

**Transcript of the Board of Commissioners
Multnomah Building, Board Room 100
501 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, Oregon
Thursday, August 14, 2014**

REGULAR MEETING

Chair Deborah Kafoury called the meeting to order at 9:33 a.m. with Vice-Chair Diane McKeel and Commissioners Loretta Smith and Judy Shiprack present. Commissioner Jules Bailey was excused.

Also attending were Jenny Madkour, County Attorney, and Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk.

[THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS THE BYPRODUCT OF THE CLOSED CAPTIONING OF THIS PROGRAM. THE TEXT HAS NOT BEEN PROOFREAD, AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED A FINAL TRANSCRIPT.]

Chair Kafoury: ALRIGHT. WELCOME TO THE REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. MAY I HAVE A MOTION ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR?

Commissioner Smith: SO MOVED.

Commissioner Shiprack: SECOND.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SMITH MOVES AND COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK SECONDS APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR. ALL IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE, OPPOSED? THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS ADOPTED. MARINA, DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT THIS MORNING?

Board Clerk: WE DO, MADAM CHAIR. TESTIMONY IS LIMITED TO THREE MINUTES PER PERSON, UNLESS OTHERWISE DESIGNATED BY THE PRESIDING OFFICER. THIS IS A TIME FOR THE BOARD TO HEAR PUBLIC TESTIMONY, NOT FOR BOARD DELIBERATION. PAUL ADOLPH PHILLIPS. MR. LIGHTNING. JOE WALSH. KATHY BUSHMAN. PLEASE COME FORWARD. MR. PHILLIPS, IF YOU CAN BEGIN.

Mr. Phillips: YES. I AM PAUL PHILLIPS, AND I SPOKE HERE LAST WEEK, THE 7th OF AUGUST. THIS SUBJECT IS BY HOOK OR BY CROOK. THAT'S AN OLD SAYING. AND IT MEANS BY ANY MEANS. AND I HAVE HELD THIS UP BEFORE. I KNOW THAT YOU CAN'T COUNT. THERE IS SEVEN SYMBOLS ON HERE THAT I TALKED ABOUT, AND WHAT'S WRONG WITH THIS PICTURE? A BLIND MAN KEEPS COMING BACK SAYING ABOUT A, ABOUT SEVEN TIMES THAT A SERVICE ANIMAL WAS ATTACKED. AND, AND HE'S BEEN INJURED, SO SEVERELY THAT IT COST MORE THAN \$100,000 FOR MEDICAL SO FAR. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT MY ATTORNEY, DEBORAH KAFOURY'S UNCLE, CAME TO FIND OUT, GREG

KAFOURY TOLD ME, THAT HE WAS GOING TO FILE A CASE. HE TOLD ME THAT THREE OR FOUR TIMES, DURING THE TIME THAT HE WAS MY ATTORNEY. THEN HE EVEN CAME OUT AND SAID WELL, JUST TRUST ME. AND, OF COURSE, WE KNOW WHAT HAD HAPPENED ABOUT THE LAWSUIT. NOTHING. AND, AND I MENTIONED -- I SENT ALL THE MATERIAL TO, TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL, ERIK HOLDER, SAYING THAT IT WAS ALSO A CIVIL CONSPIRACY WITH, WITH DEBORAH KAFOURY'S MOTHER BEING UPON THE HALF BOARD, OR NOW IT'S CALLED HOME FORWARD, AND HER HUSBAND, AND EVEN ONE OF THE FORMER APARTMENT MANAGERS KNEW THAT GREG KAFOURY WAS RELATED TO DEBORAH KAFOURY. I DIDN'T KNOW. AND OF COURSE, I HAD MENTIONED BARBARA ALEXANDER BROWN SAYING THAT SHE HAD DEBORAH KAFOURY'S COUSIN, JASON, AS AN ATTORNEY. I IMAGINE THAT SHE PRACTICALLY RAISED THE ROOF FINDING OUT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN TO HER. I IMAGINE WAS THE SAME THING THAT, THAT HAD HAPPENED TO ME, AND NOW SHE'S GOT FOUR ATTORNEYS, GREG AND JASON AND McDOUGAL AND SOMEBODY ELSE. AND I HAD ACTUALLY SEEN THE LAWSUIT. IT WAS ON THE INTERNET IF YOU TYPE BARBARA ALEXANDER BROWN. SO, MAYBE SHE'LL GET BETTER LUCK, WHERE THEY HAVE FILED THE CASE THIS TIME. AND IN CASE, WHAT I WAS SAYING ABOUT, WHAT'S WRONG WITH THIS PICTURE, IS I'M GOING TO CONTINUE TO COME BACK HERE AND HAUNT THE MEETINGS, THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

Mr. Lightning: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS LIGHTNING. I REPRESENT HEALY MAN SANCTUARY. WHAT THAT, BASICALLY, IS, IS WE'RE FOCUSING ON THE CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS. THE PEOPLE OUT ON THE SIDEWALKS FOR OVER A YEAR AND LONGER, AND WHAT WE'RE, BASICALLY, CREATING IS WE WANT TO, TO BEGIN TO PURCHASE SOME R.V. PARKS AND, ACTUALLY, TURN THEM INTO WHAT IS GOING TO BE CALLED AN AIR STREAM R.V. PARK. BASICALLY, WE'RE WORKING WITH AIR STREAM AND A LOT OF PRIVATE OWNERS BECAUSE WE LIKE THEM BECAUSE IT REPRESENTS LONGEVITY. WALLY, LIKE I SAY, AND -- WELL, HE PASSED AWAY IN 1962, AND A LOT OF THE AIR STREAMS THAT HE HELPED TO BUILD ORIGINALLY FROM SAY IN THE MID 1930s UP TO, TO TODAY'S DATE, WAS SOME OF HIS IDEAS, ARE STILL IN EXISTENCE, STILL OUT THERE. 75% OF AIR STREAMS. SO, WE'RE FOCUSING ON THE AIR STREAMS, AND WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS PROVIDE LOWER COST HOUSING FOR PEOPLE THAT CAN, ACTUALLY, HAVE A, A DIFFERENT OPTION THAN BEING OUT ON THE SIDEWALKS. WE WANT TO HAVE A LOWER COST OPTION. WE CAN GET INTO A LOT OF THE OLDER AIR STREAMS SAY FROM MID 1950s UP TO 1980. WE'RE LOOKING AT ANYWHERE IN THE PRICE RANGE OF ANYWHERE FROM SAY 10,000 TO 15,000. WE CAN, EASILY, DO THAT. WE CAN GET INTO NEWER AIR STREAMS. THEY HAVE SMALLER MODELS SOMEWHERE AROUND SAY THE 30, 35,000 PRICE RANGE, BRAND NEW, FULLY SELF-CONTAINED, AND ALL THE AMENITIES. SO, WE'RE LOOKING AT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TRYING TO BUILD NEW APARTMENTS, WHICH THEY

CALL AFFORDABLE FROM, FROM ANYWHERE FROM 250,000 UP TO 400,000 A UNIT. WE'RE TRYING TO DROP DOWN TO 30,000, EVEN DOWN TO 10,000 ON OUR PRICE. SO, WE WANT TO JUST GET THE PEOPLE OFF THE SIDEWALKS. WE WANT TO GET THEM INTO SOME FORM OF, OF WHAT WE CONSIDER HOUSING. SOMETHING THAT THEY ARE GOING TO BE COMFORTABLE WITH, AND HAVE ALL THE AMENITIES, AND OUR COST SAVINGS ARE GOING TO BE TREMENDOUS, WHEN WE START TO CALCULATE THAT IS OUT, SO WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT RIGHT NOW IS SOME SURPLUS PROPERTIES EITHER R.V. PARKS, ALREADY IN EXISTENCE, LAND THAT WE CAN, WE CAN ALSO BRING, IN DEVELOPERS, DEVELOPING OUT THE PARKS. CURRENTLY, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. WE'RE WORKING WITH VARIOUS DEVELOPERS IN THE CITY OF PORTLAND AND OTHER LOCATIONS THAT WANT TO ALSO BEGIN FUNDING ON THIS. SO AGAIN, FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY, WE WOULD LIKE TO WORK WITHIN THE, THE SURPLUS PROPERTIES AND TO SEE IF THERE IS ANYTHING THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO UTILIZE FOR THE SANCTUARIES, AND AGAIN, THE MAIN PURPOSE OF THE, OF THE HEALY MANN SANCTUARY IS FOR THE CHRONIC HOMELESS PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN OVERLOOKED, AND WE WANT TO GET THEM INTO A NICE SAFE PLACE WHERE THEY FEEL GOOD ABOUT, ABOUT, AND THEY CAN BEGIN TO HEAL. THAT'S WHAT THE HEALING MANN SANCTUARY, WHAT THE WHOLE IDEA IS BEHIND IT, THE HEALING PROCESS. THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

Ms. Bushman: I AM KATHLEEN BUSHMAN, AND I AM HERE ON BEHALF OF THE HEALY MANN SANCTUARY, TOO. ANYWAY, SO I CAME BECAUSE OF THE SANCTUARY, BUT THE COST OF DOING NOTHING AND JUST HARASSING THE HOMELESS AND MOVING THEM FROM PILLAR TO POST TAKING THEIR SLEEPING BAGS IN THE MIDDLE OF WINTER AND JEOPARDIZING THEIR LIFE IS NOT AN EFFECTIVE -- NOT EFFECTIVE, AND THE HOMELESS PROBLEM IS ONLY GOING TO GROW. WHEN WE HAVE STAGNANT WAGES, THE HIGHEST UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IN THE COUNTRY AND, AND THE FACT THAT RENTS LAST YEAR, ACCORDING TO OREGON BUSINESS, I HAVE GOT TWO FIGURES. ONE SAID, THE OREGONIAN SAID THE AVERAGE RENTS IN THE PORTLAND AREA WENT UP ONLY 5%, OR, OR AND, AND THE, OR 6%, AND THE, THE OREGON BUSINESS JOURNAL SAID THAT THEY WENT UP 11% LAST YEAR. NOW, IF YOU HAVE STAGNANT WAGES, IT'S OBVIOUS THIS IS GOING TO TAKE LONG BEFORE THE HOMELESS POPULATION IS GOING TO GROW. FEWER AND FEWER AMERICANS HAVE ANY SAVINGS WHATSOEVER. AND THE COST OF DOING NOTHING IS, IS 30,000 TO \$50,000 PER YEAR, THAT'S WHAT, WHAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO COME UP WITH. AND THAT'S, THAT'S EACH AND EVERY YEAR. IF YOU PUT THE SAME MONEY, \$50,000 FOR A HOMELESS PERSON, TOWARDS AN R.V. PARK, OR SEVERAL OF THEM, WE COULD MAKE THOSE SELF SUSTAINING WITH GARDEN AREAS THAT CAN GROW THEIR OWN VEGETABLES. THE PEOPLE THAT I KNOW ON THE, THE -- ON THE -- AMONG THE HOMELESS ARE PROBABLY THE BETTER FUNCTIONING ONES. THE ONES

THAT ARE THERE, TO BE RESOLVED, INITIALLY WITH HEALTH ISSUES OR WHATEVER, OR LONG-TERM UNEMPLOYMENT. BUT, I ADMIT THAT THERE ARE THOSE HOMELESS WHO HAVE ADDICTION PROBLEMS AND WHO MAY HAVE MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES. LEAVING THEM STRESSED AND ON THE STREETS, CERTAINLY, IS NOT GOING TO HELP, EITHER, PROBABLY BECAUSE HOW CAN YOU COPE IN THOSE -- IN SUCH A STRESSFUL SITUATION WITHOUT IT? AND THE UNDERCOUNTED, AS I KNOW, BECAUSE I WAS HOMELESS AFTER I BECAME LAID OFF, AND I THINK PARTLY IT'S THE RESULT OF AGEISM AFTER I WAS 55 TO 57, AND I BECAME HOMELESS MYSELF FOR A FEW YEARS UNTIL I QUALIFIED FOR SOCIAL SECURITY. THAT POPULATION, I KNOW, IS UNDERCOUNTED BECAUSE WE, GENERALLY, TENDED TO -- WELL, I WAS CREATIVE. I AM NOT SURE A LOT OF PEOPLE REALIZE THAT I WAS HOMELESS. AND, AND MY ART -- I WAS ABLE TO MAKE MONEY OFF MY ART. BUT ANYWAY.

>> GOOD MORNING, JOE.

>> MY NAME IS JOE WALSH. I REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS FOR JUSTICE. EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE, ACTIVISTS COME BEFORE YOU AND PRESENT A POSITIVE IMAGE, AND WE REALLY LIKE DOING THAT. CONTRARY TO THE -- A LOT OF THE OPINIONS OUT THERE. ACTIVISTS DON'T ALWAYS STAY IN THE NEGATIVE. OFTEN, WE DO CRITICIZE YOU, AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO THAT. WHEN WE THINK IT'S NECESSARY. HOWEVER, I CAME ACROSS SOMETHING THAT IMPRESSED ME. YOUR CARE, DEBORAH KAFOURY, AND THE NEWEST MEMBER OF YOUR BOARD SIGNED A LETTER TO THE GOVERNOR TALKING ABOUT THEIR OPPOSITION TO COAL TRAINS AND WHAT'S GOING ON AT THE END OF THE MONTH. AND, AND I THINK THAT IT'S THE 20th OFF 21st, THERE WILL BE A DECISION MADE ABOUT WHETHER THE COAL TRAINS CAN, CAN COME THROUGH OUR AREA, WHETHER THEY WILL DO SOME, SOME FIXING UP, LET ME TELL YOU A BIT ABOUT THE COAL TRAINS BECAUSE THEY ARE VERY, VERY SERIOUS TO ME BECAUSE I HAVE A RESPIRATORY PROBLEM. YOU CANNOT COVER COAL TRAINS, AND THE REASON THAT YOU CANNOT COVER THEM IS BECAUSE OF COMBUSTION. THEY WILL BLOW UP. COAL, ITSELF, IF YOU COVER IT, WILL CAUSE A FIRE. SO, ALL THE COAL TRAINS HAVE TO BE OPEN TO THE ATMOSPHERE. THINK ABOUT THAT. A MILE LONG TRAIN WITH TONS AND TONS OF COAL GOING THROUGH OUR AREA, IT'S NOT A GOOD IDEA. IT'S NOT A GOOD IDEA. SO, WHEN I SAW YOUR SIGNATURE ON THE LETTER, AND I SAW COMMISSIONER BAILEY'S SIGNATURE ON THE LETTER, I GOT REALLY EXCITED. I SAID WOW, WHERE ARE THE OTHER THREE? AND THEN I REALIZED SOMETHING BECAUSE COMMISSIONER FRITZ CAUSED ACHE TO BE UPON MY FACE BECAUSE I CRITICIZED HER FOR NOT SIGNING IT, ALSO, AND SHE DID. SO, I AM ASSUMING THAT BECAUSE YOU ARE NEW AS A CHAIR, AND MR. BAILEY IS NEW ON THE BOARD, THIS WAS A SUPPLEMENT TO SOME ACTIONS THAT THIS BOARD HAS TAKEN. NOW, IF YOU HAVE NOT TAKEN THAT ACTION, PLEASE CONSIDER DOING IT. IT'S A SIMPLE THING. I CAN'T IMAGINE ANYBODY BEING AGAINST LIMITING THE COAL TRAINS COMING THROUGH. WE ALREADY HAVE THEM COMING THROUGH. IT'S A NUMBER THAT'S GOING TO GO UP

FROM, FROM 25 TO 38, I THINK. AND THE TRAINS WILL BE A MILE LONG. THINK ABOUT THAT. A MILE LONG, A COAL TRAIN. THAT'S REALLY NOT SO GOOD. SO, IF YOU HAVE NOT DONE ANYTHING ABOUT IT, PLEASE DO. I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT, IF THE BOARD WOULD DO SOMETHING AS A GROUP, AND YOU ONLY HAVE ABOUT THREE WEEKS TO DO IT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> R-1.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES, COMMISSIONER SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R-1. GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING. IT SEEMED LIKE TUESDAY WE WERE HERE DOING THIS.

>> OH, YEAH.

Mr. Elliott: CHAIR KAFOURY AND COMMISSIONERS, I AM KEN ELLIOTT FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE HERE TODAY WITH J.D. DESCHAMPS, OUR COURTHOUSE PROJECT MANAGER. WE HAVE A RESOLUTION FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIR TO SIGN AN INTERN FUNDING, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES. WHAT WE DISCOVERED WAS THE LEGISLATION THAT WAS PASSED BACK IN 2013 AUTHORIZING FORMATION OF THE OREGON COURTHOUSE CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION INVESTMENT FUND. JUST A SIDE NOTE, I AM HAPPY TO REPORT THAT OUR COUNTERPARTS AT DAS AND OJD HAVE COME UP WITH A SHORT VERSION OF THAT. THEY ARE NOW CALLING IT THE OXY FUND, WHICH IS A LOT EASIER TO SAY THAN OCCI. SO, WE'LL REFER TO IT FROM NOW ON AS THE OXY FUND AND TAKE THEIR LEAD.

Chair Kafoury: WE WILL REFER TO IT, AS LONG AS WE GET THE MONEY.

>> THAT'S A GOOD POINT, AND THAT'S WHY WE ARE HERE TODAY. THE LEGISLATION PROVIDED FOR THE MATCHING SHARE FOR COMPLETED COURTHOUSES PURSUANT TO A LONG-TERM IGA OR LEASE. SO, IT DIDN'T ADDRESS IN ANY FASHION THE INTERIM FUNDING NEEDED AS WE GET FROM HERE TO THERE. THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS IGA IS TO GIVE DAS THE AUTHORITY TO MATCH THE COUNTY EXPENDITURES AS WE ARE INCURRING THEM BETWEEN NOW AND THE, THE INITIAL BOND SALE. PRIOR TO EACH BOND SALE, THERE WILL BE A GRANT AGREEMENT NEGOTIATED, WHICH WILL SPECIFY THE USE OF, OF THE PROCEEDS OF THE BOND SALE, AND THE TIMING OF THAT -- OF THOSE DISPERSEMENTS. BUT, THIS PROVIDES FOR THE

GAP THE COUNTY HAS SPENT ALL OF ITS OWN MONEY SO FAR WITH NO STATE MATCH, AND WE NOW HAVE, WITH THIS INTERIM IGA, THE AUTHORITY FOR THE STATE TO NOT ONLY APPROVE THE COUNTY EXPENDITURES TO QUALIFY THEM FOR THE 50% MATCH, BUT THEN TO HAVE THE STATE FORWARD ITS 50% MATCH ONCE THE BONDS ARE SOLD. SO, THAT'S IT, IN A NUTSHELL. AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS.

Chair Kafoury: GO AHEAD.

>> Commissioner Smith: HOW MUCH DO WE HAVE IN THE FUND FOR THE COURTHOUSE?

>> WE HAVE NOT DEPOSITED ANY, ANY FUNDS INTO THAT YET BECAUSE WE WERE WAITING FOR THE ASSURANCE OF THE STATE MATCH, SO THE COUNTY HAS SPENT -- J.D. HAS THE NUMBER.

>> 521 TO DATE, FOR PROGRAMMING SELECTION, SITE SOLICITATION.

>> WE ARE FORWARDING INVOICES TO DAS FOR ITS APPROVAL AS WHAT, UNDER THE IGA ARE CALLED APPROVED COURTHOUSE COSTS. THEY ARE HOLDING ONTO THEM, WAITING FOR THE EMERGENCY BOARD AUTHORITY TO, ACTUALLY, SPEND STATE MONEY DURING THIS 2013-2015 BIENNIUM FOR THE COURTHOUSE.

>> Commissioner Smith: I'M NOT UNDERSTANDING SOMETHING. DIDN'T WE PUT \$2.3 MILLION ASIDE FOR THE COURTHOUSE IN THIS LAST BUDGET CYCLE FOR THIS PROJECT?

>> YES. WE HAD \$1 MILLION FROM LAST YEAR AND \$2.3 MILLION FOR THIS YEAR, SO WE DO HAVE \$3.3. WE SPENT, TO DATE, \$525,000.

>> Commissioner Smith: I DON'T HAVE THE IGA IN FRONT OF ME. ARE ALL EXPENSES REIMBURSABLE?

>> EVERYTHING EXCEPT J.D.'S SALARY. BASICALLY, THEY ALL HAVE TO BE APPROVED BY DAS, BUT WE DO HAVE A SPECIFIC CAUSE IN THE IGA THAT PROVIDE SAYS FOR RETROACTIVE APPROVAL OF ALL THE MONEY THAT THE COUNTY HAS BEEN SPENDING SINCE LAST FALL. SO, WE'LL SUBMIT THOSE EVEN THOUGH THEY PREDATE THE IGA, THEY ARE SUBJECT TO, TO THE 50% MATCH.

>> Commissioner Smith: THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY NEXT QUESTION. WHAT ABOUT THE EXPENSES. WILL THEY BE ABLE TO BE REIMBURSED? THE OTHER ISSUE THAT I HAVE WITH THIS IS I SAW SOMEWHERE IN THE OTHER

LANGUAGE, NOT IN THE IGA, BUT THERE WAS A NOTATION ABOUT SOME SORT OF PROJECT COORDINATOR. IS THAT DIFFERENT FROM OUR OWNER'S REP?

>> YES, COMMISSIONER SMITH, THAT IS -- WILL BE A PERSON WHO WILL ADVISE DAS AND THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT FROM THEIR POINT OF VIEW AS THEY PARTICIPATE IN THE COURTHOUSE PROJECT, AND THEY INTEND TO PAY FOR THAT POSITION OUT OF THE BOND SALE PROCEEDS. SO, IT WILL BE PART OF THEIR --

>> Commissioner Smith: OUT OF THEIR --

>> OUT OF THEIR SHARE, YES.

>> IT WILL BE A TOTAL STATE EXPENSE. IT WON'T HAVE --

>> OK.

>> Commissioner Smith: THAT WAS NOT EXPLAINED IN THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION.

>> OK.

>> THAT WILL BE TOTALLY WITH THE STATE, AND WON'T HAVE ANY IMPACT ON THE PROJECT. OJD DOES NOT BUILD CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, THEY WERE LOOKING, LOOKING FOR SOME OUTSIDE SUPPORT.

>> OK. AND THEN MY, MY LAST NOTATION, I'M NOT SURE HOW TO DO THIS, BUT I'M LOOKING AT THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS. I WOULD LIKE TO STRIKE NUMBER ONE AND NOTE THAT IF THERE ARE ANY ADDITIONAL CHANGES AS THEY COME TO THIS IGA, IT COMES BACK TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

>> SO THE LANGUAGE YOU ARE REFERRING TO IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE FORM ATTACHED?

>> Commissioner Smith: WELL, NO, NO. WHERE IT SAYS THE CHAIR IS THE TOTAL PERSON TO AUTHORIZE CHANGES.

>> THAT BRINGS TO MIND ONE SPECIFIC CHANGE THAT HAS BEEN IN THE WORKS, AND PERHAPS, THERE IS A WAY TO APPROVE A ONE-WORD CHANGE IN THE DRAFT IGA THAT WAS ATTACHED TO THE RESOLUTION, AND THAT IS THE -- DAS HAS ASKED -- WE THOUGHT THAT THEY WOULD BE GOING TO THE EMERGENCY BOARD IN SEPTEMBER WITH THEIR REQUEST FOR COURTHOUSE FUNDING AUTHORITY. THEY ARE RECOMMENDING TO US THAT BE DEFERRED UNTIL THE DECEMBER EMERGENCY BOARD MEETING BECAUSE IT'S MORE ADVANTAGEOUS TO MAKING THE CASE FOR THE COURTHOUSE.

WE'LL BE FURTHER ALONG. WE'LL MOST LIKELY HAVE OUR APPLICATION APPROVED BY THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND BY DAS, AND SO THEY THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A STRONGER CASE TO MAKE TO THE E-BOARD IN DECEMBER FOR ASKING FOR THE STATE FUNDING AUTHORITY RATHER THAN SEPTEMBER.

>> WE NEED A MOTION AND A SECOND TO CONSIDER AN AMENDMENT. DO I HAVE A MOTION TO CONSIDER? AND A POINT OF PROCEDURE, CAN WE MAKE AMENDMENTS FROM THE FLOOR OR -- WHAT --

>> YES, YOU CAN.

>> Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. DO I HAVE A MOTION TO DISCUSS AMENDMENTS?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SMITH MOVES AND COMMISSIONER McKEEL SECONDS APPROVAL OF DISCUSSION OF AMENDMENTS.

>> Commissioner Smith: SO I AM CLEAR, WE'RE IN THIS FORUM THIS MORNING TO APPROVE AN IGA AS A COMMISSION. IF THERE ARE ANY AMENDMENTS OR CHANGES TO THIS IGA, I WANT THEM TO COME BEFORE THIS BOARD, NOT TO ONE SINGLE MEMBER OF THIS BOARD.

>> MAY I SUGGEST IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH THAT, THAT, THAT -- THE BOARD COULD DELETE THE WORD, SUBSTANTIALLY, AND THEN HAVE THE RESOLUTION END WITH EXHIBIT A, PERIOD, AND DELETE THE REST OF THAT SENTENCE. SO, IT WOULD BE THE COUNTY CHAIR IS AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO THE INTERIM IGA WITH DAS, ACTING ON BEHALF OF OJD IN THE FORM ATTACHED HERETO ASSESS EXHIBIT A, PERIOD.

>> Commissioner Smith: THE ISSUE IS, IF THEY ARE GETTING AUTHORIZATION, THEY ARE GETTING AUTHORIZATION TO, TO STILL MAKE CHANGES, AND BEFORE THOSE CHANGES ARE MADE, THEY NEED TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD WHETHER YOU ARE THE AUTHORIZED PERSON OR NOT. WE STILL NEED TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON.

>> AND I BELIEVE THAT COMMISSIONER SMITH, THAT WOULD ACCOMPLISH THAT BECAUSE YOU ARE ONLY ADOPTING THE, THE IGA AS IT IS NOW --

>> Commissioner Smith: AS IT IS WRITTEN AND ATTACHED TO THE RESOLUTION, WHICH IS THE -- WITH THE ONE POSSIBLE EXCEPTION I SUGGESTED ON THE ONE DATE CHANGE, IT WOULD BE -- IT WOULD REMAIN AS IS AND, AND AS ATTACHED TO THE RESOLUTION NOW.

>> Commissioner Smith: SO THE DATE CHANGES TO SEPTEMBER?

>> IT WOULD REFER TO DECEMBER 2014 RATHER THAN SEPTEMBER 14 FOR, FOR DAS REQUESTS TO, TO THE EMERGENCY BOARD FOR ITS SPENDING AUTHORITY. THAT'S WHAT ACCOMPLISHES IS THE 15 MILLION MATCH DURING THIS BIENNIUM.

>> Chair Kafoury: IS THERE A TIME SENSITIVE THING THAT WE NEED TO ADOPT THIS TODAY? SORE CAN WE MOVE IT TO THE NEXT WEEK'S AGENDA TO CLARIFY THIS CONVERSATION?

>> Commissioner Smith: SO WE CAN POSTPONE IT.

>> THERE IS NO, NO -- OTHER THAN WE'RE TRYING TO MOVE FORWARD AS EXPEDITIOUSLY AS WE CAN, IT COULD WAIT UNTIL WEEK FOR CLARIFICATION, YES.

>> AND HERE'S THE OTHER REASON, WE HAD A, A, A BRIEFING ON THE COURTHOUSE ON TUESDAY. WE DID NOT HAVE THE NCS STATEMENT, AND THAT WAS NOT A VERY IMPRESSIVE BRIEFING THAT WE HAD BECAUSE WE DID NOT HAVE THE PROPER NUMBERS. SO, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE NCS REPORT IS COMING OUT ON FRIDAY. THAT SHOULD HAVE COME OUT BEFORE WE, ACTUALLY, HAD A BRIEFING ON THE COURTHOUSE SO WE UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. AND I HAVE TO TELL YOU, I AM IN SUPPORT OF THE COURTHOUSE, BUT I HAVE NOT BEEN ONE OF THE LEAD COMMISSIONERS ON THIS. SO ME NOT TO UNDERSTAND, NUMBER ONE, THE PRICE AND UNDERSTAND THE, THE SQUARE FOOTAGE PIECE INCLUDED, AND THE NCS REPORT, AND NOW WE'RE DOING AN IGA, IT MAKES ME A BIT UNCOMFORTABLE OF NOT HAVING ALL THE INFORMATION. THIS IS ONE OF THE MAIN REASONS WHY I WANT THIS PARTICULAR PIECE TAKEN FROM THE IGA. I DON'T HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION.

>> COMMISSIONER SMITH, A QUICK RESPONSE. AGAIN, THIS IS JUST ANOTHER BABY STEP, IF YOU WILL --

>> Commissioner Smith: I KNOW. I KNOW. BUT I WANT TO KNOW ALL ABOUT THE BABY STEPS, NOT JUST THE BIG STEPS.

>> Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: IN CONSIDERATION OF THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED IS AND THE DISCUSSION, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS MOVE THAT WE POSTPONE THIS MATTER TO A DATE YET TO BE DETERMINED AND THAT WILL GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO VET SOME OF THE QUESTIONS WITH YOU.

>> Chair Kafoury: WE CAN CHANGE THE DATE AND, POTENTIALLY, MAKE THE CHANGES --

>> ATTACH THE CORRECTED VERSION.

>> JUST AS A POINT OF PROCEDURE, WE HAVE TWO MOTIONS ON THE TABLE. IT'S A BIT MESSY, BUT WE NEED TO BACK OURSELVES OUT OF THE MOTION TO AMEND, SO IF WE CAN HAVE A VOTE, EITHER APPROVING OR DENYING THE MOTION TO AMEND, AND THEN WE'LL HAVE A MOTION TO POSTPONE, AND WE CAN VOTE ON THAT.

>> I AM GUESSING THAT THE MOTION, WHEN WE VOTE ON THE MOTION TO AMEND, IT WILL BE DENIED.

>> Chair Kafoury: I WOULD LIKE TO VOTE ON THE MOTION TO AMEND. ALL IN FAVOR, SAY AYE. OPPOSED, NAY. THE MOTION HAS FAILED. AND NOW, NEXT.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: MY MOTION IS TO POSTPONE TO INDEFINITELY THIS DISCUSSION.

>> Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MAKES THE MOTION TO POSTPONE. COMMISSIONER McKEEL SECONDS. I WANT TO CLARIFY FOR THOSE OUT THERE IN TV LAND THAT POSTPONING INDEFINITELY DOES NOT MEAN THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO BRING THIS UP JUST AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THIS IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO MOVING THIS PROCESS FORWARD, WHICH WE ALL WOULD LIKE TO DO. ALL IN FAVOR, AYE. OPPOSED. ALL RIGHT, WE WILL BE SEEING YOU AS SOON AS WE CAN GET THE CHANGES MADE. THANK YOU.

>> I JUST ASK ONE CLARIFYING QUESTION, SORRY. BUT, JUST FOR MY OWN CLARIFICATION, I UNDERSTOOD YOU TO SAY, KEN, THAT, SO WE SPENT \$521,000 IS WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT FOR REIMBURSEMENT, CORRECT?

>> YES, COMMISSIONER McKEEL. THAT ENTIRE AMOUNT SPENT TO DATE, THE PROVIDED DAS APPROVES ALL THOSE EXPENDITURES AS COURTHOUSE COSTS, WHICH WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT IT WILL. WE'LL BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE STATE MATCH ONCE THEY SELL THE BONDS TO FUND THAT PARTICIPATION.

>> Vice-Chair McKeel: AND THAT WOULD [INAUDIBLE]

>> PAID TO THE COUNTY TO DATE.

>> OK. I THOUGHT I HEARD YOU SAY THAT [INAUDIBLE] ALL THE COUNTY MONEY. BUT THAT'S NOT -- WE STILL HAVE THE 3.2 --

>> CORRECT.

>> ALL OF THE MONEY SPENT TO DATE HAS BEEN COUNTY.

>> Vice-Chair McKeel: I MIGHT HAVE MISHEARD BUT WANTED TO CLARIFY.

>> Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU.

>>> R-2. WE HAVE TWO PUBLIC TESTIMONIES ON THIS MATTER.

>> Chair Kafoury: IF I COULD HAVE A MOTION, COMMISSIONER SMITH?

>> Commissioner Smith: YES. WE NEED A MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE, MADAM CHAIR.

>> Chair Kafoury: WILL YOU PLEASE PROPOSE THE MOTION?

>> Commissioner Smith: I WOULD LIKE TO, TO PROPOSE ACCEPTING THE SURPLUS PROPERTY REPORT. SCRATCH THAT. TAKE TWO. I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE A MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE A REVISED RESOLUTION FOR CONSIDERATION.

>> SECOND.

>> Chair Kafoury: MOVED AND SECONDED. THE MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE THE REVISED RESOLUTION FOR CONSIDERATION. ALL IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. WE WILL NOW DISCUSS THE REVISED RESOLUTION.

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> THANK YOU.

>> GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR. COMMISSIONERS. MICHAEL BOWERS, FACILITIES DIRECTOR, AND HERE WITH ME IS MIKE WITH FACILITIES, AND KEN ELLIOTT, ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY.

>> Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING.

>> I WILL DO THE INTRODUCTION TO THE TOPIC. SO FOLLOWING THE SURPLUS DECLARATION BY THE BOARD IN MAY OF 2013, OUR STAFF WAS DIRECTED TO A DISPOSITION REPORT AND BRING THAT BACK TO THE BOARD, WHICH SAYS WHAT WE HAVE BEFORE YOU TODAY. THE SIGNIFICANT CHANGE TO THE SUBSTANTIVE RESOLUTION THAT WE JUST MENTIONED AND THE BOARD ACTED UPON IS IN THE LAST TWO, TWO RESOLUTION DIRECTIONS ON PAGE 2 OF THE RESOLUTION BEFORE YOU. THE CHANGE REFLECTS MORE

FLEXIBILITY BEFORE THE BOARD FOR THE COUNTY STAFF TO WORK WITH A MYRIAD OF STAKEHOLDERS, INCLUDING NONPROFITS TO COME UP WITH A SPECIFIC DISPOSITION PLAN AND BRING THAT BACK BEFORE THE BOARD. THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION WAS MORE EXPLICIT IN TERMS OF CREATING A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL, WITH THE HANDFUL OF RECOMMENDED CRITERIA. WE HAVE DELETED THAT EXPLICITNESS FROM THE CURRENT RESOLUTION, WHICH ALLOWS THE STAFF TO WORK WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO CREATE A DISPOSITION PATH, AND BRING BACK A RECOMMENDED APPROACH TO THE BOARD WITH MORE COMMUNITY INPUT. THAT WAS BASED ON INTERNAL STAFF DISCUSSIONS AND COMMUNITY INPUT THAT WAS IMPRESSED FOR TODAY'S DISCUSSION. SO YOU HAVE A MORE COMMUNITY, PUBLIC-FRIENDLY RESOLUTION THAT PROVIDES THE FLEXIBILITY BEFORE YOU. THAT COULD INCLUDE SOME INCENTIVES IN THE AREA OF THE CITY, WHICH HAS URBAN RENEWAL OPPORTUNITIES, WHICH WE WILL WORK WITH THE CITY AND THE PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION TO EXPLORE. WITH THAT, I THINK I WILL PASS THE BATON TO MIKE FOR ANY TECHNICAL ISSUES RELATED TO THE DISPOSITION REPORT. WE HAVE THE REPORT IN THE BOARD PACKET, AND IT'S ON THE SCREEN, IF THERE IS ANY EXPLICIT NOTATIONS THAT WE WANT TO ADDRESS, BUT I WILL LET MIKE PRODUCE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE EXACT REPORT.

>> SURE, GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, CHAIR KAFOURY AND COMMISSIONERS. MIKE WITH THE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT DIVISION. THE RESOLUTION BEFORE YOU THIS MORNING HAS TWO PURPOSES. THE FIRST IS TO ACCEPT, AND A MINOR CLARIFICATION, THIS IS THE SURPLUS PROPERTY REPORT, SO WE'RE -- THIS IS NOT A DISPOSITION REPORT FOR FINAL DISPOSITION. UNDER BOARD POLICY ADOPTED IN 2004, MULTNOMAH COUNTY FILED AN EXPLICIT AND INCLUSIVE AND EXPANSIVE BASKETBALL OUTREACH SURPLUS PROPERTY PROCESS ONCE THE DIRECTOR OF FACILITIES RECOMMENDS THE PROPERTY FOR SURPLUS, FOR SURPLUS STATUS AND THE BOARD ADOPTS. SO, AFTER THE BOARD DECLARED ITS SURPLUS ON THE DIRECTOR'S RECOMMENDATION IN MAY OF 2013, AFTER CONSOLIDATING OWNERSHIP IN THE FALL OF 2013, WORKING WITH OUR TENANT, THE OPERATOR OF THE PROGRAM OF THE PROPERTY, WE LAUNCHED THE SURPLUS PROPERTY PROCESS IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR. THERE IS A NUMBER OF THINGS IN THE REPORT AS FAR AS THE OUTREACH, THE TOURS AVAILABLE, AND THE PROPERTY. THE ABILITY TO PUT THE PROPERTY INFORMATION THAT'S AVAILABLE CURRENTLY ON THE WEBSITE. SO, IT'S A VERY -- IT'S PRESCRIBED, AND IT'S VERY COMPLETE, THE PROCESS. A PART OF THAT IS SOLICITATION OF PUBLIC COMMENT. THE BOARD HAS THE INFORMATION ON A FIRST PASS, WHAT DOES THE COMMUNITY OR THE STAKEHOLDERS AND THE NEIGHBORS, RESPONDENTS, AND THERE IS A NUMBER OF THOUGHTFUL COMMENTS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THEIR ENTIRETY IN THE REPORT. SO, THAT'S VERY GOOD INFORMATION FOR.

>> Board Clerk: FORWARD. SO, THAT'S THE FIRST PIECE OF THIS, IS THE

ACCEPTANCE OF THE SURPLUS PROPERTY REPORT. WE WILL HAVE SATISFIED, WE BELIEVE, VERY COMPLETELY IN THIS REPORT THE, THE PROCESS THAT THE BOARD HAS PREVIOUSLY PRESCRIBED AS, AS THE WAY FORWARD. THE SECOND PIECE OF THE REPORT IS, IS, AS MICHAEL SAID, IS ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION TO GO FORWARD. THIS IS THE SUBSTITUTION THAT, THAT MICHAEL DESCRIBED VERY WELL. IT IS A PROCESS THAT IS, AGAIN, KEEPING IT VERY OPEN, EXPLICITLY CALLING OUT COOPERATION WITH STAKEHOLDERS, COMMUNITY GROUPS, AND OTHERS TO CRAFT A DISPOSITION PATH FORWARD THAT IS SUITABLE TO THIS PROPERTY. SO, THAT'S REALLY -- THAT'S THE SECOND PIECE OF THIS, AND THAT'S THE SUBSTITUTION THAT, THAT THE BOARD APPROVED EARLIER THIS MORNING.

>> Chair Kafoury: FIVE MINUTES.

>> IT DID NOT SEEM THAT LONG. SO, THIS PROPERTY, AS DETAILED IN THE REPORT, IT'S A UNIQUE PROPERTY. IT IS NOT UNLIKE MANY OF OUR -- MOST OF OUR SURPLUS PROPERTY ACTIONS. THIS WAS NOT FORMALLY A COUNTY FACILITY. THIS IS A PROPERTY THAT THE COUNTY ACQUIRED IN SATISFACTION OF A SECURITY INTEREST. SO, THERE IS A NUMBER OF PROPERTIES WE HAVE TO GO FORWARD WITH IN THE DISPOSITION OF THE PROPERTY. BECAUSE WE ACQUIRED IT IN SATISFACTION OF THE SECURITY INTEREST, AND THERE WERE EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS, WE HAVE TO PROCEED EXPEDITIOUSLY AND, AND THERE IS EVERY INTENTION TO DO SO ON THE ADVICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY AND WORKING WITH DEQ, AND THAT WE GO -- WE FIND A WAY FORWARD FOR THE DISPOSITION OF THE PROPERTY, THAT COMPLIES WITH OUR RESPONSIBILITIES AND, AND, AND THE LIABILITY, LIMITED LIABILITIES WITH RESPECT TO THE, THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS OF THE PROPERTY THAT SATISFIES THE CRITERIA OF, OF KEEPING ALBERTINA KERR IN THE PROGRAM FOR THE LEASE TERM, WHICH GOES TO JUNE 30 OF 2016, AND WITH THE EXERCISE OF THEIR OPTIONS. IT RECOGNIZES THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY. IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

>> Chair Kafoury: QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD? ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON THIS?

>> I WOULD SAY IN LIGHT OF THE EXPEDITIOUS PROCESS, WE WOULD LIKE TO BRING BEFORE THE BOARD THE OUTCOME OF THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH, PROBABLY BY JANUARY OF 2014, WITH THE DISPOSITION RECOMMENDATIONS, IF NOT BEFORE THEN. CLEARLY WITH ALBERTINA KERR IN THE FACILITY BETWEEN NOW AND JUNE 2016, AND SOME ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES, WE WOULD LIKE TO WORK WITH DEQ, THE ULTIMATE DISPOSITION TO A NEW LANDOWNER, I THINK, WE NEED TO TAKE -- IDEALLY WOULD TAKE PLACE DURING THE CALENDAR YEAR OF 2015 TO ENSURE A SIX-MONTH TRANSACTION PROCESS FOR DUE DILIGENCE AND SALE OR HAND-OFF TO THE NEW OWNER. SO, THAT WOULD BE OUR DESIRE TO COME BACK WITHIN THE NEXT FOUR OR FIVE MONTHS FROM NOW.

>> Commissioner Smith: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A QUESTION. BECAUSE IT IS THE SURPLUS PROPERTY, ARE WE REQUIRED TO SELL IT?

>> WE'RE NOT REQUIRED TO, NECESSARILY, SELL IT. I LET -- HERE'S ONE OUTCOME THAT COULD HAPPEN. IF WE CREATED A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL, AND JUST LIKE THE [INAUDIBLE] BUILDING, WE HAVE NONPROFITS, AND PUBLIC AGENCIES. WE HAVE PRIVATE ENTITIES THAT MAY WANT TO DEVELOP AND PURCHASE THE PROPERTY. WHEN WE BRING BACK A HANDFUL OF OFFERS BEFORE THE BOARD, WHETHER THEY BE MONETARY OR OTHER, WITH THE SURPLUS PROPERTY PROCESS, THE BOARD, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, HAS WIDE DISCRETION IN TERMS OF WHAT KIND OF A DEVELOPMENT TRANSACTION THEY WOULD LIKE TO ENTER INTO. AND I WILL LET KEN ELABORATE IF THERE IS ANYTHING THAT I MAY HAVE MISSED IN REGARD TO THAT.

>> ALL OF THAT IS CORRECT, COMMISSIONER SMITH. THE ONE BACKGROUND CHALLENGE IS THAT, THAT RIGHT NOW, THE COUNTY IS IN THE POSITION OF A SECURITY LENDER TRYING TO RECOVER ITS INVESTMENT THE PROPERTY, WHICH IS \$1,500,000, THE DEBT REMAINING FROM PORT CITY. IT GIVES US A PROTECTED STATUS UNDER THE ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS AS A LENDER TRYING TO, TO GET A SECURITY INTEREST RECOVERED. IF WE RETAIN OWNERSHIP FOR THE LONG-TERM IN ANY FASHION, WE ARE SLIPPING INTO THE POSITION OF BEING A POTENTIALLY RESPONSIBLE PARTY FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISK BECAUSE WE WILL BE OWNING OR MANAGING THE PROPERTY. SO, AS LONG AS WE'RE PROCEEDING TO EXPEDITIOUSLY, AS MIKE SAID, TO SELL THE PROPERTY, WE ARE IN THIS SAFE HARBOR SITUATION, AS FAR AS ABSORBING THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISK, ONCE WE START TO OWN OR OPERATE, WE'VE BEEN ASSURED BY DEQ, IF WE DO -- IF THE BOARD MAKES THAT ELECTION FOR SOME LONG-TERM USE, COUNTY USE, AND OWNERSHIP OF THE PROPERTY, WE COULD NEGOTIATE A NEW PERSPECTIVE PURCHASER AGREEMENT AT THAT TIME. THAT GIVES US THE SAME PROTECTION THAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW. THAT AGREEMENT WOULD DEPEND ON US DEMONSTRATING TO DEQ THAT THERE IS A PUBLIC BENEFIT TO WHATEVER USE WE'RE GOING TO PUT THE PROPERTY ON.

>> Commissioner Smith: HOW LONG DO WE HAVE THAT SAFE HARBOR?

>> IT IS INDEFINITE IN TIME, BUT, CERTAINLY, WE ARE REQUIRED BY THE RULE TO BE MOVING EXPEDITIOUSLY TOWARDS RECOVERING THE DEBT, AND THAT'S, THAT'S THE GUIDANCE THAT WE HAVE. SO, WE HAVE DONE THAT TO DATE. WE DON'T VIEW THIS BRIEF STEP SIDWAYS, I GUESS, IN ORDER TO, TO, TO EXPLORE OTHER OPTIONS RATHER THAN JUST MOVING RIGHT TO A SALE. WE DON'T VIEW THAT AS CONTRARY TO THE INTENT.

>> Chair Kafoury: YOU ARE MOVING VERY EXPEDITIOUSLY.

>> THAT'S RIGHT. AND IN FACT, WE CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT IF WE HAVE DISCUSSIONS WITH PDC, IT HELPS US TO MAXIMIZE THE VALUE OF THAT PROPERTY AND GIVES US A BETTER CHANCE OF RECOVERING THE DEBT.

>> COMMISSIONER SMITH, IN LIGHT OF KEN'S COMMENTS, IN ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION, I THINK IT BEHOOVES STAFF TO COME BACK WITH A HANDFUL OF ALTERNATIVES IN TERMS OF THE PROCESSES AND WHAT TYPES OF PROCESSES COULD BE MORE RISKY IN TERMS OF TRIGGERING AN ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY IN TERMS OF THE OWNER AND OPERATOR CATEGORY VERSUS THOSE THAT, THAT ARE LESS RISKY IN TERMS OF THE ADVICE AND WORKS OF DEQ AND WHICH WOULD RECOVER THE COUNTY INVESTMENTS WHICH WOULD BE LESS LIKELY TO RECOVER THAT. AS PART OF OUR, OUR ACTION FROM HERE TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT THAT'S CLEAR, THE BOARD CAN SEE A HANDFUL OF DIFFERENT OPTIONS. THE RISK TO RECOVERY, THE ALTERNATIVES, MAYBE THE TIMELINE RISKS, SO WE COULD MAKE A REALLY GOOD, INFORMED DECISION.

>> MADAM CHAIR.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE, THE RISK THAT'S PRESENTED BY A SITE THAT IS A FORMER INDUSTRIAL BATTERY MANUFACTURING SITE. THAT IS IT SEEMS IS TO ME LISTENING TO YOU THAT THERE ARE THREE POSSIBLE OUTCOMES HERE. ONE IS THAT, IS THAT WE RECOVER THE COUNTY INVESTMENT, AND WE ARE HELD HARMLESS FROM THE CHAIN OF LIABILITY IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP. I AM LOOKING FOR A HEAD NOD. THAT'S ONE POSSIBILITY. ANOTHER POSSIBILITY IS THAT WE RECOVER THE COUNTY INVESTMENT AND WE FALL INTO THE CHAIN OF LIABILITY AS AN OWNER, MANAGER. IF WE DON'T, IF WE DON'T FORM CAREFULLY --

>> IF WE RETAIN A LONG-TERM INTEREST AS PART OF THE, PART OF RECOVERING THAT INVESTMENT, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK, WE BECOME MORE LIKE AN OWNER, OPERATOR OF THE PROPERTY, AND THEN THE POTENTIAL RISK FALLS.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: THAT'S WHAT HAPPENS IF WE FAIL TO ACT EXPEDITIOUSLY IN COLLECTING THAT AMOUNT OWED TO US.

>> RIGHT.

>> SO THAT'S OPTION NUMBER TWO, AND NUMBER THREE IS WE DO WANT RECOVER OUR INVESTMENT, AND WE, WE ARE HELD ENVIRONMENTALLY LIABLE TO, TO, TO -- AS OWNER, MANAGER OF THE PROPERTY. THAT'S A POSSIBILITY IF WE DO NOTHING.

>> IF THE COUNTY DOES NOTHING, THAT WOULD, THAT COULD BE AN EVENTUAL OUTCOME. THE RISK -- MOST OF THE REMEDIATION HAS BEEN DONE, AND PARTLY WITH COUNTY FUNDING TO THE PORT CITY DEVELOPMENT. PARTLY BY WAG STAFF BATTERY BEFORE THEY SOLD THE PROPERTY, SO A LOT OF THE CLEANUP OF THE KNOWN CONTAMINANTS HAS HAPPENED. IT'S JUST THE UNKNOWN UNDERGROUND MIGRATION THAT, THAT, THAT COULD BE DOWN THERE IF SOMEBODY EXCAVATES THE SITE.

>> AND BENEATH THE THREE FEET.

>> YES.

>> MADAM CHAIR, IF I COULD CONTINUE WITH ONE MORE.

>> Chair Kafoury: YES.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: I AM TRYING TO DESIGN A LITTLE LOGICAL PROGRESSION FOR US HERE. WHO ELSE, WHO CURRENTLY IS, IS, IS HOLDING THE BAG ON, ON ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY ON, ON THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY?

>> NO ONE, BUT THE COUNTY, COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK. THE COUNTY IS THE SOLE OWNER. PORT CITY DEVELOPMENT CONVEYED IN FULL SATISFACTION OF ITS OBLIGATIONS TO THE COUNTY, ALL THE TIME THAT THEY OWNED THE PROPERTY, THEY WERE SUBJECT AND PROTECTED BY A PERSPECTIVE PURCHASER AGREEMENT WITH DEQ, AND THEY DID NOT VIOLATE THE CONDITIONS OF THAT. SO, THE COUNTY, AS THE OWNER SINCE LAST SUMMER, IS THE, THE PARTY, AND WAG STAFF IS LONG OUT OF BUSINESS. THOSE ARE POTENTIAL OWNERS WHO ARE IN THE CHAIN OF TITLE, BUT, BUT THE, THE VIABLE CANDIDATES AT THIS POINT IS JUST MULTNOMAH COUNTY.

>> Commissioner Shiprack: THANK YOU. I THINK YOU ARE REALLY CLEAR, YOUR CLEAR ANSWERS MAKE IT VERY CLEAR TO ME WHAT THIS BOARD NEEDS TO DO IN TERMS OF MOVING FORWARD WITH THIS PARTICULAR PIECE OF PROPERTY. MADAM CHAIR, IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME. THE OTHER ISSUE IS SO WE WOULD BE PUTTING THE RESPONSIBILITY ON A FUTURE OWNER IF WE SELL THIS AS IS.

>> COMMISSIONER SMITH, WE PLAN THAT, THAT ANY PERSPECTIVE BUYER WOULD THEN SPEND ITS OWN TIME AND MONEY GOING TO DEQ AND NEGOTIATING ITS OWN PERSPECTIVE PURCHASER AGREEMENT THAT WOULD HAVE SIMILAR CONDITIONS AS THE COUNTY NOW HAS. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THAT, THAT OWNER NEEDED TO EXCAVATE TO DEVELOP THE PROPERTY, THAT EXCAVATION AND THE CONDITIONS OF THE PROPERTY AND HOW TO

DISPOSE OF ANY CONTAMINANTS WOULD BE, WOULD BE CONTROLLED BY THAT PERSPECTIVE PURCHASER AGREEMENT. SO, IT'S NOT AN UNCONTROLLED RISK. THEY WOULD BE CONTROLLING THEIR OWN DESTINY BY NEGOTIATING A SIMILAR AGREEMENT WITH DEQ. THAT ALSO FACTORS INTO THE PRICE OF THE PERSPECTIVE BUYER.

>> Chair Kafoury: I THINK WE HAVE A LOT OF TIME TO DISCUSS THIS BECAUSE YOU ARE COMING BACK BEFORE US WITH A LIST OF OPTIONS AND A LIST OF RISKS, AND A TIME LINE FOR EACH OF THOSE OPTIONS, AS WELL AS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT COMING BACK IN JANUARY TO, TO GIVE THE REPORT FROM ALL OF THE COMMUNITY FORUMS AND COMMUNITY INPUT THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE GETTING ON HOW TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS. SO, THIS DECISION TODAY IS NOT ABOUT TO SELL OR NOT TO SELL, IT'S JUST TO CONTINUE MOVING FORWARD.

>> YES, MA'AM.

>> SO ALL THOSE -- WAIT, SORRY. WE HAVE A PUBLIC COMMENTED.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IF YOU CAN COME FORWARD WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME.

>> Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR AND COMMISSIONER MEMBERS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR TAKING THE TESTIMONY TODAY. MY NAME IS NED HASKELL, AND I AM A BOARD MEMBER OF THE MEDIA ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE OF 501-3-C CORPORATION. MATI OFFERS A RICH, ART MEDIA EXPERIENCE TO HELP PORTLAND'S YOUTH, ESPECIALLY UNDERSERVED YOUTH, TO BECOME INFORMED, SKILLED, LITERATE AND COMPASSIONATE GLOBAL CITIZENS OF TOMORROW. MATI TEAMS ARTISTS, MEDIA PROFESSIONALS AND VOLUNTEERS WITH ACADEMIC TEACHERS TO PROVIDE A UNIQUE CURRICULUM THAT CULTIVATES PERSONAL AND EDUCATIONAL GROWTH. MATI CREATES A SUCCESSFUL COMMUNICATION, COMMUNICATORS THROUGH HOPE EMPOWERMENT, CELEBRATION, AND FUN. I JUST PULLED THIS OFF THE WEBSITE TO TRY AND GET AN IDEA OF WHAT THEIR MISSION IS CURRENTLY. SINCE 2005, MATI HAS BEEN BRINGING ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMMING TO SCHOOLS IN THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND TO ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY. MATI'S LATEST PROJECT, NEXT PROJECT IS THE FORMATION OF THE PORTLAND SCHOOL FOR ART AND INGENUITY, WHICH I AM A FOUNDER OF. A&I WILL BE AN

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL THAT WILL BE A LEARNING COMMUNITY WHERE CHILDREN ARE ALLOWED THE AUTONOMY AND TRUST OF THEIR CURIOSITY TO SOLVE PROBLEMS WITH TEACHERS SUPPORTING THEIR JOURNEY. ADULTS LEARN RIGHT ALONG WITH KIDS, AND BRING THEIR PASSION AND SKILL EVERY DAY IN THE SERVICE OF STUDENTS. A SCHOOL WHERE THE EXPERIENCE OF SKILLED ELDERS, THE INGENUITY OF THE TECH COMMUNITY AND THE MAKER MOVEMENT AND THE ETHOS OF THE ART COMMUNITY PROVIDE AVENUES FOR STUDENTS TO SOLVE PROBLEMS AND ADDRESS CRITICAL ISSUES. A&I WILL HOST THE PUNT THEATER AT THE FACILITY, AS WELL AS ENGAGE ARTISTS AND MAKERS TO OFFER AN INFORMAL APPRENTICESHIP MODEL LEARNING WHERE THEY CAN DO THEIR OWN WORK, AND ASSIST STUDENTS WITH THEIRS, AND BE A CULTURAL LABORATORY FOR THE COMMUNITY. WE SEE THE SCHOOL AS AN ENTREPRENEURIAL ACADEMY IN THE BROADEST SENSE OF THE WORD, WHERE STUDENTS CAN EXPLORE THEIR EFFECTIVENESS IN SOCIAL, ARTISTIC, TECHNOLOGICAL, AND CRAFT, AS A MEANS OF ENGAGEMENT IN EXPLORATION AND ENCOURAGEMENT OF JOY IN LEARNING. IN KEEPING WITH MATI'S MISSION, OUR FUNDRAISING AND OPERATIONS WILL BE AIMED AT SUPPORTING UNDERSERVED YOUTH THROUGH TUITION SUPPORTS AND, AND PROGRAMMING. I JUST BECAME AWARE OF THE PORT CITY SITE JUST A FEW DAYS AGO, SO I WAS NOT ABLE TO COMMENT EARLIER BEFORE JUNE 5. IN LOOKING AT IT, IT'S, IT'S EXACTLY WHAT WE NEED. IT'S A HUGE SHOP SPACE, CLASSROOM SPACE, AND THERE IS A LOT OF FLEXIBILITY IN THE SITE. CLEARLY, THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS ARE NEW TO ME, AND I'M JUST GETTING MY FEET ON THE GROUND. SO, YOU KNOW, IT MIGHT BE A DEAL BREAKER, WHO KNOWS. BUT, AS IT STANDS, IT'S CLEAR THAT IF, IF -- WE WOULD USE THE SITE AS IS, AND INTERVIEWS WITH NEIGHBORS AND WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE, THE SURPLUS PROPERTY REPORT, SAY THAT THE NEIGHBORS IN THE COMMUNITY WOULD SUPPORT AN EDUCATIONAL FLICKS FOR THE SITE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO INTRODUCE, TO INTRODUCE YOU TO MIKE, WHO WILL BE GLAD TO TALK WITH YOU FURTHER. [LAUGHTER]

>> Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU FOR COMING TODAY.

>>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR AND. I AM STEVEN, AND I AM HERE TO ADVOCATE FOR THE HEALY MANN SANCTUARY. I SEE THIS PROPERTY IS A POTENTIAL -- INCLUDING IF THE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT, THE GROUND IS NOT TOO TERRIBLY POLLUTED NEEDS THE THREE FEET OR WHATEVER, THAT THAT WOULD BE A GOOD PLACE TO SET UP A GARDEN AND HELP TO CLEAN UP THE MESS AND HAVE A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE CAN HEAL, COMPARED TO THE BATTERY FACTOR, WHICH DOES NOT HEAL MUCH OF ANYTHING. BUT, THE SANCTUARY, BASICALLY, IT TAKES PEOPLE FROM THE STREET TO THE

SANCTUARY WILLINGLY, OK, THE PEOPLE WILL WANT TO GO. THIS IS NOT A FORCED ISSUE. WE HAVE GOT TO CONSIDER THE, ALSO, THE, THE IMPROVEMENT FOR, FOR THE IMAGE OF PORTLAND AS FAR AS PEOPLE, YOU KNOW, SLEEPING IN THE, IN THE DOORWAYS AND ON THE SIDEWALKS AND, AND SUCH. WE HAVE NOT REALLY FOUND A GOOD SOLUTION FOR THAT NOW. THIS COULD BE A REAL POTENTIAL -- I LIKE THE WORD, MOVING ALONG EXPONENTIALLY, WITH THIS SANCTUARY. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT, THAT, THAT IS, IS REVOLUTIONARY AND NEW. THIS IS NOTHING THAT HAS BEEN COPIED ANYWHERE ACROSS THE COUNTRY, NOT A BOOT CAMP, AND THIS IS NOT A, YOU KNOW, THIS IS A HEALING SANCTUARY. AND THERE IS A LOT OF GOOD REPORTS. I HAVE TALKED TO A LOT OF PEOPLE ON THE STREET THAT WOULD LOVE TO BE ABLE TO JOIN INTO THIS AND GET OFF THE STREETS AND TO HEAL AND TO REST AND TO GET NUTRITION, FIND COMMUNITY AGAIN. SO, THEY CAN CHANGE THEIR BEHAVIOR, YOU SEE. RIGHT NOW, THERE IS NOT MUCH THAT IS, THAT IS OCCURRING IN THE CITY TO, ACTUALLY, YOU MAKE THE BEHAVIORAL CHANGE THAT IS NECESSARY FOR, FOR A, A, A HEALTHY COMMUNITY, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SPONSORING THIS FOR, AND THIS PROPERTY AND OTHER PROPERTIES, I GUESS THERE IS QUITE A BIT OF THEM THAT, THAT WE COULD LOOK AT, AND IF IT'S THIS SANCTUARY APPROVED, WE WOULD LIKE THAT, AND WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE WITH THE WORD EXPONENTIALLY FORWARD WITH THIS, SO THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> Chair Kafoury: THANK YOU. SO, DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT? AND JENNY, DO WE NEED TO VOTE ON CONSIDERING THE SUBSTITUTION FIRST? OK. GREAT, THANK YOU. SO, ALL IN FAVOR OF VOTING -- ALL IN FAVOR OF THIS MATTER AS SUBSTITUTED, PLEASE VOTE AYE. THE SUBSTITUTED RESOLUTION IS ADOPTED. THANK YOU. I LOOK FORWARD TO MORE CONVERSATIONS ABOUT THIS PROPERTY.

>> R-3.

>> Chair Kafoury: IS MOVED, APPROVAL OF R-3, APPROVED AND SECONDED.

>> Chair Kafoury: GOOD MORNING.

>> THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, AND COMMISSIONERS, AND JOANNA FOR INVITING US HERE TO PRESENT OUR REQUEST FOR, FOR BUDGET MODIFICATION HD0315 TO APPROVE TO APPROPRIATE 496,112 FROM, FROM THIS TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. I AM SARAH, AND [INAUDIBLE] AND THIS IS NANCY GRIFFITH, THE DIRECTOR OF CORRECTION'S HEALTH. WE HAVE A LONG STANDING GRANT OPPORTUNITY CALLED THE NURSE EDUCATION PRACTICE QUALITY AND RETENTION INTERPROFESSIONAL COLLABORATIVE PRACTICE PROGRAM. A LONG TITLE. WE APPLIED AND GOT THIS GRANT OF 500,000 A YEAR FOR THREE YEARS TO DO A PROGRAM THAT WE CALLED

CORRECTIONS CASE MANAGEMENT. THE GRANT PROVIDES MONEY TO PAY FOR OUR PROJECT COORDINATOR, TO COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS, A HALF TIME ELIGIBILITY SPECIALIST AND SMALL TFEs OF HEALTH STAFF. THE GRANT HAS TWO OBJECTIVES, AND THEN TO THE EMPLOYEE'S SIDE THE OBJECTIVE IS TO DEVELOP AND IMPROVE OUR INNER PROFESSIONAL WORK AND LEADERSHIP SKILLS WITH NURSING AND OTHER STAFF, ESPECIALLY COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS. TO KNOW THAT THE WORKERS ARE INCREASINGLY USED IN HEALTH CARE, MANY OF THE BEST PRACTICE MODELS HAVE WORKERS PAIRED WITH NURSES WHO TAKE THE LEAD IN INSURING QUALITY OF CARE AND OVERSIGHT. THIS HAS NOT BEEN DEVELOPED FULLY IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, AND SO WE ARE EXCITED TO EXPLORE THAT AND DEVELOP THE MODEL. ON THE CLIENT'S SIDE, OUR OBJECTIVE IS TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A CORRECTION'S CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR OUR CLIENTS. WE ARE VERY EXCITED TO HAVE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP A COLLABORATIVE TEAM-BASED APPROACH WITH OUR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL PARTNERS TO HELP OUR CLIENTS WITH CHRONIC DISEASE AND MENTAL HEALTH. OUR APPROACH WILL BE TO HAVE NURSING STAFF DEVELOP A PLAN OF CARE WITH THE CLIENTS WHILE THEY ARE IN CORRECTIONS HEALTH, AND WHEN THE CLIENTS ARE RELEASED, THE PLAN IS TO HAVE THE COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER FOLLOW THE CLIENT INTO THE COMMUNITY TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO ACCOMPLISH THE HEALTH CARE PLAN. THE ROLE IN BOTH -- THE WORKING OF THESE OBJECTIVES WILL BE KICKED OFF THROUGH A COMPREHENSIVE CURRICULUM OF STAFF EDUCATION IN THE AREAS OF INNER PROFESSIONAL WORK, CASE MANAGEMENT, CLIENT READINESS FOR CHANGE MODELS, AND CHRONIC DISEASE. WE ARE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THIS OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP OUR STAFF AND TO PROVIDE A MUCH NEEDED PROGRAM THAT WILL IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF OUR COMMUNITY. WE ARE HOPING THAT ONCE THE GRANT ENDS, THIS WILL BE A CASE MANAGEMENT MODEL ON THE WAY TO DO CORRECTIONS HEALTH. WE ASK FOR APPROVAL OF THIS BUDGET MODIFICATION.

Chair Kafoury: DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

>> I HAVE A QUESTION. ARE WE DOING ANY KIND OF WORK LIKE THIS RIGHT NOW IN CORRECTIONS HEALTH?

>> NOT RIGHT NOW.

>> Commissioner Smith: OK.

Vice-Chair McKeel: I HAVE A QUESTION. I THINK THIS IS GREAT, AND I HOPE YOU GET THE GRANT, AND WE CAN MOVE FORWARD.

>> WE DID.

Vice-Chair McKeel: YOU HAVE THE GRANT, OH, WELL, WE'RE GOOD. WE'RE GOOD. MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT THIS, AND I REALIZE IT'S A THREE-YEAR GRANT, BUT AFTER THE GRANTED EXPIRES, THEN WE'RE LOOKING FOR, TO APPLY FOR FUNDS FROM ANOTHER SOURCE TO CONTINUE THE PROGRAM. SO, I ALWAYS HAVE THAT CONCERN WHEN A GRANT PROPOSAL COMES FORWARD THAT WE HAVE OUR EYE ON HOW WE, WE WILL SUSTAIN WHAT WE STARTED. SO, THAT'S JUST A THING. I KNOW THAT YOU ALL DO, TOO, BUT, I JUST -- THAT'S JUST --

Chair Kafoury: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ALL IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. THE BUDGET MODIFICATION IS APPROVED. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> R-4.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> Chair Kafoury: COMMISSIONER SHIPRACK MOVES AND SMITH SECONDS APPROVAL OF R-4. GOOD MORNING AGAIN. WELCOME BACK.

>> SO GOOD MORNING, CHAIR KAFOURY, I AM NANCY. AND WITH ME IS LEE JENSON, THE COMMUNITY JUSTICE MANAGER FOR THE ASSESSMENT AND REFERRAL CENTER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY JUSTICE. WE'RE HERE TODAY TO PRESENT THE BUDGET MODIFICATION FOR HD-04-15 FOR \$189,583. THE MONEYS FROM THE HEALTH SHARE OF OREGON WILL BE USED TO HIRE A 1.0 COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER AND 1.0, FTE COMMUNITY NURSE. COMMUNITY HEALTH NURSE. IN COLLABORATION WITH DCJ THE STAFF WILL BE HOUSED AT THE [INAUDIBLE] BUILDING AND WORK BETWEEN CORRECTIONS SALES AND DCJ TO TRANSITION CLIENTS ON PROBATION FROM THE JAIL TO THE COMMUNITY. SO, CLIENTS IN THIS PROGRAM WILL ALL HAVE CHRONIC HEALTH NEEDS SUCH AS DIABETES, HEART DISEASE, AND RESPIRATORY, SEIZURE DISORDERS, AND IN ADDITION TO MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE ISSUES. DCJ HAS PROVIDED IN-KIND, A SUBSTANCE ABUSE EVALUATOR AND A CORRECTIONS COUNCILOR, CORRECTIONS HEALTH HAS PROVIDED IN-KIND TO THIS GRANT, AND A MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT. SO, THE TRANSITION PEOPLE WILL CONSIST OF AN R.N., THE COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKER, SUBSTANCE ABUSE EVALUATOR, A P.O., CORRECTIONS COUNCILOR, MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTANT, AND A PSYCHE M.P., SO THE R.N. WILL SEE CLIENTS WHEN THEY COME IN TO SEE THEIR PROBATION OFFICERS. THIS GIVES THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO CHECK IN WITH THE CLIENTS TO MONITOR THE HEALTH CARE NEEDS TO GET EARLY ISSUES THAT MIGHT ARISE. A STAFF WILL WORK CLOSELY WITH THE CLIENT

TO TRANSITION THEM FROM THE JAIL TO THE HEALTH CARE SERVICES IN THE COMMUNITY. MANY OF THEM WILL REFER TO OUR OWN HEALTH CARE DEPARTMENT'S PRIMARY CARE BUT IN ADDITION TO CASCADIA, CENTRAL CITY CONCERN, OLD TOWN, AND OTHER COMMUNITY RESOURCES. OTHER TEAM MEMBERS WILL PROVIDE SUPERVISION, SUPPORT, EVALUATION, AND TREATMENT. SO, WE'RE HOPING WITH THIS GRANT TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE HEALTH CARE FACTORS THAT LEAD TO RECIDIVISM. SO, WE CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE.

>> Commissioner Smith: I HAVE A QUESTION. SOME OF THE KIND OF ACTIVITIES THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BE DOING WITH THIS, IT REALLY SOUNDS LIKE SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE COMING FROM THE DOLLARS WE GOT FOR 3194. NOW, WILL HEALTH SHARE FUND THIS AGAIN FOR NEXT YEAR? TO DEEP THIS GOING, IS THIS THE ONE-YEAR PILOT?

>> IT'S A ONE-YEAR PILOT. WE ARE LOOKING AT GETTING OUTCOMES AND TRYING TO PRESENT TO THEM THAT THIS IS A MODEL THAT CAN, ACTUALLY, HELP INTERVENE ON THE HEALTH CARE ISSUES OF THEIR CLIENT, SO WE ARE, YOU KNOW, WIRE GOING TO, TO TRY REALLY HARD TO MAKE THEM CONTINUE TO FUND IT, BUT, AT THIS POINT, IT'S A ONE-YEAR THING.

>> Commissioner Smith: THIS IS GREAT. I THINK THIS IS A WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY, PARTICULARLY, WHEN FOLKS WHO COME INTO THE JAILS, THEY, THEY -- AS YOU KNOW, MANY OF YOU KNOW, ABOUT 40% OF THEM HAVE MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES AND CHRONIC DISEASES, SO THIS WILL BE VERY HELPFUL TO THEM, AND I KNOW THAT WITH THE 3194 MONEY, THIS IS SOME OF THE ACTIVITY THAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE DEALING WITH. IF WE CANNOT GET THOSE FROM THIS, MAYBE THERE IS A BIGGER PARTNERSHIP THAT WE CAN HAVE WITH DCJ INSTEAD OF THE IN-KIND, MAYBE THIS IS SOMETHING THAT THEY CAN PICK UP, AS WELL.

>> Chair Kafoury: HOPEFULLY WE'LL PROVE THIS TO BE EFFECTIVE, AND WE CAN SHOW MOVING FORWARD THAT THIS IS -- GREAT, THIS IS WONDERFUL, AND I AM EXCITED ABOUT IT. QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? ALL RIGHT, ALL IN FAVOR, VOTE AYE. THE BUDGET MODIFICATION IS ADOPTED. THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

Chair Kafoury: WELL, IT LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE COME TO THE END OF THE MEETING. NOW IS THE TIME WHERE WE HAVE TIME FOR BOARD COMMENTS ON NON AGENDA ITEMS.

>> YES, MADAM CHAIR. COMMISSIONER McKEEL.

Vice-Chair McKeel: OK. ANOTHER BIG WEEKEND IN EAST COUNTY, ON SATURDAY WE HAVE IN FAIRVIEW, WE HAVE [INAUDIBLE] ON THE GREEN.

ALSO, AT BLUE LAKE PARK ON SATURDAY, I BELIEVE, IS THE FINALS OF THE INTERNATIONAL DISC GOLF TOURNAMENT, WHICH HAS BEEN A BIG DEAL TO BRING TO THE NORTHWEST AND EAST COUNTY. YESTERDAY, WE DID, WE HAD A WEDNESDAY WALK. WE STARTED AT THE [INAUDIBLE] BUILDING. WE, WE WENT TO THE LIBRARY. WE WENT TO THE GRESHAM CITY PARK, AND TO THE [INAUDIBLE] GARDENS, AND ALSO THE DOG PARK THAT'S THERE. WE WENT TO THE GRESHAM PLAZA FOR THE ARTS WHERE THEY JUST OPENED THE FOUNTAINS ON THE FIRST PART OF JULY, AND THEY ARE OPEN FROM 9:00 TO 9:00. TONS OF KIDS IN THE FOUNTAINS, ESPECIALLY WITH ALL THE HOT WEATHER. AND WE HAD A TOUR OF DOWNTOWN GRESHAM.

Chair Kafoury: EXCELLENT. ANY OTHER ITEMS?

Commissioner Smith: YES, MADAM CHAIR. I WILL BE PARTICIPATING WITH THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN HEALTH COALITION, AND I KNOW THAT MANY FOLKS FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY WILL ALSO BE PARTICIPATING. IT STARTS AT 8:30, SO BE THERE AT 8:00 SO THAT WE CAN WALK THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR, FOR FOLKS TO UNDERSTAND THE VALUE OF, OF WALKING IN OUR COMMUNITY, OTHER HEALTH OPTIONS THAT THEY MAY HAVE AND, AND IT'S GOING TO BE A GREAT TIME, SO I INVITE MY COMMISSIONERS TO WALK WITH ME IN THE SOUL STROLL AT WILSHIRE PARK 8:30 ON SATURDAY MORNING.

Chair Kafoury: SOUNDS GOOD, AND THE ASIAN HEALTH AND SERVICE CENTER IS HAVING A, A HEALTH FAIR ON SATURDAY STARTING AT 11:00, SO THERE WILL BE BOOTHS WITH, WITH, AND SOME OF OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS TALKING ABOUT HOW WE CAN BE HEALTHY IN THE COMMUNITY, THAT'S ON 34th AND POWELL. HAVING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, WE ARE ADJOURNED. THANK YOU.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 10:41 a.m.

This transcript was prepared by LNS Captioning and edited by the Board Clerk's office. For access to the video and/or board packet materials, please view at:
http://multnomah.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=3

Submitted by:
Lynda J. Grow, Board Clerk and
Marina Baker, Assistant Board Clerk
Board of County Commissioners
Multnomah County