DO THIS VERY OFTEN.

COMM. MEIERAN: THANKFULLY. WE WILL NOW HEAR AND CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER ONE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-18.

THANK YOU. TODAY, I JUST TONIGHT PROVIDE A BRIEF MESSAGE AND A KIND OF OVERVIEW OF WHERE THE DISTRICT BUDGET IS AT AND HOPEFULLY, AT THE CONCLUSION WE'LL RECEIVE YOUR APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AS SUBMITTED OR WITH REVISIONS BY THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HERE TODAY. I INVITE YOU TO ASK QUESTIONS, IF YOU HAVE INFORMATION THAT WILL HELP YOU MAKE YOUR DECISION. JUST A REMINDER, THE DISTRICT IS LOCATED IN THE DUNTHORPE AREA BETWEEN HIGHWAY 43, TERWILLIGER, JUST BUTTING UP AGAINST LAKE OSWEGO, CLACKAMAS COUNTY. THE DISTRICT SERVES 570 CUSTOMERS. 19 OF THOSE CUSTOMERS ACTUALLY FALL INSIDE CLACKAMAS COUNTY AND WE COORDINATE WITH THE CLACKAMAS COUNTY TAX ROLLS WHEN WE DO OUR ASSESSMENT FILINGS. THE DISTRICT BUDGET FOR FY18 IS PROPOSED AT \$1,799,000. THE DISTRICT CONTINUES TO PROVIDE SERVICES, TREATMENT FACILITIES FOR THE SEWER SYSTEMS IN THIS AREA. OUR SOLE PROVIDER IS THE CITY OF PORTLAND WHO WE HAVE AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH AND MUCH OF THE CONDITIONS IN THIS BUDGET IS DONE IN COORDINATION WITH THE CITY. IT'S A COMBINATION OF FEES NECESSARY TO TREAT THE AFFLUENT GOING THROUGH THE LINES, RATES THAT ARE DICTATED BY THE CITY OF PORTLAND, THOSE NUMBERS ARE ALL PREDICATED ON THE VALUES THAT THEY'VE COMMUNICATED TO US. IT ALSO PREPARES A BUDGET TO MEET THE CAPITAL CHALLENGES OF THE DISTRICT AS WE MOVE FORWARD. BACK IN 2006, THE DISTRICT REQUESTED A FACILITIES PLAN TO CARRY OUT A 20 YEAR PLANNING HORIZON TO HELP US PLAN ACCORDINGLY SO WE COULD BUILD RESERVES AND THE RESERVES ARE IN THE FORM OF THE UNAPPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE THAT IS IN THE BUDGET BEFORE YOU TODAY. RIGHT NOW, THE UNAPPROPRIATED IS \$860,000. THAT'S INTENDED TO MEET THE CAPITAL NEEDS IN THE FUTURE YEARS. COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE CITY RECENTLY, THEY'VE IDENTIFIED THAT THEY ARE BEGINNING DESIGN WORK NEXT YEAR WITH THE PUMP STATION, THIS IS JUST A CONTINUATION OF PAST WORK WE'VE BEEN DOING. THIS WILL ADDRESS THE FOURTH PUMP STATION OF THE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AND THATTING IS IS SET AT \$150,000. THAT PROJECT AT THE END ONCE IT IS BUILT WILL BE AT THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$3 MILLION. THE DISTRICT SHARE IS \$2 MILLION OF THAT. SO THE CURRENT SERVICE CHARGE AT \$105 A MONTH IS GOING TO

REMAIN STEADY FOR THE FY18. KNOWING THAT WE HAVE FUTURE CAPITAL BUILD-OUT NEEDS IN THE OUT YEARS WE WILL BE LOOKING AT A RATE INCREASE IN FY19. THE DESIGN EFFORTS THAT THE CITY IS GOING TO BE UNDERTAKING WILL START TO VALIDATE SOME OF THE CAPITAL PLANNING NUMBERS SO WE CAN FIRM THOSE UP AND SET OUR ASSESSMENTS TO MEET THOSE CHALLENGES. YOUR AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH THE ASSESSMENT IS CITED IN OREGON REVISED STATUTE, WHICH GIVES YOU THE ABILITY TO SET RATES. THE DISTRICT OPERATES AS AN ENTERPRISE FUND WHERE IT MUST GENERATE REVENUES FOR OPERATIONS BY CHARGING RATES TO COVER ALL COSTS. ALL PROPERTIES WHO HAVE A CONNECTION TO SEWER PAY INTO THE DISTRICT PROGRAM. AS MENTIONED, THE UNAPPROPRIATED FUNDED BALANCE, WILL SUPPORT THE FUTURE BUILD-OUT NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT AND THIS IS LOOKING OUT TO 2027. WE'RE IN JUST UNDER A 10-YEAR PLANNING HORIZON. YOUR BUDGET IS APPROVED ONLY ONCE.

MR. HANSELL: AS A BUDGET COMMITTEE YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REVISE THE BUDGET PRIOR TO APPROVAL. IF ANY CHANGES ARE NECESSARY AFTER THE BUDGET IS APPROVED, THE GOVERNING BODY MUST MAKE REVISIONS, WHICH ADOPTING THE BUDGET SCHEDULED FOR JUNE 15TH YOUR APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET WILL ALLOW THE BUDGET TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE TAX SUPERVISING CONSERVATION COMMISSION. I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME.

COMM. MEIERAN: ANY QUESTIONS? DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC TESTIMONY?

BOARD CLERK: NO, WE DO NOT.

COMM. MEIERAN: ANY BOARD COMMENT? NOW, ACTING AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SERVICE DISTRICT, WE WILL VOTE ON APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2017 TO 2018 BUDGET AT \$1,799,000 AND SUBMITTAL TO THE TAX SUPERVISING AND CONSERVATION COMMISSION. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] THE MOTION CARRIES. THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT BUDGET IS APPROVED.

CHAIR KAFOURY: AND NOW, WE WILL ADJOURN AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR THE DUNTHORPE-RIVERDALE SANITARY SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER ONE AND CONVENE AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR THE MIDCOUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER 14.

R.3 11:20 am – Acting as the Mid-County District – Appoint Budget Officer and Conduct Public Hearing and Consideration of the 2017-2018 Mid-County Street Lighting Service District No. 14 Proposed Budget and Other Administrative Matters.. Presenter: Tom Hansell, DCS Finance Manager (10 min)

CHAIR KAFOURY: MAY I HAVE A MOTION? COMMISSIONER STEGMANN MOVES, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.3.

GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS. CHAIR KAFOURY. AGAIN, FOR THE RECORD TOM HANSELL DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES, VERY SIMILAR TO DUNTHORPE, UNDER BUDGET LAW, IT'S REQUIRED THAT WE SELECT A PRESIDING OFFICER FROM THE MEMBERS PRESENT. THE PREDOMINANT SHARE OF THE LIGHTING DISTRICT FALLS IN COMMISSIONER STEGMANN'S AREA AND MIGHT I RECOMMEND SHE SERVE THAT ROLE.

CHAIR KAFOURY: AS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE MIDCOUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER 14 AND IN OUR ROLE AS BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBERS WE WILL SELECT A PRESIDING OFFICER. MAY I HAVE A NOMINATION?

I MOVE THAT WE SELECT COMMISSIONER STEGMANN TO ACT AS PRESIDING OFFICER.

CHAIR KAFOURY: A SECOND? ALL RIGHT. COMMISSIONER MEIERAN MOVES, COMMISSIONER VEGA PEDERSON SECONDS THE APPROVAL OF COMMISSIONER STEGMANN AS THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES]

COMM. STEGMANN: THANK YOU. WE WILL NOW HEAR AND CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THE MIDCOUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER 14 PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2017-2018.

GOOD MORNING. AGAIN, TOM HANSELL. TODAY, I'M HERE SIMILARLY TO PROVIDE A BRIEF BUDGET MESSAGE AND AT THE CLOSE LOOKING FOR YOUR APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AS SUBMITTED OR WITH REVISION TODAY. AGAIN, I INVITE YOU TO ASK QUESTIONS, I WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE AWARE OF WHAT THE BUDGET IS TRYING TO DO IN THE FISCAL YEAR. THE BUDGET FOR NEXT FISCAL YEAR IS SET AT \$737,000 TO MEET THE LIGHTING DEMANDS OF THE DISTRICT. JUST FOR A QUICK REMINDER, THE MIDCOUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT SERVES THE CITIES OF FAIRVIEW AND TROUTDALE, MAYWOOD PARK, AND SMALL POCKET AREAS OF UNINCORPORATED MULTNOMAH COUNTY. THE DISTRICT IS CONTINUING TO SUSTAIN THE FEES AT \$60 PER YEAR. THE \$60 WILL MEET THE CHALLENGES THAT THE DISTRICT IS FACING. THE CHANGE TO L.E.D.S BACK IN 2015 ALLOWED THE DISTRICT TO REDUCE ITS ENERGY COST THAT IT WAS PAYING TO PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC. AT THE SAME TIME THAT TRANSITION REQUIRED THAT WE TAKE ON SOME MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES. SO WE ARE CONTINUING WITH OUR L.E.D. RETROFIT PROGRAM. THIS BUDGET WILL BUILD AN UNAPPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE TO OFFSET A PLANNED CAPITAL PHASE TWO OF THE L.E.D. PROJECT IN FY19. SO THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF \$352,000 IN THIS

BUDGET IS READY TO MEET THAT FINAL L.E.D. CONVERSION. THE L.E.D. CONVERSION PHASE TWO IS TO ADDRESS THE ORNAMENTAL LIGHTS EXISTING IN FAIRVIEW AND TROUTDALE. WE'LL BE WORKING WITH THE CITIES, BOTH WITH CITY PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTORS AS WE HAVE TODAY TO GET THEIR INPUT ON THAT LUMINARY SELECTION AND STYLE. MOST COMMUNITIES, THEY SEE AN IDENTITY WITH THE CHARACTER AND THE DOWNTOWN LIGHTS. WITH THE TWO CITIES -- OUR LIGHTING DEMANDS ARE ALIGNED WITH ALL OF THEIR DEVELOPMENTS OCCURRING. SO AS DEVELOPMENT OCCURS WE PLACE CONDITIONS THAT STREET LIGHTS GET INCORPORATED IN THOSE DESIGNS. THE ENERGY COST, ACTUALLY, ALL THE MATERIALS AND SERVICES IN THIS BUDGET REMAINS CONSTANT WITH WHAT WE HAVE IN THE FY17 BUDGET. WE'RE CONTINUING TO EXPERIENCE ENERGY SAVINGS AS WE FINALIZE REMAINING L.E.D.S OF THE ROAD RELIGHTING. CURRENTLY, WE'RE AT \$130,000 FOR OUR ENERGY COSTS. THIS IS DOWN FROM \$315,000 A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO SO IT'S -- IT WAS A GOOD DECISION TO MAKE THIS CHANGE AND WE DIDN'T MAKE THE DECISION ALONE. IT WAS THE BOARD TO SUPPORT THE MOVEMENT. AT THE SAME TIME, WE'RE WORKING WITH THE CITIES AROUND US WHO HAVE DONE SIMILAR STEPS TO TAKE ON THIS MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE STREET LIGHTS. AS I SAID THE \$60 PROPOSED IN THIS BUDGET PER YEAR WILL REMAIN CONSTANT FOR THE FY18 AND WE FORESEE NO CHANGES OR INCREASE TO THIS FEE. IT'S AT A SET RATE THAT WILL ENSURE WE'RE ABLE TO MEET THE CHALLENGES OF THE CITIES AND ALIGN OUR PRIORITIES TO MEET THEM. AS MENTIONED EARLIER, YOUR AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH THE ASSESSMENT RATE IS CITED IN ORS, WHICH PROVIDES YOU THE ABILITY TO SET EQUITABLE AND JUST RATES TO MEET THE DEMANDS OF THE DISTRICT. THE DISTRICT OPERATES AS AN ENTERPRISE FUND WHEREBY IT MUST CHARGE RATES TO RECOVER ALL COSTS OF PROVIDING SERVICES.

MR. HANSELL: AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND YOU THE BUDGET IS APPROVED ONLY ONCE. AS A BUDGET COMMITTEE YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REVISE THE BUDGET PRIOR TO APPROVAL. IF ANY CHANGES ARE NECESSARY AFTER THE BUDGET IS APPROVED, THE GOVERNING BODY MUST MAKE REVISIONS WHEN ADOPTING THE BUDGET AND THIS WILL AGAIN ON JUNE 15TH. YOUR APPROVAL TODAY WILL ALLOW ME TO FORWARD THE APPROVED BUDGET ON TO TAX SUPERVISING. WE DON'T HAVE A TSCC PUBLIC MEETING. WE SUBMIT -- THEY PROVIDE FEEDBACK AND THEY'LL GIVE US A REPORT AND THAT REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED AT THE JUNE 15TH ADOPTION AND WE'RE LOOKING FOR NO OBJECTIONS, NO RECOMMENDATIONS, THEY'RE VALIDATING DID WE FOLLOW OREGON BUDGET LAW. MUCH OF THE PROCEDURAL STEPS WE'RE GOING THROUGH TODAY ARE FULFILLING LOCAL BUDGET LAW SO I THANK YOU FOR MAKING -- IT IS A VERY PROCEDURAL PROCESS SO I THANK YOU. I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME.

COMM. STEGMANN: DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC TESTIMONY?

BOARD CLERK: NO, WE DO NOT.

COMM. STEGMANN: THANK YOU. ANY BOARD COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? HEARING NONE, NOW ACTING AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE OF THE MIDCOUNTY STREET SERVICE DISTRICT WE WILL VOTE ON APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 BUDGET AT \$737,000 FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE TAX SUPERVISING AND CONSERVATION COMMISSION. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. THE MIDCOUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER 14 SERVICE DISTRICT BUDGET IS APPROVED. [GAVEL]

CHAIR KAFOURY: AND NOW, WE WILL ADJOURN AS THE GOVERNING BODY FOR THE MIDCOUNTY STREET LIGHTING SERVICE DISTRICT NUMBER 14 AND RECONVENE AS THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS.

R.4 11:45 am - Proclamation Proclaiming May 7-13, 2017 as Public Service Recognition Week in Multnomah County, Oregon. Sponsor: Chair Deborah Kafoury. Presenters: Chair Deborah Kafoury; Travis Graves, Director; and Invited Others (10 min)

CHAIR KAFOURY: MAY WE HAVE A MOTION? COMMISSIONER SMITH MOVES, COMMISSIONER VEGA PEDERSON SECONDS APPROVAL OF R.4.

CHAIR KAFOURY: WELL, YOU CAN SEE THAT WE HAVE A LARGE CROWD TURNED OUT. [LAUGHTER] THERE'S SOME PUBLIC SERVANTS HERE TO CELEBRATE. NEXT WEEK IS DESIGNATED BY CONGRESS AS PUBLIC SERVICE RECOGNITION WEEK AND I AM GRATEFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO THANK MULTNOMAH COUNTY EMPLOYEES FOR ALL THE WORK YOU DO ON BEHALF OF OUR COMMUNITY. EACH AND EVERY DAY, WE HAVE THE PLEASURE OF WITNESSING FIRST-HAND YOUR REMARKABLE CONTRIBUTIONS AND ACHIEVEMENTS. MULTNOMAH COUNTY EMPLOYEES CONTINUOUSLY WORK TO FIND INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS TO COMPLEX ISSUES. YOUR HARD WORK DOES NOT GO UNNOTICED AND WE WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT WE'RE PROUD TO SERVE WITH YOU. IN HONOR OF THIS, ON TUESDAY, MAY 9TH AT 1:00 P.M., HERE IN THE BOARD ROOM, THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WILL HOST THE EIGHTH ANNUAL EMPLOYEES AWARD CEREMONY. I HOPE YOU WILL JOIN US AS WE TAKE THE TIME TO SHOW OUR APPRECIATION FOR THE EMPLOYEES OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY. I WOULD NOW LIKE TO READ THE PROCLAMATION. PROCLAIMING MAY 7TH THROUGH THE 13TH, 2017, PUBLIC SERVICE RECOGNITION WEEK IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FINDS FOR 163 YEARS, MULTNOMAH COUNTY EMPLOYEES HAVE DEDICATED THEMSELVES TO BETTERING THE COMMUNITY AND THE LIVES OF THOSE WHO LIVE IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. MORE THAN 6,100 MULTNOMAH COUNTY EMPLOYEES PROVIDE CITIZENS A WIDE RANGE OF HEATH -- HEALTH AND

HUMAN SERVICES AND OTHER SERVICES. MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND ALL COUNTIES TAKE SERIOUSLY THEIR RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT AND ENHANCE THE HEALTH, WELFARE AND SAFETY OF OUR RESIDENTS IN SENSE SENSE -- DEMANDED BY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE OF THEIR GOVERNMENT WITH EFFICIENCY AND INTEGRITY. THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS PROCLAIMS THE WEEK OF MAY 7TH THROUGH MAY 13TH, 2017, AS PUBLIC SERVICE RECOGNITION WEEK IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON, AND CALLS UPON THE CITIZENS OF OUR COMMUNITY TO RECOGNIZE THE CRUCIAL ROLE OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES -- THAT PUBLIC EMPLOYEES IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY HAVE IN IMPROVING OUR COMMUNITY AND LIVES. DO WE HAVE BOARD COMMENTS?

COMM. SMITH: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. I'M DELIGHTED TO JOIN ALL OF YOU IN PROCLAIMING NEXT WEEK PUBLIC SERVICE RECOGNITION WEEK IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND I COMMEND THE CHAIR FOR BRINGING THIS IMPORTANT PROCLAMATION TO THE BOARD. PUBLIC SERVICE IS MORE THAN JUST A JOB, IT'S A CALLING. IT REQUIRES A DEDICATION, PERSEVERANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM AND TOO OFTEN THOSE WHO DEDICATE THEIR LIVES TO THE HEALTH, THE WELFARE AND THE SAFETY OF OTHERS GO UNRECOGNIZED. THAT'S WHY IT'S SO IMPORTANT FOR US TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE THE INDIVIDUALS WHO WORK FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY FOR THEIR SERVICE. WE ARE TRULY BLESSED TO HAVE MORE THAN 6,100 SUCH INDIVIDUALS WORKING FOR US HERE AT MULTNOMAH COUNTY AND I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS MY SINCERE GRATITUDE FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK. YOUR VISION AND VALUES SHOW IN EVERYTHING YOU DO AND I'M PROUD TO SERVE ALONGSIDE EACH OF YOU, EACH AND EVERY DAY AND I WOULD LIKE TO JOIN MY COLLEAGUES ON THE BOARD IN CALLING UPON THE RESIDENTS OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY TO RECOGNIZE THE WORK MULTNOMAH COUNTY EMPLOYEES DO TO PROVIDE THE HEALTH, THE WELLBEING AND THE SAFETY FOR OUR COMMUNITY FROM THE BOTTOM OF OUR HEARTS. THANK YOU.

CHAIR KAFOURY: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? RAH-RAH? THANK YOU. YAY! RAH-RAH! ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. [CHORUS OF AYES] THE PROCLAMATION IS ADOPTED. [APPLAUSE] THANK YOU, MULTNOMAH COUNTY EMPLOYEES! CAN'T WAIT FOR THE BIG PARTY NEXT WEEK, TUESDAY. DON'T FORGET. BE HERE. ALL RIGHT. NOW, WE HAVE COME TO THE TIME ON OUR AGENDA FOR NON-AGENDA ITEMS.

BOARD COMMENT – 11:55 am

BC.1 Opportunity as time allows, for the Commissioners to provide comment on non-agenda items.

CHAIR KAFOURY: NOW IS THE TIME WE HAVE SET ASIDE FOR BOARD COMMENTS. DOES ANYONE HAVE ANYTHING FOR THE GOOD OF THE ORDER?

COMM. VEGA PEDERSON: THANK YOU. SO MADAM CHAIR I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS THE IDEA AND PUT FORWARD THE IDEA OF HAVING OUR BOARD SEND A LETTER TO THE STATE LEGISLATIVE LEADERS ABOUT THE NEED -- THE SEVERE BUDGET CRISIS AND THE BUDGET CUTS THAT WOULD DIRECTLY IMPACT SERVICES AND THE WELLBEING OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY RESIDENTS. SO YOU ALL PROBABLY KNOW THAT LAST MONTH THE COCHAIRS OF THE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE RELEASED THEIR PROPOSED BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS AND GIVEN THE STATE OF THE STATE'S BUDGET SHORTFALL, THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE VERY DIRE. RELUCTANTLY THEY ARE PROPOSING TO CUT GOVERNMENT FUNDED HEALTHCARE FOR UP TO 350,000 OREGONIANS. THEY'LL ALSO PUT FORWARD REDUCING BENEFITS FOR QUALIFYING NEEDY FAMILIES AND THE TANF PROGRAM, DECREASING SUPPORT FOR RENTAL ASSISTANCE AND EMERGENCY SHELTERS, AND MAKING CUTS TO COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH WORKERS. THE IMPACTS LOCALLY WOULD BE SUBSTANTIAL, AND I THINK IT'S OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO DEMONSTRATE WHAT THESE CUTS WOULD MEAN TO OUR COMMUNITY AND TO URGE THE LEGISLATURE TO FIND NEW REVENUE TO AVERT THOSE CUTS. THE CHAIR'S PROPOSED BUDGET WISELY SETS ASIDE FUNDING IN CASE OF THE CUTS, BUT I DOUBT THEY WOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO STAVE OFF EVERYTHING THAT MIGHT COME OUR WAY. WE CONSULT WITH OUR GOVERNMENT RELATIONS TEAM AS WELL AS OUR DEPARTMENTS TO HIGHLIGHT THE MOST DAMAGING CUTS AND WE'RE DOING THAT, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO CIRCULATE A LETTER TO SEND A STRONG MESSAGE ABOUT THE NEED TO AVOID CUTS AND MAINTAIN THE SERVICES. AND I WANTED TO DISCUSS THIS PUBLICLY BECAUSE IF WE WERE GOING TO HAVE -- IF PEOPLE WERE INTERESTED SIGNING ON TO THIS LETTER, IT WOULD NEED TO BE DISCUSSED PUBLICLY SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE DOING THIS NOW.

CHAIR KAFOURY: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD. I THINK THE FIRST AND VERY MOVING PUBLIC TESTIMONY WE HEARD LAST NIGHT FROM ONE OF OUR COUNTY EMPLOYEES HERE ON BEHALF OF HER FAMILY AND BROTHER, REALLY HIGHLIGHTS THE DEVASTATING CUTS THAT THE STATE IS LOOKING AT. AND HOPEFULLY -- WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO HELP HER GET ENGAGED AT THE STATE LEVEL, BUT THAT STORY WAS JUST ONE OF MANY, MAYBE HEART-BREAKING STORIES AROUND OUR COMMUNITY.

COMM. VEGA PEDERSON: AND EVEN IN OUR OWN BUDGET DISCUSSIONS THAT WE'RE HAVING WITH THE DEPARTMENTS WE'RE HEARING HOW THEIR PLANNING FOR CUTS, WHAT IT WOULD MEAN FOR THEM AND IT HAS AN IMPACT ON THE WORK WE DO HERE AT THE COUNTY.

COMM. STEGMANN: I THINK THAT'S A GREAT IDEA. WE NEED TO ADVOCATE FOR OUR CITIZENS WHAT'S AT STAKE SO THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD.

COMM. STEGMANN: THIS IS A DIFFERENT ANNOUNCEMENT. I JUST WANT TO ANNOUNCE THAT WE ARE HAVING A PUBLIC SAFETY FORUM TODAY, THAT COMMISSIONER VEGA PEDERSON AND I ARE HOSTING AT THE GRESHAM CITY HALL THROUGH OUR EAST COUNTY CARING COMMUNITY. WE ARE GOING TO HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM GRESHAM POLICE, MULTNOMAH COUNTY AS WELL AS THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE SO I INVITE YOU ALL. THIS WILL BE ONE OF TWO FORUMS. THIS ONE WILL BEGIN TODAY AT 3:00, THE NEXT ONE WILL BE JUNE 1ST AT 3:00 P.M. DO YOU WANT TO HEAR WHAT THE LATEST TRENDS AND ACTIVITIES ARE REGARDING PUBLIC SAFETY? PLEASE JOIN US.

CHAIR KAFOURY: GREAT THANK YOU. ANYBODY ELSE HAVE ANYTHING? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SEEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE'RE ADJOURNED.

ADJOURNMENT – 11:37 AM

ALL RIGHT. HAVING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE ARE ADJOURNED.

[CAPTIONS PROVIDED BY LNS CAPTIONING AND MAY INCLUDE INACCURATE WORDS OR PHRASES DUE TO SOUND QUALITY, OTHER TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES AND/OR SOFTWARE ERRORS.]

For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:
http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County, Oregon